



Christian Identity Ministries

A member of the
Congregations of Israel

PO Box 146, CARDWELL QLD 4849, Australia

Ph: 07-4066 0146 (International 61-7 instead of 07) www.christianidentityministries.com - hr_cim@bigpond.com

"Blessed be the LORD God of *Israel*; For He hath visited and redeemed *His* people, And hath raised up an horn of salvation for *us* in the house of his servant David; as he spake by the mouth of his holy prophets, which have been since the world began; That *we* should be saved from *our* enemies and from the hand of all that hate *us*; to perform the mercy promised to *our* fathers and to remember his holy covenant; The oath which he sware to *our* father Abraham, That he would grant unto *us*, that *we* being delivered out of the hand of *our* enemies might serve him without fear, in holiness and righteousness before him, all the days of *our* lives." Luke 1:68-75; the Anglo-Saxon-Celtic-Germanic-Scandinavian & Kindred people are *ISRAEL!*

#400

Covenant Messenger

November AD2019

(a publication of N.Q. Fellowship of God's Covenant People)

RACE VS CHARACTER

By Pastor Dave Barley

I'm not going to quit preaching on Biblical Truth just because the politics of the day wants to silence certain Biblical absolutes. Let us all continue to speak the Truth. God's Word unto Israel shall **NOT** return void:

"So shall my word be that goeth forth out of my mouth: it shall not return unto me void, but it shall accomplish that which I please, and it shall prosper in the thing whereto I sent it." Isaiah 55:11

Dare we hide from God's Word? We have nothing to hide from or be ashamed of when it comes to our Biblical Covenant Identity or racial Purposes. The world is attacking our race, our Heritage, and even more importantly God's Heritage people (Israel). This is a major part of our Faith; dare we deny or hide from this Abrahamic Faith?

Recently I was sent an article by a man I know and respect in many ways. His article is called **"I'm Proud of How God Made Me, White"** dated July 18, 2019. He and I spoke at a conference many years ago. Many of you know him. His name is Dave Daubemire (most people call him "Coach," because he was a great coach years ago). He is especially famous among conservatives and Christians for bravely standing up for the "life rights" of the unborn, and he is well respected for his uncompromising stand on this and many other issues.

The reason I feel compelled to write about Coach's recent article on race and character is because I believe his premise concerning "race" is contrary to the declaratory Word of God. I'm not challenging his racial makeup, he, nor anyone else has any control over their racial origin. I question some of his conclusions concerning character and race. The Bible has much to say about character and race, and God's Word does not endorse sexual frivolity with regard to race-mixing.

First of all, the view that people can freely procreate (have sex) with any race they choose without racial, national, or Biblical consequences is not just a cute thought, it is national genocide. God's Law states: **Thou shalt not commit adultery (Exodus 20:14)**. This is the seventh commandment. Contrary to what the Judeo-Christians claim concerning the meaning of **"adultery,"** it does not just mean **"a man or woman who breaks wedlock."** There is a much broader definition of adultery and to a large degree the Biblical definition of adultery is **"racial adulteration."** Adultery means to adulterate. Race-mixing is the adulteration of a race.

It is not my objective in this article to present an in-depth study of adultery. **My main purpose is to dispel the**

belief it is either character or race when in fact it is both character AND race! To adulterate one's race (or race-mixing) has quite a bit to do with the racial, national, and spiritual ramifications about our national destiny. Babylon and Rome were destroyed because of their paganism and indifference to the race issue.

In 1921, *Hereditas* published an article on the fall of Rome written by the famous classical scholar Martin P. Nilsson. Why was a paper on this unexpected topic printed in the newly founded journal? To Nilsson, the demise of the Roman Empire was explained by the **"bastardization"** occurring between **"races."** In his book, *Imperial Rome*, under the chapter "The Race Problem of the Roman Empire," page 383, he states this:

"...The effects upon civilization were very important: the bankruptcy of the civilization and sinking of the general level of culture in the hardships and wars of the bad third century destroyed much more than all the cruelties of the emperors. But it is not our task here to investigate this point. The mixing up of the races involves not only a problem for civilization but also a biological problem."

"When under the shelter of Roman peace and Roman administration all these races - those mentioned are only the most important of the races known - were mingled with each other, the result was an unlimited bastardizing." Bastardizing conveys perils which cosmopolitanism did not acknowledge

IN THIS ISSUE:

Race vs Character,	1
Eye of the Needle,	4
Port Arthur Mysteries,	9
The only way to Peace, Health, Prosperity,	12

Note that enclosed is the last of the FAC-Sheets from Plymouth Rock Foundation. It has taken just over 5 years to go through them all. I hope you found them beneficial, even if a bit out of date. H.R.

The views and opinions expressed in the articles herein or herewith are those of the authors and not necessarily those of CIM. They are written by fallible men. You must ask Jesus to guide your studies!

CIM reserves the right to edit submitted or reprinted material in line with CIM editorial policy. CIM does the utmost to ensure that the spirit of articles remains intact at all times.

but which modern science has shown to be real... Bastardizing between two races which differ from each other to more than a certain degree results in the deterioration of the race.

"...The unity and harmony of the race and the individual will be destroyed, the personality loses its balance. The individuals which are born out of this crossing fail to achieve a firm and fixed type. Psychically they lack a definite direction and vacillate indecisively between conflicting and unconnected hereditary dispositions. They may often possess great intelligence, but the moral strength is wanting. This state of affairs is due to biological factors but gets still worse if - as was the case in the Roman Empire." the fixed form of the mental life at the same time breaks down and is transformed."

This next part is a selected quote from the book **Race or Mongrel** by Alfred P. Schultz, written in 1909:

"That environment is of little importance to the development of a race is clearly demonstrated by the fact that when Hellenes lived in Greece, Greece was great. Since their mongrelization, Greece has produced nothing. As long as Romans existed, Rome was great; when they were mongrelized, Rome was dead. The Lombards came to Italy, and they produced the Renaissance. Their mongrelization left only an Italy. The Phoenicians produced a great civilization; it perished with them... The same phenomenon can be observed the world over. Where a great race is, civilization flourishes; where the great race is not, the best possible environment cannot produce it."

The Tenth Commandment actually deals with the **"breaking of wedlock"** union between a man and a woman. **...thou shalt not covet thy neighbour's wife (Exodus 20:17)**. The Ten Commandments are not duplicated laws of God, each one has

various applicable meaning and purpose. This why the Seventh Commandment is not the same as the tenth. God wants His Covenant people to be Germane or genuine. If the Bible declares something to be immoral, then it is an unchanging fact with Divine implications. I am thankful that most of our readers are using sound Biblical reasoning when challenged with "politically-correct" rationalization.

In brief, here's the problem with Coach's title and article in general. As a person reads through his article, they will soon discover (as Coach states) **"my family heritage has a lineage back to a group of Americans called Melungeons ... My ancestors were a mixture of European, African, and Native American. But today people just call me and my family "white." It is easier to label me a racist that way."**

The point he is making, is that he is not a **"White"** by race, but a racial mixture of various races. Although, according to today's Babylonian perverted standards, people who are *"mixed breeds"* can identify themselves as *"Caucasian or White"* and be a mongrel or mulatto at the same time. In actual Truth reality, White or White DNA is either pure White, or it isn't. When a horse and a donkey are inter-bred, the offspring is no longer a horse or a donkey; it is a mule. If you take a glass of pure white milk, and you add chocolate (even a small portion) to the white milk, it is no longer pure white milk, but a mixture of two or more ingredients.

My point is not to belittle Coach's racial pedigree. He is whatever racial makeup he is, and he had no control over

his racial identity. But I do want to take issue with his premise **"Dr. King** (meaning Dr. Martin Luther King Jr.) **asked Americans to stop judging by skin color but by the content of one's character."** On the surface, that may sound true, just, honest, and correct. According to modernist thinking today, many would actually believe that what Coach and Dr. King stated was right out of the Bible.

Coach goes on to state, **"Bottom line? Your skin-suits are simply containers in which the real you rides around in. Your skin color has nothing to do with what kind of a person you become."** In other words, Coach is saying race doesn't matter, only the character of one's soul. So, whoever you may be racially, feel free to inter-racially marry or mix your DNA seed with whatever race you chose (just make sure, he's implying, that they're Christian and love Jesus). Feel free White man, to become a Melungeon like me or some other racial mixture, as long as both of you *"love Jesus,"* that's Coach's message.

He is stating that race really doesn't matter. If you can find a Negro Sunday-school teacher who has the *"love of Jesus in her heart,"* and you want to help spread a worldly gospel of Judeo-Christian multiculturalism, renounce your race, and just let the light of your character shine forth. And, if you're a mixed race mulatto, but you appear to show White Caucasian features, go ahead and refer to yourself as a White Adamic individual, help make America multi-racial.

Yes, I know most mixed race or non-white people will be outraged at the audacity of this subject matter. But listen, if Coach has the audacity to make the various claims and assertions in his article denouncing those who uphold their Biblical and racial integrity with his assertions that *"skin color"* or race is not important, that all we need is *"character,"* then he is a deceiver and in many ways, against the teachings of the Bible.

Yes character, righteous character is vitally important. We need good wholesome Godly values, which includes, abstaining from the sin of **"adultery"** or **"fornication"** and mixing or mingling our seed with those races who are not of our racial kindred.

Bible verses most people want to tear out of their Bibles is found in ***Deuteronomy 23:2-3**.

A bastard shall not enter into the congregation of the LORD; even to his tenth generation shall he not enter into the congregation of the LORD. An Ammonite or Moabite shall not enter into the congregation of the LORD; even to their tenth generation shall they not enter into the congregation of the LORD for ever:

What does this mean? Well the term *"bastard"* is the Hebrew word **"mamzer,"** from #4464 in the Strong's Concordance, which means: **"mamzer (mam-zare'); from an unused root meaning to alienate; a mongrel, i.e. born of a Jewish (Judean or Israelite) father and a heathen mother: - bastard"** Yes *"bastard"* is a Biblical term, and it means the offspring that is born from an illicit union between a racial Israelite and a non-Adamic Israelite. Does this Bible verse mean a *"mamzer"* can continue to have sexual relations with Israelites for ten generations and somehow this seed corruption is then miraculously purified? Obviously not, because the rest of those generations would not be pure! That's some warped and perverted Darwinian concept of evolution. No, this verse is making it clear that it is never acceptable or lawful for the church or congregation of Israel to permit non-Adamic-

New Video expose

1. **LGBT Exposed - hard-hitting, major Russian TV film, 57 min.**
2. **The LGBT Agenda is helping Normalize Paedophilia, 19 min.**
3. **How the British State protects Paedophiles, 23 min.**

The gay bible is an abomination and they will want to conquer every oponent. Cultural conquest has 5 steps: a) Tolerance; b) acceptance; c) celebration; d) forced participation; e) punishment of every one who disagrees. The Human Rights Campaign is an aggressive organization to promote the agenda for gays

#CI-1105 @ sug don \$9



Israelites to mingle in a worship service or tabernacle with the Covenant people. The racial (and Israel is an ethnic body) Church of Israel is not to mix themselves racially or religiously with those who are outside of the seed of the Abrahamic Covenant people. God Almighty warns His Israel people, that if they do allow the aliens to dwell among them, this is what will eventually happen:

Mongrelization of the race and perversion of the Faith. It would result in the genocide of the White race, and a departure from the Covenant Faith.

The Scriptures tell us that Noah was racially pure, and we should also advocate racial purity and its applicable blessing upon our Kindred. Genesis 6:8-9

“But Noah found grace in the eyes of the LORD. These are the generations of Noah: Noah was a just man and perfect in his generations, and Noah walked with God.”

In Biblical context, to be **“perfect in his generations,”** means morally and racially pure. Perfect in descent of racial kindred. The Bible does not even suggest “spirituality” apart from genealogy. That would be advocating a “spiritual oxymoron.” Racial Biblical laws of purity are not meaningless concepts for people to gloat about. The Bible never advocates mongrelizing our racial genetic DNA. The word “generation” is from the Hebrew word “*towledah*.” It means: **“des-cent, i.e. family; (figuratively) history: birth, generations.”**

Remember, the seed of Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob (Israel) are racial Adamites (who are fair skinned, of a ruddy complexion), meaning, they were of a unique genetic Caucasian order. The other races are pre-Adamites and have a different DNA. They ethnically are non-Adamic people. Adam and Eve were not the progenitors of all the races of the world, Adam was of a particular race (or Kind). Adam (man or Kind) was of a special order racially, he was not a multi-racial gene donor, nor was Noah. The Bible is about the Adamic Abrahamic Covenant people not all the races of the world.

“This is the book of the generations of Adam. In the day that God created man, in the likeness of God made he him;” Genesis 5:1

There are many Bible verses condemning the sin of race-mixing or adulteration of one’s race. For instance, **Ezra 9:1-2**, at the time when the foundations for the Southern Kingdom of Judah’s temple was being laid:

“Now when these things were done, the princes came to me, saying, The people of Israel, and the priests, and the Levites, have not separated themselves from the people of the lands, doing according to their abominations, even of the Canaanites, the Hittites, the Perizzites, the Jebusites, the Ammonites, the Moabites, the Egyptians, and the Amorites. For they have taken of their daughters for themselves, and for their sons: so that the holy seed have mingled themselves with the people of those lands; yea, the hand of the princes and rulers hath been chief in this trespass.”

Let’s understand what has taken place. A percentage of the “holy seed” or Covenant Israel’s offspring were engaged in whoredom with other races. They had not separated themselves ethnically.

“When the most High divided to the nations their inheritance, when he separated the sons of Adam, he set the bounds of the people according to the number of the children of Israel. For the LORD’s portion is his people;

Jacob is the lot of his inheritance. “Deuteronomy 32:8-9
“But I have said unto you, Ye shall inherit their land, and I will give it unto you to possess it, a land that floweth with milk and honey: I am the LORD your God, which have separated you from other people... And ye shall be holy unto me: for I the LORD am holy, and have severed you from other people, that ye should be mine.” Lev. 20:24-26

“For thou art an holy people unto the LORD thy God: the LORD thy God hath chosen thee to be a special people unto himself, above all people that are upon the face of the earth.” Deuteronomy 7:6

“Moreover whom he did predestinate, them he also called: and whom he called, them he also justified: and whom he justified, them he also glorified.” Romans 8:30

Practically all of perverted Christendom (now called Judeo-Christianity, or Babylonian Talmudic-Christianity) would believe that this racial mingling and seed corruption would be acceptable in the eyes of King Jesus. God’s Word says differently. Jesus the Christ (the Alpha and the Omega) is the **“same, yesterday, today, and forever.”** He **“changes NOT.”** Humanistic reasoning man who is in

touch with his feelings would say **“our Jesus of Grace”** would wholly endorse today’s multicultural way of Baalism. I would recommend any doubting “Thomas” to also look up the following supporting verses on this subject matter and these are but a few of many: (Deut. 7:1-6; Genesis 26:34-35; 27:46; 24:2-4; Amos 3:2; Ephes. 5:5; Mark 7:21-23; Romans 9:4-5; Galatians 4:5; Psalms 147:19-20; Lev. 20:24; Joshua 23:12-13; Hosea 5:6-7; Jude 7).

Long ago, I heard a minister share that he heard an Indian preacher state (as best as I can remember): **“Jesus is not a race or**

some skin color, He is Spirit, and those who worship Him must worship Him in Spirit and in Truth.” Well, I was young and inexperienced in Biblical Truth. I had little Biblical understanding, and I thought, **“Well that makes sense.”** But, while we must worship King Jesus in Spirit and Truth, let’s not just focus on the Spirit alone, but also the Truth part. We must do our best to be Biblically correct, meaning, to live in harmony with the Divine Covenantal Purposes of God unto His chosen seed of Adamic Israel. Worldly wisdom wants us to be ashamed of our White race and believe we are horrible White racists if we proudly uphold our White Israelite Heritage. But, somehow, it’s perfectly honorable for non-white alien races to exalt their **“honor, blessings, and culture”** over and above that of ethnic White people.

Coach is proud of his racial makeup. I’m fine with this. However, all of us should uphold the Biblical Principle of **“racial separation.”** I want Biblical Order as prescribed throughout the Word of God (properly understood in Scriptural context), not in a politically correct fashion. Coach ends his article with these words... **“I’m proud to be white. Don’t blame me. I was born this way.”** Well, Coach is what he is. His life (however good) and ethnicity, are not Biblical mirrors of what God has called His Chosen seed of Abraham, Isaac, and Israel to be. Emotion and feelings aside, God Almighty does not want a multicultural mongrelized New Covenant Israel. Jesus is in our race. His Covenant Purposes are unto racial Israel.

Courtesy America’s Promise, Box 157, Sandpoint ID 83864



EYE OF THE NEEDLE

Christ teaches His Disciples the ethics of wealth in conjunction with the Lord's sovereignty.

by Pastor Mark Downey

Scripture reading: Matthew 19:23-26

There's a lot going on in those four verses besides the virtual impossibility of rich people getting into the Kingdom of God or the fantastic word/picture of a camel going through the eye of a needle... if taken as a literal gloss. This is one of those sermons where you're going to hear the rest of the story. I very seldom engage in speculation, but neither do I offer a half-baked treatment of lukewarm milk that the churches give their flocks as a constant staple. By speculating, I do not mean risking my faith or anyone else by looking deeper into what we can and cannot believe. We all have taken a risk establishing the foundation of Christian Identity in our minds and coming to the conclusion that there is indeed a racial message to the Gospel of Jesus the Christ. Entertaining the notion that the Bible is exclusive and not inclusive is wrought with danger for both believing and not believing it as the truth. The story of the rich young man greatly disturbed the Disciples. It has not ceased to disturb the devout and sincere modern Christian. There's was two sheep having a conversation and the one sheep asks the other, "Why is Jesus so hard on the rich guy?" And the other sheep said, "Well, he's got so much money, he can't see a way to give it up to follow Jesus." The first sheep says, "Boy, I wish I had that problem." And the second sheep says, "Maybe you do." Maybe that's the point Jesus was making. But, maybe there was something even deeper than the visible tip of the iceberg that the churches see. "Faith is... the evidence of things not seen" Heb. 11:1. Therefore, my prayer for this message is to "Prove all things, hold on to what is good" I Thes. 5:21. And the Gospel is the Good News. "It is the glory of God to conceal a matter, But the glory of kings is to search out a matter" Prov. 25:2. So this morning we are in search of an access key. One that will unlock the door. What did Jesus say? "I am the door" John 10:9.

Is money evil? Absolutely not, if we are to believe I Tim. 6:10, "For the love of money is the root of all evil: which while some coveted after, they have been led astray from the faith, and pierced themselves through with many sorrows." Money is only "filthy lucre" when it is expected and none is due or it is "filthy" when the desire of even just gains is excessive; it ceases to be clean. Usury is one such device that creates money out of thin air as a means of unmerited gain. And that is really what's behind most of the turmoil in geopolitical conflicts today: the survival of debt-usury money. The love of the world is the love of personal gain at the expense of others. There's nothing wrong with getting ahead in life through hard work, but when we take extreme risks for extreme profits, we are tempting the Lord and are gambling the destiny of our posterity with blind faith. The most notorious usurers and money manipulators in the world are jews who have no sense of a future Kingdom of God that doesn't glorify the jew. And I think that's the context that Jesus spoke of when addressing the character of this young man, knowing full well that filthy jews would never inherit the Kingdom, no matter how pious they appeared in following the letter of the Law. I'll explain in a moment how the "eye of a needle" is not just a poetic allegory, but an actual geographical place in ancient times

occupied by, none other than, the Edomites. Keep in mind that the Bible gives no such quarter to the assumption that there are good jews and bad jews; all of Esau-Edom are slated for utter destruction as a whole.

Let's look at the encounter of this young rich boy with Jesus. Whether he was an Edomite is not known, but by the severity of Christ seeing right through him, we can see that the boy was influenced by the morals and dogma of judaism. We know nothing of the boy's tone of voice or inflection, but Christ is not the warm and fuzzy Jesus of contemporary churches. "And behold, one having come forth to Him said, 'Teacher, what good thing shall I do that I may obtain eternal life?' But, He said to him, Why would you speak to Me concerning good? There is only One who is good! But, if you desire to enter into life, keep the commandments" Mt. 19:16-17. Jesus is speaking as the Son of God, "the Word made flesh," to not only impress upon the lad that no fleshly man is good, compared to the Creator, but to reprimand him for thinking eternal life is only a formula, when it is a gift from God (Romans 6:23). The boy either ignorantly or arrogantly then asked Him which Law would give him more brownie points and Jesus then mentions some of the Ten Commandments. And then, as if he were without sin, declares that he scrupulously obeyed all of these things Jesus just iterated; some versions include "from my youth up" and thus proclaiming his life as self-righteous and asks Jesus, "What else must I do?" (v. 20). Some commentators give him the benefit of the doubt, thinking he was sincerely trying to earn his salvation with the legalities of outward works of the Law, but with God, He has always looked at the heart of a person to determine their spiritual worth and sincerity.

Now there's a bit of irony here, in that Christ Himself was sinless and therefore, there couldn't have been two prophetic Messiahs without spot or blemish. Although, in my recent series exposing Messianic jews, that's exactly what jews think. Mark 10:21 varies from Matthew and Luke by saying, "Then Jesus beholding him loved him, and said unto him, One thing you lack: go your way, sell whatsoever you have, and give to the poor; and you shall have treasure in heaven: and come, take up the cross, and follow Me." If Jesus loved Him, then the boy was of Jacob-Israel, but could still be under the spell of Esau-Edom, much like our youth today.

What was the reaction of this affluent White boy wanting to be so perfect, but being given the command to dispossess all that he owned? Verse 22 says, "He went away grieving, because he held much property." This episode is indicative of us all: what shall we do? What do we give and take in this life? The only formula, which is the emphasis Jesus points to, is not the works we may perform, but the enduring mercy God bequeaths to a stiff-necked people like us, who can do good, but can do no good without God. Feeding the poor is just a hurdle; the real contest is being a cheerful giver. In my last sermon, identifying the jewish conspiracy, I quoted jewish author Maurice Samuels who made this peculiar statement, "We Jews, we, the destroyers, will remain the destroyers for ever. Nothing that you do will meet our needs and demands. We will for ever destroy because we need a world of our own, a God-world, which it is not in your nature to build. Beyond all temporary alliances with this or that faction lies the ultimate split in nature and destiny, the enmity between the Game and God." And my comment was, "The

CDs of the Month:

E-350 Jewish Fables

E-351 How Did It Begin?

E-352 It's Going to Get Worse,

Mark Downey

E-353 It's Going to Get Better,

Mark Downey

E-354 December 16, 1838

E-355 Mr. Christian: Tear Down That

Tree!, Jon Harness

E-356 The Antichrist Mass, Mark Downey

all Don Elmore unless noted otherwise



game jews play is a crap-shoot, thinking they can deify themselves with impunity, which will be the biggest gamble ever lost." Well, remember the old game show 'Let's Make a Deal' with host Monty Hall (real name Monte Halperin, jewish) and people had a choice of picking either door #1, #2 or #3; it might be a brand new Cadillac or a bag of peanut shells. What I'm getting at is that people only had the illusion of free will that they were going to win something.

As I was researching this, the Holy Spirit led me to what is called 'The Monty Hall Problem,' which is a brain teaser in the form of a probability puzzle. If you're old enough to remember the game show, a contestant would pick a door. However, to add to the drama, Monte Hall would open one of the doors that naturally didn't have the car, so that now it was between just two doors and not three and Monty would then ask them if they wanted to change their mind and pick the remaining un-opened door. This then begged the question as to whether your odds were better or worse. Is it to your advantage to switch? According to the person who proposed this seeming conundrum, was that the contestant should switch to the other door. Under the standard assumptions, contestants who switch have a 2/3 chance of winning the car, while contestants who stick to their choice have only a 1/3 chance. In 1990, Parade magazine published this intriguing puzzle and immediately got 10,000 letters, including 1,000 opponents with PhD's. Even when given explanations, simulations, and formal mathematical proofs, many people still did not accept that switching is the best strategy. Paul Erdos, one of the most prolific mathematicians in history, remained unconvinced until he was shown a computer simulation confirming the predicted result. It's a paradox, because the right thing to do i.e. switching doors, seems to be so counter-intuitive, to the point of absurdity (at least on the surface), but is nevertheless demonstrably true.

Well, what is this "enmity between the Game and God" that Maurice Samuels let slip out? To the jew, "the Game" is their God and a New World Order is one big casino placing your bets on their "God-world." The enmity is between the self-righteousness of man and the righteousness of Jesus. The racial context is clearly explained in Gen. 3:15 "And I [God] will put enmity between thee and the woman, and between thy offspring and her offspring." The enmity would therefore be between the congenital evil of an unrighteous people opposed to the righteous race having the power to oppose evil through the righteousness of Jesus. The enmity is between the racially pure and mongrels. A mongrel or a mongrel mind is unable to choose the right door, because the enemy calls good evil and evil good; and plays this shell game to find the object... of life. Even though the young rich boy yearned for immortality, he was locked into the game and couldn't just walk away from the world of 'Let's Make a Deal.' He couldn't change his mind even though it would have been a better deal. Repentance is a change of mind and that was the first thing Jesus preached when He began His three year ministry. If we are so locked into the illusion of what we believe to be right, then we will be locked out of what actually is right.

You don't have to be a rocket scientist or a Doctor of Divinity to put on the mind of The Anointed. But how

many people understand what Isaiah was getting at when he said, "Seek the Lord while He may be found, call upon Him while He is near. Let the wicked forsake his way, and the unrighteous man his thoughts; and let him return unto the Lord, and He will have mercy upon him; and to our God, for he will abundantly pardon. For My thoughts are not your thoughts, neither are your ways My ways, saith the Lord" Isaiah 55:7-9. And with the clear differentiation that we are not God, but we can be godlike or godly. When you surrender 'self' to the Cross, you surrender the illusion of free will. If you think you can buy your way into the Kingdom or some other way to finagle a means of entrance, according to John 10:1, you're a thief and a robber. You're not really accepting God's thinking on the matter. Jesus was speaking to Israelites **only** when He said, "I am the door of the sheep" (v. 7). No wolves are gonna get through His door. Are we not warned to beware of wolves in sheep's

clothing? Still in John 10 we read in verse 19 that the Judean society was divided on all of these provocative things Jesus was saying and the jews reciprocated with slander accusing the Lord of being a madman possessed of demons. I think the same kind of jewish influence is weighing heavily on the minds of congregations today, having the mentality of wolves, rather than sheep. Are churchy Christians willing to change their minds about which door will save them or do they hold on to an ailing apostate church that contradicts Scripture? Are they looking for a Kinsman Redeemer or a multicultural god? Have they received their calling in life whereby they must decide, just as the young rich man had to

decide. Unfortunately today most people are grieved at the thought of forsaking the jewish god; they frown upon racism and discrimination and intolerance and yet those principles are found in the Bible and expressed as the Way of God. The early church was called 'the Way' because their thoughts weren't cluttered by the traditions of the elders, the way jews inculcated in the minds of man which direction to go. Wolves tell the sheep the way to the slaughterhouse. The Good Shepherd leads the sheep to green pasture.

This morning (2-5-15) I was watching the news while eating my breakfast and they were carrying Obama's speech at the annual National Prayer Breakfast, in which a barf bag would have come in handy. His god was so homogenized that I don't think any religion would recognize it other than the Masonic Luciferians. Why in the world would this 'Commander in Thief' blast Christianity today for the insanity of the catholic Inquisition in lieu of Islamic atrocities today? You can't equate Sharia law with biblical law, but he smugly does so and in the process is politicizing religion... without one peep about the satanic nature of talmudic law. A lot of people think Obama is a secret Muslim, but he's merely a puppet playing the game of Marxist dialectics, in which the door chosen is God's chosen people [sic] i.e. the antichrist jews. The current White House resident, riding on his high horse of gratuitous media support (like truth teller Brian Williams saying last week that he lied), being historically and militarily challenged (thanks to Affirmative Action) has become nigger rich and has a Messiah complex pontificating the dynamics of religion, which he understands about as much as a chimpanzee.

*New or Recently listened to CDs & DVDs**

*BD-116 The Mystery of the Covenant, pt 21, Dave Barley

E-627 The Deification Of Man, Mark Downey
E-628 Who Chooses? Pt 4,

Don Elmore

*J-522 How to Avoid Conflicts Between Christians, pt 5, John Weaver

*L-165 Contemporary Churches, Steven Anderson

*T-1524 Jesus Christ, pt 3, This is My Son, Charles Jennings

*X-920 The Truth About: Dressing for Worship; Modesty; Dancing; Women's Role in Church, Don Blackwell



He had the gall to say, "I believe that the starting point of faith is some doubt, not bein' so full of yourself and so confident that you are right and that God speaks only to us and doesn't speak to others." I wouldn't cast my pearls before this swine, but that's exactly what Scripture is about: the enmity between us and them. Most of those attending the National Prayer Breakfast, I would venture to guess, are affluent because they're playing the Game. They have constructed a giant needle, just like the Tower of Babel, so that they can walk through the all-seeing eye. Obama praised the Dali Lama, who was in attendance, and confirms our national sin of sitting *at the table, dining with devils*. "*Be ye not unequally yoked together with unbelievers [non-Whites]: for what fellowship hath righteousness with unrighteousness? and what communion hath light with darkness? What agreement does Christ have with Belial? Or what does a believer have in common with an unbeliever? What agreement can a temple of God make with idols? For we are the temple of the living God, just as God said: "I will live and walk among them. I will be their God, and they will be My people"* II Cor. 6:14-16. This is far, far away from the communist religion of Barry Sotero. The God of the Bible is telling us that not everyone will enter the Kingdom of Heaven on earth. Barry gave the so called Christians who were there enough egotistical, hypocritical disinformation that they should have walked out on him. But, that might have cost them more than they were willing to give Christ, just like the rich young man. Only these pious fools were so delusional that they had no sense to grieve and maybe that's because most of them were wolves incapable of shame.

My faith is not predicated on doubt, it's daring to read, believe and obey the Word of God and being confident that I have the saving knowledge of grace put in my heart and mind by God Himself, that I have a perfect acceptance that Jesus Christ was right in everything He said and did, and agree to follow Him. That may not conform to the Pharisees in our midst who like to dilute the Word for their own worldly proclivities, but I don't voluntarily comply with the enemies of the true Anointed/Christ. Now there are rich and poor, good and evil, mentioned in the Bible, but there are good and bad of both rich and poor. Just being rich does not make you evil nor being poor good. There is an insidious leaven within churchianity called 'prosperity theology' found mostly in the charlatans of televangelism and nobody is getting rich except the fat cats behind the pulpit. Al Sharpton drives a \$500,000 Rolls Royce. I saw a Cadillac Escalade the other day and boy was it pimped out with the fancy mag wheels and on the rear of the car was one of those Christian fish symbols; not the 5 inch ones, but about an inch and a half. What would they do if Jesus appeared and told them to sell the pimp-mobile and give it to the poor? It's the same thing with Mt. 19:21. I couldn't help but be reminded of the **Rich Man and Lazarus**.

Pastor Sheldon Emry did a wonderful little booklet about these two characters (**#109 @ \$6.35**) and the parable gives us so many clues as to the identity of the rich man that we can only conclude that Jesus was talking about somebody who was a priest and part of the ruling class. Luke 16:19 describes him "clothed in purple and fine linen, and lived in luxury every day." In Rev. 18:16 we see that Mystery Babylon is "clothed in fine linen, and purple," suggesting an end time financial and religious world power. The scribes and Pharisees must have felt a bit self-conscious when Jesus spoke of a "certain rich man." However,

today's elitists flaunt their mansions and cars, and their minions on a smaller scale like the Escalade. The parable makes a critical observation about these elitists; in Luke 16:28 the rich man has five brothers and lo and behold in Gen. 36:1 we read about the generations of Esau, also having 5 offspring, which in OT jargon can sometimes be referred to as 'brethren' i.e. parents and children. Esau intermarried into the line of Canaanites and thereafter was known as Edom, which means red. Jewish communism was dubbed 'reds' by the West. The Edomites are the age long enemies of true Israel, the White race and would work against Christianity century after century. The third witness linking Esau to the rich man is that in both Obadiah 1:18 and Luke 16:24 would have them in a "flame." But, here's the interesting revelation in Luke 16:24 where the rich man says, "*For I am tormented in this flame*" and appealed for a little bit of water to cool his tongue.

Pastor Emry interprets this by saying, "We know that water represents the Word of God, and that according to the New Scriptures, if anyone asks for the Word of God, that God will pour out His Spirit upon them and give them understanding of the Word (James 1:5). Now this rich man

wanted only a tiny bit of the Word, hardly enough to make a difference. If he was in such a flame, why did he want just a tiny drop of water on his tongue? The Pharisees had the Scriptures at their disposal, but they did not want more than a tiny bit of it. They preferred to follow the "traditions of the elders" (Mark 7:5 - i.e. the Talmud), while only believing and teaching a tiny portion of Scripture." The fish symbol on that Escalade was just a tiny decoration to profess a

smug and shallow theology. If they lived by every word that proceeds from the mouth of God, they would know the difference between the materialism of the world and the Spirit of God. The "merchants of the earth" in John's revelation are identical to the rich young man who could not obey the commands of Jesus Christ and will weep and mourn over the loss of their material possessions (Rev. 18:11). I believe these merchants are under the thumb of the antichrist monopoly, a consortium of jewish, catholic and judeo-Christian businessmen, who are truly a Babylonian mystery. They cannot surrender their illusion of free will to the sovereignty of God's will. They represent the mongrel and mongrel mind.

In verse 26 (of Luke 16), Jesus had Abraham tell the rich man, "*And besides all this, between us and you there is a great gulf fixed.*" Sound familiar? I was just talking about "the unbridgeable gulf" in the previous sermon, in which Maurice Samuels declared, "Jew and gentile are two worlds, that between you gentiles and us Jews there lies an unbridgeable gulf." This clearly points to the fact that jews, the descendents of Esau, represented by the rich man contrasted with Lazarus, will be prohibited from ever entering the Kingdom of Heaven, for deceiving all nations by their sorceries (Rev. 18:23). All Israel might be saved, but entering the Kingdom is a matter of venue and discipleship. Our theme separates a certain class of rich people who are without an access key to open the door, because they cannot detach themselves from the world. It's impossible for any of us to do what only is possible with God (Mt. 19:26). But, we shouldn't construe that to mean that God will shrink a camel or magnify a needle. When Jesus said, "Again I say unto you," He evidently had mentioned it before i.e. the trust in riches being an impediment to Kingdom life. Christ's words show that it is hard for a rich man to be a good Christian, and to reap the rewards of a promise, one

Video you may have missed:
GAY RIGHTS - SPECIAL RIGHTS
Homosexual activists deny that they target children for seduction into the homosexual lifestyle, but the evidence is overwhelming that this is a lie. There is an ever-increasing effort to target public school children, to abolish the age of consent, etc.

CI-724 @ sug don \$17



that comes from God, when his life is already full of reward and riches. Mt. 5:3 says, *“Blessed are the poor in spirit [in life] for their's is the kingdom of heaven.”* The semantics of our Savior's words were not to condemn riches, as in themselves damnable; nor yet to deny salvation to all rich persons: our Lord knew that Abraham, Isaac, Jacob, Job, David, Solomon, even His uncle, Joseph of Arimathea, were all rich persons, and yet heroes of faith on their way to the Kingdom. He also knew that riches are the gifts of God, good things, not in themselves pernicious. His design was only to show that they are dangerous temptations, enticing our hearts into so great a love of them, and affection to them, as is not consistent with our duties to God. *“For whom much has been given, much will be required”* Luke 12:48. We shouldn't have a guilt trip put on our fragile souls by an apostate church.

When I lived in California, I became very good friends with a guy that had an incredibly adventurous life whose family was very wealthy. I'll never forget one thing he said to me; he said, “Mark, the more money you have, the more problems you have.” If he's indicative of your typical rich person, he had a lot of stuff... a lot of toys. He had a whole warehouse full of stuff and I was the caretaker of the warehouse. I learned so much in those years about attitude and how life is for living. A few years ago I sold some paintings to a high-end business in Lexington and he said to me, “There's two kinds of rich people here: the old money and the new money. The former are the kindest, most respectable gentry of Southern hospitality you'd ever want to meet; whereas the latter are plastic, narcissistic jerks.” Perhaps the old saying “you can't take it with you” has some merit considering that the width of the gate is wide, allowing for a dangerous path that leads to destruction (Mt. 7:13). We are told to enter in through the narrow gate or is it the eye of the needle? “Come and listen to a story about a man named Jed. Poor mountaineer barely kept his family fed. And then one day he was shooting at some food and up through the ground come a bubbling crude, oil that is, black gold, Texas tea. Well the first thing you know, Jed's a millionaire, Kinfolk said Jed moved away from there. Said California is the place you need to be. So they loaded up the truck and moved to Beverly, Hills, that is.” Their old jalopy truck had all their worldly possessions including a rocking chair on top where Granny was sitting. And they entered the world of mammon. The moral of this story is that Babylon is not so narrow that it won't squeeze one more depositor into its bank vault. But, if it's security and prosperity you're looking for, you need not look any further than Mt. 6:20-21 which says, *“But lay up for yourselves treasures in heaven, where neither moth nor rust doth corrupt, and where thieves do not break through nor steal; For where your treasure is, there will your heart be also.”* The Clampets did not leave their hearts in the Ozarks. We shouldn't leave our heart in the Bank of America. Part of our divine calling is the time to make some straightforward decisions.

But, wait there's more. *“If thou wilt be perfect”* (Mt. 19:21); in other words, as we learned in 'The Perfect Sermon,' the perfecting of Israel is the preparation of the heart

for living in the Kingdom of God; it's one of Paul's favorite metaphors to 'finish the race,' keeping the faith, carrying the gospel of grace and it's so important that he goes so far to say, *“But whatever things were gain to me, those things I have counted as loss for the sake of Christ”* Philip. 3:7. People lose their home in a tornado and all their possessions, but the family is alive; if they are Christians they “Count it all joy” (James 1:2). It will be hard for those who suffer loss to have the right attitude about a God who isn't a sugar-daddy 'name it and claim it' host for a Let's Make A Deal game show. The admonition to sell all you have and give to the poor was a test for that individual, to be a Disciple of Christ! We are to be charitable, to help those who love the Lord, but there is no general decree that we give every thing we have to those who are poverty stricken. If that's what a person thinks, they're being legalistic, and if they follow through leaving their own kith and kin destitute, they're going to get the door with a bag of peanut shells. *“And though I bestow all my goods to feed the poor, and though I give my body to be burned, and have not charity, it profits me nothing”* I Cor. 13:3.

Bible scholars have been speculating about this “eye of the needle” for some time. It is either easy for a camel to go through this eye of the needle or it isn't. If it's a literal needle then the word-picture is absurd; there is no easy way for that to happen. “Easier” suggests something that takes little effort. The analogy may be proverbial on the surface, hinting at something impossible. That's one level of understanding. But the Word of God is living and feeds the Christian with milk and strong meat. Two explanations have been offered to explain the hyperbole of these words. And I offer a third Christian Identity interpretation that hadn't occurred to me until last week. The first conjecture is that the

Disciples didn't write 'camel,' but rather cable or rope. However, no manuscript gives that reading and is supposed by the best scholars of Greek (like Liddell and Scott) to have been invented for the sake of explaining this passage. The second conjecture, I think is a bit more devious and distracting (like the Rapture theory), alleging Christ alluding to smaller gates next to larger gates in ancient cities allowing for foot-passengers and animals to enter. Some say Jesus was referring to a very small gate or doorway in Jerusalem's city wall called the eye of the needle, and to get through it, a camel had to be stripped of it's entire load and pass through unburdened. It's a great sermon illustration, but it's a myth; there is absolutely no scriptural or archaeological evidence that any such gate ever existed. The whole story seems to be a late 19th or early 20th century fabrication, that doesn't take into account that camels cannot walk on their knees.

The Valley of Moses enters Petra through a narrow winding forge, running east and west. It's called the “Siq,” which is “the eye of the needle.” It ranges from 10 to 30 feet wide and has side walls over 150 feet high. Years ago I met E. Raymond Capt (an accredited archaeologist and Identity Christian) and that is when I heard at one of his lectures that the entrance to the ancient site of Petra was also known as “the eye of the needle.” Most searches on the internet for making this connection are difficult to find, because of the saturation of jewish disinformation, but nev-

must have classic:
VIGILANTES OF CHRISTENDOM

Richard Kelly Hoskins

The story of the Phineas Priesthood

- *Japanese Kamikazes who die for their faith are worshipped as gods.*
 - *Shiite muslims who die enforcing the laws of Allah receive the acclaim of Islam and instant entry into paradise.*
 - *Jews honor militant Zionists who enforce Jewish law with national recognition and by making them leaders in their state.*
 - *Phineas priests who enforce Christian Law are sentenced to prison or to death.*
- very interesting book on history covering many areas, including Blood River.*

Get it while still available!

#381 @ sug don \$43.55



ertheless the appellation can be found and understood why Petra is identified as such. One cannot help but make a connection between Petra and Edomite Jews and then make an association with the analogy Jesus makes. Jesus is not ignorant of the Edomite power structure in Jerusalem nor their history; He soundly denounced them; in fact, at the time of Jesus, Petra was in the middle of its glory. Surely He knew about the 'eye of the needle' in Petra and was another deeper level of what He was getting at.

Let's glean from Capt's book 'Petra' for the rest of the story. Petra is situated in the mountains of Seir (from which Scripture has many adverse prophecies), the land of the Edomites. The extraordinary geography of rose red cliffs were forgotten for almost a thousand years, practically invisible from the air and impregnable from the ground. But, due to the utter inaccessibility of Petra's rock formations, the secret of its entrance was kept hidden for centuries. The Valley of Moses enters Petra through a narrow winding gorge, running east and west. It's called the "Siq," which is "the eye of the needle." It ranges from 10 to 30 feet wide and has side walls over 150 feet high. The pathway into Petra is one and a quarter miles long and at the end it widens into the natural fortress of an amazing city carved out of rock. The Edomites made Petra their capital and became powerful enough to resist the children of Israel from passing through their land, when coming out of Egypt in 1453BC. Do you think Jesus was ignorant of this Israelite history? Or the partial fulfillment of Obadiah 1:3-4, thus saith the Lord, "*The pride of thine heart has deceived thee, thou that dwells in the clefts of the rock whose habitation is high; that says in his heart, Who shall bring me down to the ground? Though thou exalt thyself... I will bring thee down.*" Edom appears to have been considered the richest of the non-Israelite tribes, receiving tribute from provincial governors. It could have been called the 'gem state' as many precious gems were associated with Edom; it was written that Edom was the "source of all the precious commodities of the East." It's the same covetousness of the merchants of the earth in Rev. 18:12, "*The merchandise of gold, and silver, and precious stones, and of pearls*" etc.

In Christian Identity we teach the fate of Edom's progression in history as well as Israel's. It's the key to unlocking the door. In 135-105BC the remnant of Judah, coming out of the Babylonian captivity, under the Maccabees, crushed all Edomite forces and converted them to the new religion of Judaism. From that day forward, the word "Jew" could mean a Judahite (an Israelite Judean) or a racial alien Judean (Edomites). But first, let's understand the racial mix of Edom prior to John Hyrcanus and the Maccabees. While the Edomites were moving into Judea after the fall of Jerusalem, another migration was going on. The Nabataeans were moving into the old Edomite strongholds, including Petra. They were a nomadic people having descended from Ishmael and Josephus identifies them being synonymous with the Arabs. The word Arab means 'mixed' and no doubt have left their mark on the whole Mediterranean region of swarthy people of color. They were a mongrel society much like Edom. They've been associated with commercial enterprise and piracy. They grew richer and richer as they traded in more and more types of goods, until finally history could ignore them no longer. Instead of driving out the remaining Edomites, they simply integrated with them, and

over time as they gained power, it was the Edomites who were integrating with the Nabataeans. For more than 500 years they were in control of the desert trade routes and carried on the world's trade through their own system of by-passes, which were secured from warlike rivals. The caravan route in Mesopotamia crossed through Petra, forced through the eye of the needle. Richard Kelly Hoskins described this commercialism as the International Trade Cartel and it was just as big a business as the 'Fortune 500' today. "There's nothing new under the sun." They not only controlled the trade routes, but the sale of those commodities that were then in great demand. This made them one of the wealthiest nations of the time. Considering all the trade passing through Petra, it is not surprising that the city became very rich. As is the case with any large enterprise, the history of Petra was a succession of political intrigues which took place on a wide international stage rather than in Petra itself. Much like today's power brokers headquartered in Washington DC, the City of London and Vatican City. Their tentacles reach out, whereby all nations were deceived by their sorceries. Got measles vaccine?

What could be ascribed to the Nabataeans, who suddenly appeared from the desert in two centuries before and after Jesus, regarding its enigmatic character of monuments and eclectic architecture, has been a matter of much debate among historians. The confusion of influences i.e. Syro-Phoenician, Egyptian, Hellenistic and Roman styles, gives Treasury of the Pharaoh in the ancient city of Petra rise to the theory that for a people who never recorded their own history, suggesting materialism over intellectualism, they simply outsourced the myriad of designs and art to others who had more culture and brain power. With their worldly contacts, they also were exposed to first class Western art. They had wealth, but they didn't have

smarts or creativity. I think the cultural Marxism in America today is dumbing down and browning up the populace in the same manner. Obama can't make a cogent sentence without his teleprompter. There are over 1000 monuments, tombs and temples found in Petra. The first thing you see exiting the eye of the needle and entering Petra is its monumental showpiece, El Khasneh Pharaoh (Treasury of the Pharaoh). "It is easier for a camel to go through the eye of a needle, than for a rich man to enter into the Kingdom of God" Mt. 19:24. Although narrow, the passages were wide enough for fully loaded camels to pass through. How easy is it to get a credit card these days? How easy is it to get a social security number? They make it easy to be a citizen of Babylon; why make it difficult? It's going to be easy to get your measles shot, but just how easy is it for Christians to rely exclusively on God to deliver them from death and disease? Wait a minute, isn't that what Jesus is promising? The question was: "*what good thing shall I do, that I may have eternal life?*" (Mt. 19:16). Entertain that thought for a minute.

Although surrounded by its many sepulchers, Petra also had a place of diversion and amusement, a vast amphitheater capable of seating 3000 to 4000 people. The theater must have been one of the lavish civic improvements which the Romans inflicted on Petra soon after 106AD. Likewise, we are surrounded today by the living dead, people who are spiritually comatose, church zombies who easily go through the motions of 'Lets Make a Deal' and pick the door to hell. "The Lord says, these people say they are

and another:
IN THE BEGINNING
Richard Kelly Hoskins

The story of the International Trade Cartel

- *Hinduism - the trade religion of the ITC*
- *Buddhism - Hindu missions used to open new trade areas.*
- *Dharma - the all conquering sword of Buddhism.*
- *The Way - enemy of the Hindu and his system.*
- *Catholicism & Mainstream Protestantism - Western ITC trade religions.*

#406 @ sug don \$28.20



mine. They honor Me with their lips, but their hearts are far from Me. Their worship consists of nothing but man-made ritual" Isaiah 29:13. The eye of the needle was narrow, but not as narrow as the gate Jesus beckons His followers. Sin is easy, temptation is made easy and you can even buy an "easy button" and it's red. Think of the millions of people who self-inflicted the Super Bowl on themselves last week. Perhaps I'm the only person who thinks the Game was fixed with Seattle within a few yards from victory and the negro quarterback throws an in-terception and throws the game. Why? There's only one explanation: money. I dare say the rich got richer.

We are in spiritual warfare, not from mythical gods, but with those who sit in the temple of God (and our body is the temple of God) and substitute themselves as God. God has already decided everything for our good in which way we should go, which means we really don't have free will. We can easily sin and prove the cause and effect of God's Word. The question is: are you going to play the Game and pretend you have a sovereign will equal to or above God? We've been given unmerited favor, grace, whereby in our foolishness in picking door #1 or #2 or #3, we have the option to repent and change our mind to believe Jesus saying, "I am the door!"

A materially minded man intent upon entering the Kingdom of God is burdened like a camel with the weight of the world on his back. Whose yoke is lighter that brings victory? It is Jesus. "For My yoke is easy and My burden is light" Mt. 11:30.

My purpose has been to lift up my brethren; "Bear ye one another's burdens, and so fulfill the law of the Christ" Gal. 6:2. Jesus said, "Come to Me, all of you who are weary and burdened, and I will give you rest" Mt. 11:28. It's just too much for the individual to enter the Kingdom of God for it is the body of Anointed, the body of believers who "will become one flock with one shepherd" (John 10:16). Today's interpretation of "the eye of the needle" is but one example of many passages in the New Testament that are veiled in metaphor and symbolism, which if left to superficial renderings, cheat the believer of understanding. Those who pray for a mansion in heaven, but want to keep their summer beach house in Babylon may wind up with an outhouse. Jesus implores His elect, "Come out of her My people, that ye be not partakers of her sins, and that ye receive not of her plagues" Rev. 18:4. Come out of those filthy rich mega-churches, come out of communion with those who lived deliciously and come out of anything that drags you down to the level of beasts. It's not that we're going to God's abode in the heavens, but that we're entering the government of Jesus on earth. You can go through the eye of a needle, or you can do what is right in the eyes of God. "My people are destroyed for a lack of knowledge" Hosea 4:6. Now you know the rest of the story.

Courtesy Kinsman Redeemer Ministries,
PO Box 436 Alexandria VA 41001

.....continuing.....

PORT ARTHUR MYSTERIES

by Joe Vialls (1944 — 2005)
POLITICIANS SHIRK RESPONSIBILITY
ON PORT ARTHUR

Readers will remember that in the immediate aftermath of the Port Arthur massacre, politicians developed collective verbal diarrhoea in the House of Representatives as they joined the feeding frenzy designed to undermine Australian national security by removing defensive weapons from the hands of the public. Nowadays all that has changed, and despite serious questions about the mass murder and the murderer remaining unanswered, the collective verbal sphincter has locked tight, presumably on government orders.

Recently the Federal Member for Wide Bay, Warren Truss, used the letters column of the South Burnett Times to attack my independent investigation into the Port Arthur massacre, which used military science to prove that Martin Bryant was incapable of killing the victims in the Broad Arrow Cafe. In his letter, Mr. Truss tried to explain his reason for refusing to ask questions in the House of Representatives on behalf of his constituents.

So that Truss and other Federal Members can be better informed, and thus able to do their duty to their constituents by asking meaningful questions in the House, I am providing these additional critical points:-

On the day of the massacre, the only two policemen on the Tasman Peninsula were decoyed to a remote location at Saltwater River by an anonymous caller reporting a big stash of heroin. There was no heroin, and four minutes after the two policemen reported their arrival at Saltwater River by radio, the shooting started in the Broad Arrow cafe. Alas, the drive from Saltwater River to Port Arthur is a minimum of thirty minutes, rendering local armed police assistance impossible in a mass murder that lasted only seventeen minutes from start to finish.

Of the twenty fatalities in the Broad Arrow Cafe, nineteen died from a single shot to the head by the Colt AR 15, which the shooter fired from his right hip at an average range of twelve feet without the benefit of a laser sight. Overall only 29 rounds were used to kill or injure a total of 32 people. Such accuracy and speed is appropriate to the top 1% of counter-terrorist marksmen, but us an absolute scientific impossibility for an intellectually-impaired registered invalid. Alas, Martin Bryant always fired his Webley air rifle from the left shoulder, because he is and always has been a left-handed shooter.

Bryant was evaluated using a range of clinical psychology tests, the results of which were tendered to the Court. Those results are enough to convince any first-year psychology student that Bryant was so intellectually and cognitively impaired that he completely lacked the neuro-physiological ability to carry out the complex high-speed Port Arthur operation. Alas, the psychiatrists ignored their own definitive scientific test results, choosing instead to ramble off into a misleading surrealistic twilight world of entirely unproven psycho-analytical mumbo-jumbo.

Examining whether Bryant might or might not have had a desire to shoot people during his formative years, become red herrings when faced with the harsh reality that the definitive scientific tests prove Bryant neuro-physiologically incapable of meaningfully participating in the massacre. The real shooter is still at large and must be brought to justice as swiftly as possible. Clearly this will not happen until the public finally discard the absurd psychiatric fairy



tale that an intellectually-impaired young man with a tested IQ of 66 and severe cognitive limitations, suddenly and entirely magically metamorphosized into the lethal equivalent of one of the world's most highly trained counter-terrorist marksmen.

In sworn statements to police, eyewitnesses Roganovic and Horrocks confirmed that the shooter exited through the front door of the Broad Arrow Cafe carrying a weapon, while a third witness confirmed the weapon was held in the shooter's right hand. Alas, this testimony is in direct conflict with fake video footage obtained direct from America by the Tasmanian Police Service, who entered it as evidence in the Supreme Court against Martin Bryant.

The American footage was clearly designed to give the false impression that Martin Bryant was responsible for the massacre, but that footage has been proven fake scientifically, using a standard TV editing suite, available to anyone in the television industry or to any forensic scientist.

Just how much hard scientific proof is required to move a Federal Member into asking questions in the House of Representatives on behalf of his constituents I do not know, but Mr. Truss might do well to focus on the last point which deals with tendering false evidence to the courts for the express purpose of securing a conviction. This is an extremely grave offence which (in Western Australia) carries the penalty of strict life imprisonment. Truss and his colleagues have it in their power as MPs to raise this matter in the House of Representatives, and to demand that it be formally investigated by the Australian Federal Police and ASIO. The inevitable result would be a mistrial because of the false evidence tendered, allowing Martin Bryant to finally have his day in court before his peers, as the law provides.

Readers are **cautioned** that this report contains explicit details of injuries suffered by the victims in the Broad Arrow Cafe.

Government Cover-Up at Port Arthur

In the aftermath of the Port Arthur massacre, government went to great lengths to ignore or suppress all evidence suggesting that the official story of the day was unsubstantiated rubbish. Nowhere is this more evident than in the case of Wendy Scurr and husband Graeme, residents of the Tasman Peninsula, who with others fought to bring very serious distortions of the massacre to the attention of government and media, but were ignored. This report includes information Wendy, Graeme, and several of their colleagues are determined that all Australians should know about: Critical information the Tasmanian Government and several bureaucrats, are equally determined will never see the light of day.

Wendy Scurr is a forthright lady with a sound track record of helping others at the "sharp end" of paramedic operations. During her twenty years voluntary service with the St. Johns Ambulance, and ten years voluntary service with the Tasman Ambulance Service, Wendy has seen and done just about everything, attending accidents and incidents so gruesome most people would prefer not to be given full details. As one of the first Port Arthur staff members to enter Broad Arrow Cafe after the massacre, the carnage came as a shock, but Wendy's extensive prior ambulance experience enabled her to cope admirably with the injured and the dead.

Wendy Scurr also thinks and reacts like lightning. Within minutes of hearing the shooting start in the Broad

Arrow Cafe, she rushed into the nearby information office and placed a call to the police at their headquarters in Hobart. Not amused by police reluctance to believe she was telling the truth, Wendy simply shoved the telephone outside the doorway and told the police to listen to the shots for themselves. Her call was logged by police at 1:32 pm, a point of considerable importance later in this report.

It is obvious that anyone with the ability to react so quickly and pass information so accurately would be a prime asset to the police who swarmed over Port Arthur later in the day. Knowing that Wendy made that first critical telephone call and then entered the Broad Arrow Cafe to help the injured should have drawn the police to her like a magnet, but curiously did not do so. She offered the police additional information about the sequence of events but says her interview was abruptly terminated. On 15th October 1996 Wendy received a letter from the Director of Public Prosecutions stating she would not be required to give evidence at the trial of Martin Bryant, though it is hard to imagine anyone better placed to provide an accurate account of events that day.

It was the start of a long frustrating battle to get some of the more controversial aspects of the massacre out into the open, a battle Wendy, Graeme, and several others initially lost because of government determination to adhere to the "official line" agreed with the media. That official line included the gunman being inside the Broad Arrow Cafe for 90 seconds rather than the four to five minutes Wendy and her colleagues counted, but excluded the fact that several people were shot dead behind a door that would not open. The official line also excluded the fact that the only two policemen on the Tasman Peninsula were decoyed to a remote location just before the massacre started. And

every family should have one:

OUR NORDIC RACE

Richard Kelly Hoskins

Blacks, Orientals, Arabs, and Jews have hundreds of history books on their races. This small book is believed to be one of the few surviving history books on the White race still in print. Some say that it is the only one. With the possibility of exceptions unknown to the publisher, the rest have been censored from bookstores and libraries. This hearty little survivor has gone through nine printings since 1958. The knowledge that many Westerners have of their past has come from its pages.

#039 @ sug don \$8.95

what about the startling news that out of the 20 fatalities in the Broad Arrow Cafe, 19 died from the effects of a shot to the head, fired from the gunman's right hip without the benefit of a laser sight? Excluded of course, because the government would be unable to stop the avalanche of public comment on this impossible performance by an untrained left-handed novice like Martin Bryant.

The only personnel available to stop or interrupt the slaughter were two policemen, one stationed in Nubeena 11 kilometers from the Port Arthur site, and the other at Dunalley, a small town to the north with a swing bridge capable of isolating the Tasman Peninsula from the rest of Tasmania. Shortly before the massacre both policemen were sent to the coal mines near Saltwater River, an isolated location on the extreme western side of the Tasman Peninsula, in response to an anonymous caller reporting a large stash of heroin. On arrival they found only glass jars full of soap powder, and reported this via the police radio net.

A harmless time consuming prank perhaps? No. Reliable sources in Hobart state that this was the only drugs decoy ever attempted on the Tasman Peninsula since police records began, and meaningfully point out that leaving glass jars of fresh soap powder was a very professional touch that backfired. Why would anyone assume the soap powder was heroin and place an emergency call to the police without checking the contents first? And why did the caller insist on anonymity? Graeme Scurr makes the valid point that it would be hard to select a more suitable remote location if specifically decoying the two policemen away



from the Port Arthur historic site and Dunalley. A single glance at a map of the Tasman Peninsula proves his observation to be absolutely correct.

Within minutes of the two policemen reporting their position at the coal mines, the shooting began in the Broad Arrow Cafe. Wendy Scurr made her call to police headquarters at 1:32pm, and there was then a short but understandable time lag before the police comprehended the sheer magnitude of the situation at Port Arthur and ordered their Tasman colleagues to proceed to the crime scene. It is unlikely they left the coal mines before 1:36pm and were then faced with a 30-minute drive to Port Arthur. By the time the officers arrived the operation was over, and both men were then pinned down by erratic gunfire from Seascope.

There was another sound operational reason for the decoy. Wendy Scurr is familiar with the emergency plan for the area and says that in the event of a major incident, the swing bridge at Dunalley would be closed to traffic, to prevent more vehicles straying onto the Peninsula and causing complications. It is a sensible plan, and the command to operate the swing bridge and isolate the Peninsula, would normally first be directed through the police officer at Dunalley. But once the bridge was closed to traffic, it would also prevent anyone leaving the Peninsula, including those involved executing the massacre at Port Arthur. However, with the Dunalley policeman pinned down by erratic gunfire at Seascope, the bridge remained open to traffic after the massacre, and several people are known to have left Port Arthur and escaped across that swing bridge before the police could stop them. To this day their identities remain a mystery.

One of the most serious disputes centred on how long the gunman stayed in the Broad Arrow Cafe. Wendy Scurr and several colleagues were the best placed to make an accurate time estimate but they were repeatedly rebuffed. Why? Would it really matter if the gunman was there for four or five minutes rather than 90 seconds? Well, yes it would if trying to reinforce the absurd "official line" that an intellectually-impaired invalid with a tested IQ of 66 and severely limited cognitive functions was the man on the trigger. People with intellectual disabilities are not known for their tactical skills, tending instead to move from one task to the next in an unbroken sequence. Only a professional would wait until the coast was clear before leaving the Cafe.

About the last thing that any professional would do is risk being tripped over outside the Broad Arrow Cafe by a large crowd of nervous tourists blocking his escape route. We know that the gunman was travelling light with only two 30-round magazines for the Colt AR-15. He had already fired 29 rounds in the Broad Arrow Cafe leaving only 31, far too few rounds to reliably carve a path through a large undisciplined mob of unpredictable panic-stricken tourists. A professional gunman would also calculate that if he left the cafe too soon, he might accidentally be filmed by one of the many amateur video cameras in use at Port Arthur that day.

The risks were too high and so the gunman waited for the right moment to leave the cafe, with the Tasmanian Government and media later helping to cover up this embarrassing time lag by repeatedly ignoring Wendy Scurr and her colleagues, and by deciding they were not required to appear in the Supreme Court as witnesses.

Was Wendy Scurr taking a wild stab in the dark with her time estimate? No she was not. Everything at Port Arthur ran like clockwork, especially the guided tours. The staff were punctual to the point of obsession and never left the assembly point outside the information office and cafe after the precise departure time. When the shooting started there were about 70 tourists still waiting for their tour, which made the time 1:29pm at the latest. Wendy's call to the police was logged three minutes later at 1:32pm. Then she went outside to look for cover in the bush behind the buildings. This took at least another minute, giving a minimum total elapsed time of four minutes, and more like five. As several other colleagues present on the day also attest, the elapsed time was much longer than the "official" 90 seconds.

It was not until most of the milling tourists had dispersed from the area that the gunman emerged from the cafe, firing snap shots to keep the few remaining tourists' heads (and video cameras) down while he ran towards his next targets in the coach park. The gunman's professional tactics worked exactly as intended, and the only amateur video claiming to show "Bryant" at Port Arthur that day, has been scientifically proven a deliberate forgery.

Unfortunately Wendy and her colleagues' determined stance did not sit well with the official line being promoted by the Tasmanian Government and media, and steps were taken to include the matter in: "An Inquiry by the Director of Public Prosecutions into The Door at the Broad Arrow Cafe and Related Matters." Where the critical elapsed time is concerned the DPP addresses the time the gunman was in the cafe shooting [based on amateur video audio], but does not address the possibility that the gunman may have lingered for other reasons. Though

part of Wendy's claims in particular are included verbatim in the body of the report, she is not mentioned by name, although other witnesses favouring the official line are. No doubt such a pointed omission is quite legal, but it nonetheless seems most discourteous.

The locked door behind which many people died is the main topic of the report, which fails to reach any convincing conclusions due to an overwhelming mass of conflicting data. On the balance of probability it seems likely the door failed to open because it was in poor condition, but unfortunately no-one can prove in absolute scientific terms that the door was not deliberately tampered with on the day of the massacre. The expert locksmith employed by the Inquiry to examine the door lock was unable to do so with the lock correctly in place, because someone unfortunately ordered the partial demolition of the Broad Arrow Cafe, including removal of the suspect door, before the Inquiry commenced.

There is one ambiguity in the report where the DPP states: "I was briefed by the police about the status of the doorway on the afternoon of the 28th April 1996 and informed that the door was locked for security purposes against petty theft." This sentence either means that the DPP was briefed by the police during the afternoon of the massacre itself, or that the DPP received a briefing at a later date referring to events on the 28th April. In an attempt to exclude the possibility of direct political contact with the police on the afternoon of the massacre, I called the office of the DPP at 11:05am on 13 November 1997 and asked for clarification of this single point. His staff said the DPP was

controversial: must study
THE SIXTH LAW OF GOD
pastor V.S. Herrell

100 pages. Proves beyond a shadow of a doubt that the commandment commonly translated "Thou shalt not commit adultery" is a clear and explicit prohibition of race-mixing. The book shows emphatically that race-mixing is forbidden in both Old and New Testaments. Its arguments are powerful, convincing and irrefutable.

\$613 @ sug don \$8.85



out to lunch, repeated my telephone number back to me, and promised to pass my request to him when he got back. For whatever reason, the Director of Public Prosecutions did not return my call.

A copy of his report forwarded to Wendy and Graeme Scurr with a covering letter including the request: "It would be appreciated if you would treat the report as confidential." Why? The Inquiry was conducted in order to clarify matters for anyone concerned about events at Port Arthur. Much the same thing happened to the court transcripts of Bryant's pre-sentencing hearing in the Tasmanian Supreme Court, which are extremely hard to obtain.

Despite Federal Members assuring their constituents that the information is freely available in Hobart, that is not the case, New South Wales farmer David Barton wrote to the Tasmanian Supreme Court asking for a copy of the transcripts, and was told in part:- "The information provided to you by Mr. Truss [a Federal MP] is not correct ... a transcript may only be provided to a person who, not being a party, has 'sufficient interest.' Should you wish to correspond further I ask you to explain to me why you contend you have 'sufficient interest' ..."

This is not encouraging for those who wish to know what happened at Bryant's pre-sentencing hearing in November 1996. The events at Port Arthur had a run-on effect on the injured, on relatives of the dead, and on hundreds of thousands of sporting shooters, most of whom would much prefer full disclosure.

As a direct result of this excessive secrecy there are very few Australians aware of the awesome performance demonstrated by the gunman in the Broad Arrow Cafe, with the normal excuse being the politically-correct line that disclosing full details would lead to more distress on the part of the relatives of the dead. Unfortunately this is also an extremely effective way of silencing dissent on the part of those who might take a very different view of events in the Broad Arrow Cafe. All Australians have the right to know what happened that day, and a brief summary follows. It is a very unpleasant matter, and those readers with a weak stomach or a nervous disposition are **advised not to read beyond this point**

The gunman rose from his chair at one of the tables in the Broad Arrow Cafe, removed the AR 15 and spare magazine from a sports bag, immediately killing Mr. Yee Ng with a shot to the upper neck, and Miss Chung with a shot to the head. Swivelling on the spot and firing from the right hip, the gunman fired at Mr. Sargent who was wounded in the head, then killed Miss Scott with a shot to the head. The gunman continued through the Broad Arrow, next killing Mr. Nightingale with a shot to the upper neck and Mr. Bennet with a shot to the upper neck, with the latter bullet passing straight through and hitting Mr. Ray Sharpe in the head with fatal results. Next Mr. Kevin Sharpe was killed by a shot to the head and was also hit in the arm, with shrapnel and bone fragments from the second intermediate strike on Mr. Kevin Sharpe then apparently wounding Mr. Broome, and possibly Mr. and Mrs. Fidler.

Still firing from the hip the gunman swivelled and killed Mr. Mills and Mr. Kistan with single shots to the head, with shrapnel and skull fragments from those shots apparently wounding Mrs. Walker, Mrs. Law, and Mrs. Barker. Again the gunman turned, shooting and wounding Mr. Colyer in the neck, before swivelling and killing Mr. Howard with a shot to the head. Next he shot Mrs. Howard

in the neck and head with fatal effect. The gunman turned back, killing Miss Loughton with a shot to the head, and wounding Mrs. Loughton on the back. Moving towards the rear of the building the gunman shot Mr. Elliot in the head, causing serious injuries.

..... to be continued.....

THE ONLY WAY TO PEACE, HEALTH, AND PROSPERITY

From Destiny Letter

Perhaps more important to the human being than any other factor in life is his attitude toward Law; for all basic laws are divine in origin. As a matter of fact, man's very existence depends upon the keeping of certain fundamental laws of life, which if violated, result in sudden death!

There is only one source and origin of all basic laws and this is true whether they govern the material universe, the physical creation, or the spiritual, moral and economic life of man. That source is God—the fountain-head of all law and order—who has already revealed to men His Laws which govern an orderly creation. To know and to keep

those laws is to live; while to belittle or disregard them brings destitution and want, until death terminates a life of sorrow and suffering, the direct result of failure to keep and observe the Laws of God.

In the words of the Psalmist, "The heavens declare the glory of God; and the firmament sheweth his handiwork!" (Psalm 19:1). This is an acknowledgement of Divine Law manifested in the ordinances of heaven. The operation of the Law of the Lord, however, is not confined to the physical universe alone, for even the least and the

smallest in creation is numbered, weighed, and measured in accordance with law. Jesus had this in mind when He said, "But the very hairs of your head are all numbered."

Scientists may not always recognize the hand and power of Deity in the law and order evident in the field of investigation, but they do recognize that there exists a rigid law, which they respect and keep, knowing that its violation brings penalties. In some cases such violation has resulted in the death of the careless experimenter.

Natural laws, so-called, govern the entire operation of the universe from the great heavenly bodies which roll in their circuits to the minutest atom and electron; and the effect has long been recognized as following the cause in orderly process because of the inexorable operation of these laws. This is understood fully by men who have familiarized themselves with God's Laws of gravity, physics, mechanics, chemistry, mathematics and the many and varied multiplicities in the operation of these laws in their innumerable ramifications—all of which supports the thesis of law and order which the scientists have learned to respect and keep.

Now *recognized natural law* does not cover the entire scope of the Divine Regulations which control, govern and preserve an orderly universe. God in His Providence has enacted other laws as well which, if kept, would usher in a Utopian Age—an age bringing benefits to mankind beyond the fondest dreams of those who have longed for real peace and lasting happiness. These are necessarily laws of governmental administration.

An understanding of the perfect laws of administration, however, cannot be acquired by men through any process of experimentation—a method which was effective in

Only a few left - be quick!

AMERICA: FREE, WHITE & CHRISTIAN
Charles A. Weisman

The pilgrims left friends and memories of past life and faced the dangers of coming to America for the purpose in the words of Bradford: "of laying some good foundations for the propagating, and advancing the gospel of the kingdom of Christ in those remote parts of the world.

#060 @ sug don \$19.95



bringing to light the existence of the physical laws of the universe. The brief fact is that it is impossible in this way to come to an understanding of God's Laws of justice, equity and moral conduct.

Man, himself the result of Divine creation in accordance with law, was given by Divine revelation the perfect law of life, the keeping of which would result in peace, happiness and prosperity. Violation of that law was destined to bring injustice, poverty, distress and war. Men may talk of freedom and liberty but there can be no liberty nor freedom apart from law! And when men refuse to observe and keep it the ensuing license results in violence and strife, with chaos as the ultimate end of that society which ignores the Divine Law.

Man in a state of rebellion spiritually has so far refused to recognize or heed the way of life which would give him peace and bring prosperity in all of his endeavors. In the very beginning God began to reveal His Laws unto men. The very first command of God to Adam became a rule of action, or law of life, unto man.

The Way of Life-and-Death

The positive law of life as given to our first parents in the Garden of Eden was to eat of the fruit of the trees in the Garden and live. The negative law was contained in the command to abstain from partaking of certain forbidden fruits with the warning that the violation of this command would be followed by death. Physical health and well-being depended upon man obeying the first, while keeping of the second assured him of life unending and continuous spiritual communion with God. Thus the Divine Command had become law unto man. But mankind—created in the image of God—was given the right of choice: in obedience—a blessing; in disobedience—a curse. The first would bring continuous health and life—the second, trouble and death. By act of will, man was now able to choose his course, for before him lay two roads—one the way of life, and the other the way of death.

What is Sin?

Many have asked the question, What is sin? The answer is simple. Sin is disobedience to the commands of God, a refusal to keep His Laws. John declared this when he said, "**Sin is the transgression of the law**" (1 John 3:4).

Law, insofar as it regulates the conduct and action of men and nations, is a rule of action established by a recognized authority *with power to enforce justice and direct duty*. God is a recognized authority and He has full power to enforce His commands which must ultimately be observed, kept and obeyed; for the Divine Law can not be altered or removed—they are a *constant*—and the passage of time has no effect upon them.

Human regulations, designated as law by men, are often but temporary acts of appeasement to counteract the result of failure to keep and administer the Law of the Lord. By such means men have endeavored to meet their needs and to find a human solution for existing troubles which have in reality **resulted from the breaking of Divine Law**. Such man-made regulations undergo continual revision as time marches on! New generations come and changing conditions in the human experiment show the lack of foresight and wisdom in legislative enactments; for when the enactments of men are at variance with the Divine Law there can be only one *result—trouble for men*. This is true whenever men refuse to conform with the laws of God which govern the universe; or fail to observe and keep

God's moral, spiritual, and economic requirements—including the perfect laws of governmental administration.

Before considering the subject of Divine Law in its application to man, both individually and collectively, it is essential that we first settle satisfactorily the question of the entire scope and application of the Divine Laws, and man's relationship to these laws. This became necessary because of the prevalence of a doctrinal teaching which has had a definite influence upon Christian men and women to such an extent that it has led some to ignore God's injunction to observe and keep all His Law. In thus ignoring the law such have failed to recognize the Divine plan of the operation of the Law of the Lord as it governs our physical well-being, economic security and national prosperity.

The Psalmist, in speaking of the law, states, "*The law of the Lord is perfect, converting the soul: the testimony of the Lord is sure, making wise the simple. The statutes of the Lord are right, rejoicing the heart: the commandment of the Lord is pure, enlightening the eyes.*" And although even this is said of the Law of the Lord, yet some ignore this declaration of the Psalmist, with its unmistakable clarity

and meaning, and teach that they are free from the need of keeping His Law. This doctrinal teaching is based on the assumption that because of Grace (the unmerited favor of God through His Son Jesus the Anointed), Christians have been exonerated from the need of keeping the law. If a man is free from keeping the law, however, he is at liberty then to be used as a cloak to cover sinning (for sin is the violation of the law), which is repugnant to all the teaching of the law and the direct commands of God as given in both the Old and the New Testaments.

We Must Keep the Law

Nowhere in Scripture is it stated that a Christian is free from the need of keeping the law. While the Word of God states that a Christian is not under bondage to the law, yet this freedom from bondage cannot in any sense be construed as a license to violate law.

It is unfortunate that the gift of Grace has been used to perpetrate a doctrinal belief contrary to the clear teaching of the Bible. Violation of Divine Law is sin whether that law is violated by a Christian or a non-Christian, regardless of any doctrinal excuse that might be made for such violation.

Divine Law is All-Embracing

Now the scope of the Divine Law embraces all the needs, activities and requirements of men and nations; limiting, guiding and directing them in all the things that should, and should not, be done. Christians are amiss in not knowing and understanding these laws.

A knowledge of the Law of the Lord would unfold for Christians a much clearer concept of God's plans regarding His people than they have now. The possession of such information would open up before the Christian world the marvelous scope of that law in its entirety and in its application to the needs of men and nations. Such an understanding would once and for all settle the question that no one group of men, Christian or otherwise, are exempt from law observance. It would prove the absurdity of all the excuses given by men to justify their violation of the law; and would show that even to claim the right to ignore or violate Divine Law is, in itself, sin.

Sin, as defined in the dictionary, is wholly in accord with the Biblical definition of law violation. The dictionary

must have, while they last:

WHO IS ESAU-EDOM?

Charles Weisman

This analysis of the history and prophecy of Esau and his descendants will unveil many of the problems in this world, and will bring a new perspective on wars and conflicts, media bias, Zionism, political conspiracies, anti-Semitism, economic problems, and the Jewish issue.

#288 @ sug don \$13.25



states that sin is “Any want of conformity to or transgression of a rule of rectitude or duty, especially as made known through the conscience or the revealed Word of God: disagreement in thought, word, deed, or desire, whether by omission or commission, with the Divine Law.”

This necessity of law observance must first be established, for unless this is done many Christians will continue to ignore the Divine Laws to their own hurt—thinking that in so doing they are justified because of their acceptance of the teachings of an erroneous doctrine which has belittled the need of such law observance. No man in his right mind would be so foolish as to hold for one moment that the Divine Laws of the physical universe need not be observed. The sane and intelligent Christian recognizes that he must keep them or suffer the consequences resulting from their violation. Is a man, though Christian, justified in a deliberate violation of the requirements of Law as laid down in the Ten Commandments? Would he in his violation be immune from the evils resulting from their violation? Certainly not! And what is true regarding these moral laws of God is also true regarding the Divine Laws of administration with their rules and regulations governing economics, jurisprudence and the health of His people. Men or nations violating these laws will find themselves subject to the operation of punitive clauses of the law and will suffer under the curses pronounced for their violation.

Christ is our Advocate

Paul is very clear in his statements regarding all this, for evidently he had in mind those in his day who were teaching a doctrine of law violation paralleling that which is held by some Christians today. He said, “*Sin (law violation) shall not have dominion over you: for ye are not under the law (in bondage to it), but under Grace (free from the penalties having favor with God and able to keep that law through His Son Jesus the Anointed).*” He continues, “*What then? Shall we sin (that is, violate the law), because we are not under (the bondage of) the law, but under Grace? God forbid. Know ye not, that to whom ye yield yourselves servants to obey, his servants ye are to whom ye obey; whether of sin (law violation) unto death, or of obedience (law observance) unto righteousness?*”

The Apostle clearly states here that Grace does not give a license to anyone to violate law, for such violation he declares is sin. What advantage then has the Christian? Very much, for as John says, “*If any man sin (that is, violate the law), we have an advocate with the Jesus Anointed the righteous.*” This being so, Paul could say, “*Therefore being justified (escaping the penalty of the law through the righteousness of Jesus) by faith, we have peace with God through our Lord Jesus the Anointed.*”

Perhaps the one great difficulty regarding the absolute need of observing Divine Law and which is responsible for much of the confusion regarding that law has been the failure to recognize the distinction between the laws contained in ordinances and those given in Commandments, Statutes and Judgments. The lack of a clearly defined definition of the Divine Law in each of its particular applications and especially concerning the operation of the ordinances is responsible for most of this confusion.

God's Word Stands Immutable

Our purpose in writing *Digest of the Divine Law* (#321 @ \$24.25) was to set forth the operation of these Laws and to clarify for our readers the distinctions between 1) the laws which govern the activities and operations of men and nations, and 2) the ordinances or ritual. These ordinances had their place and purpose in the Divine plan fully revealed through Jesus the Anointed in His life, ministry, death and resurrection. As to the laws apart from the ordinances it was shown that if we must obey some, then we

are bound to keep them all! If we can, with impunity, violate some, then God has failed and the Bible has ceased to be an authority for the guidance of men and nations. But God has not failed and the authority of His Word still stands immutable in its teaching and law.

The New Covenant so stressed by the teachings of Christianity is, according to the Bible, definitely associated with the keeping of the law. Jeremiah prophesied and Hebrews affirms. “*I will put my law in their inward parts, and write them in their hearts.*” If then the Christian is not bound to keep the law, how can it be written into his heart? After the New Testament had been established Paul declared that he was dead to the law. What law? Certainly it could not be that law which was written into his heart as the result of the work of the Holy Spirit! Later Paul asks the question, Why serve the law which was added? This was the law unto which Paul declared he was dead, for that law which was added to the Commandments, Statutes and Judgments received by Israel at Mt. Sinai was none other than the *ordinances* which were given as the result of Israel's sin when Aaron built the golden calf which they worshipped. These ordinances became a schoolmaster to bring the people to Anointing. Now that Anointing has come, the schoolmaster is no more needed; nor is it necessary to be in bondage to the ritual, which law Paul declared he served no more.

“Confusion” is the only word which explains the condition existing in the minds of so many Christians regarding the law through the failure to recognize the ordinances as that law which was added. The ritual does not have to be observed; but the Commandments, Statutes and judgments are still in operation and every man and nation will ultimately be compelled to observe and keep them. The day will yet come when God's people will be compelled to recognize the operation of these Divine Laws. Ezekiel prophesies of that time and says, “*They shall also walk in my judgments, and observe my statutes, and do them.*” (Ezekiel 37:24). Let us familiarize ourselves with these Divine Laws which the nation will yet be compelled to observe, keep and obey. In that day, “*The law shall go forth of Zion, and the word of the Lord from Jerusalem.*” (Micah 4:2).

As the result of God's recognition of the needs of men there have been revealed to mankind rules and regulations, the keeping of which will bring prosperity and happiness to all men everywhere. Observance, with the resultant peace and goodwill, will yet characterize all national and international relationships.

It is this recognition on the part of men and nations of the Jehovah administration as set forth in the Divine Law which is essential. It is the crying need of a world full of trouble and strife!

Courtesy Destiny Publishers, Box 177, Merrimac MA 01860

Greetings once again in Jesus' name. Almost another year gone, and another pagan holiday already celebrated by the majority, with another to come at the end of the year. How even Christians get sucked into this paganism is beyond me. Have no fellowship with the unfruitful works of darkness, but rather reprove them. Note that some books are now sold out, and others low on stock. If you want some books - be very quick. We still have numbers of cassette tapes we would like to see put to some good use, yours for the cost of postage, 10 for \$10. Also the number of readers continues to decline, and more subscribers would be very welcome. We sincerely thank those of you who faithfully continue to support us and get material. May the God of Abraham, Isaac and Jacob bless you and keep you, make His face shine on you and be gracious to you in these trying, difficult times,

