



Christian Identity Ministries

A member of the
Congregations of Israel

PO Box 146, CARDWELL QLD 4849, Australia

Ph: 07-4066 0146 (International 61-7 instead of 07) www.christianidentityministries.com - hr_cim@bigpond.com

"Blessed be the LORD God of *Israel*; For He hath visited and redeemed *His* people, And hath raised up an horn of salvation for *us* in the house of his servant David; as he spake by the mouth of his holy prophets, which have been since the world began; That *we* should be saved from *our* enemies and from the hand of all that hate *us*; to perform the mercy promised to *our* fathers and to remember his holy covenant; The oath which he sware to *our* father Abraham, That he would grant unto *us*, that *we* being delivered out of the hand of *our* enemies might serve him without fear, in holiness and righteousness before him, all the days of *our* lives." Luke 1:68-75; the Anglo-Saxon-Celtic-Germanic-Scandinavian & Kindred people are *ISRAEL!*

#398

Covenant Messenger

September AD2019

(a publication of N.Q. Fellowship of God's Covenant People)

CONGREGATIONS-OF-BLASPHEMERS

Pastor Mark Downey

Scripture Reading: Matthew 12:31-32

In the 1st century AD, Jesus was born into the world where the timing and location had to be perfect for Him to fulfill His divine destiny. Judean culture at that time had a great expectation for the prophesied Messiah in anticipation of being delivered from the Roman occupation of what comprised the territories of ancient Israel. Our people had to wait over 700 years for the prophesied Messiah of Isaiah 53. Likewise, the Second Coming is a long wait and Israel would again be under a beastly occupation. But, when the Anointed of God finally came 2000 years ago with His Plan of Deliverance, the people of the land refused to acknowledge the authority He brought with Him. The Pharisees were among the people who did not approve of Jesus; who was not behaving in the manner they expected the Messiah to behave in. Jesus was not validating the religious establishment; the commonplace everyday blasphemies of the good old boys club; nor was He submitting himself to peer psychology whereby He was the clay and the people were the Potter. He just didn't play the game of the orthodoxies of error that had become the matrix of society. We feel the same rejection in Christian Identity, because we don't fit in to most congregations out there.

And I have to wonder, is it any different today with Pharisees behind the pulpits, indicting those who function in the power of God with the same kind of inane disdain. How dare you implicate Jesus having a racial message. And yet we come for the same lost sheep of the house of Israel that Jesus Christ said He came for (Mt. 15:24). The Pharisees within Identity, and without in judeo-churchianity can say all manner of evil against me and other Bible believing Christian Israelites falsely, but when they perjure the authority of my witness or misrepresent testimony of our brethren with outright lies, they have blasphemed the spirit of one's calling from God. Perjury is the deliberate falsification of the facts under oath. So if one calls themselves a Christian, they are automatically sworn to tell the truth; otherwise they commit the sin of bearing false witness. Are we not oath-bound to the God of Israel? If they did it to Jesus, they will do it to His followers. Jesus said, *"If the world hates you, understand that it hated Me before it hated you"* John 15:18. I tend to believe that our detractor's problem is not with us, but with God. The Disciples of Jesus had the same problem of people becoming Christians for the wrong reasons.

So let's take a good look at what passes itself off today as the corporate body of (Anointed) Christ; as churches and congregations once again crucify the Spirit of God. If a

church teaches the Rapture, thinks jews are God's chosen people and that Jesus was a jew, they are Christian Zionists and are the great apostasy of the latter days. It's that simple. They are in league with the very people who killed Jesus and the same antinomian system that supports the lawlessness of judaism. When jews figured out they couldn't stop the momentum of early Christianity, they concocted a theory of prophecy called futurism that conceded a form of Christianity with the caveat that it would eventually revert back to the Old Covenants with God dwelling in their temples made of wood and stone, rebuilt in Jerusalem, run by so called Levitical [sic] jews sacrificing animals.

It was reported last week (6-24-14) that a red heifer has been located in America, and its owner intends to raise it according to jewish standards so that it can be sacrificed as a burnt offering to provide "the ashes of a red heifer" (NET) for the resumption of temple worship. This is clearly in contradistinction to Hebrews 9:11-14, *"But Christ coming to be high priest of the coming good things, through the greater and more perfect tabernacle not made by hand (that is, not of this creation,) nor by the blood of goats and calves, but by His own blood, entered once for all into the holy places, procuring eternal redemption. For if sprinkling those who are defiled with the blood of goats and bulls and ashes of a heifer sanctifies for purity of the flesh, by how much more shall the blood of the Anointed, who through the eternal Spirit has offered Himself blameless to God, purify our consciences - apart from dead rituals - for which to serve God who lives?"* These Christian Zionists seem to be oblivious to the jewish plan of having a different

IN THIS ISSUE:

Congregations of Blasphemers,	1
Martin Bryant, pt 2,	7
Preserving Agent or Particular Target,	11
The Scent of Danger,	12
Bring Sanity to Your Family Life,	13
Deliverance Prayer,	14

The views and opinions expressed in the articles herein or herewith are those of the authors and not necessarily those of CIM. They are written by fallible men. You must ask Jesus to guide your studies!

CIM reserves the right to edit submitted or reprinted material in line with CIM editorial policy. CIM does the utmost to ensure that the spirit of articles remains intact at all times.

messiah than the One they crucified. Their plan has always been to destroy Christianity and the New Covenant. Why would they support something so antichrist in nature? Don't they know it's blasphemy? These judaized Christians have financed this temple construction project dozens of times ever since the 1950's, being baited with the sympathy card of 6 million; not to mention the billions of foreign aid that maintain the welfare state of IsraelIE. There's absolutely no reciprocation of the Abrahamic Covenant to bless those who bless the jew. Quite the contrary: *"Who both killed the Lord Jesus, and their own prophets, and have persecuted us; and they please not God, and are contrary to all men"* I Thes. 2:15. Paul wrote about their attempts to judaize the early church, which is why jews hate Paul even more than Jesus. And their malice has influenced the church for the last 150 years; arguing incessantly that they didn't kill Jesus, it was the Romans. No, they did it!

These deluded Christians think that Jesus will come and spare Jerusalem at the last minute and spare 144,000 jews. Wrong on both counts. My Bible tells me Jerusalem will certainly be destroyed and it will never ever be rebuilt again: *"Even so will I break this people and this city as one breaks a potter's vessel that cannot be restored again"* Jer. 19:11. And Isaiah 29:1-6 gives the impression of a nuclear strike, *"... Yet I will bring disaster upon you, and there will be much weeping and sorrow. For Jerusalem will become what her name Ariel means, an altar covered with blood. I will set up war camps all around you. I will blockade you with towers. I will put up mounds of dirt around you [a crater formed at ground zero?]. You will fall; while lying on the ground you will speak; from the dust where you lie, your words will be heard. Your voice will sound like a spirit speaking from the underworld; from the dust you will chirp as if muttering an incantation. But the multitude of your enemies [i.e. our enemies being the international jew] will become like fine dust, And the multitude of the ruthless ones like the chaff which blows away; And it will happen instantly, suddenly. You will be visited by the Lord of the Heavenly Armies— with thunder, an earthquake, and great noise, with a windstorm, a tempest, and flames from a devouring fire."*

Christian Zionist leaders are quite vocal about pushing us into WWII to defend jewish hegemony in the Middle East; so that their Great Tribulation can commence and they can float up to heaven and live in a mansion and watch it all on a big screen TV. Fortunately, for us, none of this is true or to be found in the Bible. Will this new found red heifer spark a war over the Temple Mount? This little calf might be the kindling that foments a bloodbath and fulfills real prophecy that marks the end of Esau-Edom and of Jerusalem itself. *"And their dead bodies will lie in the street of the great city which symbolically is called Sodom and Egypt, where also our Lord was crucified"* Rev. 11:8.

Babylon is falling, if you have the eyes to see the congregations of blasphemers. We are not entering a time of a Zionist crafted Rapture; we're at the end of the beast nations i.e. evil shall be overcome with good. Churchianity's understanding of God's plan for the ages is dismal, thinking Satan will manifest himself into a world dictator called the Antichrist. They think the New World Order is yet to come, but it's been with us for a very long time. My Bible says the Kingdom of God is going to overthrow Mys-

tery Babylon, therefore they are fearful of the Kingdom message that talks about biblical solutions. So they speak blasphemies against the true work of Jesus. They think we're influenced by a satanic entity, while they are working to sustain the antichrist system that has had political, economic and religious influence for generations. Our race should understand history by now, as does most of the world, how oppressive jewish systems of government have operated and by proxy how third world heathens contaminate Aryan nations (they are putting starving black children on TV again begging for Christians to sympathize and send donations - **DON'T! They grow up to be the criminals we have in our cities today**). We should have learned that disobedience to God's Laws have consequences that lead to slavery.

In Christian Identity we know that the Law was only given to Israel and that it could only be administered by the race having the mind, will and character of Jesus. There's going to be a New World Order alright, but it's the Kingdom of God, which will grind the Babylonian image to powder (Daniel 2:34-35). *"In the days of those kings, the God of heaven will set up a kingdom that will never be destroyed, and this kingdom will not be left to another people. It will crush all these kingdoms and bring them to an end, but will itself endure forever"* Daniel 2:44. Isn't that what we should be looking forward to, rather than the dream world of Martin Luther King? How many Americans have even heard of "End the Fed" rallies exploding all over Germany? They're protesting the status quo of a corrupt global economy of which the Federal Reserve is at the root of, an unsustainable system of debt/usury. And everybody knows the cancer is coming from the inter-

national jew and his love of money, except the congregations of blasphemers. These rallies have spread to 100 cities with a combined attendee base of 20,000. Of course, the mainstream media in Germany is calling them "Nazis." If you don't support jewish banksters ripping you off, you're a Nazi. It just goes to show how desperate these totalitarian tyrants are to keep their criminal Ponzi scheme alive.

I read a good one the other day where this guy put forth the notion that *"the woman drunken with the blood of the saints"* is not the RCC, but rather it is us, the White race (Israel). This must be coming from the same cigar drenched basement that came out several years ago with the real killers of Jesus being us, the White race (Israel) also. This is the jewish psychology of guilt by association, in which there is no distinction between saints and sinners; the drunk is interchangeable with the righteous. I'm well aware of how our race goes *"whoring after strange gods,"* but that is not the same thing as the bloodlust of Mystery Babylon nor the Christ-killers who said His blood would be on them and their children forever. This is the blasphemy of saying the 'saints cast out the saints' and not the jew. But, the Lord's mercy endureth forever in the form of grace granted only to those whom He loves. Both the RCC and Esau-Edom are slated for total destruction, not us, the White race (Israel). This is either a strange case of cognitive dissonance or the same deliberate blasphemy of the Spirit that Jesus talked about in today's reading of Mt. 12:31-32, in which we can be forgiven for speaking against the person of Jesus, but the unpardonable sin is blasphemy against the Holy Spirit. The

**New LOAN ONLY DVD
SECRET INGREDIENTS**

Are GMOs, Roundup and other secret ingredients affecting your health? When Kathleen DiChiara discovered the secret ingredients in their food that were keeping them in a perpetual cycle of illness and removed them, the results were dramatic, after always exercising and 'eating right' and struggling with chronic conditions The film shares many other stories of people who regained their health after changing to an all-organic diet, free of GMOs, RounUp and other pesticides. #CI-1104 sug LOAN don \$7



Vatican of Rome and all that it encompasses will not be forgiven. The synagogue of Jerusalem and all that it represents will not be forgiven. Catholicism and Judaism both exemplify the blasphemies of universalism and corruption. Both despise the Gospels and supplant "another gospel" to the world. Our race is a forgiven race in God's plan for the ages, otherwise there would have been no need for Jesus to have come and shed His blood for His people. He is, after all, our Kinsman Redeemer. Only Adam fell from grace and only Adamkind is saved by grace; "So also is the resurrection of the dead. It is sown in corruption; it is raised in incorruption" I Cor. 15:42. The context is us, the White race (Israel).

Matthew, Mark and Luke give similar accounts of what is forgivable and what is unforgivable. The contrast can be seen between Jesus, the Son of man, and Jesus the Son of God. Jesus speaks and acts throughout the Gospels as both man and Son of God; without discerning the difference many become confused as to His being. He lived a life that would be an example for us to follow with not only our five senses, but with the Spirit of God. "Blasphemy" against the former might be due to ignorance and prejudice, but "blasphemy" against the latter was to speak against God's work recognized as such, against God manifesting Himself to their consciences; it was to reject the counsel of God towards them, to set themselves up in opposition to God, and thus to exclude from themselves forgiveness. Just as under the Law there were sacrifices for sins of ignorance and minor offenses, but none for willful disregard of and opposition to God; so the same standard must apply at all times even under the Gospel itself. I've heard a variety of interpretations over the years, from the inability to forgive (and isn't that endemic of Jews who 'never forget – never forgive') to race mixing (which has some validity in CI circles) to idolatry and yet more traits of bad behavior.

There has been much ado about this passage. However, I don't think it's speaking of an unpardonable sin per se, as a transgression of the Law (except for one of the Ten Commandments, which we'll get to in a moment), but it may be even more serious than that. It's speaking of deliberately lying against God. The context of the verse shows that Jesus was being accused, behind his back of "casting out demons" by Beelzebub (Mt. 12:24).

But Jesus knew what they were saying, because He knew their thoughts (v.25). So in response to their false accusations, if I can paraphrase, He said, "Think about what you're saying. You admit I'm casting out devils, but if Beelzebub is the prince of devils, why would he defeat his own cause by casting his own out? After all, the bottom line of "casting out devils" is to remove them, is it not? And unless Beelzebub is on God's side, which you say you are, then he's defeating his own cause. And you claim your people "cast out devils." By whom are they casting them out? I am doing the same work you claim you are. It seems to me you are speaking out of both sides of your mouth (25-27)." From this statement, I would have to say the blasphemy that is not forgiven, is the blasphemy against the Holy Spirit. Jesus said He cast out "devils" by the Spirit of God and the Jews were saying He was doing it by Beelzebub.

Jesus was exposing their double-mindedness, lies and hypocrisy. Then He says in verse 28, "If I cast out devils by the Spirit of God, then the kingdom of God is come unto you." That's because if He's casting out "devils" by the Spirit of God, then they must be also, because they would have to be of the Kingdom of God to do God's work. In verse 29, He says, "Or else how can one enter into a strong

man's house, and spoil his goods, except he first bind the strong man? and then he will spoil his house." He's saying, for them to not be of the same power to do the same work, then one must have bound God in order to spoil His "house." He then says, in so many words, "Hey, if you're not with me or of the same power, you're against me (v.30). If I am gathering you are scattering." That's when Jesus makes the statement of the so-called unpardonable sin. These people knew what they were doing. They knew who Jesus was. In John 3:2, Nicodemus told Christ, "We know that thou art a teacher come from God; for no man can do these miracles that you do, except God be with him." Yet they accused the work of the Holy Spirit of being of the prince of "devils." Jesus was saying, those who know better and yet they blaspheme or speak evil of the power of God cannot be forgiven, because it's the power of God by which God works and for one to knowingly set himself against that power cannot be forgiven. I mean these people were so against the Holy Spirit that they paid the watchers to say Jesus's body was stolen, when they knew He had risen via the power of the Holy Spirit (Mt. 28:11-15). This blasphemous canard is commonly repeated among the Jews to this day. It was the punchline of 'The Da Vinci Code.' Those that set themselves against the Holy Spirit cannot be forgiven, because it is through the Holy Spirit that forgiveness comes: "Then Peter said unto them, Repent, and be baptized every one of you in the name of Jesus Christ for the remission of sins, and ye shall receive the gift of the Holy Spirit"

Act 2:38. Now some people unspiritualize this verse (by not rightly dividing the word of truth) and turn it into a denominational ritual and in so doing blaspheme the Holy Spirit. It's not about getting wet, it's about immersing yourself in the Word of God. We see baptismal pools in churches, but where are the baptisms for the Holy Spirit?

Everywhere Jesus went there were crowds who witnessed His miracles and teachings; but there was also a nefarious bunch that were always trying to trap Him to impeach His credibility. They had no interest in the Truth. There's the same kind of mentality today of religious people who shouldn't be confused with being Christians. They are in denial that Christ is a Kinsman Redeemer. They want everybody in the world to be redeemed, even though Scripture teaches no such thing. We just read that some of Jesus' detractors explained His miracle by claiming that He used the power of "Beezebul," which is a more accurate translation than Beelzebub (KJV). And there's an inside joke of the rabbis for this name. Beelzebub was a rabbinic parody on the proper name, Beelzeboul, the god of Ekron (2 Kings 1:2). By Jesus' time, the Philistine city of Ekron had long been destroyed, but the Greek colony (city) of Cyrene had adopted Beelzebub as its god, translating his name as "Lord of the flies." This name meant that he had the power to drive away pesky flies.

It appears that Jesus' answer to His detractors was meant to compare the belief of the Ekronites to that of His detractors. The Ekronites thought that the Lord of the Flies would cast out flies, and Jesus' detractors thought that Jesus was casting out the demon by the power of "the ruler of the demons." The rabbis altered the final letter to show their disdain for Beelzebub, calling him Beelzeboul, "Lord of the dunghill." The Hebrew word *zebul* means dunghill or filth, and the word was applied to idolatrous worship and sacrificing to false gods. (Flies gather around dunghills). When idolatry was denominated *zebul* among the Jews, it was considered the most grievous of sins that one could be guilty of and esteemed Beelzebub as the "prince of devils,"

Old Historic Video
Ditlieb Felderer's Auschwitz
Slide Show.

It's old, but relating to this subject, still relevant.

CI-288 @ sug Don \$9



but was altered to connect the god of Ekron with dung. I guess the modern equivalent would be some talmudic minded dispensationalist to label Christian Identity as crap. We've almost become accustomed to these congregations of blasphemers doing the same thing they did to Jesus.

Jesus answered them as only God could. Their accusations implied that no one could cast out demons except by the power of Satan, or Beelzebul. But in the past, other men had been successful in casting out demons. So by whose power did they cast out demons? Those past successes, which had been accepted by the rabbis, had already proven that it did not require power from Beelzebul to cast out demons. In fact, such an idea was absurd, because "a kingdom divided against itself is laid waste." Jesus concludes His remarks on this topic in Mt. 12:36-37, saying "And I say to you, that every careless word that men shall speak, they shall render account for it in the day of judgment. For by your words you shall be justified, and by your words you shall be condemned." This was a warning to Jesus' detractors, telling them to be careful what they say, since they will be held accountable for their words on the "day of judgment." Their claim that Jesus was casting out demons by the power of Satan was, in essence, "blasphemy against the Spirit." Such sin "shall not be forgiven him, either in this age, or in the age to come." The KJV translates it, "neither in this world, neither in the world to come." The Greek word in question is *aion*, which means "an eon, age," that is, an indefinite or unknown period of time. It refers to time, not to a location ("world"). Jesus' detractors had deliberately blasphemed the Holy Spirit when they knowingly attributed the work of the Holy Spirit to Beelzebul. In their zeal to find fault with Jesus, rather than to seek the truth, they had deliberately twisted their explanation to suit their cause. Hence, they were without excuse.

His response to their second objection, asking for a sign to prove He was of God (Luke 11:16) is found in Luke 11:20-22, "But if I cast out demons by the finger of God, then the kingdom of God has come upon you. When a strong man, fully armed, guards his own homestead, his possessions are undisturbed; but when someone stronger [Christ] than he attacks him and overpowers him, he takes away from him all his armour on which he had relied, and distributes his plunder." In other words, if the adversaries of God do not cast out demons, then Jesus must have cast out the dumb demon "by the finger of God." Hence, it is "the kingdom of God" being manifested, rather than the kingdom of Beelzebul. Jesus continues in Luke 11:23, "He who is not with Me is against Me; and he who does not gather with Me, scatters."

Well, what exactly is blasphemy? Our English word comes from the Greek word *blasphemia* and is best defined from a number of sources. Strong's #988 says, "vilification (especially against God):--blasphemy, evil speaking, railing." From Thayer's Greek Lexicon, "slander, detraction, speech injurious, to another's good name; impious and reproachful speech injurious to divine majesty. From Liddle and Scott: "Profane speech, profane of sacred things; evil speaking, slander; to speak ill, prejudice of; impiously, irreverent of God." *Blasphemia* comes from two Greek words, *blapto* and *pheme*. *Blapto* means "to hinder" (by implication, to injure or hurt). *Pheme* comes from the same root as *phos*, "light," and *phaino*, "to lighten, to shine." *Blasphemia*, then, is "a hindering of light that brings

injury." More broadly, blasphemy is a misrepresented image that brings injury to you by hindering the light that reveals God. When a person's reputation is smeared, his glory or message is hindered. I Thes. 5:19 gives a parallel admonition, "Quench not the Spirit." Other versions use the word "stifle" or "extinguish." The Spirit is here considered as a flame. The Christian Israelite is a light to the world (Mt. 5:14), emulating "the Light of the world."

Even in Christian Identity, we have scoundrels who dampen the racial message of the Gospels with a cruel slander on steroids that gives Christian Zionists a run for their money. I hear from our fellow believers all the time that they're getting burned out on the infighting, the flame wars. But, it really is spiritual warfare, using the weapons of blasphemy by modern day Pharisees, who have no compunction to lie; it's even integrated into an antichrist catechism i.e. the annual annulment of vows. We underestimate the corporate body of blasphemers, the crypto jew. Detractors cause a detraction, which is a malicious discrediting of someone's character and accomplishments. The antichrists attempt to create a false image of Jesus, a misrepresentation of His character and calling, that would hinder the light from piercing the darkness and reaching the people. This hindrance injured the people, making them victims of Phariseeism.

When Paul and Barnabas preached in the synagogue at Antioch, they shed light on the Word and the divine plan. We read in Acts 13:45, "But when the Jews saw the crowds, they were filled with jealousy, and began contradicting the things spoken by Paul, and were blaspheming." We then see the apostles' response, "And Paul and Barnabas spoke out boldly and said, It

was necessary that the word of God should be spoken to you first; since you repudiate it, and judge yourselves unworthy of eternal life, behold, we are turning to the nations. For thus the Lord has commanded us, 'I have placed you as a light for the nations, that you should bring salvation to the end of the earth'."

The Jewish opposition was called blasphemy because they were attempting to hide the light of Christ under a basket of slander and vilification to prevent people from receiving that light. Those who believed their slander were injured. Blasphemy is not simply a war of words, it's a lifestyle against God's Law. In fact, Luke goes so far to have us know this about Christ, "He who is not with Me is against Me; and he who does not gather with Me, scatters" Luke 11:23.

Every sin violates every law in some way, but blasphemy specifically violates the 2nd Commandment, "You shall not make for yourself an idol" Deut. 5:8. An idol is a false image of God created by the imagination of man. Remember what God thought of their imaginations in Genesis 6:5, that it was the thoughts of their heart that was evil continually? Heart idolatry is the root of blasphemy, for such people create false images that misrepresent God and His character. Today, the thoughts of their heart is that all races were created equal and God loves them all, even the half breeds.

To blaspheme Jesus can be forgiven. To blaspheme Pastor Elmore or Downey can be forgiven. "But whoever shall speak against the Holy Spirit, it shall not be forgiven" Mt. 12:32. That is our lesson and it's imperative that we comprehend what it means. If our light is put under a basket, that light is hindered from exposing the darkness.

CD's of the Month

- E-343 Which One? pt 2, Don Elmore
- E-344 Your Own Worst Enemy, Brian Jones
- E-345 Shallow Culture in Deep Trouble
Mark Downey
- E-346 What is God's Plan for America?
Don Elmore
- E-347 The Pumpkin Party, Mark Downey
- E-348 Who Were the Pharisees, Don Elmore
- E-349 How it All Began, Don Elmore
worth listening to!



When unscrupulous men assassinated the character of Jesus in public, it was more than just a personal invective that could be forgiven; they were making a concerted effort to hinder the shining light of glory that radiated to the crowds as He ministered the truth of God according to His divine mission. Blasphemy is a malicious discrediting of someone's character and accomplishments, which were directed by the Spirit of God. The enemies of Jesus, whether Jew, mongrel or beast, try to create this false image of Jesus... like: Jesus was a Jew or a swarthy Mediterranean. This hindrance injures the White race, making us victims of modern Pharisees; making us pay obeisance to "another Christ" and those who come in His name, saying they are Christ (or Christians). The fact is, the majority of those who call themselves Christians today are not Christians at all, because they blaspheme His Spirit. The Apostles understood the messianic prophecies, but they applied it to themselves as part of the real body of Anointed; Jesus being the head. An Apostle is one who is sent to bring forth the "one Lawgiver" to the Israelite nations; they were not a law unto themselves or a substitute; they were the symbiotic method by which God brought forth light or revelation (who is the source of both). The Jews opposed this divine calling violently, because the Good News was being sent only to White people throughout the rest of the world. Most of the Disciples and early Christians who held close to the actual words and meanings of Jesus were murdered and persecuted for almost 300 years.

History repeats itself with Jewish opposition to Christian Identity, because both within and without our community there are those same type of unscrupulous individuals who don't have the ability to engage in Christian discourse, but excel in the dark arts of slander and vilification to prevent our people from receiving the light. After all, a cheap one or two word soundbyte like racist, White Supremacist, anti-semitic or exterminationist is all it takes for the wool to be pulled over the sheep's eyes so that they can be led into a ditch of apostasy. The Pharisees accuse Jesus' work as not of the Holy Spirit, but the work of a little pissant god, Beelzebub, who lorded over dunghills. Likewise, one can easily identify the Pharisees in our movement when the false accuser just can't help themselves and goes way over the top with epithets for an inconsequential, irrelevant, or worthless person in their estimation, especially one who is irritating or contemptible out of proportion to his or her perceived significance, especially if it's true to the Word of God and doesn't conform to their apostate beliefs. That's how a Jew judges Christians. A mongrelly minded adversary cannot rise above that level of rhetoric. Did the Pharisees ever challenge Jesus with intelligent biblically based principles? No, not once. Let me give you an example. William Finck wrote a paper called *'Baptism In What?'*, which is close to my own paper on the subject. A Pharisee in our midst, who is stirring things up and making the water muddy, reciprocated with a cute hit piece called "Baptism In Finckahol"; the innuendo is that that the water ritual is more justified than baptism of the Spirit and that if you think otherwise, the play on words is that you're immersed in alcohol, that the teaching is likened to imbibing in strong drink. And once again the blasphemy is not against Bill, but against the strong meat of the Holy Spirit. The enemy can only understand salvation in terms of what we do, rather

than what God hath done.

In the 1st century, the Good News of the racial message of Jesus Christ was not only opposed by Jews, but a counterfeit gospel known as Gnosticism, which was founded by the sorcerer Simon Magus (Acts 8:9), who thought he could purchase the power of the Holy Spirit (v. 18-19). Gnosticism was a spiritist religion, not to be confused with spiritual, that tried to merge all religions into one; which, in the 18th century gained popularity with occultists and later the New Age movement. The Gnostics adopted the Greek view of matter or the material creation and that the devil was responsible for producing all created matter and was therefore evil; and thus only spirit was good. My Bible says that God created both heaven and earth i.e. spirit and matter and when He finished His Creation, He called it "very good" (Gen 1:31). Here's the blasphemy of the Gnostics: they say that because matter is evil (which is a false premise), a good God would never adulterate Himself through the incarnation of a man of flesh. Well, how does that sit with "the word made flesh"? Not very well I'd say. If most pagan Greeks believed that the earth was created by a devil, then who among them could be forgiven of their blasphemy against the Holy Spirit? And yet many were converted to the cause of Jesus. So how were they any different than the Pharisees? The Pharisees knew better, but they were drunk with their own self-importance and went ahead and blasphemed Jesus deliberately anyway. The pagan

Greeks were in error, until, thanks to Paul, they received the light of the Gospel of Christ, and turned from their blasphemous upbringings.

Their premise denies that the flesh of man (Adamkind) could not be filled with the Holy Spirit nor could our bodies be the new temple wherewith God dwells in His people Israel. The Good News for the White race was that the Holy Spirit was merging with the flesh and transforming our minds to the perfect will of God. Jesus came to destroy the devil. "For this purpose the Son of God was manifested, that He might destroy the works of the devil" I John 3:8. Jesus came to destroy sin. "The Anointed died for our sins" I Cor. 15:3. And "The wages of sin is death" Romans 6:23. Adam and Eve didn't die immediately and neither do we when we fall from grace; we get sick, our flesh is weak.

"Watch and pray, that you enter not into temptation: the spirit indeed is willing, but the flesh is weak" Mt. 26:41. Here's the principle in understanding the congregations of blasphemers: in Rev. 2:10 we read, *"Do not be afraid of the things you are about to suffer. The devil is about to have some of you thrown into prison... and you will experience suffering... Remain faithful even to the point of death, and I will give you life."* When Jesus healed a man who couldn't speak, the devil is in the details. All of the divine healings from Jesus were physical sickness and infirmities. And yet the Pharisees equated the sickness with Beelzebub and accused Jesus of performing the miracle under the power of Beelzebub. We know that it was the Holy Spirit that healed a physical ailment and not being possessed by something from a spirit world, which is what Simon Magus wanted to buy; like a magic wand would cast out a spirit and the sickness was only a sidebar. It was their imagination that thought a god of dunghills could cause a man to be dumb or blind or lame or in today's medical terms epileptic or schizophrenic or depressed. Jesus is pointing out just

New or Recently Listened to CDs & DVDs

BD-114 Standing in the Faith, pt 10

Dave Barley

E-621 It's the Betrayal Stupid,

Mark Downey

E-622 Who Chooses? Don Elmore

J-520 How to Avoid Conflicts Between

Christians, pt 3, John Weaver

O-35 Jesus - The Spirit & the Word,

Anthony Buzzard

T-1522 The Church has Changed, pt 1 & 2,

Charles Jennings



how ridiculous His accusers flawed theology is by giving legitimacy to an entity in another realm of reality, of which the word 'demon' is a poor choice of words, and is casting out the very same entity, whereby that entity's dominion would fall... cease to exist. It was the sickness that ceased within the sufferer.

Jesus will help us all to heal, to destroy the flesh, the carnal mind, to kill "the old man." But, the spiritual warfare is in our hearts and minds. Jesus was winning the debate indirectly with miracles and parables and prophecy. He didn't have to say, "That dung god doesn't exist." All He had to do was to show the absurdity of false gods and idols of the heart. 2000 years later, we still struggle to have eyes with a 20/20 vision to see what Jesus did. What He did was to defeat blasphemy itself. "*The truth shall make you free*" whether it's imaginative or real. The Lord didn't have to say, "Hey, you idiots, don't you know Beelzebul is a fantasy?" He knew those who believed and studied His Word would see the illogic of the blasphemy. He was ensuring those with any sense, who were witnessing the conflict, would see that the Pharisee claim to authority should not be taken seriously. And we have the same situation today in which anybody with a smidgen of common sense can see the religious establishment as a pride filled brood of vipers, whose cup runneth over with the idols of the heart.

Whether we know it or not, our understanding of God is predicated on our own surrender to the Holy Spirit. "*For God did not call us to be impure, but to be holy. So then, he who is rejecting rejects not man, but God, who is also giving us His Holy Spirit*" I Thes. 4:8. We can't know God beyond what He has given us to understand. Whenever man tries to fill in the gaps, they create a new denomination, another adulterous church whoring after false gods in the name of Jesus the Christ. 'Answers In Genesis' creates more questions and confusion than anything, because Ken Ham is a Simon Magus. Ken Ham is void of the "key of knowledge" (Luke 11:52), which was the Light of the Word that Jesus spoke and is seen through the eyes of the New Covenant. He's spending over \$70 million dollars to hinder the Kingdom of God.

"*When the Spirit of truth comes, he will guide you into all truth*" John 16:13. After the resurrection and ascension of Jesus into Heaven, His physical presence was replaced with the omnipresence of Holy Spirit, the pure motivations of God. The Spirit not only reveals, but will guide and lead us to the truth that will never contradict the Father or the Son. Jesus said, "*I am the truth... and no man cometh unto the Father, but by Me*" John 14:6. So now we can deduce that the truth leads us into all truth and that then would include the truth about lies. "*Now we have received, not the spirit of the world, but the spirit which is of God, that we might know the things that are freely given to us of God*" I Cor. 2:12. The truth about lies regarding 'the world' is another contributing factor that disenfranchises White Christians from their earthly duties. When Jesus said "I am not of this world", He didn't mean for you to become a Rapturist.

It was necessary for God to deal with our spiritual blindness, our hardness of heart, our being lost sheep, in order for our race to see the blessings of redemption, because we can't see them with our five senses; no one can

see the gifts who are not enlightened by the Spirit of Truth. How can we know the forgiveness of sins if we have not been led to the New Covenant? "*But the natural man [the person without God's Spirit who functions solely on their five senses] does not receive the things of the Spirit of God; for they are foolishness unto him [it's just nonsense to his five senses]; neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned*" I Cor. 2:14. Motive goes to the heart of knowing the truth. We get the motivation from God. Man remains an animal in nature when he rejects divine revelation and thus uninfluenced by the Spirit of God i.e. the Truth. The Truth is despised rather than perceived as something beautiful. The carnal man remains in darkness and cannot access the truths of Christianity. Light will dispel the darkness.

Think about how great our society could be if the truth did matter. No one can understand God or be inclined to investigate His truths or love them when revealed, under the influence of their five senses or unbridled emotions. But the Christian is influenced by God. We're not all alone, left to our own devices and vivid imaginations. We have His Spirit. We have the mind of the Anointed (I Cor. 2:16),

who had the mind of God. The truth is, we experience His sanctifying power in our hearts, and bring forth good fruits to the glory of God. Do the good fruits of the Holy Spirit matter? How many people today could care less about love, joy, peace, patience, kindness, goodness or faithfulness? Do you think the truth matters when Jesus will say to those who tell Him that they've done many wonderful things in His name, even casting out devils, that He never knew them (Mt. 7:22-23)? Evidently, the Truth escaped these people and they were far from doing the will of God. They had to be blasphemers if Jesus tells them, "*I never knew you, depart from Me, ye who work iniquity (intentionally transgress God's Law).*" Maybe they knew the truth of Christian Identity and other doctrines, but

deliberately profaned the sacred message.

Let me digress for a moment and explain that a movement is something that moves. The Spirit of life breathed into Adam's nostrils was air moving in and out. The Hebrew word for spirit is *ruach*, and its Greek equivalent is *pneuma*. Both words literally mean air in motion or breath. On a physical level it is life; air moving in and out of our nose. On a mental level, it is the motivation(s) from God that animates our Christian character. It's the miracle of life with motive. We are moved by the Holy Spirit, therefore if it appears that our racial Movement is not moving, there must be an absence of divine motivation. Motive is why we act. Our Cause is the same thing that motivates us into action. The Bible is a book about cause and effect. If the Movement is of God, it is not the Movement that is dead, because God is not dead. We cannot turn our back on God and retain any momentum of success. Our Movement will grind to the snail's pace as we see it today. We can't pay lip service to Jesus the Christ by tossing a bone of religious nostalgia and wishful thinking for our salvation.

The absence of the Holy Spirit or a lack of divine motivation or not having a mentality of separating from evil, if you will, cannot be trusted. Those who continually malign Christianity with disinformation cannot be trusted. The biggest offender is the state sanctioned church giving aid and comfort to the enemy. Those White men who wrote the

**Video You May Have Missed:
THE FALL OF THE REPUBLIC
Alex Jones**

The Presidency of Barack H. Obama Documents how an offshore corporate cartel is bankrupting the U.S. economy by design. Leaders are now declaring that world government has arrived and that the dollar will be replaced with a new global currency. A scientific dictatorship is in its final stages of completion, and laws protecting basic human rights are being abolished worldwide; an iron curtain of high-tech tyranny is now descending over the planet.

CI-722 @ sug don \$9



Bible (not jews) were motivated to carry the will of God to the rest of Adamkind (mankind) in the world. We, in turn (from generation to generation) carry the message of the faith of our fathers to our children and grandchildren.

The prophets of old and the apostles of the New Covenant were not inspired by worldly principles, but by what God revealed to them, and they declared them in unambiguous and unpretentious language. *“Now we have received, not the spirit of the world, but the Spirit which is of God; that we might know the things that are freely given to us of God. Which things also we speak, not in the words which man's wisdom teaches, but which the Holy Spirit teaches; comparing spiritual things with spiritual”* I Cor. 2:12-13.

The Holy Spirit or Spirit of God is the exclusive agency and property of God and means the purity or divine perfection of His will. Our DNA, in contradistinction to other races, has a predisposition to receive life/Spirit and to have it more abundantly. In other words, God has given us His Holy Spirit to have an understanding and ability to perform His will on earth (as it is in Heaven). Like Jacob, we become Israelites by a change in our character if we move in the right direction. When a plurality of spiritual Christians moves together, it is a movement prompted by God. When Jesus said, *“All power (authority) is given unto Me in heaven and earth”* (Mt. 28:18)... that's it! Giving credit to anything else is blasphemy and so like the pagan Greeks, we should humble ourselves, and pray, and seek the righteousness of God's Law, and turn away from the mistakes of previously held beliefs... and God will forgive us our sins, and heal our nation.

Courtesy Kinsman Redeemer Ministries,
PO Box 436 Alexandria VA 41001

WAS MARTIN BRYANT REALLY A LONE-NUT ASSASSIN?

part 2

Part one of this report proved in absolute scientific terms that Martin Bryant could not have acted alone at Port Arthur and hinted strongly that he may not have acted at all, other than in an orchestrated 'patsy' role. Part two uses military science to prove that Briant could not have been responsible for the murders at Port Arthur or on the Arthur Highway, though he may have fired 250 wild shots from Seascope during the siege, every one of which failed to hit a target; a dramatic and strikingly obvious reversal of the real shooter's devastating performance at Port Arthur during the afternoon of 28 April 1996.

The initial reaction of most readers to the reality that Martin Bryant killed no-one at Port Arthur but was deliberately set up as a patsy is a combination of horror and complete disbelief. Are we to believe that a bunch of planners sat around a table and arranged the premeditated murders of 35 Australians? Unfortunately the answer is YES. All of the hard evidence at Port Arthur bears the distinctive trademark of a planned "psyop," meaning an operation designed to psychologically manipulate the belief mechanisms of a group of people or a nation for geopolitical or military reasons. Because of their illegal nature psyops are never formally ordered by governments, but are discreetly arranged

through multinational corporations and others. Some psyops ordered during the last forty years are known to have been carried out by independent contractors hired from a small specialist group, staffed mostly by retired members of American and Israeli special forces.

Patsies are normally used as decoys, deliberately inserted into the psyop to deflect attention away from the specialist group, allowing the latter time to extract safely from the operational area while the patsy takes the blame. But the planners leave tell-tale signs and occasionally make critical mistakes. It is a little-known fact that Lee Harvey Oswald was proved a patsy when a New Zealand newspaper printed a story about his guilt several hours before he was accused of the crime in Dallas. The planners put the

decoy story on the news wires too early, forgetting the crucial time difference would allow the New Zealand paper to print the story long before Oswald was even accused. It was a single planning error, but one that proved in absolute scientific terms that Lee Harvey Oswald was deliberately used as a patsy.

As part one of this report proved, policewoman Yvonne Fletcher's murder in London during 1984 was a psyop where the intended patsies were four million Libyans. The operation was successful and resulted in Tripoli being bombed by an 'outraged' President Reagan in 1986. The next blatant psyop was Lockerbie, when on 21 December 1988 Pan American flight 103 exploded in mid-air killing all 259 passengers and crew. Although very recent scientific evidence not yet in the public domain proves conclusively that the Libyans could not have been responsible, they were nonetheless blamed for the atrocity. The principal effects of those two psyops on the Libyans were sanc-

tions designed to prevent them updating defensive weapons systems capable of protecting their resource-rich nation. Since 1984 Libyan defence capabilities have steadily declined, leaving its people and resources increasingly vulnerable to external attack and thus possible conquest.

By a strange coincidence Australia is also a resource-rich nation, with overall reserves more than twenty times as valuable as those in Libya, but with only half the defence capability. In some ways this was not an insurmountable problem until 1996 because unlike Libya this nation has always had huge numbers of sporting shooters traditionally used in time of war to both train and supplement our miniscule armed forces. Not any more. Since the psyop at Port Arthur more than 400,000 reserve firearms have been pulped instead of stored by the Federal Government, leaving our nation and people terribly exposed to just about anyone interested in taking over the natural resources jewel in the southern hemisphere crown.

To hell with multinational global ambitions. This is Australia and we need to restore our reserve capability in order to keep this country the way it is. The first thing we have to do is prove once and for all time that Martin Bryant was used as a patsy to cover the objective of the Port Arthur psyop, which effectively undermined our national security. In fact I am going to prove that now, but doubt the Federal Government will be interested in the hard scientific facts, or in correcting the multiple gross errors made immediately after the massacre took place. The harsh and unpalatable

Library Builder

VOID OF OFFENSE TO GOD AND MAN

Rober Alan Balaicius

A very deep study into the attitude and mindset the Christian-Israelite should demonstrate toward both God and his Mainstream Christian brethren who are in blindness. More spiritual meat in one small booklet than most Christians have had in their entire lives. Penetrating insight into the difference between truly being in Christ and acceptable to God, or being deceived by the flesh into counterfeit good works that will one day be rejected by the Father. Greater insight and convincing logic concerning the fact that God never did away with His Law: His HOLY STANDARD for His people. Filled with unique analogies, poignant humor, and two-edged sword logic and teaching characteristic of Balaicius' — thinking and writing style

#335 @ sug don \$16.75



truth about Port Arthur will have to be forced on the Australian Government by the Australian people.

Martin Bryant, an intellectually impaired registered invalid with no training in the use of high-powered assault weapons, could not under any circumstances have achieved or maintained the incredibly high and consistent killed-to-injured ratio and kill-rate which were bench marks of the Port Arthur massacre. Whoever was on the trigger that fateful day demonstrated professional skills equal to some of the best special forces shooters in the world. His critical error lay in killing too many people too quickly while injuring far too few, thereby exposing himself for what he was: a highly trained combat shooter probably ranked among the top twenty such specialists in the western world.

Over the years television viewers have been subjected to such a barrage of Rambo-style television programmes that most now believe every time Sylvester Stallone points a gun and pulls the trigger, twenty bad guys immediately fall down dead from lethal shots to the head or heart. Unfortunately this Hollywood media rubbish is hopelessly misleading and in no way reflects the difficulties involved in killing large numbers of people quickly, regardless of whether those people are armed or not, and regardless of the ranges involved. For a number of reasons explained later, killing efficiently at close range in crowded and confined spaces presents the shooter with far more complex targetting problems than those associated with conventional open-air combat scenarios.

Media claims that those killed in the Broad Arrow Cafe were shot at point-blank range where 'Bryant' could not possibly have missed are complete rubbish. Point-blank range is where the muzzle of a weapon is held against the body of the target. In the Broad Arrow Cafe the shooter fired at an average range of twelve feet where a tiny aim-off error of

three degrees is enough to ensure that a bullet completely misses a target the size of a human head. Readers are invited to prove to themselves just how small an error that is, by laying two twelve-foot long pieces of string flat on the floor alongside each other, with the far ends four inches apart. That helps put things into perspective, doesn't it?

Scientific terms such as killed-to-injured ratio and kill-rate are enough to bore most readers to death, but in order to fully comprehend the enormity of the **media lies** about the massacre, and expose the planned nature of the operation it is essential information. The killed-to-injured ratio is used to calculate reliably how many injured survivors should be expected for every person killed for a given number of rounds fired.

Even assault rounds as powerful as those fired by the Colt AR15 can only ensure a one-shot kill if the target is hit in the head, a six by six inch target; or in the heart, a ten by ten inch target. Together these areas form between one fifth and one seventh of the overall body target area, so for every person killed there will be between five and seven injured, expressed as "1 to 5" and "1 to 7."

The records show that a total of 32 people were shot in the Broad Arrow Cafe, so at best we would expect 4 dead and 28 injured, or at worst 6 dead and 26 injured. These are very reliable military figures based on hard science, but the actual figures in the Broad Arrow Cafe were 20 dead and 12 injured - an incredible inverted ratio of 1.66 to 1, or nearly two dead for every one injured. Special forces train continuously for months on end to achieve a ratio as high as

this, which lies far beyond the abilities of regular soldiers, and is an absolute scientific impossibility for an intellectually impaired registered invalid.

Media apologists desperately trying to protect their obscene "lone nut" legend will scream foul at this point and claim that flukes happen [like John Laws still repeats today]. No they do not. About seven months ago a trained Israeli soldier went berserk in Hebron and fired a complete thirty-shot magazine of ammunition from an identical Colt AR15 into a crowd of Palestinians at the same range. His thirty high velocity bullets injured nine and killed no-one at all. This Israeli example helps drive home the absolute lunacy of crafted media insinuations that Martin Bryant who was a registered invalid who suddenly metamorpho-



sized into a lethal equivalent of a fully trained and highly disciplined US Navy SEAL

Next we come to the kill-rate which refers to the speed at which people are killed, thereby reflecting the skill, co-ordination, and accuracy of the shooter. It is accepted by all the authorities in Tasmania that immediately after the shooter entered the Broad Arrow Cafe he killed his first 12 victims in 15 seconds, a claim apparently opposed by some sporting shooters in Tasmania because of the seemingly impossible speed and lethal efficiency. This is a very reasonable objection so long as those shooters remain media-fixated on Martin Bryant, but there is nothing impossible about such a high kill-rate at the hands of a top special forces shooter operating at peak efficiency.

The first thing special forces do when entering an enclosed area containing superior numbers is lay down very fast accurate fire designed to kill as many hostiles as possible, thus gaining absolute control of the area in record time and minimizing the risk of injury to themselves; and because hostiles frequently wear body armour protecting the heart area, special forces are trained to aim instinctively for the smaller head target. Following these unpublished protocols precisely, the shooter at Port Arthur gained absolute control of the Broad Arrow Cafe in fifteen seconds flat, killing all of his victims with a single shot to the head.

To even suggest that Martin Bryant, whose proven weapons handling experience was limited to a single-shot Webley Osprey air rifle could have caused this carnage is absurd. When the shooter entered the Broad Arrow Cafe full of people sitting at tables and fired the first shot, everyone inside reacted instinctively to the muzzle blast (noise) of the AR15, but each reacted in a different way, some just turning their heads while others moved physically, temporarily obscuring yet more diners and shielding them from the line of fire. At the same time the AR15 was recoiling upwards through about five degrees of arc as it cycled another round into the breech, throwing the muzzle off target.

In a millisecond the cafe was full of targets moving in at least ten different directions while the muzzle of the AR15 was still recoiling upwards from the first shot. But despite the enormous difficulties and the complex target trigonometry involved, the shooter controlled the recoil and

THE STALIN DEATH: a Super Mystery

by Kenneth Goff with editing and Copious Notes Constituting "A Bolshevik Primer" and with illustrations added by Robert Alan Balaicius

It was anti-Germanic propaganda (and we know who controls the media) which started the concept that the Germans were Assyrians. A base lie. The Germans are of the tribe of Judah, and are blood kin to all the other European peoples

#995 @ sug don \$8.60



killed 12 moving and partially obscured targets at the rate of one every 1.25 seconds. Nor did he trip over any obstructions, indicating that this professional shooter's face was seen in the Broad Arrow Cafe by staff some time earlier, during his final reconnaissance when he studied the layout to ensure no hiccups occurred during the operation. There were no hiccups. Ninety seconds after entering the Broad Arrow Cafe the shooter departed, leaving thirty two Australians and others lying on the floor, twenty of them dead.

All of these hard scientific facts were deliberately excluded by the frenzied media pack and not one attempt was made to establish the real identity of the shooter. Long blonde hair did not prove the shooter was Martin Bryant, and the media somehow forgot to remind the Australian Public that long wigs are the most common form of basic disguise ever used. In the Broad Arrow a long wig would also have been necessary to conceal the ear protection worn by the shooter. Firing 29 high velocity AR15 rounds in that hollow confined space produced as much concussive blast as several stun grenades; sufficient concussion to severely impair the shooter's spatial orientation (and thus aim) unless wearing special ear protectors or combat communications ear-phones. Readers are cautioned not to try proving this point themselves if they value their eardrums and long-term hearing ability.

Official accounts are hazy about what happened next, but it is confirmed that most of those killed were shot with the Belgian FN, a heavier assault weapon which has a completely different weight and balance from the Colt AR15 and fires a round producing more than twice the recoil. But despite switching between weapons with very different handling characteristics, and shifting from close to intermediate range, the shooter constantly maintained an awesome inverted killed-to-injured ratio. Overall the massacre produced 35 dead and 22 injured for a final killed-to-injured ratio of 1.60 to 1, almost identical to the 1.66 to 1 ratio in the Broad Arrow Cafe. To say the shooter was consistent would be the understatement of the year.

In layman terms, in an average shooting the 35 people who were killed at Port Arthur should have been accompanied by between 175 and 245 injured survivors; very similar ratios to the American MacDonalds and other random massacres. Instead there were only 22, the trademark of a highly trained combat shooter. It is only when accurately analyzed in this cold scientific way that the monstrous nature of the media story can be exposed for what it really is: a creative lie every bit as loathsome as that fashioned by the British media when WPC Yvonne Fletcher was shot in the back from an American multinational building during 1984, but where the media grovelled obsequiously in front of powerful international patrons and lobbies and conspired to pervert the course of justice by blaming the Libyans instead of the Americans and Israelis.

The professional shooter in Tasmania presented us with a final display of his unquestioned prowess when tourist Linda White and her boyfriend Mick approached Seascap Cottage on the Port Arthur road in a small four-wheel drive vehicle, shortly after the massacre in the Broad Arrow Cafe. Both saw the shooter aim and Linda White felt the wind of the first round as it passed her cheek and shattered the driver's window next to her head. The shooter corrected his aim and the second shot hit Linda White in the arm, just

to the right of the heart target area. The third round killed the engine and stopped the vehicle.

In this his ultimate demonstration of combat shooting skill the shooter fired one sighting shot at a fast-moving target of unknown speed from an unsupported free-standing firing position, the most difficult of all; instantly and accurately compensated for vehicle speed and weapon recoil and with the same blinding speed as the computer gunsight on an F14 Tomcat, then disabled both driver and vehicle with shots two and three. This man might have been an indispensable asset stopping speeding car-bombers in Beirut, but his professional skills were far too conspicuous for Port Arthur. In the view of this author these were the last shots fired by the professional before he (or they) smoothly extracted from the Tasman Peninsula and then from Australia, leaving patsy Martin Bryant down the track at Seascap holding the baby.

The trail to Seascap Cottage had been meticulously laid. In Martin Bryant's car at the tollbooth was a combat shotgun, a bag of ammo for the Belgian FN and, very conveniently, Martin Bryant's passport. Then there was Linda White's disabled four wheel drive on the Arthur Highway and a stolen BMW burning in the grounds of Seascap to mark the way, and just in case all these clues were not enough for the Tasmanian Police, an anonymous caller to police headquarters in Hobart advised the authorities that the man holed up in Seascap was probably Martiu Bryant. Short of erecting a pink neon sign reading "THIS WAY TO THE PATSY->" the professional or professionals seem to have thought of everything.

There were no eyewitnesses who could positively identify Martin Bryant

at Port Arthur because an Australian newspaper circulated his photograph nationwide, thereby totally corrupting any and all police lineups, photo boards, or controlled shopping mall parades. All the eyewitnesses could legally claim was a "tall man with long blonde hair," which was no impediment to the media who tried and convicted Martin Bryant in less than two days, in one of the most blatant and disgusting displays of media abuse ever seen.

So Bryant the patsy was firmly in place and Seascap was swiftly surrounded by armed police from Tasmania and Victoria, most of whom must have been very puzzled as the siege continued through the night. If we are to believe media reports (difficult, I know) Martin Bryant fired 250 rounds during the siege period but hit nothing at all, which is exactly what one would expect of someone whose prior experience was limited to a Webley Osprey air rifle. If the professional shooter had fired 250 rounds from Seascap Cottage during the siege, his awesome killed-to-injured ration would have resulted in a police funeral cortege stretching from the Tasman Peninsula to Hobart.

It is beyond doubt that many of the armed police noticed Bryant's wild undisciplined performance at Seascap bore absolutely no resemblance at all to that of the deadly shooter at Port Arthur, and some must have told their senior officers about it, though it seems they were ignored or simply told to shut up. The media had its man, the feeding frenzy was in full swing and the police were not going to be allowed to spoil a lucrative politically-correct story by telling the truth.

Unfortunately media versions of events had some flaws so basic that to mention them on national television was an insult to the intelligence of every Australian citizen. We

from John Weaver comes:

The Biblical Truth About

GOD'S RIGHTEOUS VENGEANCE

This book will help Christians gain a better understanding of the true God of the Bible. It is must reading for every preacher of the Gospel. Hopefully, it will inspire a renewed commitment to the preaching of the whole counsel of God. This subject has for too long been ignored by the Christian community.

#766 @ sug don \$17.85



were told in most reports that Bryant had three weapons, one of which, the Daewoo combat shotgun, was left in the boot of his Volvo near the tollbooth. The reports then tell us that Martin Bryant then took the Colt AR15 and Belgian FN assault rifles down to Seascapc with him and used them along with other weapons found in the house to fire those 250 shots at the police during the siege. Oh, really?

Bryan't last telephone conversation with the police was around 9pm on 28th April and his next contact was when he stumbled out of a fiercely burning Seascapc Cottage unarmed and with his back on fire at 8.37 am the following morning. Police confirmed that Bryant came out unarmed, and also confirmed that by then the fire, exacerbated by exploding ammunition, was burning so fiercely that they were completely incapable of approaching the building to see if anyone else was still alive. Seascapc rapidly became an inferno as the entire structure collapsed on the ground in a pile of white-hot debris, which of course included the charred and twisted remains of the Colt AR15 and the Belgian FN assault rifles allegedly fired from inside the building by Martin Bryant, destroyed not only by the searing heat but also by the exploding ammunition.

So how can it be that on a Channel 9 programme shown in November 1996 a Tasmanian police officer was able to show all Australians two immaculate assault weapons allegedly used by Bryant at Port Arthur. Where did the police obtain these pristine weapons we were shown on national television? The real shooter probably left them lying neatly on the ground near Seascapc and the patsy, before departing at speed for the Devonport ferry terminal.

In order to present even a shell of a case against Martin Bryant the prosecution needed valid identification by witnesses, but all eyewitness statements were corrupt. In addition they needed the weapons used in the massacre ballistically cross-matched to bullets found in the victims at Port Arthur, either in Martin Bryant's possession or bearing his fingerprints. They had neither. Nor were Bryan't fingerprints found at the Broad Arrow Cafe where he is alleged to have eaten lunch immediately before the massacre. In an unprecedented move, fully-edited fake video footage obtained direct from America was entered as evidence in an Australian court against Australian citizen Martin Bryant. In short there is no case for Bryant to answer with regard to Port Arthur, though he must still explain why he was at Seascapc or, more to the point perhaps, tell us who talked him into going there when he did.

Bryant did admit to taking the BMW but from a different location, and without knowing why, and possibly setting fire to it later at Seascapc, but vehemently denied any involvement at Port Arthur. His limited confession fits the known hard scientific facts exactly, and for many months after his arrest despite the severe disadvantage of his intellectual impairment, Bryant kept to his story in the face of tremendous pressure from police interrogators and psychiatrists to admit the enormity of his alleged crimes. He continued to refuse to do so and at the formal hearing on 30 September 1996 pleaded not guilty to all seventy two charges.

At that precise point in time the prosecution knew it had a very serious problem. Martin Bryant was refusing to roll over and there was absolutely no hard evidence at all linking him to the murders at Port Arthur, a fact that would very quickly become obvious if the case was allowed to

proceed to trial in front of twelve alert Tasmanian jurors. About the only thing that might save the day was a false confession of the kind beaten out of the Birmingham Six and the Guildford Four by the British police, but by then Bryant was in prison where his screams might attract attention. With the media pack outside its doors baying for blood, government had to do something but had few options. Perhaps heavy pressure could be exerted on a third party to extract a confession from Bryant?

Shortly afterwards, according to Tasmanian reporter Mike Bingham, Martin Bryant's mother Carleen, unable to face the stress of a public trial, paid a visit to Bryant at Risdon Prison and told him that if he did not plead guilty, she and his (Bryant's) younger sister would commit suicide and he would never see them again. Bingham later wrote that he doubted this was the reason for Martin Bryant then changing his plea to guilty on all charges, but who does Bingham think he is kidding apart from himself?

Bryant's mum and sister were probably the only people in the world who would still talk to him, and he had just been told that if he didn't plead guilty they wouldn't be talking to him ever again, and furthermore he would also be directly responsible for their deaths. Carleen Bryant's threat achieved in days what the police interrogators and psychiatrists had failed to achieve in months. Martin Bryant loved his mum and sister and wanted to see both of them again, something that would only happen if he could stop them committing suicide. Clearly Martin Bryant pleaded guilty to save the lives of his mum and sister.

If Bingham's claim is correct applying such enormous psychological pressure was mental cruelty, every bit as coercive as the physical abuse handed out to the Birmingham

Six and Guildford Four by the British police. But because Martin Bryant was a registered invalid with impaired intellectual functions, the way that psychological blackmail was used to force a false confession out of him was as illegal as the physical methods used by the British police, leaving the way open for Bryant to withdraw his false confession and lodge an appeal against his sentence.

Just like Lee Harvey Oswald in Dallas, Martin Bryant was a perfect patsy. Both had lively imaginations but few friends, and to a large degree both lacked credibility because of their inability to defend themselves eloquently in front of the media. Lee Harvey Oswald very quickly became a dead patsy incapable of saying anything at all, and it is highly likely the same fate was planned for Martin Bryant. If Seascapc had been located in California or Texas, Martin Bryant would unquestionably have been shot dead the split-second he left the building. It was only the iron discipline exercised by the Tasmania and Victorian special operations groups at the scene which allowed Martin Bryant to be taken into custody alive. All of those armed officers deserve the highest praise for their restraint in what must have been perceived as an extremely dangerous situation.

It is beyond doubt that those who planned the psyop are uneasy about Martin Bryant's continued existence and would sleep better at night if he should suddenly drop down dead. With this in mind, any good-natured crims enjoying an extended sabbatical in Risdon prison who read this report are asked to keep an eye on Martin Bryant and do what they can to ensure that he doesn't accidentally commit 'suicide' or slip in a bar of soap and break his neck. No

LOAN ONLY video you may have missed:

INSIDE JOB

The first film to expose the shocking truth behind the economic crisis of 2008. The global financial meltdown, at a cost of over \$20 trillion, resulted in millions of people losing their homes and jobs. Through extensive research and interviews with major financial insiders, politicians and journalists, this traces the rise of a rogue industry and unveils the corrosive relationships which have corrupted politics, regularion and academia

#CI-412 @ sug LOAN don \$7



need to go over the top by ordering huge buckets of Kentucky Fried Chicken, but a cheerful wave or a friendly smile now and then might be enough to let him know that you know he didn't kill those women and children at Port Arthur, and that some time soon all Australians are going to need whatever help he can provide in tracking down the ruthless professionals who cold-bloodedly murdered 35 unarmed Australian citizens, in what will eventually be recorded by historians as one of the most obscene psyops conducted anywhere in the World.

Reversing the psyop illusion will not be a walk in the park, but a battle for truth in broadcasting, where another creative media illusion like that put to air after the massacre at Port Arthur will immediately result in equally creative prison sentences for the magicians who reverse the truth on television for eighteen million Australians. It is a battle we must win for the sake of our children and for their children in turn, and if in the end we are forced to take legal action against government in order to obtain justice, then we must find the funds to do so.

Logic indicates that a limited number of Australian citizens or residents colluded in the massacre, if only in terms of forward reconnaissance and setting Martin Bryant up for the professionals. We need to find out who they were and we need to find out who funded the psyop. The Federal Police must be asked to investigate the subversive groups who used huge lobby power immediately after the psyop to undermine our national security. The 400,000 reserve weapons pulped were fully funded by the taxpayer and should at the very least have been placed in military reserve stock for use in time of national emergency. Once greased, such weapons need no maintenance and we had plenty of secure storage space for them. There are no excuses for lobbyists and politicians who wittingly undermine Australian national security.

This is far from the end of the story but it is all that I will be publishing until an intensive independent investigation into the massacre is carried out in Tasmania. There are at least eight other gross errors surrounding the mass murder, any one of which has the potential to savagely damage government, and another thirty lesser points, but each and every one must be verified in absolute privacy. If my investigation into Yvonne Fletcher's murder in London taught me anything at all, it was simply that the premature release of critical information serves only to allow time for media apologists to think up highly creative ways of minimizing its impact on the public.

If the Federal Government is to be forced into action, it must be presented with a case so complete and so utterly damning that immediate action will be its only recourse short of being thrown out of office by a large bunch of very angry Australians.

Many years ago Oscar Wilde said "Literature always anticipates life. It does not copy it, it moulds it to its purpose." In 1988 Australian newspapers reported New South Wales politician Barry Unsworth's claim that there would be no effective gun control in Australia until there was a massacre in Tasmania.

..... to be continued

PRESERVING AGENT OR PARTICULAR TARGET OF DESTRUCTION?

by Andrea Schwartz

"Teacher, which is the great commandment in the Law?" And he said to him, "You shall love the Lord your God with all your heart and with all your soul and with all your mind. This is the great and first commandment. And a second is like it: You shall love your neighbour as yourself. On these two commandments depend all the Law and the Prophets." (Matt. 22:36-40).

How often do professing believers ask themselves if they have truly given their entire heart, soul, and mind to Jesus the Christ? There must be a standard to make an honest assessment. If we focus on the obvious sins we don't commit, we can miss our subtle sins against the Lord.

Many, either because of being born into a believing family or having made a one-time profession of faith, are often nonchalant when it comes to current offences against God and His Law. They assure themselves that since they do not commit the big sins (murder, adultery, or theft), they get a passing grade, in spite of areas of sin they want to keep unexamined. This results in a diminished witness in those areas where they do hold Biblical values and perspectives. Why? While they may be convinced that their offenses are hidden, they are often all too obvious to those who are eager to watch them trip up. Moreover, they are less likely to be regarding sins that aren't their "preferred ones," to avoid a closer investigation into their own sinful thoughts, words, and deeds.

God's Law does not accept the "close enough" plea when it comes to how our lives conform to His directives, nor does He grade on a curve. James 2:10 confirms,

For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one point, he is guilty of all

It has become commonplace to believe that "there is no condemnation in Christ Jesus" (Rom. 8:1) means that any sin you commit will be forgiven by God. This not only reflects a faulty theology of God's patience and forbearance, but minimizes the enormous cost of Calvary.

Answering the Question

When asked about the most important aspect of following God, Jesus didn't pull any punches. His answer was that those who were His were to be completely surrendered to His sovereignty and providence. Then, and only then, would they be in a position to know how to truly love anyone else. This was not a new concept but a restatement of Deuteronomy 6:5, and demonstrates the harmony of the law and the gospel.

Deliberate Christianity, as I like to call it, involves examining every area of our lives and thoughts and measuring them against God's Word, down to the detail. If we avoid this process, we are only hindering ourselves—mistakenly believing we are in genuine fellowship with Him when we are not.

Many want to enjoy the promised benefits and blessings of the Christian life, without confronting the conditions necessary for blessings. A "potluck" mentality prevails with a "pick and choose" orientation as to which of God's commandments one will focus on. This is obvious with the prevailing attitude that God's Law is no longer operable and that as long as we conform to modern church standards and traditions, we will squeak into the doors of the Kingdom. The culture then becomes the determiner of

From John Weaver comes: THE SOVEREIGNTY OF GOD and Civil Government

Sadly, the biblical truths that empowered, inspired, motivated, and invigorated our forefathers have virtually disappeared from most pulpits in the nation today; thus, they are no longer a part of the great experience of freedom. As a result, the great Christian constitutional republic envisioned and structured by the founding fathers has become an antinomian democracy controlled by men who are governed by a spirit of autonomy
302 @ sug don \$23.85



what is acceptable and what is not. This is essentially syncretism and is “accidental” Christianity (at best) rather than deliberate Christianity. Rushdoony notes:

The churches today are very much like old Israel, syncretistic. Too often, we get, not Christianity from the pulpit, but a smorgasbord of assorted religious ideas. All this adds up to the word of man, not the Word of God. “[T]he word of truth” (Eph. 1:13) cannot be diluted without ceasing to be the truth ... Syncretism ... stands for nothing. Our Lord tells us that the first and great commandment is to love the Lord our God with all our heart, soul, and mind, with all our being (Matt. 22:36-38). No syncretist can keep that law.¹

I know many who are very vocal about their sincere Christianity who disregard the laws of fornication, or theft, etc. (or define them very narrowly) and are offended when it is suggested that they don’t love Jesus. The standard has sunk so low, that the modern media, social networks, and modern trends become the arbiters of good and evil.

Limiting Worship

Human beings were created to worship. They either worship the living and true God or they find replacements. Many believe that weekly church attendance fulfills their obligation to worship God. They do not understand that every second of their lives should be occupied with worship and show homage to the King of kings and Lord of lords.

The question I’m often asked when I run into someone whose church I previously attended is “Where do you worship now?” Not, “How is your service to the Kingdom?” It is as though going to a church (any church) is enough to fulfill the command to worship God. This reveals a very limited understanding of the greatest commandment, and highlights outward appearances over inward conviction. IN addition, most churches are **not** faithfully proclaiming the full counsel of God. Instead, they highlight the “**inoffensive**” parts of Scripture and thus hijack the true meaning of the gospel message. Rushdoony considers it theft in the truest sense of the word.

The greatest robbery of our day is the stealing of the church. The church properly belongs to Jesus the Christ. It exists in His name, and its purpose is to preach the Word of God, administer the sacraments, and faithfully apply godly discipline to Jesus’ members. But the church has been stolen. The thieves are the modernists, socialists, humanists, all of which adds up to one fact, anti-Christianity. Using the name of Jesus, these sanctimonious thieves have crept into the church, gained control of it, captured the pulpit and the bank accounts and endowed funds, and they are using the church to advance their anti-Christian purposes. Instead of proclaiming Jesus, the church is now preaching social revolution and financing it ... [T]he church has been stolen from Jesus the Christ.²

True Worship

The locale of worship is not a place or a building. Since we are to present our bodies as a living sacrifice (Rom 12:1), all aspects and facets of our lives should be manifestations of worship. When Jesus was questioned by the Samaritan woman, His answer was to the point when she asked,

Our fathers worshipped in this mountain; and ye say, that in Jerusalem is the place where men ought to worship. Jesus saith unto her, Woman, believe me, the hour cometh, when ye shall neither in this mountain, nor yet at Jerusa-

lem, worship the Father. Ye worship ye know not what: we know what we worship: for salvation is of the Judean. But the hour cometh, and now is, when true worshippers shall worship the Father in spirit and in truth: for the Father seeketh such to worship him. God is a Spirit: and they that worship him must worship him in spirit and in truth. (John 4:20-24)

Rushdoony notes:

Jesus refuses to be drawn into such a discussion because He is the true and living Temple. Neither Jerusalem nor Samaria is the center. All the same, “salvation of the Judean,” the promise of the great messianic redemption, comes from Judea. The true worshipper will worship in the Spirit and in truth. God is not limited by space and time, because God is Spirit, and He can only be worshipped in Spirit and in truth. He can not be localized even in the greatest Temple, even one built in terms of His word. In Himself, Jesus is the way, the truth, and the life (John 14:6); He is the revelation of the invisible God (vv.21-24).³

Only when we reflect on our faithfulness to the two Greatest Commandments, the Ten Commandments, and the application in the case laws of Scripture will we be able to be the salt Jesus instructs us to be in His Sermon on the Mount. This isn’t a suggestion or a “when you get a chance” directive: It is how we take dominion in Jesus’ name.

The meaning of salt here is thus preservation. A sinful and corrupt world will rapidly decay and collapse unless the Christian element therein acts as the agent of preservation. Apart from them, society and the state are readily and quickly corrupt; only the Christians can prevent the radical deterioration of society and civil government. If they fail to work as the preserving agent, the Lord decrees that they shall “be trodden under foot of men.” Christians must either preserve their society from destruction or become themselves a particular target of destruction.⁴

Apparently, the choice is ours.¶

Notes: 1. R.J. Rushdoony, A Word in Season, vol.4 (Vallecito CA: Ross House Books, 2012), p.111

2. R.J. Rushdoony, A Word in Season, vol.2 (Vallecito CA: Ross House Books, 2011), p.112.

3. R.J. Rushdoony, Gospel of John (Vallecito CA: Ross House Books, 2000), p.38.

4. R.J. Rushdoony, Sermon on the Mount (Vallecito CA: Ross House Books, 2009), p.44.

Courtesy Faith for All of Life, PO Box 158, Vallecito CA 95251

THE SCENT OF DANGER

by Jorg Mardian

Manufacturers use fragrance to sell, but it can hurt your health

Your faculty of smell is probably your most underrated sense. You can detect at least 1 trillion distinct scents. Your memories and emotions linked to smells can be stronger than those associated with sight or sound.

Manufacturers have tapped into this powerful emotional consumer response, adding artificial scents to many household products. And this has brought about some serious health concerns.

A Fragrance by Any Other Name

In the commercial industry, a fragrance is anything that adds a smell to something else. Manufacturers of products like shampoos, air fresheners, house cleaners, candles and dryer sheets re-create inviting scents in products. Some



grocery stores artificially pump in aromas of chocolate and baked bread; car dealerships spray artificial “new car smell” inside vehicles; perfume manufacturers create “scent logos” to elevate a brand’s appeal. A study by Nike showed that adding scents to their stores increased intent to purchase by 80 percent.

The public generally perceives scented products as pleasant and harmless. But these fragrances often contain chemicals that can be dangerous when inhaled or touched the skin. Many are listed on the Environmental Protection Agency’s (EPA) Hazardous Waste List. They include numerous carcinogens, neurotoxins, respiratory irritants, solvents, aldehydes, petro-chemicals, phthalates, narcotics and more (Connie Pitts, *Get a Whiff of This*).

There are many clinical accounts of fragranced products causing, triggering and exacerbating an increasing number of health conditions. People with multiple chemical sensitivities experience excessive reactivity to a wide range of chemicals and can develop dysfunction in organ systems that baffle physicians.

It increasingly appears that chemical sensitivity comes from the body’s detoxification systems suffering from accumulated chemical exposure. This can result in strange forms of cancer, neurological, autoimmune or genetic disorders, and a widening array of allergies, says the Arizona Center for Advanced Medicine.

Consider this stunning fact: When you see the word “fragrance” on the label of a product, that scent will typically contain a blend of 100 to 350 ingredients. About 5,000 fragrance ingredients are used in heavy rotation, and the Food and Drug Administration gives them a free pass from being revealed to consumers, even when products have caused serious health problems.

But the fragrance industry is facing a real problem: About 30 percent of U.S. citizens (98 million people) now exhibit serious symptoms to the toxic chemicals in their products, according to the *Journal of Environmental Health*. Some experts believe chemical fragrances may be causing many modern diseases. This concern is slowly seeping into public consciousness as the new “second-hand smoke.”

Hazardous Household Products

If you have not given this subject much thought, you should start examining some of the products you use.

The EPA reveals that potent carcinogens are present in your fabric softeners and dryer sheets. Benzyl acetate is linked to pancreatic cancer; limonene is a known lung irritant; dichlorobenzene, a carcinogenic solvent, causes respiratory distress and heart attacks. Fabric softeners and dryer sheets are specifically designed to transfer their scent onto clothing, which means these chemicals stay close to your body.

Laundry detergent is no better. It may contain nonylphenol, shown in studies to cause reproductive and developmental problems. The carcinogen formaldehyde has also been added to fragrance formulas, as have phthalates, causing hormone disruptions, cancer, birth defects and fertility problems according to the National Resources Defense Council.

Everyone has favorite hair products, but shampoos and moisturizers may contain paraben preservatives that tamper

with hormone function, perfumes that cause allergic reactions, and even lead, which can lead to cancer.

Air fresheners also poison the very air you’re trying to enliven. The Environmental Working Group says they include the known carcinogen 1,4 Dichlorobenzene, a dangerous chemical found in the blood of 96 percent of Americans, as well as other volatile organic compounds that can cause headaches, breathing difficulties, depression, irregular heartbeats and other health challenges.

Do you want to create some ambience with scented candles? Many of these contain acetone, benzene, lead, carbon monoxide, toluene and more. The lead comes from metal wicks that release particles, and these have been found in the human brain where they are associated with Alzheimers Disease, as well as birth defects in babies, according to National Air Quality Testing Services.

Go Fragrance Free

Chemical fragrances are invisible and often pleasant, but most are deceitfully dangerous. Look for products that are free of fragrances. Check ingredient lists. Avoid any product with one of these as an ingredient: fragrance, par-fum, phthalate, DEP, DBP, DEHP, limonene or linalool. And note: Some products labeled “fragrance freed” still contain fragrance ingredients to mask unpleasant chemical smells.

If you want a scented product, look for one that uses essential oils instead of chemical artificial fragrance. You can use essential oils (in a diffuser) as an excellent, safe way to freshen up a room, and you can dab a drop or two of essential oil onto a washcloth and put it in the dryer with your laundry to freshen your clothes.

For a list of safer cleaning products and recipes for making your own, visit LessToxicGuide.ca. You can also search the Skin Deep database at ewg.org/skindeep, a comprehensive list of safe products for cleaning and personal care.¶

Courtesy The Philadelphia Trumpet, Box 3700
Edmond OK 73083

must have for parents: TO TRAIN UP A CHILD Michael & Debi Pearl

3000 years ago a wise man said, “Train up a child in the way he should go and when he is old he will not depart from it.” Good training is not crisis management; it is what you do before the need to discipline arises. Most parenting is accidental rather than deliberate. Imagine building a house that way. We don’t need to reinvent training. There are child-training principles and methods that have worked from antiquity. To neglect deliberate training is to shove your child into a sea of choices and passions without a boat or compass.

30 @ sug don \$13.50

BRING SANITY TO YOUR FAMILY LIFE

by Joel Hilliker

You need sound, time-tested instruction for raising your children, now more than ever!

One morning last November, people watching *Good Morning America* were served up an inspirational segment about an 11-year-old boy with an unusual hobby. “His bravery is inspiring so many,” the host gushed, introducing a biographical video segment about the boy’s “amazing story.” What was so brave, inspiring and amazing to so many about this boy? Why such glowing national television coverage? Because he likes to primp and prance like a sexy grown woman.

“I love to put on makeup, dresses and wigs and of course, jewelry,” the boy Desmond, whose “drag name” is “Desmons is Amazing,” explained in the video with a weirdly effeminate affectation. “It just feels amazing to know that people love what I do. My one big message would be three words: Be yourself always.”

His mother said, “It really touches me deeply that there are other children out there that he’s reaching and they’re listening to him and he’s influencing them to be themselves.”

After the video, Desmond strutted into the studio,



supermodel catwalk style, wearing a long strawberry blond wig, a short dress and a fur coat. The audience cheered wildly at the sexualized display. They and the host enthused over the boy's every word as though he were an icon of heroism. And they raved when he received surprise visits from three grown "drag queens" bearing gifts for him.

Good Morning America is as mainstream as it gets. It has been the most-watched morning show in the country for decades. And this was bold, blatant, carefully orchestrates propaganda with some incredibly disturbing messages for today's parents and children.

What message? That encouraging gender confusion in your children is brave and inspiring. That sexualizing children is fun and praiseworthy. That these subversive and countercultural notions are universally applauded in today's America. (It was truly bizarre how unanimously exuberant the studio audience was). That the real problem is bigotry, and that children raised without societal bias naturally "get it."

Beyond gender-warping, this story teaches other damaging lessons. That we strengthen our children by celebrating "achievements" like just being themselves. That they should be applauded rather than guided. That they are inherently wise enough to make radical, life-altering decisions. That self-expression, however bizarre, is inately virtuous. That self-absorption is admirable.

These are becoming the gospel truths of modern child rearing. They are rapidly reshaping our culture and society. They are leading to a disturbing future that embraces moral corruption, confusion and narcissism, that enforces compliance, and rejects reality and absolute truth.

Responsible parenting has never been more difficult. A mother who lauds her son's cross-dressing is celebrated as a paragon of positive parental tolerance and support. Meanwhile, parents are ignored or even criticized for instilling in their children *actual* virtues like moral sense, good manners, discipline, work ethic, responsibility, academic excellence, sound decision-making, modesty and humility.

If you want to block this insane trend from infecting your own family, you are going to have to fight. You are going to have to work. You need sound, time-tested instructions on how to bring up your children and teenagers to be successful adults.

You need to understand the purpose of a child—the purpose of human life itself. You need to understand which values are truly virtues, who defines them and how. You need to understand how to teach those virtues to your children.

You need practical specifics on what to teach your infant, toddler, child and teen. You need to know for certain that the parenting laws you are abiding by are right, so you can stick with them until they produce the fulfilling, puposeful, happy family every parent yearns for.

You need to know not only what obvious evils to protect your children from, but also the subtle evils, and the evils that actually appear to be good. You need to know about giving right discipline and also about giving right instruction. You need to know not only what your children should learn but how to equip them to be good learners. You need to know how to select good toys, how to have upbuilding family outings, the importance of tussling with your sons, taking your daughters on dates and making family time fun time. You need to take the things you will wish you had known and learn them *now*.

You need to learn what Hannah, one of the greatest parents of the Bible learned. She prayed to her Creator and said if He would "*give unto thine handmaid a man child, then I will give him to the Lord all the days of his life*" (1

Samuel 1:11). She understood the vision of what a child could become, and God recorded her example, and others, so you can too.

Courtesy The Philadelphia Trumpet, Box 3700 Edmond OK 73083

DELIVERANCE PRAYER

Robert Alan Balaičius, August 14, 2019

"Love" and "Tol'rance" did they champion, cry;
but now, "There's no place for you - - so die!"

So blatant are they in OUR land,
to persecute and make demands.
Such hypocrites, this hateful brood,
whom Christ exposed, condemned, withstood.

Had Christendom not passive yawned,
when all abominations spawned;
had godly men not sympathized
when aliens and perverts cried;
and let them have their little place
and tolerated sin's disgrace.
And even let them have their say,
and practice their ungodly way;
- they would have in the sewers stayed,
and not been open on parade.

God please forgive our nations' sin,
and hear our cries above the din:
the raucous blasphemy they raise,
all those who hate You and Your Ways.
Please send a pestilence this hour.
Please reveal Your Mighty Pow'r.
Cause heathen, perverts tremble, fear,
and realize Judgment Day is near.
Extract a *Firstfruits* of those vile,
and give us room to breathe awhile;
Until You harvest all such weeds,
eradicate all bastard seeds;
and all such things that do offend,
and on to Hell, shalt Thou them send.
Pour out Your Spirit ever True
upon Your flock who honor You.
Turn Thou us, we shall be turned
Forgive us for Thy Love we spurned.

Courtesy sacredtruthministries.com

I guess by now most would have realised that last month's *Messenger* had the wrong month listed. Sorry about that. The number was right, so just cross out July and write August.

We are still learning lots about health from the Barbara O'Neill videos, as we hope you are. If you do not have a set of these, you should get them. You will learn so much from them. I have found that my heart problems are aggravated or brought on by wheat (and/or) grains. It may be because the wheat has been hybridized and also sprayed with Roundup before harvest. So we have given up on any bread or wheat/grain products, on sugar and also on dairy. I have heard from a number of people experiencing similar problems at this age. It's important to drink 2 to 3 liters of water per day, and also use cayenne pepper. We appreciate your prayers for my health.

Please remember we still have cassette tapes available for **free** (that is for the cost of postage), @ 10 for \$10 until gone. Thanks to all who continue to order material, and support this work. We appreciate your letters and orders and ongoing faithfulness. May our God bless you and keep you and make His face shine on you and protect you,

