



Christian Identity Ministries

A member of the
Congregations of Israel

PO Box 146, CARDWELL, QLD, 4849, Australia

Ph: 07-4066 0146 (International 61-7 instead of 07) www.christianidentityministries.com - hr_cim@bigpond.com

"Blessed be the LORD God of *Israel*; For He hath visited and redeemed *His* people, And hath raised up an horn of salvation for *us* in the house of his servant David; as he spake by the mouth of his holy prophets, which have been since the world began; That *we* should be saved from *our* enemies and from the hand of all that hate *us*; to perform the mercy promised to *our* fathers and to remember his holy covenant; The oath which he swore to *our* father Abraham, That he would grant unto *us*, that *we* being delivered out of the hand of *our* enemies might serve him without fear, in holiness and righteousness before him, all the days of *our* lives." Luke 1:68-75; the Anglo-Saxon-Celtic-Germanic-Scandinavian people are **ISRAEL!**

#391

Covenant Messenger

January AD2019

(a publication of N.Q. Fellowship of God's Covenant People)

STUMBLING BLOCKS TO AN EXCLUSIVE ISRAEL by Arnold Kennedy

Earlier we looked at the general reactions which immediately spring to mind when the consistent pattern of Scripture about *The Exclusiveness Of Israel* is introduced to people. It is time now to look at the stumbling blocks that modern teaching puts in our way. It is appreciated that people's objections and concerns are very genuine and that such people are sincere. It is also recognised that it is difficult for people to "unlearn" what they have been taught for years. It is necessary to look at a selection of stumbling blocks which would represent most of those that are raised, so that they will not be hindering progress through the main part of the book, "Exclusiveness of Israel." # 714 @ \$13.00

1. IT IS CONTRARY TO THE NATURE OF GOD

This is a sincere feeling that many have, but it has its origin in an unbalanced view of the character of God. Where there is continual emphasis on the Love of God and almost total neglect of the Righteous Judgements of God, this is understandable. The wrong teaching about "all" and "every," together with the **absence** of teaching about the sovereignty of God, are the root cause of this feeling. In His nature, God is unchanging. That God should create vessels for different purposes is not readily acceptable to many people, but it is the clear teaching of Scripture. For example:

1. It is God who put the perpetual enmity between the *seed of the serpent* and the *seed of the woman* [Gen 3:15].
2. It was The Lord who put a mark upon Cain and his offspring [Gen 4:15].
3. It was God who saved Noah and his family because Noah was *perfect in his generations* [Gen 6:9].
4. God gave different destinies for Noah's sons Ham, Shem and Japheth.
5. God even placed different "last days" destinies on each of the 12 Twelve tribes of Israel [Gen 49 and Deut 33].
6. We find scriptural discrimination between "men" as *enowish* or *adam*, etc.
7. We find words for "men" that do not apply to women in both Hebrew and Greek (*iysh* and *aner*).
8. *Jacob have I loved and Esau have I hated* [Malachi 1:2,3 and Rom 9:13].
9. God chose Israel and said they should not *be*

reckoned among the nations [Num 23:9] and *the God of this people Israel chose our fathers* [Acts 13:17].

10. *And she shall bring forth a Son, and thou shalt call His name Jesus, for he shall save His people from their sins* [Matt 1:21]. They were and are God's people **before** they were/are saved.

2. "OF EVERY KINDRED, TONGUE, PEOPLE AND NATION"

Rev 5:9,10 *for thou wast slain, and hast re-deemed us to God by thy blood out of every kindred, and tongue, and people, and nation, and hast made us unto our God kings and priests: and we shall reign on earth.*

Rev 7:9 *I beheld, and, lo, a great multitude, which no man could number, of all nations, and kindreds, and people, and tongues, stood before the throne ...*

NOTE: Attention is drawn to *out of* in the first verse and *of* in the second verse. Both are the same Greek preposition *ek* with the literal meaning showing it is not all the nations, peoples etc but a people taken 'out of' them and not 'of' them.

These two passages appear to stand out against what has been written so far. It looks conclusive as a statement to say that before the Throne of God will stand people from

IN THIS ISSUE:

Stumbling Blocks to an Exclusive Israel,	1
Who or What is Adam?,	9
The Religious Poisoning. of America,	11
"Oh, Yeah?".	13

The views and opinions expressed in the articles herein or herewith are those of the authors and not necessarily those of CIM. They are written by fallible men. You must ask Jesus to guide your studies!

CIM reserves the right to edit submitted or reprinted material in line with CIM editorial policy. CIM does the utmost to ensure that the spirit of articles remains intact at all times.

every race on earth. This appearance is used as a basis for the teaching about universal racial or national salvation. Because this does not fit with any foundation in the Law, the Psalms and the Prophets, these verses require closer examination. Firstly, we must look at what this verse is fulfilling. We must ask if there is any stream of prophecy confirming the popular multi-racial view. If there is none, we must go back to the original prophecies.

Exodus 19:5,6 *ye shall be a peculiar treasure unto me above all people: for all the earth is mine, and ye shall be unto Me a kingdom of priests, and an holy nation. . .*

NOTE: In the Hebrew *all people* is plural with the article giving the meaning *all the peoples*.

These verses are addressed only to Israel, as are a multitude of other Old Testament prophecies. This is also confirmed in the New Testament by the Apostle Peter regarding the same singular, peculiar people.

1 Peter 2:9 But ye are a chosen generation, a royal priesthood, an holy nation, a peculiar people.

Although there is reference to every tribe, tongue, people and nation, these are all national, not racial, terms. It must be remembered that Israel had twelve tribes which became scattered among nations and peoples. Their languages became those spoken by their captors and later those of the nations amongst whom they were dispersed or scattered. This is from whence the people of Israel were regathered. They were from among every tribe, tongue, people and nation, as was prophesied. It is repeated again that there is no prophecy about all races being in the Kingdom of Heaven or of any race being redeemed other than Israel. Others had no broken Law-covenant that required redemption. But Israel is redeemed *out of* [not *of*] every kindred, tongue and nation and people. Quoting R.K. Phillips in *Incontrovertible Facts Of The Bible*, we find:

This 'Holy Nation' was to be the next step in the re-establishment of the Sovereignty of the Kingdom of God on the Earth. This Sovereignty of God denotes a sphere of God's rule and requires that:

1. *It has a territory;*
2. *It has a people;*
3. *It has laws;*
4. *It has a King;*
5. *It has an economy;*
6. *It has an administration*

All these things God was now about to give to the Children of Israel and at Sinai the people accepted God as their King, thus making them a holy nation. God has never rejected that Sovereignty over that throne or that nation.

If every race was included then this would all be meaningless. A number of commentaries refer to the redemption as that of the people who had once been redeemed from Egypt. The Exodus is the first place where there is mention of redemption [Exodus 15:13]. The redemption in Scripture is always that of Israel, and of Israel only. The issue of the redemption of Israel is stated before the Covenant of the Law. Bullinger's lexicon comments:

But now the People had been scattered among every kindred, and tongue, and people, and nation and therefore they must be redeemed from out of these the second time, like as it was to Israel in the day that he came up, out of the land of Egypt.

Isaiah 11:11 And it shall come to pass in that day that the Lord shall set his hand again the second time to recover the remnant of his people from Assyria, ... and from the islands of the sea.

The regathering is always of *His People* and not of other races. Contrary prophecy does not exist! The scene of Rev 5:9 is in heaven as it is in Rev 7:9. Here there is a great multitude *out of* all nations, and kindreds, and peoples, and tongues. It does not say of all races; the word *genos* (races) is not used in this passage. It may not be appreciated that Israel is spoken of as the *families of Israel*, the Tribes becoming nations (as the sand of the seashore for multitude). Jer 31:1 *At the same time, saith the Lord, I will be the God of all the families of Israel, and they shall be my people.*

3. "ALL THE ENDS OF THE Earth"

Isaiah 45:22 Look unto me and be ye saved, all the ends of the earth.

The word translated as the earth is the Hebrew word *erets* which is mostly translated as "country" or "earth" in the sense of a localised area or that earth belonging to a people [for example, the land, or earth, of Israel]. In context, this whole chapter is about Israel and no other. It certainly is not used in the generalised sense as the universalists who try to prove the expression *the ends of the earth* means every race or place on Earth.

4. THE EXODUS

When Israel made the Exodus from Egypt, it is evident that some Egyptians, or some of mixed blood, came out with the Israelites. The claim has been made that these saw the miracles that God had done in the Land of Egypt, and so they joined themselves to Israel. These are then said to be a type of *non-Israelite 'Gentiles' joining the church*. This mixed multitude was continually a problem within Israel. It should be remembered that these were not permitted to assemble with Israel, before God, because they were not Israelites (i.e.. NOT IN THE CHURCH). There are two expressions translated, *The congregation of the Lord*, namely the *edah* of Israel and the *cahal* of Israel, and this difference is important because they separate the mixed multitude travelling through the wilderness from the Israelites themselves.

5. "EVERYONE THAT Thirsteth"

Isaiah 55:1 Ho, everyone that thirsteth, come ye to the waters ...

The context shows this is addressed to Israel alone. The sure mercies of David [v3] indicate the people of whom He is commander. The everyone [*kole*] of this verse is touched upon at the end of the previous chapter. Concordances do not convey the meaning of this word, but there is a parallel where the Greek equivalent is considered in the next objection.

6. CORNELIUS

This man is used by many as an example of a so-called "Gentile" non-Israelite being saved. The place of birth, or

Old Historic LOAN Video: SECRETS OF ANCIENT SOUTH AMERICA (Surprising Discoveries 5)

Wild adventure in the unmapped jungle of the Amazon headwaters, where savages shrink human heads. Up into the dizzy heights of the Andes mountains, the lost city of women, ancient Inca fortress in the clouds.

CI-281 @ sug LOAN don \$7



citizenship tells us nothing about race. But this man's race can be determined by Scripture, even if he is not described as a "Jew" [or "Judean"]. In the AV of Acts 10:28, Cornelius is described as being of *another nation* but, the Greek text uses the word *alophulos* which is a compound of *allos* [another of the same kind], and *phulos* [a kindred tribe (*phule*)]. Cornelius was a devout man, we are told, and he feared [the] God, therefore he was one who could believe. According to Vine, *devout* means *careful as to the presence and claims of God*. So Cornelius knew the Old Testament claims of God upon Israel. We do not find *devout* being used of people other than Israelites. Also, he feared "God" [Acts 10:2] and he prayed to [the] God and was heard by [the] God. "God" here is *ho theos*, the term used to denote the one true God. So, Cornelius was not a Roman polytheist! He was an Israelite!

7. PETER'S SHEET VISION

Universalists use the account of Peter's sheet vision to suggest that the unclean animals in the sheet represent peoples of all races, but the rest of the chapter shows otherwise. That they are called Gentiles by translators in verse 45 only confirms that the wrong meaning is put on this word *Gentile*. Historically, the House of Israel, which was scattered among the nations, was considered un-clean and common by those practising the Jewish (Edomite, Tradition of the Elders) religion. In saying that it was unlawful, Peter knew that what he was doing was contrary to the Tradition of the Elders in Judea. As will be shown later, Peter was being shown that the ten Tribes of The House of Israel would be cleansed under the New Testament. The animals in the sheet represented the unclean and uncircumcised members of the House of Israel (which had been scattered, dispersed).

This vision in Acts 10 is also used to promote the idea that the prohibition against eating certain unclean meats is no longer valid. The symbol is taken literally! When Peter declares what God has shown him, God does not tell him that he should eat unclean meats, but that, *God has showed me that I should not call any man common or unclean*. The word *another* in *another nation* [v28] has already been covered in [6] above to show that this refers to people of the same kind. "Nation" here is *phulos* and not *ethnos* or *demos* which are often translated as "nation" and "people". The distinction is noted by Vine under "nation" and refers to *allos* (another), and *phulon* (a tribe).

Acts 10:36 The Word which God sent unto the children of Israel, preaching (proclaiming) peace by Jesus Christ

This follows on to say that a start was made in the Holy Land and continued to the uncircumcised Grecians of the House of Israel [Acts 11:20]. This fulfilled the Word as being sent to all Israel, both circumcised and uncircumcised. In verse 35 we have *every nation* which, as the next verse explains, are the nations of Israel [the former tribes of Israel which were dispersed among all the other

nations]. This confirms what the Old Testament says about the Law and God's word being given only to Israel. Israel was scattered among "every nation" [v35], and the Word [*logos - as in John 1:1*] was sent to Israel specifically, according to this verse. The Word of God was sent to Cornelius, as an Israelite. The *in every nation* of verse 35 is commonly and incorrectly given the general meaning of *every* as being every race, as explained in the previous chapter. Cornelius was one of those who feared and believed God. He had that spiritual capacity within him from his conception. These men had the capacity to believe God and so could accept the 'good news' and be reinstated as God's people. "All men" is thus all the men of dispersed Israel and *all the men* of the Judean nation who were of Israel.

Acts 10:43 To Him give all the prophets witness, that through his name whosoever believeth in him shall receive remission of sins.

The prophets did **not** witness or prophesy of redemption and remission of sins for all races. Evidently it is thought that they should have, according to the common popular doctrine. The prophets were giving witness about Jesus and Israel [v43].

8. THE ETHIOPIAN EUNUCH

Here we have a man who went to Jerusalem to worship, and was returning and reading the Scriptures in his chariot. It is impossible for a pagan to be returning from an Israelite feast, let alone reading the Scriptures. Although he was of *Ethiopia*, this says nothing about his race or genes; it only tells us where he was living. If he had been a black man (as many teach), he would **not** have been allowed near the

temple as he would have been an alien. The Jews would have killed such a person immediately. We can see this when the Apostle Paul tried to take one who was suspected of not being an Israelite into the temple [Acts 8:21]. Would Phillip be sent to one who was not called by God and to one who "could not" receive the Word? The weight of this passage says the Ethiopian was an Israelite, even if his residence was in Ethiopia.

9. THE WIDOW OF SAREPTA

Again, there is nothing conclusive to say the widow was not an Israelite in this passage [Luke 4:24- 28]. The principle is no different to that given in Matthew 13:57 where Jesus did few mighty works in His home town. There are however two points that should be noted:

1. The widow woman obviously knew that Elijah was a man of God, and she knew about sin and therefore the Law which was given only to Israel [1 Kings 17:18].

2. Elijah was a prophet of Israel sent to Israel and he said to the woman, *Thus saith the Lord God OF ISRAEL*.

10. "GO INTO THE HIGHWAYS"

Matt 22:9 Go ye therefore into the highways, and as many as ye shall find, bid to the marriage.

Again, the standard universalist doctrine teaches this Scripture wrongly in an endeavour to say everyone of every

LOAN Video you may have missed: FROM TERROR TO TRIUMPH pt 10, 20th Century Triumph

The 20th century appeared to be dominated by tyranny, theological liberalism, and the theories of social and biological Darwinism But the predicted demise of the Faith was the prediction of fools..

pt 11, The 21st Century

The verdict of history is certain. The Kingdom of Light has increasingly triumphed over the terrors of the past 2000 years. The results have been freedom, human rights, and successful families.

CI-719 @ sug LOAN don \$7



race is included in this call. There is a lot more in these verses than meets the eye. The servants were told to go to the cross-roads [*diex*] but instead they went to the ways [*hodos*]. Both words are translated as *cross-roads* in the KJV. At the cross-roads there is a separation place, but on the ways, or the path between two places there is no separation place. The consequence of going to the wrong place to invite people to the wedding was to bring in people who were an un-separated mixture of two kinds. In verse 11 there is *a man not having on a wedding garment*. This suggests that one group does not have on the wedding garments and the consequence is that the evil or the bad guests are to be cast into outer darkness. Where do the churches go today to preach? Do they go to the *hodos* or to the *diex*? Should we be going to the lost sheep of the House of Israel as Jesus commanded His disciples? Should it not be to Israel to whom the New Testament is made? The New Testament still pertains to those who had the Old Testament and direct statements to the contrary cannot be found in Scripture. [Please read Jeremiah 31:31-34 to review the limitation given].

11. "EVERYONE THAT ASKS RECEIVES"

Luke 11:10 For everyone that asketh receiveth; and he that seeketh findeth; and to him that knocketh it shall be opened.

In the New Testament there are many like Scriptures that use the words *all* and *everyone*, *whosoever* etc. In the Greek the situation is similar to that already pointed out to be the case in the Hebrew. We could take the meanings of these words as either:

- [a] All of everything or
- [b] All of that part being spoken about.

We are not at liberty to choose which meaning suits us to prove a doctrinal position, but this is what most do. Usually it is done in ignorance or without thought because of the traditional teachings. We cannot mis-apply these words to suit ourselves. We can read the Scriptures from the viewpoint of generalisation or from differentiation, but both cannot be right at the same time. It is always necessary to take note to whom any passage is addressed. This defines the context of the passage. In this passage Jesus isolates those He is addressing. He says twice, *I say unto you* and uses the pronoun *ye*. He was talking to his disciples as Israelites.

We find that many of the stumbling blocks are based upon mis-understanding of *all*, *all men*, *whosoever*, *every*, *everyone* and such words. Lexicons give much space in covering these words. In his coverage of "all" [Greek: *pas*] which is often translated in these various ways. Vine's Expository Dictionary says:

Before proper names of countries, cities and nations, and before collective terms like Israel, it signifies either all or the whole, for example, Matt 2:3, Acts 2:36.

Used with the article, it means the whole of one object. In the plural it signifies the totality of the persons or things referred to.

This totality only refers to that part which is the subject of the context. Thus all men [of Israel] cannot mean all of

every race in the world. Thayer confirms this [under ref 3956]:

The words "world" and "all" are used in some seven or eight senses in Scripture, and it is very rarely the "all" means all persons, taken individually. The words are generally used to signify that Jesus has redeemed some of all sorts -- some Jews, some Gentiles, some rich, some poor, and has not restricted His redemption to either Jew or Gentile ...

Thayer quoted the last sentence from one of Spurgeon's lectures and this book shows that view to be incorrect. However, the important point to note is that the "all" is recognised as not being a universal "all". Its precise restriction is the purpose of this book. From a note from Josephus [Wars 2:19.1] we read:

Here we have an eminent example of that Jewish language, which Dr. Wall truly observes, we several times find used in the sacred writings; I mean where the words "all" or "whole multitude", etc., are used for much the greatest part only, but not so as to include every person, without exception; ...

In considering all similar objections listed, this must be taken into account.

12. "WHOSOEVER SHALL CONFESS ME"

Luke 12:8 And I say unto you, Whosoever shall confess me before men, him shall the Son of man also confess before the angels of God.

The "you" Jesus is addressing is not the multitudes, but the disciples only. The word "men" is one of many words translated as "men". There are differing kinds of "men" and different words for "men," in the original languages. Men may have differing origins and be of differing seeds and plantings. To deny this is to deny Jesus' words. To deny and to teach differently is to deny *Me before men*. These things are not being taught today because they do not fit in with the "all" of the *all the world* universal doctrine.

13. THE WOMAN OF SAMARIA

This passage in John 4:12 is easily satisfied in the words, *Art thou greater than OUR FATHER JACOB who gave us this well*. She was a descendant of Jacob and thus was an Israelite. How anyone can use her place of residence to say she was a non-Israelite is hard to comprehend. Samaria contained a mixture of races. In Acts 8:14 we can see that certain of the Samaritans *received the Word of God*. In the first verse we find evidence of the scattering abroad to Samaria. Philip proclaimed the Word in Samaria as did Peter and John. Their proclamation was concerned with the Kingdom of God.

14. PENTECOST

Acts 2:21 And it shall come to pass that whosoever shall call upon the name of the Lord shall be saved.

Here we have another *whosoever* and so we must determine to whom the *whosoever* relates. This whole chapter is exclusive to the people to whom the prophet Joel made his prophecy. This was made to Israel so how can any say it was made to others? If every prophecy is made to everyone then we have a grey mass and everything is likewise an obscure grey. Nothing is ever clear! What would be the point of prophets giving different messages to different people if all people were the same?

The *whosoever* relates only to those to whom it is

CDs of the Month:

- J-383 Waiting Upon the Lord**
- J-384 Feelings vs Commanments**
- J-386 Psalm 16 the Golden Psalm, 1**
- J-387 Psalm 16 the Golden Psalm, 2**
- J-388 Psalm 16 the Golden Psalm, 3**
- J-389 Psalm 16 the Golden Psalm, 4**
- J-390 A Portrait of Grace, 1**
all pastor John Weaver



spoken. Peter makes this very clear in

verse 36 *Let ALL THE HOUSE OF ISRAEL know that God Who was he addressing? The whosoever and "all" is exclusive to that group. The whosoever and the all flesh does not allude to anyone other than genetic Israelites.*

At Pentecost some scattered Israelites came to Jerusalem from different countries. This does not say that they were from different races. Would they have come to the feast if they had been pagans or if they were following other cultural beliefs? Such would not even be permitted to enter the temple [Acts 21:28]. Yet this is said to be so to try to prove the generalisation that people of all races came to the feast. The bulk of the House of Israel had become scattered among other nations and the majority of these were to be reached later. The gospel was to be proclaimed which began from Galilee [Acts 10:37] and was published through all Judea. Jesus sent His disciples away to the lost sheep of the House of Israel and it is not unreasonable to suggest that some among those sought out attended the Feast of Pentecost. We read about Jews [Judeans] "dwelling" (*katoikeo*) in Jerusalem [Acts 2:5] and of others "dwelling" in other countries [Acts 2:9] attending Pentecost. To infer that nationality and race are always the same thing is far from honest! And, of course, the notion about the "Church" being a "Gentile" Church of non-Israelites following Pentecost is nonsense simply because there were Jews (Judeans) there.

15. ALL MEN JUSTIFIED BY THE FREE GIFT

Romans 5:18 Therefore as by the offence of one, judgement came upon all men to condemnation, even so by the righteousness of one, the free gift came upon all men unto justification of life.

Our prime consideration in this verse is the latter part because we are establishing the identity of these *all men*. In all this book of Romans, the subject people are of the seed of Abraham according to the flesh [Rom 4:1] and so this book is not written to any others than Israelites. The subject people are indicated as *we* in this chapter and these people are identified as being Israelites. If there is any hesitation in acceptance of this statement, you should go back and re-read the sections on the exclusive nature of Israel in the book of Romans. In Romans 4:16 we read *that the promise might be sure to all The Seed*. It is not to all seeds on earth, but to that particular seed or *sperma* being addressed.

A similar situation occurs in Romans 7:6 *That we being delivered from the Law*. The pronoun *we* only refers to those to whom the Law had been given and we have given proof that the Law was given to Israel only. Because of this, the *all men* in this verse applies only to the seed of Abraham through Isaac and to nobody else.

16. "WHO WILL HAVE ALL MEN TO BE SAVED ..."

1 Tim 2:4 Who will have all men to be saved, and to come unto the knowledge of the truth.

The notes on "all" and "every" in the last chapter, apply here. That it does not mean a blanket *every person on earth* is obvious from the fact that all men are not saved. In the following verses there are the words *who gave Himself a ransom for all ...* and these words show that the *all*

concerns only those who needed to be ransomed, that is, *those who were under the Law* which is exclusively to Israel.

17. "SALVATION TO ALL MEN"

Titus 2:11 For the grace of God that bringeth salvation hath appeared to all men.

The *all men* in this passage is the same as that in the passage above. It is again limited by those to whom it is addressed, namely God's elect [Titus 1:1], and in Titus 2:14 we can see that this again limits the scope of *all men* to those who were given the law ... *who gave himself for US, that he might redeem US from all iniquity*

18. "BUT THAT ALL SHOULD COME TO REPENTANCE ..."

2 Peter 3:9 ... But is longsuffering to us-ward, not willing that any [that is, any of us] should perish, but that all should come to repentance.

Here we do not have the word "men" mentioned, but in its place we have the indefinite pronoun *tis* which denotes *some or any person or object ... any man ... whomsoever, or certain men etc ...* see Strong G5100. *Certain men* are not *all men in general*. Thayer [5100] *It indicates that the thing with which it is connected belongs to a certain class, or resembles it.*

In this book Peter is writing to the one Holy Nation. He is writing to the *strangers* of his own blood. Peter again refers to *Our Fathers* indicating that the people to whom it was written were the children of the Fathers, and so the "any" is racially exclusive. All men on Earth do not have "The Fathers" Abraham, Isaac and Jacob as their progenitors. In this passage Peter is pointing out that God is long-suffering to "US-ward" and not to "THEM-ward". Peter is writing to *an Holy Nation*. He is not writing to "The Church" as a multi-racial group.

19. THE KINGDOM NOW INCLUDES Everyone

Jesus spoke of *The Kingdom*. The disciples were told to go and proclaim *The Kingdom* and that the time *was at hand*. After His

resurrection Jesus spoke to the Apostles about this Kingdom. *Acts 1:3 ... being seen of them forty days, and speaking of the things pertaining to the Kingdom of God.*

This appears to be the prime message of Jesus and He taught it right up to His ascension. But who is willing to teach this today? We hear much about the gospel of universal salvation, but this is not what Jesus taught. Try to find the gospel of universal salvation in the Law, the Psalms, and the Prophets. Then try to find it in the New Testament as the fulfilment of the Old Testament. "The Church" might seem to be an answer, but the fulfilment still has to be *in us their children* [Acts 13:32,33]. If this is so, then *The Church* still has to be racial; the members still have to be the children of *The Fathers*.

The disciples asked Jesus before His ascension, *Lord, wilt thou at this time restore the Kingdom TO ISRAEL?* [Acts 1:6]. Look again at this. To whom is the Kingdom to be restored? Is there ever a suggestion that any but genetic Israel will be included in that Kingdom? The meaning of

*New or Recently Listened to CDs & DVDs**

A-9037 The East Gate & the Gate of Miphkad, Alexander Schiffner

**BD-100 The Mystery of the Covenant, 14 pastor Dave Barley*

D-072

D-073

E-563 The Future of Prophecy, 9 pastor Mark Downey

E-564 Jesus or Bel? pastor Don Elmore

E-601 The Christmas Meme Must Perish, pastor Mark Downey

I-110 Satan? Study, pt 1, pastor Ken Lent

**J-508 Stand Still This Will Take a While, pastor John Weaver*

**J-509 Shall we Listen to Our Founding Fathers? pastor John Weaver*

N-126 The Place of Worship, pastor William Einwechter



Israel includes *ruling with God*. If Israel was made up from all the nations, then who are the other nations over which Israel is to rule with God? Jesus used the word 'salvation' only twice, but 78% of the gospels are about the Kingdom.

Consider these expressions:

The KING Is the King of ISRAEL.

The REDEEMER Is the Redeemer of ISRAEL.

The HOLY ONE Is the Holy One of ISRAEL.

The FATHER Is the Father of ISRAEL ["My Son"].

Look in vain for these titles to apply to other than Israel.

20. THE LORD'S PRAYER

When we pray as Jesus taught, *OUR Father which art in heaven, hallowed be Thy Name, THY KINGDOM come*, what are we saying?

Is the pronoun *our* referring to all races or to Israel?

Is God ever called the Father of races other than Israel?

Is *Thy Kingdom* ever other than the Kingdom over which the King of Israel will reign?

A close examination will indicate that the particular "Father" referred to is *Our Father, the One in The Heavens*. It is not "their" father.

21. THE ISRAEL OF GOD [Gal 6:16]

It is common to hear that *The Israel of God* means *The Church*. This statement is used as a basis for sermons about universal salvation. It is so easy to make a wrong statement and then use that statement as a foundation. But being based on a wrong foundation, this doctrine cannot stand. The Israel of God means the Israel of the Supreme Divinity. It says nothing about God being the God of all the races. This book of Galatians is written to *them that were under the Law*, that is to Israel. There will be those who say that there is now a spiritual Israel as well as a natural Israel, as a way of promoting universal salvation. So let us look at this.

22. THERE are TWO ISRAELS: ONE NATURAL, ONE SPIRITUAL

To say that there is a natural Israel and a spiritual Israel is the only way out of the dilemma some people have in trying to fit their doctrines and prophecy together. Their dilemma arises from the wrong basic traditional teaching that:

[a] *The Jews are National Israel*, [or "Natural Israel" or "God's natural people"].

[b] *The Gentiles are The Church*, [or "Spiritual Israel" or "God's heavenly people"].

In a later chapter we will labour to show that "The Jews" are not Israel and that "Gentiles" may be Israelites. Obviously there are two groups of peoples concerned. There is no denying this. This is why it is so important to determine exactly who the two groups are. In the Old Testament there is no dispute about this. Israel separated into two Kingdoms which were basically:

[a] The House of Israel [ten Tribes] ... known as *Ephraim*.

[b] The House of Judah [two Tribes] ... known as *Judah*.

These two Houses had enmity between them, and according to prophecy, they retain this enmity until unity is

restored under the New Testament (Covenant) which the two Houses receive nationally. The timing of the reunion is at the time of the regathering of both Houses of all Israel. Ephraim and Judah are unique identities, through Scripture from the time of the division of Israel into two Kingdoms, until the regathering of Israel as a whole.

Isaiah 11:12,13. ... and shall assemble the outcasts of Israel, and gather together the dispersed of Judah from the four corners of the earth. The envy also of Ephraim shall depart, and the adversaries of Judah shall be cut off: Ephraim shall not envy Judah, and Judah shall not vex Ephraim.

Here in the Old Testament we find two groups within all Israel which stay two national groups until the time given to once again become one group. They are still the two groups to whom the New Testament was given.

Heb 8:8,9 Behold the days come, saith the Lord, when I will make a new covenant with the house of Israel and with the house of Judah: not according to the covenant that I made with their fathers in the day when I took them by the hand to lead them out of the land of Egypt; ...

There is no record in Scripture of the New Testament being made with any other two groups. This verse says that they are the same race with which God was involved in the Exodus from Egypt. Again we have

definition in the words *their fathers*. This gives a racial statement of meaning that cannot be spiritualised. The problem that then arises is, that if the covenant people were to be spiritualised into two different groups, one Israelite and the other non-Israelite, then one of the original two national groups would have to have vanished or the two combined. Despite the fact that this cannot be found in prophecy in the Old Testament, or in the New Testament as fulfilment of prophecy, the belief about Jews and non-Israel Gentiles is still taught as being truth. In order to accommodate all races, another doctrine had to be created and this is actively promoted.

This non-scriptural doctrine pre-supposes that non-Israel races need salvation from a broken law which they were not given to break in the first place. This cannot be found as a doctrine in either Testament.

NOTE: No statement about the final destiny of non-Israel races has been made or suggested in this book. The idea about all races needing redemption comes mainly from the misuse of *all, every, whosoever* etc in the New Testament. But there is no denial that the non-Israel nations should be made subject to the Law of Christ. Jesus will rule with a rod of iron, and the nations will bring their glory to the New Jerusalem, but we are told that the other nations will be outside that City.

The extra-scriptural doctrine about "Jews and Gentiles" arises from interpretations of the books of Romans and Galatians. But, the racial statements cannot be eliminated from these books, even if it is thought God should have given the covenants to every race on Earth. The expressions, *The House of Israel*, and *The Twelve Tribes* still exist through the New Testament. In concluding his argument about the so-called "Jews and Gentiles", the



Apostle Paul says:

Rom 11:26 And so all Israel shall be saved; as it is written, There shall come out of Sion the Deliverer, and shall turn away un-godliness from Jacob.

There is no mention about any but *all Israel* being saved. None other than the seed of Jacob are included in being turned from un-godliness. Other races can never be part of *all Israel* or *Jacob*.

Rom 3:30 Seeing that it is one God, which shall justify the circumcision by faith, and uncircumcision through faith.

Those whom God would justify are shown to be:

[a] The circumcision ... The House of Judah.

[b] The uncircumcision ... The House of Israel.

The House of Israel had become dispersed among the nations and were known as the uncircumcision. They had become as *strangers and aliens* to the Judeans, but they were still Israelites by race. To the Judeans who had the temple worship, the House of Israel was unclean and was despised.

Rom 4:13 For the promise, that he should be the heir of the world, was not to Abraham, or to his seed, through the law, but through the righteousness of faith.

It is still to Abraham's seed that the promises were made. This includes all from Jacob to Jesus who believed God. All Israel was saved by Jesus but it is belief in God that saves the individual person within that seed. The popular doctrine says the seed is only a spiritual seed which can be made up from all races.

Rom 4:16 ... to the end the promise might be sure to all the seed; ...

Paul is not talking about other races. It is always to the one seed of Abraham through Isaac and Jacob. These are the children of promise. Prophetically the New Testament is made only with the two Houses, the House of Israel and the House of Judah. Hebrews 8:8 shows the promise of the New Testament concerns only these two Houses. This is the fulfilment of Jer 31:31. Paul sums up the two parties, and declares:

Rom 9:4 Who are Israelites; to whom pertaineth the adoption, ...

All the objections in the world are not going to change what pertains to Israel or to the Word of the Lord. This verse says *Who ARE Israelites*.

23. STRANGERS can become Israelites (It is claimed).

It is claimed by many that the word *strangers* indicates other than Israelites. In the Book of Peter we find this Apostle to the circumcision writes to *strangers scattered* as also does James, in the first verse of his book. *The Strangers scattered*, contains the same word that is used in James, who addresses his book to the Twelve Tribes. Please look this up and make sure about this. So these strangers are still of the Twelve Tribes!

If any want to consider this matter further they can find that looking at the word *pilgrim* as used by Peter will help. This is exactly the same word that is translated as *strangers* in 1 Peter 1:1. The words, *pilgrims* and *strangers*, also appear in Hebrews 11:13 which clearly isolates them as being Hebrews [that is, Israel]. A later chapter titled *Pilgrims, Strangers and Israel* examines this in more detail.

This again is the language of the Old Testament where David says:

Psalm 39:12 ... for I am a stranger with thee, and a sojourner, as all my fathers were.

My fathers gives immediate racial identity. But, further to this, the Hebrew words used for *stranger* and *sojourner* are: *Ger* meaning a stranger (an unknown person) of one's own blood, tribe, or race. *Toshav* meaning only a pilgrim or a temporary resident, and one who has no rights OR KINSHIP in any way at all with the people of the land in which they have taken temporary residence.

In this Psalm, David is saying that he is a stranger away from his home with God and he has no kinship with any other race around him. Peter makes this same distinction.

1 Peter 1:2 Elect according to the foreknowledge of God the Father ...

In Chapter 2 of EXCLUSIVENESS we looked at this word "elect" and the elect nation, whom God is saying that He foreknew in the Old Testament.

Rom 11:2 God has not cast away his people which he foreknew.

1 Peter 2:10 goes on to quote from Hosea, (which is a book dealing primarily with the ten-tribed House of Israel).

1 Peter 2:10 Which in time past were not a people, but are now the people of God: which had not obtained mercy, but now have obtained mercy.

In Hosea and Peter, the *not a people* refers to the same people and hence cannot be non-Israelite "Gentiles". Peter would have had trouble in convincing the Judeans that they had become *not a people* at some past time.

24. JESUS IS NOW THE KING

Remember how God said that David would never want for a descendant upon his throne until Jesus came to take this throne?

Jer 33:17 For thus saith the Lord; David shall never want for a man to sit upon the throne of the house of Israel.

At the time of Jesus, the throne of the Kingdom of Judah and Solomon's line had long gone from Judea. The throne must therefore be manifest somewhere else and within the ten tribes headed by Ephraim. The Epistles are in full accord with the Law, The Psalms and the Prophets. But they are not in accord with tradition!

The people to whom Peter was writing had a King [1 Peter 2:13 and 1 Peter 2:17]. This again confirms that these people were not the Judeans, although they were Israelites.

for the full story this article covers: THE EXCLUSIVENESS OF ISRAEL by Eliel

Following research into the Old and New Testament, this book was written to guide readers into overcoming the false doctrines associated with the teaching of Universalism, as this is the doctrine taught by almost all churches today. Proves the Bible was only written by, about and for Israelites ONLY. Jesus warned about not casting pearls to the swine and not giving that which is holy to the dogs, but that is what most churches continue to do. After reading this book you will read the Bible in a whole new light with a new level of understanding. Every professing Christian Adamite should have a copy and study it thoroughly.

#714 @ sug don \$25.95



The people addressed had a king they were to honour. Peter tells us who they were racially. The indicators are given in the expressions *an Holy* [that is, set-apart] *nation* and *a peculiar people* as pointed out in the early chapters of this book.

25. "IN THEE SHALL ALL NATIONS BE Blessed"

And The "families Of The Earth" Being Blessed In Abraham. The major source of error in these blessing passages is what we mean by certain words. We have different words translated as *earth* and the *ground*, *countries* and the *land*, as also occurs with the words translated *nations*, *families* and *kindreds*. Although an extensive technical Hebrew language exposition is beyond the scope of this book, there are things that need to be pointed out. Originally Abraham was told to go from his father's house unto an *eretz* that God would show him. If *eretz* here is the whole Earth, then Abraham must have gone to another planet! Abraham was told *all The 'Earth' which thou seest, I will give thee*. He was told to arise and walk through the earth. Did he walk across the whole globe? So we have to ask if this 'earth' is the whole earth or the promised land. It is not all the 'eretzs of all the races on earth. Abraham was told to get himself out of his present *earth* and to go to *THE earth*. There are many references which give confirmation of the meaning. *THE earth* does not mean the whole globe, but rather that portion belonging to the particular area or person under consideration. Contrary to popular presentation, we must note that in Genesis 12:3, the 'them' in *I will bless them* is plural, whereas the 'him' in *I will curse him* is singular.

The Hebrew allows for two possible translations of *be blessed*, namely:

may be blessed in, or by, association with thee, and may bless themselves [as the RV footnote says].

Some awkward questions could be posed here if it was to be taken that *all nations* had the meaning of "every race on earth":

1. If those who curse Abraham are cursed, how could those so cursed be part of *all nations* which were to be blessed?

2. Were the Egyptians blessed or cursed through Israel's presence during their captivity and also in the Exodus?

3. When the Children of Israel went into the Promised Land, they were told to exterminate all the Canaanite nations. Was not that an unusual way of blessing the Canaanites? After all, they were supposed to be part of *all nations*. Likewise Amalek was to be exterminated.

4. In Deut 23:6, God commanded Israel that they should not seek the peace or the prosperity of the Ammonites and the Moabites right up to the end of the age. Ezra 9:12 indicates similar treatment of the non-Israelites in the land. This is hardly a blessing on those nations, is it?

5. When The House of Judah was in captivity in Babylon, is there any evidence of Israel being a blessing to Babylon?

6. When the House of Israel was in captivity in Assyria, did this make the Assyrians blossom?

7. In prophecy why are all the forecasts concerning non-Israel nations always detailing them as being servants to Israel and for them to perish if they refuse this destiny? This is so right up to the end of the age.

8. The promise to Abraham was to "ALL" nations without any exceptions. "All" cannot include those who are cursed and those God says that He hates. Hence "all" means *all the nations of Israel*.

Throughout Scripture, Israel was to *dwell alone and shall not be reckoned among the nations* [Num 23:9]. Prophecy sustains this to the end.

Daniel 7:27 And the kingdom and dominion, and the greatness of the kingdom under the whole heaven, shall be given to the people of the saints of the most High, whose kingdom is an everlasting kingdom, and all dominions shall serve him.

Isaiah 60:12 For the nation and kingdom that will not serve thee shall perish; yea, those nations shall be utterly wasted.

Zech 14:16,17 And it shall come to pass, that every one that is left of all the nations which came up against Jerusalem shall even go up from year to year to worship the King, the Lord of hosts, and to keep the feast of tabernacle. And it shall be that whoso will not come up of all the families of the earth unto Jerusalem to worship the King, the Lord of hosts, even upon them shall be no rain.

Israel and Judah were scattered among *all nations*, but are these other nations to be blessed? Jeremiah does not agree.

Jer 30:11 ... though I make a full end of all nations whither I have scattered thee, yet I will not make a full end of thee ...

Jeremiah repeats this in Jer 46:28, addressing this to Jacob. In all these Scriptures we can see the unique place of Israel among the other nations. This continues after Jesus returns and Israel reigns with God over the other nations. Finally there will be no more death. What a blessing! The blessing is either given by this seed, or by the Act of God.

THE PROMISE AND "THY SEED" IN THE NEW TESTAMENT

Acts 3:25 Ye are the children of the prophets, and of the covenant which God made with our fathers, saying unto Abraham, And in thy seed shall the kindreds of the earth be blessed.

Only Israelites are being addressed here! We can find references in Scripture to the families [plural] of Israel. "Kindreds" is *patriai* which all lexicons give as kindreds from one ancestor. The Hebrew *mishpachah* supports 'family' 288 times and it is used of the subdivisions of Israel. The Tribes became national identities but were of one racial group from one ancestor. Israel is still an exclusive race existing as families or nations. It is unto these Jesus was sent.

Acts 3:26 Unto you first God, having raised up his Son Jesus, sent him to bless you, in turning every one of you

*Have you been partaking of:
BABYLONIAN WINE
by Pieter de Bemiddelaar
Study this booklet to find out. A comic book
style introduction into modern society.
Cause the problems on your enemy, then
give them YOUR solution ... at a cost. Give
copies to your children to teach them the
evils of society in 24 pages. Beware of the
racial alien among you. Beware the media
that would pervert your thinking. Get your
copy now!
#764 @ sug don \$3.55*



from his iniquities.

In context, *you* still is the Israelites being addressed. As we said, without continual recourse to the Old Testament origins, it is impossible to rightly interpret passages in the New Testament. Only by going back can we know what *all nations* means and only then find a doctrine that is 100% consistent. Galatians 3:8 can no longer be allowed as an “out” for those preaching universal racial salvation. When we take Scripture as originally written in the Hebrew and Greek, we find that conflicts disappear. We can understand that an exclusive Israel in the Old Testament remains an exclusive Israel in the New Testament. The promises are ever fulfilled *in us their children* and never in others. They are fulfilled in *brethren* of the same *kin*. The blessings of the Patriarchs [as given by Jacob in Genesis 48 and by Moses in Deut 33] for *the last days* still apply separately to each of that same group of peoples, who are being specified. These are the sons of Joseph, Ephraim and Manasseh. In Genesis 49 Jacob gives his prophecy about what will befall each individual Tribe of Israel, in the last days. These are limited, specific and definite. We cannot find prophecy for the application of the blessings given by the patriarchs as being applicable to all other races. This is why *all nations* is commonly taken wrongly today as meaning every race on earth. The statement of Romans 4:11, *a father of all them that believe* is only in the context of Israel. For the *last days*, Jacob gave his blessings to his children one by one [Genesis 49]. The blessings were to his seed only. They were not to other seeds. The New Testament is still made only with the House of Israel and the House of Judah [Heb 8:8]. The word *children* in Galatians 3:7 [the Children of Abraham] is *huios* which denotes kinship or physical offspring. [Note: This word is also used of animals, so it cannot refer to spiritual offspring in the way commonly taken!]

How can the Patriarchal blessings apply to all races? If they were all the same, what would be the point of separation? And, if they are for the “last days”, why not accept this as a reality, rather than saying that some singular multi-racial church that has nothing to do with these Twelve Tribes is the recipient of these blessings?

As it has been pointed out, translators show what they believe in their translations. For instance, in Galatians 3:8 the words translated *heathen* and *nations* are identical. The translation as *heathen* gives an entirely different connotation to the verse. The nations whom God would justify by belief were not heathen, but were of Israel. The proof of this is that this is the fulfilment of the prophecy made by the Patriarchs. This is confirmed – *by him are ye justified from all things from which ye could not be justified by the law of Moses ...* These justified people must have first been under the Law of Moses, so they could only be Israelites. Most of this book of Galatians is written relating Law and Grace to the one people. The whole argument might be summed up by questioning whether or not they

were going to remain under the schoolmaster or whether they were going to believe God as Abraham did. What they were to believe was that Jesus had redeemed Israel and that Jesus was the Son of God.

Ultimately, that which is reserved for Israel, namely **redemption, salvation, resurrection to eternal life, belongs only to Israel**. It is their inheritance from Abraham, according to the promise made by God *to the fathers* of Israel.

CONCLUSION

We can see that the churches today have a major problem in doctrine. This is simply through wrong teaching that has arisen through failure to base doctrine upon the same basis used by Jesus and the Apostles. The basis must ever be the Law, the Psalms and the Prophets.

The Law and the Word of God were given only to Israel among the nations. Because of the misuse of the word *all*, particularly within the New Testament, the presumption is made that the Law of Moses, together with the associated covenant with Israel, was given to every person of every race.

In this way, *all have sinned* is taught forgetting the context statement *whatsoever the Law saith, is said to them who are under the Law* [Rom 3:19]. Look at this quotation which is one of many which shows “all” in the reverse situation.

Deut 28:10 And all the people of the earth shall see that thou art called by the name of the Lord, and they shall be afraid of thee.

Here *all the people of the earth* does not include Israel! This same situation exists more often the other way around with *all* being Israelites. There is yet one more important impediment preventing people accepting an exclusive Israel. It is addressed in the next chapter of the book **Exclusiveness of Israel: That Unfortunate Word “Gentile”**. The unity of the Scriptures is made or broken upon this word *Gentile* and what that word actually means.

WHO OR WHAT IS ADAM?

**Taken from the writings of the Revelation Decoded
by Adam de Witt.**

The Bible is sometimes called the Book of Adam. Adam means; “*Man that can blush, Man who is as red clay or earth, Man who is ruddy*”. It is worth noting that the Hindi word for ‘Man’ is ‘Adami’. The English word ‘Man’, means; a folk, a people. It only means ‘a male’ when the word ‘a’ is set before it.

‘Man’ is a specie-specific name in the same way zebra is a specie specific name. It tells us that it is a racial title for a species of ‘homo-erectus’ that can blush and have rosy cheeks. Any other species of Homo-erectus that does not blush is not called Adam or Man in the Bible. This is not about which specie is more intelligent or better. After all, ‘better’ is a meaningless word unless used in the sense of, “better at what?” A fish is better at swimming than most birds and most birds are better at flying than most fish. Which of the two groups is better than the other? The



question of which is better is a nonsense if not asked, "better at what?" So it is with the specie called, Man or Adam. It is not an issue of; 'Man' (Man specie that is Ruddy) is better than other species of Homo Erectus, it is about, for what purpose has 'Man' been created as opposed to what purpose God has made the other species of Homo Erectus.

What I am now going to point out will be **politically incorrect**, nevertheless, it is what the Bible teaches despite being something one either likes or does not like. God is not interested in yielding what people like ... the creature is never greater than the Creator! So of all creatures made by the Creator, I am going to be targeting those of 'Adam', the Adamites also called Man. Remember, God's opinion will not be bent to anyone's opinion ... And in the Bible we are told that there are 3 ways that God uses to get one of the species of his creation, that specie called Adamites to agree with him. We could also say, he does this on 3 levels of convincing them to agree with him and these are by; winnowing, thrashing or crushing ... then the Bible basically states ... choose you this day which one you like best.

In Genesis 1 all living creatures were created and that includes hunter/gather races, the text does make this clear if one studies it carefully. The chapter divisions were added in modern times, so there really is no Gen. 1 and Gen. 2. It is an ON GOING narrative. There is no recap of Gen 1 in Gen 2.

And in Gen 1 God created Man, the specie which is plural and is called in the Hebrew translation, Adam. So Adam in Gen 1 is not a singular male but men and woman, so it is a specie, a race, called Adam.

Throughout the bible, other Homo-erectus species are deemed as Hunter-gatherers, and in the ancient terminology this is, the Creatures-of-the-field. In Middle English the word for creature was often the word, beast. It is not an insult ... and that is why the KJV uses the term, "Beast of the field."

Beast in its basic meaning is a creature that is 'begotten from below.' In 2 Peter 2 we read about those who are called Brute Beasts ... and they are in the context, those of our own folk. This is because they live and behave according to the strength and will of the flesh ... the law of nature, begotten from below. So the term "Beast of the Field," is a term to explain species of Homo-erectus designed to live that way. Brute Breasts are those of our kind, of the specie of 'Adam,' who believe in themselves as a law unto themselves. The main difference between A. begotten from below to B. begotten from above is this; A. means they seek to be 'top of the heap' by way of their physical fleshly strength ... B. means they rise and live by their 'ghost' or Hallowed ghost, or Godly mindset.

So, after God created all the hunter-gatherer species in Gen. 1, God then created 'Man' (the specie called Man/Adam) in plural form; male and female. No amount is

given to their numbers in the same way no amount is given of the numbers of the fowl of the air and fish of the sea. However, from the laws of genetics regarding the survival of a specie (related to the size of the gene pool) we can take it that the numbers in each case of these creations, would have to be huge, so not two of each as the churches for ages have been telling us as this would constitute incest.

Again...The word in the MT text for Man of Gen 1, is 'Adam'! This is BEFORE the Genesis 2 account. The Gen 2 account is NOT a recap of Gen 1 as all the churches would have us believe.

Then in Genesis 2 a singular man, 'male', of the species of 'Adam the race' (Adamites) was formed. The individual called Adam of Gen 2 was not created. Unlike the Adamites of Gen 1 who were created, he was formed. This means he was not created, he was *of* the creation, and thus (re) formed or, called out. He was in effect the first Called-Out-One, not the first man on the planet.

The first double witness.

The bible has many references to the Two Witnesses. The very root of this is 'two-outworkings' given to Man (the specie) on this planet.

Witness (outworking) 1 is dominion.

Witness 2 (outworking) is the sceptre.

The Dominion outworking is to replenish the land (earth). The Sceptre or witness 2 is to represent God's authority in the country/land being its folk in their dominion. That is why there is Adam-the-race (Dominion) and Adam-the-king, or

singular man, the ruler with God's sceptre. The very word 'king' is shortened from Kin-ing...meaning, the one chosen of your KIN. Indeed, the Bible tells us that we are only to take a leader of our KIN. Deut 17:15 NIV. "...*be sure to appoint over you a king the LORD your God chooses. He must be from among your fellow Israelites. Do not place a foreigner over you, one who is not an Israelite*". God does not break his Law as he is the Law. Thus he chose an Adamite (Gen 2) to rule (sceptre) over his fellow Adamites (Gen 1) who were already great in number having been given the dominion mandate.

'King Adam' had a task that was separate to the task given to the plural of his stock, the Dominion Adam race, the many Adamites of Gen 1. His task was 'to till the earth', this could mean to farm, however, to till the earth is an ancient saying and it means to turn over one's 'earthy ways' and to be retaught. In this case, he was to teach the Adamites of the Dominion mandate the Law from God and how to run the dominion they were given correctly ... understanding God's love of his creation and thus how best to handle the dominion they had. Dominion without law is like rush hour traffic without road rules.

Until then, the Adamite, the Race was 'earth' that needed to be tilled. They were begotten from below. Adam 'the king' The Adamite of the sceptre, was formed, chosen, raised of his race to be, begotten from above. That is why

from Robert Balaičius comes
**UNCOVERING THE MYSTERIES OF YOUR
HIDDEN INHERITANCE**

Have you ever wondered what it would be like to be an heir to an incredible fortune? Have you ever dreamed of inheriting wealth and power? Well, it may be more of a reality than you may have thought. Most people ask themselves: "Who am I?" Many are curious about their ancestors: who they were,, where they lived; what they did. It is really amazing how the truth can become hidden in a very short period of time. Many dream of discovering hidden treasure, and this may be the place to look!

#330 @ sug don \$33.35



his dealings in Eden, his training ground, were very strict. He was meant to be 'formed' or better said, reformed, or formed meaning, to be trained ... training in being begotten from above, being given the Law that was not governed by the flesh, instead he had to govern the law of the flesh. Nevertheless, the flesh from which he was made whispered, hissed and he fell instead to the law of the flesh. Recall the words of Paul, *I do that which I ought not and that which I ought to do, I do not.*

He failed in his sceptre duty to save his folk. He was in essence, the first messiah. Yet he failed to bring 'those-of-the-dominion-mandate' to the Law (or holy teachings, i.e., holy ghost) in a way that would make them (his kinsfolk) reach the level that God is now bringing us into. Of course, he was set up to fail ... but that is another topic. The point that needs to be seen is that the Adamites as a race did not come out of Adam the man of Gen 2. Instead, Adam the man of Gen 2 came out of Adamites the race of Gen 1. It then also needs to be understood that maybe millions of Adamites existed on a dominion calling before the Adamite of the sceptre calling was taken to be formed.

THE RELIGIOUS POISONING OF AMERICA,

by Pastor Bob Hallstrom:

Poison is defined by Webster as: *"To corrupt. Our youth are poisoned with false notions of honor, or with pernicious maxims of government."* (Webster's Dictionary, 1st Ed. 1828)

From this definition we learn that poison is not always something we take internally but may also be something that affects the brain. When our minds are poisoned with maxims of religion which are contrary to the true religion of God, then we have poisoned brains. Unfortunately, in this day and age we are constantly bombarded with political, economic, and religious poisoning.

When it comes to religious poisoning, most of us spent our youth going to Sunday school, along with years in the pews, during which time we were bombarded with a religious ideology which is simply not supported by the Scriptures. One of the reasons for this poisoning is the manner in which Sunday school is taught and sermons are presented in the pulpits.

A few years ago I turned on the TV set one Sunday morning and a prominent TV preacher announced that he was going to take his message from James 1:1-8. I was most interested in this because I wanted to hear what he had to say about the first verse which states: *"James, a servant of God and of the Lord Jesus Christ, to the twelve tribes which are scattered abroad, greeting."* (James 1:1)

We have all been told at least once that although this book is addressed to Israel, it has since become applicable to us *gentiles*. But being a little smarter than I was in days gone by, I have since learned to read for myself and have been able to determine that the Epistle was plainly written to Israel in their dispersion, and nowhere in the epistle does it state it is now to be applied to *the gentiles*, any more than the epistle to the Hebrews can be applied to anyone other than Israelites.

I wanted to listen to this TV evangelist because I wanted to see if his mind was as poisoned as mine had been, and whether or not he had found the antidote.

Well I am here to tell you that it turned out to be worse than I expected. He did manage to begin by reading the first eight verses, but then he went into a dissertation on something which I cannot even begin to remember, and only once in the next half-hour did he even refer to the verses which he had read. In other words this very prominent national televangelist spent 30 minutes poisoning the minds of his listeners.

In spite of the fact that poll after poll tells us that over 90% of Americans claim to be Christians, yet we still hear the same thing over and over and over again: *"Do you know Jesus as your personal savior? If you don't, won't you bow your head at this time and ask Him to come into your heart?"*

It seems to me that since over 90% of the people in this country already claim to know Jesus, that the majority of emphasis would be on something besides "do you know Jesus?" Please do not misunderstand me: The knowledge of Jesus and salvation is extremely important; it's the foundation of the Christian religion, but once we have poured the foundation, shouldn't we proceed with the construction of the rest of the house?

Let's assume for a minute that every person in the United States has confessed Jesus as Lord and Savior. Now what do we do? Or more importantly, what will the clergy of America do now? I'll tell you what they would do; they

would still be preaching *"Do you know Jesus?"* they are like a broken record and do not want it fixed, and I submit there are several reasons for this.

First: Of all the majority of preachers in America have to preach the party line. That's right, they are just like a Republican or a Democrat; A Liberal or a Labor; they belong to a party and that party has a platform. These religious parties are called Catholics, Lutherans, Baptists, Uniting, Anglican, Pentecostal, Reformed, Methodists, Mormons, Jews, etc.

Some of these "parties" even send their ministers suggested topics and sermon outlines for every Sunday of the year, and Bible studies are planned for years in advance (Most of them produced by the Jews - *Willie Martin*). Thus we have formalized the teaching of religion to the point of absurdity and the preacher in the pulpit must espouse the party line or he is kicked out.

I once met an ex-preacher who was a party-line preacher and had been for some twelve years. One day in early December a few members of the church came to him and asked him if he would explain the first five verses of Jeremiah 10. He said he would be glad to and opened his Bible and read: *"Hear ye the word which the Lord speaketh unto you, O house of Israel: Thus saith the Lord, Learn not the way of the heathen, and be not dismayed at the signs of heaven; for the heathen are dismayed at them. For the customs of the people are vain: for one cutteth a tree out of the forest, the work of the hands of the workman, with the ax. They deck it with silver and with gold; they fasten it with nails and with hammers, that it move not. They are upright as the palm tree, but speak not: they must needs be borne, because they cannot go. Be not afraid of them; for they*

Library Builder:
KIND UNTO KIND
by Mrs. Indrus W. Howard (1977)

also containing:

The Role of the Mixed Multitude
by Mrs. E.G. Lake (1976)

with notes: Corrective, and Complementary

by

Rober Alan Balaicius
#46 @ sug don \$54.90

(paperback, 32mm thick, a big book)



cannot do evil, neither also is it in them to do good.” (Jeremiah 10:1-5)

After he read this he closed his Bible and was silent for a few minutes, and again one of the individuals asked. What does that mean to us? The preacher answered: “**I don’t know what it means to you but I am going home and take down my Christmas tree.**” Needless to say his answer was not the party line and two weeks later he was removed from his position by the hierarchy of his religious party.

This is only one of the ways in which organized Christidumb has silenced Biblical scholarship. Preachers will either espouse the party line or they will be removed. And of course, these party-line preachers are dependent upon their organized religious party for their monetary support, and if they are removed they have no way of making a living and they, and their wife and children will no longer have a roof over their head nor food to eat. But should you support these?

Thus the inspiring studious minister who wants to be led by the Spirit, who wants to learn from the word of God, and who has the audacity to expound on the word of God as led by Holy Spirit rather than by the religious party, well, he is now out of a job. He must now seek employment outside his religious party or become an independent, and the bottom line is that there are very few, if any, modern-day preachers who dare to, or can afford to be true Bible scholars.

And this is just one of the ways in which organized religion has stifled the freedom of religious expression and poisoned the minds of the people in the pew. These preachers can talk about the Constitution of the United States and the Freedom of religion all they want to, but that freedom of expression only exists as long as they espouse the poison of the party line.

I thank God that I am not a party-line preacher and have the opportunity to expound upon the Word of God as led by the Spirit and not by some religious party.

The poisoning of religion in America is like leaven in a loaf of bread: it starts within and permeates the whole lump of dough. Of course, we understand that Scripturally, leaven represents the false doctrines of the Pharisees, the Sadducees, and the Herodians (The Jews - WM). These people are no longer among us, at least in name (Not by the names of Pharisees, Sadducees and Herodians, they are presently called Jews, and they teach in our Seminaries), but alas they are among us in practice, and the pulpits of America are filled with preachers who are leavening the church with false doctrines.

Let me give you a vivid example of what I am talking about. We are taught from the pulpits to love our neighbor as ourselves and to turn the other cheek. Now this doctrine is preached to the extreme; there is no limit to the amount of abuse we must take. This doctrine has resulted in making walking the streets or the riding of subways of many major cities of America unsafe for decent men, women, and children. But from the pulpits we are told to mind our own business, to pray for our neighbor and to turn the other cheek until we have all been raped, mugged, robbed and killed. Funnily enough, politics teaches the same things.

This doctrine has made jungles out of the streets of some major cities to the point that many people are arming themselves with knives and guns in the hope of protecting themselves from this poison in our society. Then when a person is threatened by muggers and robbers, pulls his trusty gun from his pocket and guns down a few of them, he is arrested, jailed and tried as a common criminal. Obviously, if he had loved his neighbor, he should have turned the other cheek, he should have become black and blue from the mugging, or he should have handed over his money, or passively allowed himself to be killed, or his wife raped — then he would not have been charged with a crime for not “*loving his neighbor;*” that is, for pulling a gun and shooting those who would deprive him of his life, liberty and property.

You may say that I am carrying things to an extreme. Well I don’t think so. You may remember the Bernhard Goetz case in New York — Bernhard was minding his own business on a subway where he had been mugged and robbed more than once, when once again, he was approached by some of those (from another race) whom he is supposed to love and turn the other cheek to, and he pulled a gun and shot them. And what happened to Bernhard? He was arrested and tried like a common criminal. And what for? For defending himself from those whom he was expected to love.

I would interject here also that there is the case where Federal Agents persuaded Randy Weaver to cut the barrel of a shotgun off; and when he did it happened to be 1/4th inch too short. What did he get for his trouble? The Federal Agents shot his 14 year old son to

death, and his wife was shot by a Federal Sniper, a murderer, through the head in an attempt to kill three people with one bullet. No doubt if he had done so successfully he would have been given a huge bonus and promotion - WM).

So when Bernhard attempted to make the subway safe for himself and perhaps his neighbor, he violated the doctrine of some churches (also those he shot were black and we know that nothing had better happen to the blacks today - WM). Bernhard was branded as un-Christian and a criminal when all he wanted to-do was to ride the subway in peace and safety. Isn’t this the type of doctrine that is taught in many churches today? Bernhard should have “loved his neighbor.” He should have welcomed this scum in the neighborhood. He should have allowed this menace to continue even though riding subways is unsafe for men, women, and children. Love your neighbor. Love your neighbor. Turn your cheek. Don’t you want to be a good Christian? When the (so-called - WM) ministers of God and our fellow brethren are continually telling us such things, how can we avoid a sense of guilt when we attempt to defend ourselves from the onslaught of violence, vice, and corruption?

I tell you that those ministers who would have you allow yourself to be robbed, mugged, raped, and even murdered are not true preachers of God — they are false prophets who bring to you the doctrines of Ba’al.

Ladies and gentlemen, these are the latter days and we have been warned about false prophets in these days. Christ Himself stated: “*For false Christs and false prophets shall rise, and shall shew signs and wonders, to seduce, if it were possible even the elect.*” (Mark 13:22) “*And many false*

do you know?

GOD’S PLAN FOR GERMANY

by Adam de Witt

This book is a must for all those who have learnt the British Israelite stance as to the Israelite question, namely, what part has the German folk? Especially noteworthy for those whose outlook is shaped by Armstrong’s WWCog. Some may be shocked whilst others may be thrilled to learn of God’s plan for the Germans. First printed in German for a German readership it is written in the setting of, “we” “us” or “our” German culture, history and so on.

148 @ sug don \$4.55



prophets shall rise, and shall deceive many. For there shall arise false Christs, and false Prophets, and shall shew great signs and wonders; insomuch that, if it were possible, they shall deceive the very elect.” (Matthew 24:11, 24)

Here we are warned that one of the signs of the end of this age will be the coming of false prophets. And as I listen to the preachers, and teachers of God’s word today, I almost invariably hear false teachers. What I hear are seducers of God’s people. What I hear are false prophets.

Keep in mind that the word “prophet” is not necessarily someone who foretells the future, but it also refers to teachers of God’s word. So a prophet is a teacher of God’s word, and one who teaches falsely the word of God is a false teacher or a false prophet.

Being teachers of God’s word they are automatically given credibility by Christiandumb. These people supposedly have divine inspiration and are commissioned by their particular denomination. But I tell you, it is a lie! It is a lie! It is a lie!

The enemies of God have their agents everywhere and they are busy drawing people unto them. They are in every denomination, every religious organization and especially organizations such as the World Council of Churches.

Is it any wonder that Scripture tells us they shall deceive many. Aren’t they all in prestigious positions and organizations? (One of the worst of this lot of false preachers is Robert Schuler of the Glass Cathedral - WM) Therefore they and their false doctrines are readily accepted by Christiandumb. Yes, my friend, they shall deceive many. I hope, and it would be my prayer that you are not among the deceived. In Matthew 24:42 we are told: “*Watch therefore: for ye know not what hour your Lord shall come.*”

Christ’s warning was that we are to watch; that we be alert for the false prophets, so that we might see the folly of these prophets and reject their teachings in order to stand and be counted worthy.

It is only natural to move with the current of the river, but the way of the current, or the way of the masses, is more often than not the wrong way. It is the way of the false prophets; do not my brethren, be fooled by the slick and smooth tongues of these false prophets. Don’t be fooled by their “letters” and prestigious positions of authority. “*Watch therefore,*” and: “*Study to shew thyself approved unto God, a workman that needeth not to be ashamed, rightly dividing the word of truth.*” (2 Timothy 2:15)

If you study the Word of God FOR YOURSELF, you will not be fooled and led astray by the false prophets.

Courtesy Gospel Ministries, Box 9411, Boise ID 83707

“OH, YEAH?” by Skeet Savage

Some things never change!

In this age when everyone is bent on doing what seems right in their own eyes, the fact that God’s eyes are upon them seems irrelevant. The truth is: Our God is unfashionable. By His own admission, He is the faithful God in whom there is not the slightest hint of change or inconsistency.

“*The Father of lights, with whom is no variableness, neither shadow of turning*” (James 1:17).

The One who made the sun, moon and stars doesn’t change like the shifting shadows produced by the heavenly lights which He created. He is always the same and His truth shines forever. We learn to know him through His written Word, and the witness of His holy spirit within us. From the very beginning, our God has been very open and direct with us regarding that which is good and that which is evil and will bring harm to us and, ultimately, separate us from Him.

So, what God calls sin is ... well ... sin. And sin, has not changed its nature or result since the beginning of time. If our children are ever going to truly know God and understand the difference between good and evil at an early age, we must teach them—through word and example—God’s truth about such matters.

When God’s rule becomes our own (when He is given His rightful place as Lord over our hearts and minds, our homes, every aspect of our lives) we become loyal subjects of His eternal Kingdom—living in this world but no longer a fitting part of the world around us. From this context, we can effectively train up our children (homeschool) in a way that will prepare and equip them for a life of faithful service to God. Having lived in willing subjection to His will as modeled and upheld by their parents, they can easily transition to adulthood as they merely continue to obey and follow Him all the days of their lives.

This is why the nurture, admonition and discipline of a child is so vitally important to their spiritual wellbeing. When a child has been instructed, understands and *knows* what is right and wrong, and yet, demonstrates a stubborn and defiant wilfulness against what he knows to be right and chooses to disobey that which has been taught, he has not merely misbehaved or been naughty — he has sinned!

“*To him that knows to do good and does it not, to him it is sin*” (James 4:17).

And, when a child is allowed to sin and get away with it — whether by making excuses for the child (“Johnny’s just tired and hungry.”) or by simply failing (by being too lazy or apathetic) to step up and train the child by dealing with the sin—the **PARENT** is setting the stage in the heart of that child for a miserable and fruitless life of unholy rebellion. Frankly, some of us were raised (rather than trained in righteousness) in this manner with the unhappy result being that too many of us have paid a heavy price for our sin and live with much regret. We’ll never get it right until we settle the matter once and for all: God is God—WE are NOT! In all matters, HE hold the final say.

Consider the World Around You

“*Now the serpent was more subtil than any beast of the field which the Lord God had made. And he said unto the woman, ‘Yea, hath God said ...?’*” Genesis 3:1).

In modern vernacular, the serpent said, in essence, “Oh, yeah? Says who? Why not?” Sadly, the majority of folks on the earth today live an “Oh yeah?” lifestyle in blatant defiance of God’s wise and loving instructions, commands and warnings. When sin is not clearly defined and disobedience is not systematically and consistently dealt with beginning at a very young age, rebellion and lawlessness will result as each one chooses to stand as their own god in deciding what is right or wrong.

“*This know also, that in the last days perilous times shall come. For men shall be lovers of their own selves,*



covetous, boasters, proud, blasphemers, disobedient to parents, unthankful, unholy, without natural affection, truce-breakers, false accusers, incontinent, fierce, despisers of those that are good, traitors, heady, highminded, lovers of pleasures more than lovers of God; having a form of godliness, but denying the power thereof; from such turn away. For of this sort are they which creep into houses (through the TV?), and lead captive silly women laden with sins, led away with divers lusts, ever learning, and never able to come to the knowledge of the truth. Now as Jannes and Jambres withstood Moses, so do these also resist the truth: men of corrupt minds, reprobate concerning the faith. But they shall proceed no further: for their folly shall be manifest unto all men, as their's also was" (2 Timothy 3:1-9).

In 1998, the Jerry Springer (a Jew, born in England 1944) show titled, "I Married a Horse," included a man describing his five-year marriage to his horse named Pixel, a woman recounting her torid romance with her dog, and other perverse situations involving bestiality. Thankfully, there were many television stations, at that time, who refused to air the filthy show. Now, flash forward to June 2013 when Karl Lagerfeld (a fashion designer) announced in a CNN interview that he wanted to marry his cat claiming, "I never thought that I would fall in love like this." Rather than uproar and protest, a stunning number of commentators showed support for this man's deranged desire and called for compassionate acceptance and tolerance of his lifestyle choice.

Who would have thought we would live to see the day when marriage would be redefined in our culture to represent all manner of perverse situations. Yet, with increasing numbers of court petitions by confused individuals seeking to marry their pets (and thereby, supposedly legitimize such perversion), should the Lord tarry, we will indeed, live to see the day when, as with other such perversions as same-sex "marriage," (child marriage, and pedophilia) such become the norm in society. How have things gotten so far off track? Well, we can't say we were not warned. Read Romans 1:18-32,

"For the wrath of God is revealed from heaven against all ungodliness and unrighteousness of men, who hold the truth in unrighteousness, because that which may be known of God is manifest in them: for God has shown it to them. For the invisible things of Him from the creation of the world are clearly seen, being understood by the things that are made, even His eternal power and Godhead; so that they are without excuse. Because that, when they knew God, they glorified him not as God, neither were thankful; but became vain in their imaginations, and their foolish heart was darkened. Professing themselves to be wise, they became fools and changed the glory of the uncorruptible God into an image like to corruptible man, and to birds, and four-footed beasts, and creeping things. This is why God also gave them up to uncleanness through the lusts of their own hearts, to dishonour their own bodies between themselves; who changed the truth of God into a lie, and worshipped and served the creature more than the Creator, who is blessed for ever; Amen.

For this cause, God gave them up unto vile affections: for even their women did change the natural use into that which is against nature, and likewise also the men, leaving the natural use of the woman, burned in their lust one toward another; men with men working that which is unseemly, and receiving in themselves that recompence of their error which was meet (AIDS). And even as they did not like to retain God in their knowledge, God gave them over to a reprobate mind, to do those things which are not convenient; Being filled with all unrighteousness, fornication, wickedness, covetousness, maliciousness; full of envy,

murder, debate, deceit, malignity; whisperers, backbiters, haters of God, spiteful, proud, boasters, inventors of evil things, disobedient to parents, without understanding, covenantbreakers, without natural affection, implacable, unmerciful. Who, knowing the judgment of God, that they which commit such things are worthy of death, not only do the same, but have pleasure in them that do them."

What Example Are YOU Setting?

As the world around us continues to evolve according to its own opinions of right and wrong, what we once thought we would never do, we now find ourselves fully involved in with barely (if any) qualm of conscience. For example, the Word of our God clearly teaches us to say, "I will set no evil thing before my eyes." But, instead, you say, "Well, it does have some profanity and the main character takes the Lord's Name in vain in the one part but, other than that and a little nudity during the love (sex) scene between two of the (unmarried) actors—but, we can send the children out of the room for that part—and oh, yes, one of the teenagers makes a crude remark and vulgar gesture but, otherwise, it's a pretty good movie."

Really?! While we're on the subject of movies let's get something straight. The movie industry has adopted a "rating system" for movies that suggests that certain language and behaviour is suitable for adults but not for children or young people. However—if a movie is deemed "not suitable for children" because it contains explicit material that depicts what our God has explicitly forbidden, then it is not suitable for ANY child of God—no matter what their age! The fact is, every form and level of pornography on the market insidiously carries an "ADULT" warning label—as if to suggest that its content is only potentially harmful for children but perfectly safe and acceptable for adults. Yet, every porn addict knows the evil and destructive nature of the obscene lust nurtured by that ruthless industry. When you *really* grow up, you stop playing in the mud. Period.

Train up a child in the way he should go; and when he is old, he will not depart from it. (Prov. 22:6)

A child left to himself bringeth his mother to shame, (Prov. 29:15).

Too many choices at too early an age will create in the heart of a child the idol of SELF. Throughout their lifetime, this will manifest as SELF-will, SELF-centeredness, SELF-ishness, etc. The arrogance and pride of the SELF-life puts the person at odds with a jealous God who has made it clear that He will tolerate no other gods before/beside Him. The insidious and damning nature of unbridled SELF becomes apparent just a few years down the road. A child who has been left to his own choosing and allowed to do as he pleases, will not be inclined to submit to God or any legitimate authority on the earth. "Oh, yeah? Says who?"

God says—that's who! Believe it!

Courtesy Home School Digest, Box 374, Covert MI 49043

Greetings once again in Jesus Name. Sorry about the lateness of this issue, it is because of our 3 weeks away on holiday down south, for the birth of our 46th grandchild, and catching up with family and friends. I know some are quick to phone and find out why the Messenger hasn't arrived yet - but panic not. Better late than never. We are sorry to see some drop off the maillist again, and would rather see the numbers increase, but it seems people are not reading much anymore. We do appreciate those who continue and place their orders for more material, and will read and listen/watch. We look forward to your mail and orders and continued support. May the God of our forefathers bless you and keep you, make His face shine on you and give you His peace and protection in these trying times,

Hendrik (Bank) Roelofs 14

#391 Ph 07 4066 0146 email: hr_cim@bigpond.com

