

Christian Identity Ministries

A member of the Congregations of Israel

PO Box 146, CARDWELL, QLD, 4849, Australia

Ph: 07-4066 0146 (International 61-7 instead of 07) www.christianidentityministries.com - hr_cim@bigpond.com "Blessed be the LORD God of *Israel;* For He hath visited and redeemed *His* people, And hath raised up an horn of salvation for *us* in the house of his servant David; as he spake by the mouth of his holy prophets, which have been since the world began; That *we* should be saved from *our* enemies and from the hand of all that hate *us*; to perform the mercy promised to *our* fathers and to remember his holy covenant; The oath which he sware to *our* father Abraham, That he would grant unto *us*, that *we* being delivered out of the hand of *our* enemies might serve him without fear, in holiness and righteousness before him, all the days of *our* lives." Luke 1:68-75; the Anglo-Saxon-Celtic-Germanic-Scandinavian people are *ISRAEL*!

#379

Covenant Messenger

January AD2018

(a publication of N.Q. Fellowship of God's Covenant People)

JONAH WAS A PROPHET WITH THE COVERING OF PUKE YES PUKE by Adam de Witt

In this article I will need to take on many subjects; Families of the world, Loving Strangers, Kingdom Levels, Why Jonah was angry with God, Kings of the East, and Puke.

There is a certain teacher who some reject yet I do read his 'stuff' all the same, and the reason is this, he does have many truly God-given insights, that those who cast him off do not have. However, they who cast him off have insights he casts off. The way I see it is that we are all learning and all of us need to be guided by Holy ghost (hallowed thinking bestirred from above ... God). Others call him a false prophet because they say he predicts things that don't happen. I think it is more a case of many ask him what he thinks will happen next as I get this all the time. Unlike myself he feels most likely that he wants to give some assurances and looks for things that he can say he has discovered. It can become a risky pattern. I also think that God knows this and is not holding it against him. I think it is up to us to be able to take apart God's truth from man's flesh and even that will hinge upon what God has shown us and guides us on our own level.

Having said all that, I have been reading this teacher's newsletters on his studies of Jonah and the lessons he gives us. Some insights are great, others are simply based on a popular bad translation of a certain word. I feel the need to build upon what he has written because the subject he touches on is so important to us and it is sad therefore that a very key point is not understood by this teacher and so I will deal with that issue to help give a more full insight into the calling of Jonah which is a calling to all overcomers.

So as not to harm the teacher I will simply call him, **'Bob'**... I do not want to bring scorn to his work, this article that I am writing is not designed to do that.

'Bob' rightly wrote the series of newsletters simply called 'Jonah'. My effort is to complete what God had called him to write... Iron sharpens iron.

I will not rewrite all of his newsletters only the points so needed for this study into why Jonah was angry with

God after the folk of Nineveh repented.

'Bob' writes,

"The people of Nineveh repented at the preaching of Jonah. Judgment upon the city was averted, and the prophet was angry with God for saving His foes.

His anger stemmed from his pride that was pricked, because he had put his reputation on the line. He had openly predicted the fall of Nineveh in forty days—a message in which he, as an Israelite nationalist, took some delight—and then his prophecy seemed to fail. No doubt this embarrassed Jonah, for to those who did not understand the ways of God, it made him appear to be a false prophet".

This is where the issues arise for me. I do not think Jonah was angry with God because his reputation as a prophet was on the line, that almost sounds flaky and childish for a prophet who understood so much. I think the reason of his anger lies in his belief system of who was deemed; clean or unclean.

Jonah, just as nearly all Israelites and their kinsfolk from the Adamites of Gen 1 to Adamites today (yes, Adamites were created in Gen 1 and plural and plenty of them, but that is an other subject) were usually nationalists

IN THIS ISSUE:

Jonah was a Prophet with the Covering of	1
A Few Thoughts on S.S.M,	12
Washington Times article,	12
Ray Smyth,	13
A Bit of Terrible Advice,	
The Will to Survive,	

The views and opinions expressed in the articles herein or herewith are those of the authors and not necessarily those of CIM. They are written

by fallible men. You must ask Jesus to guide your studies! CIM reserves the right to edit submitted or reprinted material in line with CIM editorial policy. CIM does the utmost to ensure that the spirit of articles remains intact at all times. if they were not melting-pot lovers — and that is the problem — nationalism. On the whole, our folk are either Nationalists or Melting-pot -lovers. Nationalists are 'geographists' (I just made that word up to make the next point). That point is: We should **not be** geographic-loyalist, no, we should be '**folk-loyalists**', that is to say, kin is kin, no matter where they are — blood is thicker than water that means, we are called to be, racists. Yes, that is right. So why is being a racist now called to be so evil? After all to be so, is deemed the most evil thing going often by Nationalist who tend to be Conservatives, and by 'Meltingpot-ites' because it flies in the face of their fleshly, Utopian-neverland.

It is deemed so evil because it is that which will set us asunder or apart from all others who are non-Adamites and hybrids (bas-tards - Deut. 23:2). True Christians ought to know by now that to be friends of the world system is to be

a foe of God. That is what the bible tells us, we cannot serve two masters, that is to say; God's system (New Jerusalem) or Man's meltingpot system (Hidden or Mystery Babylon). We know that the world system hates true Christianity and that is because both systems are not only 'worlds' (systems) apart, they are opposites. What the Babylonian systems call good, is evil in God's "Jerusalem" system. The Bible says, "Woe to those who call evil good and good evil ..." (Isa. 5:20). The world order calls race-mixing, "good" as it is the Tower-of-Babel all over again. It is a 'world' or 'system' whereby those

at the top hold sway over all others underneath them to their gain and in so doing lay-waste God's Folk, thus they kill off the folk who are the body and bride of Jesus through hybridization (bastardization) and in so doing Kill Jesus once and for all—and thus they rule instead of Jesus—making them Anti-Christs. That means therefore that Multi-culturalism is Anti-Christs—and in the eyes of those who love this Neo-Tower-of Babel, the 'aparthood' (segregation) of the races evil—it stands to reason the system from Babylon hates racism. Indeed, the ancient Kings of Babylon were kings over "Man and Beast".

Now why does the Bible say Man and Beast? Surely the Bible could have said, Kings over Man, the seas, the land, the cattle, and all things in the land? No, it specifically said Man and Beast. Why I ask this is simple, the verse places Man and Beast as some sort of equal underlings. It stands to reason that a King is king over his land and any waterways or animals such as cows and birds and over plants and and even property, yet the verse highlights two 'things' as if these have equal rights.

Why? Because Babylon was multi-cultural, so what the Bible is saying is this; Man, and Hunter-gatherer types. Indeed, the word beast or in more modern translations the word animal is used. The Greek word is $\zeta \varpi \alpha$.

In the KJV version we read,

2 Peter 2:12 King James Version (KJV)

But these, as natural brute beasts, made to be taken

and destroyed, speak evil of the things that they understand not; and shall utterly perish in their own corruption...

Even Adamites as we see in 2nd Peter are deemed as Beasts or animals.

Now I will shock most. The Evolutionist say that we are all animals and guess what? They are right. Many will say that no we are not because we have a soul. HOGWASH.

The word soul means; <u>the living creature</u>. So it means; the animated creations. The very word animal is linked to the word; Animate. Therefore even an ant that is a living creature is a soul. Hence "S.O.S." when you are alive and in peril. Because when you are dead, there is no living creature (soul) to save.

All who live as fleshly folk are 'born from below' thus they are animals. All who are 'born from above' are animated not by flesh alone, but by Holy Ghost. That is the

New Video: WHY WE ARE AFRAID Dr. Bill Warner exposes Islam Master Plan, also:

Israel, Islam and the End Times Archeological date has shown that Islam has fought and raided Christians in 548 battles with continuous rais and killing ruhlessly. They had a huge slave trade of Christians and also many Christian women and girls as sex slaves. Dr Warner says Islam is now powerful, relentless and Jihad is to be waged until everyone of Muslim and they are on control. Political correctness is destroying critical thought and the conservatives are gutless.

CI-1069 @ sug don \$9

difference. That is why Peter can say, they who "*walk after the flesh in the lust of uncleanness*..." are brute beasts.

The word beast is often used as "Beasts of the Field"; meaning 'Creatures of the Field"...Created beings that live off of the natural landscape which the Bible then often gives and explains the behaviour and traits of these beasts, showing that these are not the four footed types; they are therefore: hunter-gatherers.

The Greek word being; ζωα meaning; beast.

Until, We, the Kelto-Saksons of Christendom brought our skills to the Asians and Negroids, they were

hunter-gatherers, and in the case of Asians, before contact with the Western-World, they slightly progressed beyond that level because our ancient Neolithic proto-kelts taught them basic skills of civilization so long ago. Nevertheless, it is not part of their creation plan to be us. **We** were created to be **us**. **They** were created to be, **them**. Babylonians were Nationalists and Meltingpot-ites, few if any were racialists.

Nationalism has an inherent weakness. Due to nationalism, it does not take much for our arch-foes, those with roots going right back to Ashkenaz of Genesis through Esau and others, to whip up a war between kin. So our kin based in a certain land went to war with kin living in another land, one branch of our kin slaying the other as we have seen between those called English, and those called Germans, and another called Russian, and another called Scottish, or another called Boers, and another called Confederates and so on.

And indeed it did not take much for our forefathers of the Houses of Israel and Judah to go to war either in OT times.

Coming back to what '**Bob**' has written: he then goes on to teach that Jonah was angry because the verdict against the end of the Ninevites was called off, instead of being foredone (destroyed) in 40 days; they repented and were spared; and so Jonah somehow felt that the verdict should not have been reversed. '**Bob**' reasoned that because God reversed doom and spared the Ninevites and this



2

happened because they repented, that he (Jonah) was not going to agree with God on this.

Again I quote 'Bob', "His anger stemmed from his pride that was pricked, because he had put his reputation on the line. He had openly predicted the fall of Nineveh in forty days—a message in which he, as an Israelite nationalist, took some delight—and then his prophecy seemed to fail. No doubt this embarrassed Jonah, for to those who did not understand the ways of God, it made him appear to be a false prophet".

Well I don't see that as very logical, that is, if Jonah was simply miffed because it would make him look bad in the eyes of his fellow nationalists as a false prophet. Why? Because Jonah himself admitted that God is a merciful (kind) God, indeed Jonah admits this, and in so admitting this he must also admit that God is right to reverse the verdict. So there has to be another reason why Jonah was unhappy. '*Bob*' highlights this very fact that Jonah admits to God's kindness: Jonah 4:1,2 says,

But it greatly displeased Jonah, and he became angry. And he prayed to the Lord and said, "Please, Lord, was not this what I said while I was still in my own country? Therefore, in order to forestall this, I fled to Tarshish, for I

knew that <u>Thou art a gracious and</u> compassionate God, slow to anger and abundant in loving kindness, and one who relents con-cerning calamity."

'Bob' then adds...

"This is the first time we are told about Jonah's motive in trying to run from God. We learn that he took a ship toward Tarshish, not because he was afraid of the king of Nineveh, but because he was afraid that God might cancel judgment if the Ninevites repented. He knew God better than most of the people."

So we see that Bob rightly picks up on this but then he tries to drive home the point that Jonah

was against God calling off doom for the Ninevites based on Jonah not understanding God. Yet he then says that he (Jonah) knew God better than most. So that speaks against **Bob's** theory, because if Jonah knew God so well, he would not be angry at God's mercy.

But then, as I read on in Bob's study pages, I was suddenly shown the crux of the matter and why '*Bob*' failed to see 'it'...

"Would that people today would have the same revelation of God's grace! But herein lies the problem. The people want to serve a harsh God, because they want Him to judge their enemies harshly. But they have no understanding, because if this were truly the nature of God, then He would also be harsh with Israel.

On the whole, people want God to be loving with them, but harsh toward their enemies. The double standard seems good to them. But God is impartial in His judgments and in His grace. Because "God is love" (1 John 4:8), He is impartial in His love toward all. For this reason, He insists that we love our neighbor as ourselves (Lev. 19:18), <u>and that</u> <u>we love foreigners as ourselves as well</u> (Lev. 19:34)."

That is it—the clue—that which I highlighted in bold text! Read that highlighted bit again.

'Bob' like 99.9999% of all those who call themselves Christian, all quote those verses that state that we should be kind to outlanders/foreigners because we were outlanders in Egypt once. Of course an outlander does not mean someone of another race, but it can do, and because it could mean non-Adamite, **Bob** and the 99.9999% of all who say they are Christians, take it that these outlanders were non-whites.

Now please ponder the following and step outside the fish-bowl world we now live in to see that in the past, things were not as we see things now...remember the saying, **"The past is another land"**.

Before multiculturalism cranked up in the 1970s, most of us born before the 1970s referred to an outlander (foreigner) as someone who lives outside our land so in a neighbouring land and if you lived in Holland, that then meant, a German, or a Belgian, or someone from further afield, so, French or Swiss or English or Czech or Russian—but at that time all of these folk where White, so they were all Adamites—so were all outlanders in the Biblical lands at that time—outlanders but kin.

Some were outlanders that we could not wed with because they were so heathen or the product of incest, or they themselves had not lived apart from those they ought not mix with. And at the time of Jonah and indeed even at the time of Jesus, Assyrians, Babylonians, Canaanites,

New Video: **A GOY GUIDE TO WORLD HISTORY, in 7 parts E, Michael Jones** teaches Jewish history and the Jewish revolutionary spirit andits impact on world history.

tionary spirit andits impact on world history. In the middle ages, the Jews learned predatory financial behaviour with their usury. Many countries expelled the Jews because of their predatory economic activity. They were a dominant element in the slave trade. **#CI-1070 @ sug don \$9**

Egyptians, North Africans, and all Europeans where White, other than the slaves the White Egyptians took from the black areas below Egypt (with which they all hybridized seeing to it that Egypt would never again rise as a civilization). All empires for that matter welcome in other races and Babylon and Assyria did so too. Thus the only ones who were not White were those of empires who mingled and bedded with "Beasts" having bred bastard offspring ---particularly with their black slaves and hybrids that mingled with each

following generation changing the future of each such empires leaving the original whites as minority groups lands that were once great and never to rise again. (*see 'The Black Man's Gift to Portugal'*)

The strangers that came out of Egypt which went with the Israelites during the 'outgoing' were not Negros or such like, they were racial kin Egyptians who were still true to their race, they were kinsfolk and that is not not mere speculation. The Bible gives many proofs that God forbade the mixing of Adamites with others of doubtful linage. However, because nearly ALL preachers only quote the Masoretic Text translations they find a few verses that SEEM to allow the mixing of races, and when the preachers find these, they quote these like there is no tomorrow. But Jesus never used that MT. Indeed, the most original text which Jesus and the Apostles used and quoted was the Greek (Septuagint) LXX. They did not and could not quote the Masoretic Text which everyone loves to refer to-because it was written by rabbis 900 years AFTER Jesus and his Apostles preached.

So rather than quoting the so-called original Hebrew of the Masoretic Text, a text that is as phony as a 3 dollar bill, one should go to the erstwhile Greek text of the LXX.

And in the Greek text we do not see the word Stranger



at all, instead we see the word that was actually used and it does not mean "outlander/forigner" or even "stranger"...it means, "proselyte" or 'converted one'. Here are some proofs from an earlier article I wrote on the subject of Strangers starting with some of the 'pet' verses used by socalled Christians who say, "See, we must be loving to coloureds and such like as these verses prove this..."

"But the stranger that dwelleth with you shall be unto you as one born among you, and thou shalt love him as thyself; for ye were strangers in the land of Egypt: I am the LORD your God." Leviticus 19:34

So it seems clear from this word that the churches are right. But then this...

Leviticus 20:24 (KJV)

But I have said unto you, Ye (Israelites) shall inherit their land (of the aliens), and I will give it unto you to possess it, a land that floweth with

milk and honey: I am the Lord your God, which have separated you from other people.

Or this... Jeremiah 2:25 (KJV) Withhold thy foot from being unshod, and thy throat from thirst: but thou saidst, There is no hope: no; for I have loved strangers, and after them will I go.

So here it says there is no hope for the one who has loved strangers!

Notice here the big contradiction in what the bible says about dealing with strangers.

The Septuagint (LXX)

"The earliest version of the Old Testament Scriptures which is extant, or of ***X-906** The First and Second Theses, Stephen which we possess any certain knowledge, is the translation executed at Alexandria in the third century before the Christian era: this

version has been so habitually known by the name of the SEPTUAGINT, that the attempt of some learned men in modern times to introduce the designation of the Alexandrian version (as more correct) has been far from successful.

The history of the origin of this translation was embellished with various fables at so early a period, that it has been a work of patient critical research in later times to bring into plain light the facts which may be regarded as well authenticated. "Sir Lancelot C. L. Brenton 1851

History

The date of the 3rd century BC is supported (for the LAW Torah translation) by a number of factors, including the Greek being representative of early Koine, citations beginning as early as the 2nd century BC, and early manuscripts datable to the 2nd century.

After the LAW Books, other books were translated over the next two to three centuries. It is not altogether clear which was translated when, or where; some may even have been translated twice, into different versions, and then revised. The quality and style of the different translators also varied considerably from book to book, from the literal to paraphrasing to interpretative.

The translation process of the Septuagint itself and from the Septuagint into other versions can be broken down into several distinct stages, during which the social milieu of the translators shifted from Hellenistic to Early Christianity. The translation of the Septuagint itself began in the 3rd century BC and was completed by 132 BC., initially in Alexandria, but in time elsewhere as well. The Septuagint is the basis for the Old Latin, Slavonic, Syriac, Old Armenian, Old Georgian and Coptic versions of the Christian Old Testament.

It was the very bible that Jesus and the apostles knew, used and quoted. If it is approved by Jesus, then it is approved by me. Therefore I will use the LXX.

The first step I will take to solve the riddle is to look at some of the 'pet' verses which the churches love to use to justify their love of multi-culturalism, when the whole theme of the bible is a mono-culture for one people only, the Israelites and graft-able kinsfolk.

So I will start with a word that is the most contentious, the very word the modern translations have translated as,

strangers.

προσηλυτος

This is the word we find in the bible that Jesus used before the translators translated our modern bibles of the last 500 or so years. The Bible that Jesus used and therefore approved of was the Greek Septuagint written in Koine Greek. I have of course the English translation of this Bible-it holds the original Koine Greek text which Jesus and the apostles used and quoted which runs side by side, verse by verse, chapter by chapter, book by book with the translation.

This word; $\pi \rho \sigma \eta \lambda \upsilon \tau \sigma \zeta$ has been translated in all modern bibles as the word, 'stranger'.

Yet that is simply wrong. That word does not mean stranger AT

ALL! It means, Proselyte.

New & Recently Listened to CDs & DVDs*

A-9034 George Washington's Prophecy &

Rudyard Kipling's Prophecy, A. Schiffner

*BD-082 The Mystery of the Covenant,

Dave Barley

D-063 Qulifications for Victory, *pt 3*,

Lawrence Blanchard

G-1088 Ten Reasons Why Rom. 13 is Not

About Secular Govt. pt 2, Ted Weiland

*J-483 Refusing the Enemy's Testimony,

John Weaver

*J-484 What the World Wants, pt 1,

John Weaver

K-664 Tragedy -- The Kingdom Divided, pt 9,

James Bruggeman

Nichols

Proselyte: a person who has converted from one opinion, religion, or party to another.

The biblical term "proselyte" is an Anglicization of the Koine Greek term $\pi \rho o \sigma \eta \lambda v \tau o \varsigma$ (proselytos), as used in the Septuagint (Greek Old Testament) It means converted ones who were Estranged to the Law. It means Strangers in the sense that they were as strangers because they fell outside the protection of the Covenant or were the "wild olives" which could be grafted into the "cultivated olives". It was about olive with olives; not creating hybrids. Olives, being the national symbol of the Israelite folk-My people.

Olives the national symbol of one people only-as we see in Pauls address to Israelites who were scattered abroad: Romans 11:16.17, 18

" If the first part of the dough is holy, so is the whole batch; if the root is holy, so are the branches. Now if some branches have been broken off, and you, a wild olive shoot, have been grafted in among the others to share in the nourishment of the olive root, do not boast over those branches. If you do, remember this: You do not support the root, but the root supports you."

Berean Study Bible

It means this: Those of my people who do not know the bible and live therefore as heathens are the wild olive



shoots who, when they are grafted into the cultivated root, are the proselytes, they were strangers to Law, but by conversion become part of Israel.

This verse in Romans is one of many that teaches that we should be kind to those of our own kind even though they have become estranged to the Law, they are as strangers, they are heathens, but nevertheless, olives, albeit, wild (i.e. Estranged). So in that verse given above, the translators used the word 'stranger', yet they should have used either 'estranged' or 'proselyte'.

Let us look at two Koine Greek words for strangers and aliens (there are several other words but these are the main ones).

ξένος, η, (ov xenos), an alien.

αλλογενής (*allogenēs*), used in the Septuagint meaning "[from a] different family/nation" a different race.

Yet neither of these words are used in the original text of the verse above, one of the 'pet' verses that the churches always love to use. So let us look at those 'pet' verses, in the most widely used English translations, followed by the Greek. **King James Version Exodus CDs of the Month**

King James Version Exodus 22:21

Thou shalt neither vex a stranger, nor oppress him: for ye were strangers in the land of Egypt.

Greek Septuagint Exodus 22:21

καί προσηλυτον ου κακω σετε ουδε μη θλιψητε αυτον ητε γαρ προσηλυτοι εν γη Αιγυπτου.

King James Version Leviticus 19:34

But the stranger that dwelleth with you shall be unto you as one born among you, and thou shalt love him as thyself; for ye were strangers in the land of Egypt: I am the LORD your God.

Greek Septuagint Leviticus 19:34

ως ο αυτοΧθών εν υμιν εσται ο προσηλυτος ο προσπορευομενος προς υμας και αγαπησεις αυτον ως σεαυτον οτι προσηλυτοι ελενηθητε εν γη Αιγυπτου.

King James Version Deuteronomy 10:19

Love ye therefore the stranger: for ye were strangers in the land of Egypt.

Greek Septuagint Deuteronomy 10:19

και αγαπησετε τον προσηλυτον προσηλυτοι γαρ ητε εν γη Αιγυπτου.

So if we were to rely only upon the translations, it would seem that the churches are right. But as you can see I have placed the Greek LXX original texts with each verse and in each case, the word is not; $\xi \epsilon vo \varsigma$ or $\alpha \lambda \lambda o \gamma \epsilon v \eta \varsigma$ (alien or racial alien) but is instead; $\pi \rho o \sigma \eta \lambda \upsilon \tau o \varsigma$ to mean, proselyte ...and not 'stranger' at all.

Indeed, we must begin to wonder what is, and was, in the minds of the translators as this next verse is a real twist of the translations, dodgy-work;

King James Version. Malachi 4:1

For, behold, the day cometh, that shall burn as an oven; and all the proud, yea, and all that do wickedly, shall be stubble: and the day that cometh shall burn them up, saith the LORD of hosts, that it shall leave them neither root nor branch.

So the PROUD will be uprooted from the land...right? That's what it says in our translations. But is that what the LXX says? Remember at all times, the LXX is the version that Jesus used and quoted from...

Greek Septuagint. Malachi 4:1

διοτι ιδου ημ'ερα ερχεταί καιομενη ως κλ' ιβανος και φλ' εξει αυτο' υς και ' εσονται π' αντες οι αλλογενεις και π' αντες οι ποιο ' υντες ανομα καλ'αμη και αν' αψει αυτο' υς η ημ'ερα η ερχομ'ενη λ' εγει κ' υριος δυν'αμεων και ου μη υπολειφθ'η αυτ'ων ρ' ιζα ουδ' κλ'ημα

Hello! Is there anybody out there?

Does anyone see this? The LXX uses the word, $\alpha\lambda\lambda\alpha\gamma\epsilon\nu\epsilon\iota\varsigma$. That's right; allogenes! That means; <u>racial</u> <u>alien</u>. So why did the translators use the word 'proud'? It is beginning to look like they are trying to cover something up.

SO THE RACIAL ALIENS SHALL BE STUBBLE!

That means, this multi-racial mess that our lands have become thanks to the Churches, politicians, universities, media, and the foe of Askenaz stock will be undone. So stop loving them—love God's truth instead.

So let us now translate that word allogenes and read that very same verse again...

For, behold, the day cometh, that shall burn as an oven; and all the racial alien, yea, and all that do wickedly, shall be stubble: and

the day that cometh shall burn them up, saith the LORD of hosts, that it shall leave them neither root nor branch.

Bob is at least right to say this...

"Jonah's problem was not that he misunderstood the love of God, but that he did not share the same love for Nineveh that God had. Jonah's love was partial; God's was impartial. In fact, Jonah was so upset about this that he wanted to die. Jonah 4:3 says,

³ Therefore now, O Lord, please take my life from me, for death is better to me than life."..."

Bob then likes to quote that 'old favourite' verse of the churches, that Abraham was called to be a blessing to all the families of the earth (<u>Gen. 12:3</u>).

³ And I will bless those who bless you, and the one who curses you I will curse, and in you all the families of the earth shall be blessed.

Ah yes, all races will be blessed, right — Does it say all races? Yes or no?

NO! It says all FAMILIES! Sadly we use the English tongue without even knowing which words are English or fake-English. The word 'Family' is a Fake-English word that came into English some 500 years ago...but unlike English, it's roots are from Latin, not from Anglo root... it was: "familia". It came into English in the 15th year-hundred (century) and it meant, household. And basically 'Household', is the true English word for family as it hints that it has a patriarchal structure with the man as the head over the wife and children and all who serve. Family is linked to the word, Familiar...namely; That which is not



J-341 Richard Cameron

J-342 Stephen Dill Lee

J-343 Capital Sins

J-344 Jack Jouette, Patriot, Hero

J-345 Why God Allowed the Slaughter of

the Priest

J-346 God's Manifest Mercy

J-347 The Sin Every Christian Commits

all Pastor John Weaver

alien.

So when the Bible states, "all Families", it does not mean all races. Indeed, up until the 1970s other races were not in our lands and we were totally unfamiliar with racial aliens, up until then we saw nearby 'families' as nations being those of our kin as it means; all those who are KIN. Family means literally, kinsfolk, and does not mean aliens.

Gen 12:3 is saying that through the line of Abraham, will come Jesus and through HIM being through Abraham—that all Adamites who were 'lost' after Adam Gen 2 failed, will all be blessed because Jesus will save them from their death nature of sin, the breaking of the Law—as the Law was only for them. That is who and why they would be blessed. It has nothing to do with blessing Hottentots, Maoris, Eskimos, brown Philippinos or Koreans.

Bob adds...

"Peter, the Jewish nationalist, ignored the first part of this verse in <u>Acts 3:25, 26</u>, saying on the day of Pentecost,

25 It is you who are the sons of the prophets, and of the covenant which God made

the covenant which God made with your fathers, saying to Abraham, "<u>And in your seed</u> <u>all the families of the earth</u> <u>shall be blessed</u>." ²⁶For you first, God raised up His Servant, and sent Him to bless you by turning every one of you from your wicked ways.

The calling of Abraham, which Jesus came to fulfill, was to bless all families of the earth. He blessed Judah FIRST, but not exclusively. Peter then defined what it means to be a blessing. To bless means to turn people from their wicked ways."

Well 'bless' does not actually mean that. It means to

impart 'holy-favour upon'...or, 'speak well of'. This can only be so for those who were set-apart for this: namely; Adamites.

Indeed, this is done by turning them from "their wicked ways". ONLY Israelites were deemed as having wicked ways from which they needed to be saved, and once they would change, then the rest of their clans or 'familiar-ones' (families), so racial kin, would be blessed.

Yes —universal salvation— for Mankind—namely MAN KIND (kind meaning, familiar ones, or kin; but NOT racial aliens). When the bible uses the word 'Families' it is always in the context of kin and in many cases it makes it clear which kin, "At the same time, saith the Lord, will I be the God of all the families...." So which families? All races? "At the same time, saith the Lord, will I be the God of all the families of Israel, and they shall be my people." Jer. 31:1. This verse shows us the context in which we must use, all the families of the earth. Notice the bible does not say, ON Earth, it says, "of the earth", so not on the Planet Earth, but to mean, 'of the land'. Which land? Where the families of Israel are. Indeed the verse also says, "and THEY shall be my people." Who? THEY: The families of Israel. This is not nationalism, it is racism.

'Bob' likes to say that Jonah's nationalism was racially

motivated (so, he uses racism in the modern sense as being something negative) and that his motive not to help the folk of Nineveh to repent was because he saw God's word only apt for the dwellers of the land where he came from...that is how **Bob** presents his case. **Bob** hints that Jonah was a racist and in so being, we who are Israelite believers today should stop being as Jonah and be more like the Church goers, that is to say, on their level of being a blessing to all races. But that is not what the story of Jonah is about.

Yes, Jonah's issue was nationalism. The Nineveites were not under the Kingdom of God—that is to say—if you were outside the area of the Temple services and thus unable to be cleansed by priestly rites, after all, you were deemed unclean and seen as not fit as part of the Kingdom of God if the priests could not make sacrifices for your sins. It meant that all whites who were either Adamite kin or Israelites who were living outside of the Temple jurisdiction/dominion — (The Kingdom of God as represented by the Land of Israel and later just the Land of Judea)— it meant that all these folk were 'unclean' and not

Old Historic LOAN DVD NO APOLOGIES The Truth About Life, Love, Sex from Focus on the Family

This gives young people a side of the story they don't always hear; it's their side—straight up with no sugar coating or reservations. Teenagers talking to teenagers about life, love and sex. With gripping testimonies from real people their own age—and from others looking back—teengers hear how individuals like themselves have been affected

age—and from others looking back—teengers hear how individuals like themselves have been affected by choices of pre-marital sex and abstinence. Powerful 30 min. expose.

CI-264 @ sug LOAN don \$7

worth saving. Only those in the 'Kingdom-area-with-the-temple-services' were worth saving. Sure, it was imagined that the Kingdom could expand its borders but only by way of taking over more land and incorporating those who befolked (populated) these won territories. So by military means.

Those who believed this failed to see that the temple in Old Jerusalem was only a shadow of the real temple, only a symbol of the real temple in the same way a Shell sign is only a symbol of Shell petrol, but a symbol is not the real

thing. Indeed, the priestly rites were ONLY symbols, these cleansed NOBODY! It was only the Blood of Jesus that deemed them as being clean, and the rites were only pointers, symbols, to that fact.

What God was doing with the Ninevites was making it clear that the folk themselves are the temple no matter where they lived and if holy teachings (holy ghost) would come to them and fill their hearts to change their ways, then it was God who was the high-priest, not the temple men in Jerusalem. This idea that the temple-men are the way to being made clean is a mental illness suffered even to this day by religious folk. They see priests as the bridge (pontif) to God. But God was showing Jonah that the way to God is by way of repentence, sadly Jonah would have no part of that. Yet that is the way God upsizes the Kingdom and all those 'families' would be deemed as being 'clean' and they would be part of Kingdom growth without the need of a military-campaign blessed by temple priests.

In a way, had Jonah accepted this, the Kingdom on a Pentecost level would have come back then. But God has a Will and a Plan; the Plan was to wait until Jesus was born and slain on the day of Passover to make a clear end to the Passover age. And then God's plan was to have Jesus, his



son, to inherit the kingdom as rightful King to rule on a Pentecost level starting with the 120 in the upper room (more on that below). We see also that the Booth in which Jonah found shelter withered, this is because Pentecost Kingdom level MUST come first (the Church Age), Booths or Tabernacles only comes after Pentecost.

That was the very same issue Paul faced much later. Paul as Saul was even worse, he persecuted even those within the Temple jurisdiction, and like Jonah, he was swallowed up into darkness, after the blinding ligh, Paul was blind for 3 days. Note also that Jonah was in the belly of the whale for 3 days. As '**Bob**' rightly points out, Jonah stood for a type for Jesus—but also for Paul and Peter. But Paul and Peter went beyond Passover, they went into Pentecost, and we are now awaiting going into Booths.

Jonah was not angry because his pride was hurt, he was angry because the folk of Nineveh were his racial kin but they were heathens in their ways, and had no hope of being cleansed as they did not take part in the salvation rites done in Jonah's land. So why should God save them, why should

God want to have them repent? Jonah was of the belief that those of Nineveh were cast off from the blessings and therefore did not deserve them. In the same way the apostles at first did not want to go to the heathen whites outside of Judea. They had the same problem and this was made very clear with Peter's vision. The vision the foolish churches teach was to be God's answer to the end of

the food laws. Churches today are so far gone in truth that it would be better to to join a tea-cozy-knitting club than join any church group.

Peter's vision showed that Peter did not want to meet his racial-kin who were outside Judea. Therefore God showed him a vision of symbols, animal symbols, but very specific ones, and God said these are not unclean. These animals were in and on a cloth, so they were within a 'covered' realm. They were symbols of tribes within a covered realm. So it was not about food...

It always helps to read verses in context, not on their own, and the context of the vision is given at the start of Chapter 10 where we read that a centurion of the Italian band was a believer and Peter was to have him come into his house. Peter believed that a non-Judean was unclean, so not covered, so not within 'the realm of Kingdom covering'. It is all very clear yet made muddled by the churches which say the Roman was a non-Jew. That is simply wrong. The churches muddle facts. They hint that the issue was religious, namely, unclean Romans because they are not of the Jews' religion. But only Saul was of the Jews' religion until he became Paul. Peter was not a Jew, he was a Christian-Judean-Benjaminite. The Roman was neither a jew or a Judean, but he was an Israelite by blood. Therefore we need to be very careful with the translator's willy-nilly use of inserting the word 'Jew' when the Greek text uses other words, such as Judean or Judahite, or of the Jews religion. Peter was NOT of the Jews Religion.

Peter, when he saw the vision did not simple say, "aha, the food laws are scrapped." No, we read he pondered the issue and made it clear he would never eat unclean food, he realized that the vision was about something else.

That foretelling left no doubt in Peter's mind, the vision he saw was not about eating unclean animals and insects and birds of prey. Then what could it mean?

At this point the Ghost (God's holy way of thinking and bestirring) gave Peter the answer, "Behold, three men are seeking you. Arise therefore, go down and go with them, doubting nothing; for I have sent them" (Acts 10: 19-20). Peter quickly understood the meaning of the vision, it was about MEN who were once deemed as unclean beasts. So he went to the gate to meet the three men and took them in as guests that night. The next day he set out, accompanied by several brethren, with his three guests for Caesarea.

When Peter went into the home of Cornelius he found many persons gathered to hear him.

The first words of Peter to the assembled group makes

clear Peter's understanding of the vision : "You yourselves know how unlawful it is for a **Judean** to keep company with or to visit anyone of another nation; but God has shown me that I should not call any **man** common or unclean. So when I was sent for, I came without objection" (verses 28-29, RSV).

Notice also that he said, any MAN. Man is used to mean kinsfolk. It is about

Racialism, not Nationalism. Cornelius was a RACIAL Kinsman, but not a national burgess/citizen of Judea. Peter had to understand that he had to be a Racist and not a Nationalist something that Jonah failed to understand.

There is God's answer to Peter! "God has shown me that I should not call any <u>man</u> common or unclean." The vision is about people - gentiles - who were considered by the Judean believers as unclean, and the vision has nothing to do with ending the food laws.

Cornelius was racial kin—and he was a believer, but not a Judean Adamite, he was an Italian Adamite who believed, so he was a Proselyte. These are the ones we are to love, Proselytes of our racial stock, no matter what geographic name tag they have.

On a side note, we are not told just what sort of animals were in the vision, but because Peter pondered it and came to the right conclusion that it was about unclean MAN (the specie of Adamites), it would stand to reason that the beasts shown were the animals that symbolized Israelite tribes and empires that came from their Adamite kin, hinted at by being within the 'Cloth of Covering'. Like in revelation, New Jerusalem comes down in John's vision, the cloth in Peter's vision having 4 corners is a symbol for New Jerusalem, and in John's vision we are told that all the gates have the names of the 12 tribes of Israel—we will not find the names, Zulu, Korean, Hotentot, or any other on those gates. These animals in that Cloth of Jerusalem coming from 'on high' include those of Daniel's vision and those of



A CHRISTIAN WHO BEGINS TO TITHE ...

The amount of money he has for the Lord's work.

The deepening of his spiritual life in paying the tithe. The ease of meeting his other obligations with the 9/10ths.

The ease of going from one-tenth to larger giving.

The preparation this gives to be a faithful steward over the 9/10ths.

The failure in not adopting the tithing plan sooner.

Malachi 3:10 is a great place to start

... WILL HAVE AT LEAST 6 SURPRISES

Jacob's blessings. So the Eagle and Snake and Horse of Dan, the Lion of Judah and others of Jacob's blessings, and the Bear and Leopard of Daniel's vision.

Eating is a biblical symbol of conquest, we see this when the foes of our folk cried in the OT that they will be swalled up (eaten) by the Israelites. Hence God said, these are not to be called unclean, swallow them up into the growing Kingdom on the Pentecost level (again see below with the explanation of the number 120). All the families of Israel and the empires that overtook them are to be swalled up into God's Kingdom. That is the meaning of Peter's vision.

Jonah however did not understand that, so to his mind, Proselytes could not be deemed clean, it also meant that he did not fully understand what the blood offerings were all about, God could 'back-date' the covering of Jesus's blood—in fact, that is what he did, the blood offerings cleansed no one at all, the symbolic gesture of doing so was actually an act of 'back-dating' the blood of Jesus. Had

Jonah fully understood this, he would have been happy at the repentance of the folk of Nineveh and the pentecost age would have come about then, the befolking of Nineveh was 120,000...does anyone see this? 1000 means glory, 120 means outpouring of Hallowed Ghost (God's mindset). Yet the plan had to wait until 33 AD when the 120 had the outpouring. So by not being happy, he actually rejected the work of God by way of all the deeds of rites leading to Jesus.

He sould have known that Jesus would one day be born and be slain as the Lamb of God to cover all the Families of Israel and their kin.

With that understanding we can see the true reason for #CI-10' Jonah's anger that Jonah disagreed that all the Families of Israel should be saved.

Jonah failed to see that KIN is KIN. Paul, as did Peter, on the other hand understand; KIN is Kin. And Paul understood that Jesus was the one long foretold of that through Abraham that, he (Jesus), was to come so that all the 'Households-of-Kin of the earth' will be blessed. All Adamites, be they Israelites, Judahites, Scythians (Germans and Slavs), Barbarians (Kelts) and Greek (Helens...not to be muddled with many Greeks of today) will be saved and blessed. That is true universal-ism unlike universal-melting pot 'letting Jesus into their hearts' unbiblical tripe.

Jonah actually stands for the hearts of those who think they know God and can't wait to see God wipe out Babylon according to their personal interpretation of destruction. But Nineveh is the way God will deal with Babylon. That is the lesson of Jonah. All those animals of Peter's vision are the creatures of MAN in various forms, such as stated before, the tribes of Israelites and the Empires their unbelieving kin made over time. They are all to be conquered, not by the sword of military might but by the sword that comes from the mouth of our King Jesus, the Word. All these tribes and empires are to be seen as no loner unclean. All will turned to believers, all will repent. Babylon therefore belongs to God. God will foredo Babylon by turning it into New Jerusalem. The destruction will be the removal of all that offends. That is what repentance does.

The churches failed to teach the word as the word was only dished out in twisted ways by the priests and conversions were done by killing other tribes of Israel in the 'name of God' and when the Tribes no longer wanted to go to the Churches; then the wally-world priests go to the non-Israelites to try and make clean that which did not need cleansing, to these non-Israelites they preach 'Love' which they should have done to our folk. That is why when Jesus begins to rule on a Booths level, and the priests say, did we not drive out devils in your name? (Killing racial kin and giving the tithes and offerings for God's Kingdom to the

New Video: VACCINES: The Weapons of Mass Destruction Dr. Rebecca Carley

Carley is the top vaccine researcher and fighter and has been constantly persecuted for her beliefs that vaccines are true WMD. She urges people to stop going to doctors and look toi using natural healing such as homeopathy. Also fluoride and chlorine in the water is very damaging and causes many harmful side effects. Julie Gerberding the CDC chief, had to admit that vaccines cause autism in susceptible babies, but then she backed off with "it has not proven to be a problem." 1 in 50 children get autism, which seems to be a problem with most

of us thinking people. #CI-1071 @ sug don \$9 Coloured races, therefore robbing God—Jesus will say, "go away from me you workers of Lawlessness, for I never knew you."

"*Bob*" writes...

"But this is more than a story of narrow nationalism vs. God's interest in all nations. It is a prophecy of universal reconciliation, the conversion of God's enemies. It is also the story of believers who disagree with God's plan to save all mankind."

In this very remark we see he actually speaks truth but he means a falsehood—he fails to understand the very words he us, *"to save all mankind"*.

I agree with to save 'mankind'—but not in the Judeo-Christian way the word 'Mankind' is used. Judeo-Christians actually mean

'Primate-aliens-which-have speaking skills'. (When I say Judeo-Christian...I DO NOT mean Christian; I mean Church-minded believers)

Also the word 'reconciliation' is misunderstood by **Bob**. It is also a Fake-English word. The true word should; 'Re-friending'— Because that is what it means. The act of becoming a friend again with one who was unfriended. You can only refriend a friend who was unfriended. You cannot refriend someone who was never a a friend in the first place. That Unfriending did not happen between God and Zulus or Koreans. It was with Adamites. Thus ONLY Adamites could be re-friended.

Bob writes...

So Jonah 4:4 says,

⁴ And the Lord said, "Do you have good reason to be angry?"

To put it another way, God asks Jonah, "Is your anger justifiable?" God was really questioning Jonah' wisdom and love. It would seem that Jonah did not answer. <u>Jonah 4:5</u> says,

⁵ Then Jonah went out from the city and sat east of it.



There he made a shelter [sukkah, "booth"] for himself and sat under it in the shade until he could see what would happen in the city.

Symbolically speaking, the prophet kept *Sukkoth*, the feast of booths, or Tabernacles. God then helped him in this by having a plant grow to shade him from the sun. Jonah 4:6 says,

⁶ So the Lord God appointed a plant [kekayon, "gourd"], and it grew up over Jonah to be a shade over his head to deliver him from his discomfort. And Jonah was overly glad about the plant.

This was no ordinary plant. The *kekayon* is the castoroil plant. It is named from the root word *kaya*, "to puke." **Bob** comments,

"I was given castor oil as a child to combat worms and parasites in the Philippines. I do not think I ever took it

without vomiting. Hence, 'Bab" understands. But why would God give such a plant to shade Jonah in his Sukkah? I believe that it was God's medicine to combat the spiritual worms in his belly (innermost being, or heart). All was not well in Jonah's heart, though he was a prophet and heard from God. To be angry at God for His mercy and grace is not a healthy condition.

This problem is highlighted further in Jonah 4:7,

⁷ But God appointed a worm [towla] when dawn came the next day, and it attacked the plant and it withered.

Why would God bless Jonah with a shade plant and then appoint a worm to

destroy it? Was it not to reveal Jonah's unhealthy mind-set, as well as the answer to the problem?

A towla is a worm, "specially one which springs from putrefaction," according to Gesenius' Hebrew-Chaldee Lexicon. The root word is yala, "to utter anything rashly." Obviously, Jonah was being warned not to be rash in his answer to God's question. At this point, Jonah had said nothing, but God already heard the words of his heart. A worm was also metaphorical for a loathed or weak man.

The same Lexicon also tells us that tola means "scarlet." It is translated "crimson" in <u>Isaiah 1:18</u>, "Though they are red like crimson <u>[towla]</u>, they will be like wool."

So this was no ordinary worm. The Hebrew text calls it a towla, which is a worm from which crimson dye was extracted in ancient times. According to Henry Morris' book, <u>Biblical Basis For Modern Science</u>, page 73,

"When the female of the scarlet worm species was ready to give birth to her young, she would attach her body to the trunk of a tree, fixing herself so firmly and permanently that she would never leave again. The eggs deposited beneath her body were thus protected until the larvae were hatched and able to enter their own life cycle. As the mother died, the crimson fluid stained her body and the surrounding wood. From the dead bodies of such female scarlet worms, the commercial scarlet dyes of antiquity were extracted."

This tells us that the worm in the story of Jonah stained the booth with crimson as it gave its life to bring forth offspring. Is this not a perfect picture of Christ, who gave His life to bring many sons into glory? <u>Psalm</u> <u>22:6</u> foretells of Jesus Christ in His death on the cross, saying, "I am a worm [Heb.towla], and not a man, a reproach of men, and despised by the people." When Jesus died, His blood stained the cross, even as the crimson from the worm stained the trunk of the gourd in Jonah's day.

Hence, God sent the puke plant to show how Jonah was comfortable with his own puke. Then He sent the crimson worm to show how the death of the Messiah was sent to heal his unhealthy condition. Sadly, the prophet had to revert back to Passover, so his Sukkoth was cancelled.

This suggests that those who disagree with God's plan to save His foes are not yet eligible to keep the feast of Tabernacles. And that he did not understand the Blood of Jesus..."

Indeed, no one seems to understand the blood of Jesus. The blood of Jesus was the blood of his folk—not of racial aliens.

I agree with '**Bob**' that all this foreshadows the work of Jesus, but Universal Re-Friending can only be with those who were UN-Friended and the Blood is of the Clan, the Familiar-Ones—the

LOAN video you may have missed: THE USE OF BIBLICAL LAW IN THE AMERICAN COLONIES Col. John Eidsmoe

The early colonists brought the English common law to America, but they didn't follow it in every respect. Even though the common law was based uponb Christian principles, the American colonists frequently departed from the common law to make their laws more explicitly Biblical. In so doing, they sowed the seeds for the American constitutional republic. #CI-708 @ sug LOAN don \$7 HOUSEHOLD-of-God-So: God (the Father, a family term in itself)-->...Jesus his SON....>The Body-of-Jesus (the overcomer body folk of KIN) ... > ... the Brideof-Jesus (the proselyte yet to learn truth KIN)...>...the Fruit of the kingdom...the bringing forth of all those who are born in this oneness-namely-Adamites only who can be re-friended: that is the TRUE Universal-refreinding-and not the grafting in of banana trees into grapevines as the Judeo-Christians would have us believe. A banana plant is not of the 'family' of grapes.

Jonah was neither able to bring

the kingdom to a Pentecost level because he dissagreed with it, let alone to a Booth level, hence the fact that his booth dried up.

'*Bob*' gives another very good detail for us to understand, sadly '*Bob*' sees something but does not link it to these things he teaches—Again quoting his newsletter...

"...<u>Jonah 4:8</u> says,

⁸ And it came about when the sun came up that God appointed a scorching east wind, and the sun beat down on Jonah's head so that he became faint and begged with all his soul to die, saying, "Death is better to me than life."

An east wind signifies judgment from the east—maybe from Nineveh itself, which was "east." It appears that Jonah was a type of Israel being judged for its bad attitude and for disagreeing with the divine plan to reconcile His enemies.

Even so, this scorching east wind, with the sun beating down on his head, did not make Jonah repent."

Right...did anyone pick up on this? The 'east wind'? The saying is, "it is an ill wind that blows from the east."

'**Bob**' for the last few years, keeps telling us that the'Kings of the East' of Revelation 16 is Russia and China as a sort of King Cyrus. Yet Sheldon Emry rightly pointed out, that the word 'king' here is used as a symbolic word for lesser-gods, namely man-made gods...so man-made rulers to rule man-made kingdoms.

Revelation 16 tells of the age in which we live now. I will not go into too much detail now as this is not a study on Revelation, but we need to understand this, that chapter



16 tells of 7 outpourings into the earth as doom (judgement) from God into his kingdom that is a fully-leavened-lump, leavened by the leaven of the Pharisees; so this speaks of the end of the Church age rule.

The Kings of the East mean man-made religions by man-made philosophies and fleshly-men and heathen religious ways. "**Bob**' himself rightly tells us that Islam is God's judment upon wayward Christendom. These religions of the East, these Kings of the East are not a saviour as **Bob** likes to say, they are the LAST 'doom' judgement upon the so-called Christian West. So it means religions from the East. And the way was made ready for this we are told in Revelation by the drying up of the Euphates. The Euphrates was the traditional divide between East and West. The 'wind that blows from the east' are the Kings of the East—and it is an ILL WIND—it

is part of the 7 bowls poured into the earth. They are not saviours as they are followed by curses not blessings.

The Eastern philosophies blowing into the West when the Euphrates dried up cursed not blessed the west to which they came.

¹² And the sixth angel poured out his vial upon the great river Euphrates; and the water thereof was dried up, that the way of the kings of the east might be prepared. ¹³ And I saw three unclean spirits like frogs come out of the mouth of the

dragon, and out of the mouth of the beast, and out of the mouth of the false prophet. ¹⁴ For they are the spirits of devils, working miracles, which go forth unto the kings of the earth and of the whole world, to gather them to the battle of that great day of God Almighty. ¹⁵ Behold, I come as a thief. Blessed is he that watcheth, and keepeth his garments, lest he walk naked, and they see his shame. ¹⁶ And he gathered them together into a place called in the Hebrew tongue Armageddon. Rev. 16:12-16

Symbolically we say it dried up in 1973 when the oil dried up with the man-made oil crisis, when children played on the car-free motor-ways and free-ways of Europe. Later petrol rations with petrol-stamps followed, and then even numbered cars were allowed to drive one day, and uneven numbered cars the next.

Then suddenly we could all drive again. At the same time the first boat people turned up and the church bells rang across several lands—not to warn us of an alien invasion as a sign of deadly peril—BUT TO WELCOME them in!!!

Until then, the only religions we knew were two rival halves of Christendom...Catholics or Protestants. Suddenly we learned there were Jews to think about, then, Muslims, then Hindus, then Buddhists and so forth—all 'little gods' "kings of the east" and only the few church goers would go to church but they welcomed the people of the 'kings of the east' in with love, <u>a love they never showed for their own kin</u>. The churches were so sick that they blessed the armies

of the Families of Israelites to wholesale slaughter in WW1 and WW2 and they blessed those of Esau to make a profit on this, never bringing to light who the banksters are. Not even today.

The kings of the East are the ILL WIND!!! They are not our saviours like King Cyrus.

It is unbelievable that '**Bob**' cannot see his own words of the ILL WIND from the east that blows on Jonah's Puke Plant is to scorch it as a scorched earth. The kings of the east leave behind a scorched earth (land). Wheresoever they come with their followers, our towns and cities become waste lands. Today when we go to any city, in what was Christendom, we are the minority living in fear to walk the streets at night, and in some cases, during the day (in some places so bad, that the police will not even go there). And now it has become a policy to send these non-

build your library: THE SEVEN SINS OF CANAAN Sheldon Emry

Throughout all of this land we are being flooded with the seven sins of Canaan. They are bringing this land down to the utmost degradation because WE have

refused to read the Bible. The people that we recognize as the promoters of the seven sins are held up to us as God's chosen people, Israel. However, we recognize who they are. Why doesn't God hear our prayers? He says that He has an ear that can hear and a hand that can save. However, our iniquities prevent Him from hearing.

#110 @ sug don \$6.05

whites into rural areas too. This is the scorched earth of the ill wind of the kings of the east. It is not Russia or China coming to save us. How fitting that the covering was a Puke Plant. What did Jesus say to the last church age as institution?

15 I know thy works, that thou art neither cold nor hot: I would thou wert cold or hot.

16 So then because thou art lukewarm, and neither cold nor hot, I will spue (PUKE) thee out of my mouth.

We could say, the church of Puke covering, was a Puke Booth—not the real thing. That

covering ended in full in 1994 when the very last covering on a pentecost level ended with the end of Apartheid. Apartheid was a system of Chistian racialism whereby you were both saved by grace and race. That ended in 1994 and we as the Families of Israel have no more coving on a Pentecost level by way of a Puke Booth, the scorthing heat of the kings of the east have made the way open for Armageddon, the racial foredoing (destruction) of the Families if Israelites. As a system that the puke churches now embrace loving those they were not to love.

This therefore means, we cannot save the Kingdom of God on a Pentecost level as if it has reached a Booth level by our own ways. Any Booth level of the Kingdom attemped by Judeo-Christians will fail as these are booths of puke to be spewed out by King Jesus.

This is because they DO NOT understand the Blood of Jesus. They do not understand that his blood covers ONLY those who as a folk had to perform the blood rites which pointed to Jesus. No other race did these rites. ONLY OUR folk did this. Only our folk are KIN to Jesus..and Jesus is called "The Kinsman Redeemer"—a kinsman redeemer redeems only kin—kin's blood covers only kin. How hard can it be to understand that?

Like Jonah, our folk would rather die under the scorching policy of the east wind than love their own kin.

Jonah stands for the churches in their disagreement with God on: Saved by grace of the chosen race.

It means that the time of a leader from among our folk



to rise and to save us is over, no man can save the kingdom. This is because Our folk would rather die with the scorching east winds and their own puke Judeo-Christianity churches and the puke Edomite democracy—these pukes have become their covering which Jesus rejects—"I will spew (puke) you out".

Booths will only come by way of Jesus and his Body. His body are NOT the Judeo-Christians of the churches as these are covered by their own puke (their fake ideas of Jesus and their love of the aliens who live by the ILL WIND of the East).

The Body of Jesus is not the bride in the same way a Bride-groom is not a Bride.

That Body groom company is the 144,000. And that then brings us to another clue—one which '**Bob**' highlights for us—which is wonderful. Yet he does not fully tie it all in with the above. But that's OK—we will do that now:

<u>Jonah 4:10</u>, <u>11</u>

¹⁰ Then the Lord said, "You had compassion on the plant for which you did not work, and which you did not cause to grow, which came up overnight and died overnight.¹¹ And should I not have pitty on Nineveh, the great city in which there are more than 120,000 persons who do not know the difference between their right and left hand, as well as many animals?"

Notice first, Nineveh had persons and many animals. These are the same animals in the book of Jonah that had hands and could talk and put on clothing of sackcloth. Just to make that one point of animals again.

However, let us now go on from there as I want you to see this part of verse 11, "120,000 persons". The number has a passover link.

Verse 6 says that "Jonah was overly happy about the plant," but not about the grace and mercy of God. We can view this in at least two ways. First, he was happy about the plant which formed his sukkah(i.e., the feast of Sukkoth). He had a need to receive the glorified body through the feast of Tabernacles, but sadly, he was also happy wallowing in his own puke.

We see therefor that he was happy to be covered by the doctrine of Puke, namely, not to love the Families of Israelites.

He still needed to go back to Passover and learn the real scope of the work that Jesus was yet to do on the cross for the sin of the world (<u>1 John 2:2</u>). "and he is the Refriending (propitiation) for our sins: and not for ours only, but also for the sins of the whole world."

Of course church goers will say, "see, there you are, the whole world"—in their minds this means somehow the planet and everything on it. BUT that is not what the Greek text says. The word 'world' is translated from the word $\kappa o \sigma \mu o \varsigma$, cosmos; decoration; ornament;.

For that matter, that Greek word Cosmos back then did not mean outerspace as it is used today. It meant, "The System". So we must ask, What System? Well that is easy. The system of Beast kingdoms our folk were to live under as foretold by Daniel. The empires leading right up to Mystery Babylon in which we now live. It has nothing to do will other races, it has to do with the kingdoms of MAN in which Adamites had to live in and with; which will one day be converted like Nineveh was; but not into Pentecost level, but unto Booths level, one that is without judeo-puke. Jonah dissagreed with such a concept, as do so many today.

'*Bob*' has the following to say about the 120,000 person dwellers of Nineveh:

"In the last verse, we discover that Nineveh had a population of 120,000. The number 120, as used in the Bible, is always associated with the autpouring of the Holy Spirit. The height of Solomon's temple porch was 120 cubits (<u>2 Chron. 3:4</u>). There are 120 trumpeters and singers who came into one accord when the Holy Ghost came into Solomon's temple (<u>2 Chron. 5:12</u>). There were 120 disciples in the upper room on the day of Pentecost, when the Spirit was poured out upon the church (<u>Acts 1:15</u>)."

"Moses' life was an outline of history leading to our time today. He died at the age of 120 (<u>Deut. 34:7</u>), having spent his first 40 years in Egypt. Then

build your library: THE OLD JERUSALEM IS NOT THE "NEW JERUSALEM" Sheldon Emry

"For out of Zion shall go forth the law, and the Word of the Lord from Jerusalem" (Isa. 2:3) Such scriptures are used by a great many Christian ministers to mean the literal city of Jerusalem in old Palestine. They say that there God is going to restore His Israel people and begin to set up His earthly kingdom from which 'Jewish' missionaries will go out to preach the gospel all over the world. Are they correct?

#106 @ sug don \$8.50

ng spent his first 40 years in Egypt. Then he was called out of Egypt and spent the second 40-year cycle training for divine service in the wilderness. Finally, he was called to deliver Israel from the house of bondage. His last 40 years was spent leading Israel to the Promised Land.

So also the first 4D Jubilees of history brings us to Abraham, the second brings us to Jesus, who led us out of the house of bondage. In the third cycle, Jesus has led the church through its own wilderness in order to bring us to the Promised Land at the present time."

So we see that Nineveh stood for a multi-cultural society, as an outcome of her fleshly ways as they were not

able to know God's way of right or wrong. We see that a repentance was due and it happened whether Jonah liked it or not. That repentance would no doubt have seen events take place like we can read in the book of Ezra where the folk said that they had sinned and the chief sin was <u>mixed</u> relationships, the very sin they openly admitted in that book, that brought them into Babylon in the first place.

We see that if Nineveh could be changed by way of repentance rather than by way of mayhem and internal war and full economic collapse, that it can happen that way again. But we are now way beyond the Pentecost Age, we are now fully in Esau's age whereby the Church "Beast-ofthe-Sea" system is fully mingled with Esua's "Beast-ofthe-Earth" system. Revelation therefore does not speak of 120,000. Instead it speaks of 144,000.

This is because 144,000 is a Booths level, a level which Jonah did not have in his heart and his booth turned to the puke symbolizing dying days of a pentecost experience.

God was showing for our learning that the Pentecost age must end in puke that will be spewed out. It served its time. It will never return, the churches will never rise to form Church and State again. Church and state is a Pentecost experience whereby the Wheat grows up with the Tares, eventually leading to the illness that besets all dying empires, that of Multi-culturalism. That illness is the path of no return to glory, only to puke.

120 in the upper room were given glory thus setting the path of history going that was called the Church Age, and



Biblically speaking, the Pentecost Age, an age of the Wheat Company of Israelites who tried to bring forth the fruit of the kingdom with the financial backing and eventual take over of the Tares. That little bit of Leaven had now leavened the whole lump.

So 120 x Glory = 120,000. 144 x Glory = 144,000.

But why 144?

Because they are the First fruits, meaning, the Best Fruits, the Tithe fruits turned (refined) to gold.

The law tells us that if the way to bring the first fruits to the temple is too long, you can convert it to 'geld' or gold (geld being old English for 'money' based on money once being gold). But to do this you need to add 1/5th or 20% of the worth to it, so 120 + 20% = 144.

So the 144,000 stands for the refined ones who will rule and who are God's first fruits, the Body-of-Jesus-Company.

They will take us into the Booth or tabernacles Level of God's Kingdom on Earth, and it will not be like those daft pictures the Jehovah's witnesses show in their Watch Tower magazines where all races smile mingled together in a garden of fruit trees cuddling lambs and lions...

Nor will it be the future of the David Ickes of the world where we will live in souless utopian cities with cultureless buildings and endless eco friendly democracy.

It will be the RESTORATION of all things ADAMITE—so our cultures will be revitalized, our architecture, our art, our music,

and OUR folk—as an Amen Folk— with God's Law as The Living Law of our lands. (sorry its so long, but I didn't want to break it up)

> a reader writes: **A FEW THOUGHTS ON SSM**

It was a hard fought campaign by both sides of the Same Sex Marriage debate. From the beginning those promoting the YES vote had a lot of corporate sponsorship. Leaders of political parties made it clear that they would be voting YES. Not only were many politicians going to vote YES, but so were some leading churchmen.

From the beginning the debate was centred around "marriage equality." It was approached by the YES side as a human rights issue. And why not; shouldn't two people who are 'in love' be allowed to marry? For many that might be a hard question to argue against.

Those from the religious side of the argument, advocating the NO case, decided not to fight their campaign from a Biblical or religious perspective. The reason given was: since Australia is quite irreligious, bringing religion into the debate could be seed as counterproductive.

Many from the NO side were of a personal religious persuasion and knew the Biblical injunctions against homosexuality. Personally, in retrospect, I believe that the NO advocates should have based their campaign on a Biblical perspective. Homosexuality is condemned in the Bible, and that fact should have been promoted by the NO campaigners. It was a tactical error not to have done so.

The public at large, have little knowledge of Biblical themes. Church goers I've discussed the issue with have confessed a lack of Biblical understanding as well. It is interesting that many churches, in their weekly services, had little or nothing to say about the SSM issue. Silently, they seemed to leave it up to their parishioners how to vote, and made little or no effort to confront their congregations with the Biblical injunctions against homosexuality, and certainly its opposition to SSM.

The fight has now been taken to the next level with self-interested groups lobbying the legislators to exempt ministers-of-religion from having to perform Same Sex Marriages. The debate is also concentrating as to how far to extend freedom of speech and association to those who don't approve of SSM. After all, about 40% voted NO.

It is a truism that you can't give rights to one group without diminishing the rights of some other group. Whatever happens after SSM is legalised, we can be sure

will

the liberalizing of sexual activities

generations, and who knows what

WHO VOTED NO

Concetta Fierravanti-Wells (Lib)

succeeding

Jay Nauss

continue to

the final call will be.

In the Senate: 12

Eric Abetz (Lib)

Lucy Gichuhi

Fraser Anning

Brian Burston

Chris Ketter (Lab)

Helen Polley (Lab)

Slade Brockman (Lib)

Barry O'Sullivan (Nat)

Cori Bernardi (Cons)

John Williams (Nat)

Matt Canavan (Nat)

build your library: THE ABRAHAMIC COVENANTS Are the Fulfilled or Forgotten? Sheldon Emry

Did God Almighty choose a people to bless the earth, and then let them do all the things that corrupt the earth? Have the Jews blessed the earth, or has it been the Anglo-Saxon and kindred people? The tragedy is that people think God has forgotten His Israel people. They think He is waiting until some future time to fulfill His promises to Abraham. This false doctrine is being taught in many churches today

#112 @ sug don \$8.55

In the House of Reps: 4 Bob Katter (KAP) David Littleproud (LNP) Keith Pitt (LNP) Russell Broadbent (LIB)

The next step - Washington Times article as follows:

by Bradford Richardson - Wednesday, July 17, 2017.

The megadonor bankrolling the LGBT movement and its allies in the Democratic Party says that he will continue to "punish the wicked" who hold traditional views about sexual morality.

Despite the legalization of gay marriage in all 50 states, tech millionaire Tim Gill said he is not satisfied with the movement's progress. He plans to use his immense wealth, corporate influence and ploitical network to target red (Republican held) states with <u>laws protecting religious people who disagree with the LGBT movement's worldview</u>. "We're going into the hardest states in the country," Mr. Gill said, according to an interview published by Rolling Stone, June 23. "We're going to punish the wicked."

Mr. Gill said his efforts to block religious-freedom bills in Southern states has already paid off. Last year, the Gill Foundation rallied more than 100 corporations, including Coca-Cola, Google and Marriott, behind a front group called Georgia Prospers. Threatening to pull business out of the state, the coalition successfully lobbied Republican Gov.



Nathan Deal into vetoing a Religious Freedom Restoration Act.

"You can get money from outside, but the state has to own it," Mr. Gill said of the strategy. Mr. Gill is a software programmer who made his fortune during the dot-com boom and has since poured more than \$400 million into advancing the LGBT movement.

The Rolling Stone article recounts a May 2016 meeting in Manhattan attended by Mr. Gill, other prominent gay-rights donors and then-Vice president JosephBiden.

At the time, Mr. Gill said he was focused on defeating then-North Carolina Cov. Pat McCrory, a Republican, in his reelection bid. Mr. McCrory had signed a state law regulating public restrooms, locker rooms and other intimate facilities on the basis of biological sex. Opponents of the legislation said it discriminated against transgender people.

The strategy in North Carolina mirrored the one employed in Georgia. Companies ranging from PayPal to the National Basketball Association pulled commerce out of the state to pressure lawmakers into repealing the bathroom bill.

McCrory would go on to lose the race narrowly to the state's attorney general, Democrat Roy Cooper, who also attended the Manhattan donor meeting. At the time, Mr. Gill called the race a "must win."

https://www.washingtontimes.com/news/2017/

jul/19/gay-megadonor-going-after-christians-punish-wicked/ Courtesy The Wake-Up Herald, 605 Moore Rd, Newnan GA 30263

A BIT OF TERRIBLE ADVICE One that parents everywhere are following by Joel Hilliker

If you look for child-reatring instructions from experts out there, you're goung to encounter some terrible advice.

I once received an e-mail with this type of instruction. This is what it said: "By now you probably know how powerless you can feel when your toddler deides to have a full-blown scrwaming fit in the middle of the produce section. It may be embarrassing, but rest assured that other parents feel your pain."

I have seen these public fits and winced as I watched the parents "deal" with the problem by blissfully continuing to shop as if everything was perfectly normal. Turns out those parents might not be as ignorant as I thought: They may be scrupulously applying the very newest of new-fangled child-rearing "wisdom."

The e-mail continued: "Whatever you do, don't get angry. ... Instead, just take your child out of the store (even if it means leaving a cart full of food behind) and sit with him in the car or a bench until he finishes crying. When the storm is over, your child will feel close to you and happy again, and will be over the feelings that had welled up into the outburst. You can also take some comfort in knowing that eventually your child will outgrow this behaviour."

That is terrible advice.

Another column in a respected national newspaper printed the plea of a mom who actually *feared for her safety* because of the violence of her son's tantrums. Her son was 3. The "expert" columnist offered a range of possible causes, from too much sun to food allergies. Have any of these experts ever heard the term "emotional maturity"?

Our emotions, to a large extent, do *not* naturally grow up along with our physical bodies! The world is full of emotionally childish adults. Emotions must be *trained*, even in the youngest children.

A child allowed to throw tantrumns will become an adult subject to emotional manipulation from all directions. As Proverbs 25:28 says, "He that hath no rule over his own spirit is like a city that is broken down, and without walls."

This can lead to serious consequences in many aspects of life: relationships, diet, fitness, productivity and overall well-being. Failing to train our children's emotions and attitudes is setting them up for failure. Tantrums don't come from UV rays or pollen. They come from selfishness.Mr. Armstrong's classic article on this subject is called "There's a Hidden Enbemy in Your Home!"

build your library: THE RAPTURE OF THE SAINTS Duncan McDougall, M.A.

It will come as a shock to many good people to be told that this 'Rapture' teaching is not in the Bible, but was originated by the Bible's worst enemies, although some teach it as though with authority of Divine inspiration.

#316 @ sug don \$8.15

don \$8.15 resentme (emphasi

"The time to start this emotional 'growing up' is the same time mental training is begun, "Mr. Armstrong wrote. "It should be started *in the home*, within the *first months of a child's life*.

"Parents, **study** your own children. Remember that training of the emotions involves control and right direction of feelings, tempers, impulses. It means control over anger, jealousy, hatred, fear, grief, resentment, selfishness, vanity." (emphasis added, JH)

Each item on that list is worth contemplation in light of our children's behaviour.

Children should be *happy* most of the time. Human spirits are susceptible to selfish, and rebellious attitudes, making them *unhappy*. I know—from frustrating experience.

Through plenty of love (Prov. 13:24), laughter, gentle reminders, and confrontation when necessary, we need to drive those attitudes right back out of our homes.

More importantly, we need to teach our children how to *do the same themselves*. In other words, we need to help them *grow up* emotionally. Mr. Armstrong continued, "And since the right direction is the way of God's Law—and since that is the way of love, and love is the principle of giving instead of taking—it means the teaching of your children to use their own minds to understand their moods and guiding them in the direction of giving—of love toward others equal with the love toward self.

"Yelling, loud talking, burts of temper, rudeness—all these are lack of emotional 'growing up.' Emotional immaturity is simply letting human nature run sway without any control from a right-thinking, reasoning mind. Teach your children to let their **minds** direct their natures properly and wisely."

Now that's some advice that will help our children actually outgrow bad behaviour! When our children obey, we can point out how *happy* they are because of it. When they're frustrated, mopey, fearful, rebellious, selfish or angry, we can help them recognize this and show them the steps to turn these negative emotions into something positive and productive.

"You have a grave responsibility, if you have children," Mr. Armstrong wrote, "in their *emotional* training as well as their physical health, mental education and spiritual guidance."

That—is good advice! -----**-----



THE WILL TO SURVIVE How Can You Defend Something You Can't Even Define?

by Joel Hilliker

"The fundamental question of our time is whether the West has the will to survive. Do we have the confidence in our values to defend them at any cost?"

That is a lightning-bolt statement. Yet many pundits rejected it—and thereby answered the question.

It was made by US President Trump in a speech in Warsaw, Poland, on July 6. "We write symphonies. We pusue innovation," he said. "We celebrate our ancient heroes, embrace our timeless traditions and customs, and always seek to explore and discover brand-new frontiers. We reward brilliance. We strive for excellence and cherish inspiring works of art that honor God. We treasure the rule of law and protect the right to free speech and free expression."

I found these words refreshing, considering how much Western civilization is *denigrated* today. Having embraced relativism and multiculturalism, orthodox thought now is that all other cultures are not just excellent, but *superior*—and Christian culture is inherently impure and inferior.

This self-loathing is terribly destructive. Values that have underpinned our society for generations are being challenged. Our educational system indoctrinates students in hatred for for the English-speaking peoples' contribution to civilization. President Trump's favorable description of a culture worth definding triggered alarm bells for those who view Western civilization as inherently evil and racist. Jonathan Capehart of the *Washington Post* accused the president of blowing the loudest of dog whistles ... that swells the chests of white nationalists everywhere." Salon.com excoriated him for speaking as though symphonies, innovation and exploration "were unique qualities to white-dominated nations, instead of universal truths of the human race across all cultures." People worldwide can celebrate and praise their own cultures, but when America's president does it, it is racist.

This sanctimony is all the more outrageous when you consider what these same liberals are willing to tolerate, even celebrate. The *Washington Post* criticized the president for praising the symphony. Meanwhile, look at popular culture in America: crude, vulgar, appealing to the very worst of the human spirit. It degrades; it demeans; it debases—much of it dishonors women and exalts excess, criminality and greed. How can the left overlook all this immorality, misogyny and bigotry, yet consider it racist to praise the symphony?

Do we have the confidence in our values to defend them at any cost? Strong education-a value foundational to civilization-is treated with contempt among many of our youth. Values like hard work and diligent study are a joke. Academic standards are plummeting. Classrooms across America are yielding to bedlam, governed by students with no interest in learning. And when students don't perform well, liberals are always quick to offer explanations (excuses) that absolve students of responsibility: poverty (never mind that poor people in other countries manage to become educated); family problems; racist tests; racist teachers. In California, only 25 percent of African-American boys meet state reading standards. Why? Learning to read isn't hard: People worldwide do it all the time. Global literacy is over 86 percent, according to UNESCO. But these students are growing up in a culture that has grown hostile to the things that underpin our civilization. Even being able to express oneself with good English-teaching youths to speak in a way that lifts them out of degradation and opens doors of opportunity in society—is viewed as cultural imperialism. Liberals will excuse rank obscenity, disrespect and insolence, even sexual license, drug abuse, criminality and rioting. In so many cases, to even name the problem is to be labeled a racist. If people recognize these as problems at all, they inevitably blame society.

What other society has ever treated itself with such contempt? How can we defend "our values" at any cost when we can't even define them? *Traditional family*? We stopped defending that *long* ago. *The rule of law*? That is being undermined at every turn (even by those charged to enforce it). *Innovation—ancient heroes—timeless traditions and customs—explring and discovering new frontiers*? Wait—are you suggesting these are unique to white-dominated nations?

People bristle at the very notion of absolute value. No matter what you may suggest as one of "our values," someone will challenge it. Someone will tell you that this positive thing is actually toxic, racist and destructive. Then he will insist that some terrible, evil thing is actually good.

The Prophet Isaiah said, "Woe unto them that call evil good, and good evil; that put darkness for light, and light for darkness; that put bitter for sweet, and sweet for bitter!" (Isa. 5:20). Woe! You can't have a civilization that confuses good with evil, that can't discern between darkness and light or between sweet and bitter. You *must* be able to recognize what is *actually* good and evil. You must value *reality* and *truth*. Otherwise, what woe you will have! You will attack the good, exalt the bad, shoot out the light, walk in darkness, and fill your life with bitterness! You will be continually undermining your own civilization.

This is exactly what is happening in America. To answe "the fundamental question of our time," when a culture comes to hate itself as much as its enemies do, it cannot survive.

(Remember: Culture is religion externalised. CIM) Courtesy The Philadelphia Trumpet, Box 3700 Edmond OK 73083

RAY SMYTH

On the 21st of November 2017, sadly an old soldier faded away. Ray Smyth was well known as being a Veteran soldier.Not just a pen pusher. He was a signaller and forward air controller in the battle zones of Kenya. Suez and Kuwait. He was inspired by the words of his Bible and as he battled on, he depended on them as he had to face regular disappointments. For light relief he enjoyed debates on morals and actively attempted to christianize his friend and anyone for that matter, that he came in contact with.

Ray ardently believed that it was his duty to be a strong man, to provide for his own family, his own church, his own clan, his own tribe and his own nation; that was partly why he felt a strong tie to his own Celtic ancestors who originally travelled from the middle wast to populate Ireland and Scotland, because they were his own house. He sought out, on his trip to Scotland, the Scottish Declaration of Independence, to verify what he had been told about our Israelite ancestry.For many years Ray printed a newspaper "It's Time". He wrote it, typeset it, edited it and he created the action. He will be sadly missed.

Well here we are at the beginning of a new year. May it be a blessed and prosperous one for God's people, and a detriment and curse to God's enemies. It appears like I am being strengthened and have had fewer episodes of late. Praise the Lord! We thank Him for His mercy. May He guide and watch over you in the year ahead,

Christian Identity Ministries - PO Box 146 - CARDWELL QLD 4849



Hendrik (Hank) Roelofs 🛛 14

#379 Ph 07 4066 0146 email: hr_cim@bigpond.com