



# Christian Identity Ministries

A member of the  
Congregations of Israel

PO Box 146, CARDWELL, QLD, 4849, Australia

Ph: 07-4066 0146 (International 61-7 instead of 07) [www.christianidentityministries.com](http://www.christianidentityministries.com) - [hr\\_cim@bigpond.com](mailto:hr_cim@bigpond.com)

"Blessed be the LORD God of *Israel*; For He hath visited and redeemed *His* people, And hath raised up an horn of salvation for *us* in the house of his servant David; as he spake by the mouth of his holy prophets, which have been since the world began; That *we* should be saved from *our* enemies and from the hand of all that hate *us*; to perform the mercy promised to *our* fathers and to remember his holy covenant; The oath which he swore to *our* father Abraham, That he would grant unto *us*, that *we* being delivered out of the hand of *our* enemies might serve him without fear, in holiness and righteousness before him, all the days of *our* lives." Luke 1:68-75; the Anglo-Saxon-Celtic-Germanic-Scandinavian people are *ISRAEL!*

#377

## Covenant Messenger

November AD2017

(a publication of N.Q. Fellowship of God's Covenant People)

### WHO ARE THE COVENANT PEOPLE?

by Pastor Don Elmore

Scripture Reading: Deuteronomy 4:40: "*Thou shalt keep therefore His statutes, and His commandments, which I command thee this day, that it may go well with thee, and with thy children after thee, and that thou mayest prolong thy days upon the earth, which the LORD thy God giveth thee, for ever.*"

This verse in Deuteronomy is at the heart of all the events that are happening in the lands of the Israel people today. God is judging His people. There are huge fires in California, Oregon and Washington; and there was a once in every five-hundred-years hurricane in Texas; and a massive monster hurricane in Florida that spread to Georgia, South Carolina, Alabama, Tennessee and Kentucky—and this was just in the last month. And there is another hurricane off the American coast swirling around in the Atlantic threatening the northeastern coast. When will His people repent?

Jennifer Lawrence last week made headlines when she stated that she thought that God was punishing America with the hurricanes because they had voted in Donald Trump as president. But even though many people called her an idiot, and she said later that she didn't mean it, she wasn't too far wrong. But what was the choice? Trump or Hillary! Two individuals who are surrounded by Jews and whose immediate family are Jews.

God is punishing the posterity of white America, for they are getting further and further away from obeying the laws of God. They celebrate pagan holidays, and many are tolerant or approve of abortion, miscegenation, homosexuality, transgenders, adultery (adulterating by racial intermarriage), multiple sex partners, lying, stealing, and electing foreigners to rule over them, etc. Many churches, including the church I grew up in, state that the laws of God were all abolished at the cross of Jesus! It should not be surprising then that there are fewer White people now, in 2017, who even consider themselves as Christians than at any time in the history of the United States.

When I returned home after preaching my sermon two Sundays ago on "Who Chooses, Part 9", I opened the *Sunday Enquirer* and what did I see on the Forum page? An article entitled: "*There is only one race – the human race*" by Ken Ham. How does he get some of his articles printed

in the local newspaper and why does he get so many favorable articles about his organization in the *Enquirer*? Does he have a friend who works at the paper? Nevertheless, they printed this article that he wrote, and at the end of it they described the author as being the "...*president of Answers in Genesis and co-author of the book 'One Race, One Blood.*"

*One Race, One Blood* was one of the books that I referenced in my last sermon. They failed to point out that the other author was A. Charles Ware, president of Crossroads Bible College, and a Negroid or, as they would say, African-American. More about this author later in this sermon.

The article in the Sunday, September 3, 2017 *Cincinnati Enquirer* that was written by Ken Ham, starts off:

*"In the past two centuries, Christian politicians and church leaders were among the great leaders in America's fight against the scourge of racism. Following the example of the courageous English abolitionists and devout Christian William Wilberforce in the early 1800s, anti-slavery activists in America (many of them pastors) helped abolish slavery. During the civil rights era of the 1950s and 1960s, many of its key leaders were pastors, such as Martin Luther King Jr. and Cincinnati's very own Fred Shuttlesworth."*

#### IN THIS ISSUE:

Who Are The Covenant People? .....	1
Green-House ApoCO <sup>2</sup> Lypse, .....	7
American Ignorance, .....	10
Why Judges & Politicians Hate the 10 Command, ..	12
American Way of Death, .....	13
Hated By The World, .....	14

The views and opinions expressed in the articles herein or herewith are those of the authors and not necessarily those of CIM. They are written by fallible men. You must ask Jesus to guide your studies!

CIM reserves the right to edit submitted or reprinted material in line with CIM editorial policy. CIM does the utmost to ensure that the spirit of articles remains intact at all times.

What?!!! Ken Ham is saying that Christians that followed William Wilberforce, an early adherent to Charles Wesley's universalism, and Martin Luther King, Jr. (Michael King) and Fred Shuttlesworth (Fred Robinson), both Negroes, were the righteous pastors who won the battle against the unrighteous pastors.

I was alive when Michael King was leading his race revolution—he had a lot of opposition from many races, including his own. He was identified as being a communist, even going to a communist school. He was a womanizer, a plagiarist, and it is a disgrace to even call him a preacher. He was disqualified from being a Christian pastor as he was of the wrong race. He was not one of the descendants that God made a covenant with.

The same is true with Fred Robinson (Shuttlesworth). He was born in Alabama and was a co-founder of the communistic Southern Christian Leadership Conference. He worked with Michael King in the communistic Civil-Rights movement. He moved to Cincinnati in 1961 where he carried on his work. He was severely beaten several times and even attempts were made on his life.

But there was much talk, at the time, of both Michael's and Fred's communist dealings. For example, "(George) Wallace went on to cite pictures of King and 'a group of Communist and pro-Communist leaders' attending a meeting together. Among those shown was (Fred) Shuttlesworth, a 'self-styled reverend' and president of the Southern Conference Educational Fund. Wallace reminded his listeners that investigators from both the Senate and the House of Representatives had identified the SCEF as an organization 'set up to promote communism.'

Shuttlesworth had condemned himself out of his own mouth by challenging the good faith of Congress and of the people of the South. Wallace read a newspaper article quoting Shuttlesworth: "Generally, the House committees are governed by southerners who will label any organization subversive or communistic that seeks to further the American aims of integration, justice, and fair play. To segregationists, integration means communism."

Why did Ken Ham pick out two individuals, who many Christians, when the agitators were alive, identified as being Communists? Ken was born and reared in Australia. These men who he picked as leaders in the Civil-Rights movement were not true pastors of Christian churches. They were not descendants of Abraham, Isaac and Jacob. They were negro pastors of negro churches. And they led protests, just like Antifa is doing now, as they tried to destroy the White race. Their main intent was to integrate the segregated church.

It used to be that there was a White professional baseball league and a Negro professional baseball league. They were different leagues—but that changed when the first black baseball player was initiated into the National League. Jackie Robinson did this in 1947.

The public schools were segregated too, until the federal law changed it. The law said that all public schools were to be integrated. Many of the schools were finally integrated behind the guns of the federal military in 1959.

And then there were the Christian Churches. It was said

that Sunday morning was the most segregated time in all of America's history. The churches had to be integrated too. Do you think the communists have been successful?

What was behind all this change? Was it God's holy law or was it man's communistic ideals?

*"Mississippi [former] governor Ross Barnett said: 'Communists' tactics are to create a crisis and then leave the scene with heartaches, turmoil and strife.' Whatever the tactical design, Barnett had no doubt as to the guiding strategy. The communists were 'championing the cause of the Negroes in America as an important part of their drive to mobilize both colored and white for the overthrow of our government.' The evidence was plain. 'I am thoroughly convinced that this is a part of the world Communist conspiracy to divide and conquer our country from within.'"* **The Devil We Knew**, H. R. Brands, page 111.

Ken Ham didn't mention any of the many Israelite pastors who stood upon the Word of God, not man's policies. Does Ken believe that all the pastors who stood against what Michael and Fred were doing were of the devil? What would he say about the Apostle Paul, when he convinced an escaped slave to go back to his owner? (The New Testament book of *Philemon*).

This article does, however, answer my question as to why Answers in Genesis gets so many pro-articles in the *Enquirer* newspaper. He is a communist supporter, if not a communist himself, just like the left-wing *Enquirer*.

Christian Identity, like Answers in Genesis, does not believe in evolution.

The reason? It is in opposition to the Bible.

- The Bible says that Adam and Eve were not born. Therefore, they had no belly buttons and they did not evolve. They were created. If they weren't born, they didn't evolve. Male & Female created He them, not transgender.

- The Bible says that Adam and Eve and their descendants lived almost one thousand years until the flood of Noah. A life of 900+ years compared to a life of around 80 years shows a devolution, not evolution.

- The Bible says that Adam and Eve were created "good". If they wouldn't have sinned they would have never died. Since their sin in the Garden, they have devolved, not evolved.

There is no more need to say anything else, evolution is wrong to every Bible believer.

Ken Ham continued:

*"Although racism sadly exists in many churches today, Christians are the ones best equipped to counter it. As they look back on the church's abolitionist heritage, Christians should continue to use their very powerful weapon, the Bible, to fight racism, especially today when tensions mount as white supremacists stage protests, statues are taken down and Cincinnati deals with simmering racial issues."*

What does this paragraph say? Ken Ham said that racism still exists in churches today. And that it must change. For over 1900 years, the church was segregated, except for the false Roman Catholic Church and a few others. But now, all must be integrated. None are to remain segregated.

**New LOAN ONLY video:**  
**from The Youth Collection of**  
**S.M. Davis:**  
**PERSONAL**  
**RESPONSIBILITY**  
**Dealing with family issues as only**  
**S.M. Davis can, a very enlightening**  
**message. This is the 2nd in a**  
**series of 12 messages.**  
**CI-1032 @ sug LOAN don \$7**



Christians are to fight against their own local church and make the change. That means many church splits and war in the church until the church is integrated. He urged Christians to use the Bible, to fight racism, especially as the supremacist's stage protests.

Well, what does Ken Ham give as the Biblical reasons to fight racism? Ken said in his third paragraph: "Christian teachings formed much of the playbook for the great civil rights leaders." But he doesn't tell us what those Christian teachings were. That is a serious charge that he is making. But do Christian teachings provide the means for integration or do Christian teachings provide the means for segregation?

Were the communistic civil right leaders following Christian teachings? Or were they following Jewish kabbalah teachings?

What does Ken Ham use as the Biblical playbook that great preachers, in his own mind, used to promote? Ken uses just two biblical reasons in this article that he says were in the playbook of the civil rights leaders:

1. "Adam and Eve were recognized as the first couple, and as their descendants, we inherited their tremendous genetic variation that gave us the different skin shades, eye shapes, etc., we observe today."

*New Loan Only Video:*  
*Design of Life Series:*  
**FLIGHT**  
**the Genius of Birds**

*Marvel at the biological engineering that enables a hummingbird to hover and fly in all directions; navigational systems that unerringly guide an Arctic tern as it travels from pole-to-pole; the transformation of a single cell into a winged masterpiece; and much more.*


**CI-1050 @ sug LOAN don \$7**

Ken said that the Bible teaches that all peoples are descended from Adam and Eve. That is his reference from the Old Testament. He just makes the statement; provides no verses in this article. Ken says later in the article that *Answers in Genesis* "...depict Adam and Eve in our Creation Museum as middle brown" (skin-tone).

And he says that all peoples of the earth are descendants of Adam and Eve and that they inherited their tremendous genetic variation, even though it does not work today. What do I mean? If you take two people of pure racial backgrounds, and they marry—what is their offspring? Is it a person who is of another race?


Think about what he said: There are no black, oriental or white people that were in existence more than 6000 years ago! Well, that is not really what he says. For if you read his book, he says that none of these races were in existence more than 4000 years ago, as he believes that the entire world (planet) was flooded and everyone died except Noah, his wife and his three sons and their wives.

For he says in *One Race ~ One Blood*, page 28: "God's Word says that all people after the Flood descended from Noah's three sons. 'These three were the sons of Noah, and from these the whole earth was populated' (Gen. 9:19). At Babel, mankind rebelled against God and refused to follow His Word. They lifted themselves up as the ultimate authority and began a cycle of abuse that has been repeated by every people in every generation. Later, the events of the Tower of Babel split up the human



gene pool. Different combinations of genes in different groups resulted in some people having predominately light skin, some having predominately dark skin, and others with every shade in between."

So, it isn't until after the Tower of Babel, which was about 4,000 years ago, that the different races begin. Ken Ham states that God separated all the people of one race into different groups by languages, and they went to live in a different area of the earth and that formed their different shades of skin color (through evolution?). How people got the oriental shade, he doesn't mention.



Here is what Answers in Genesis says on their website:

"The Tower of Babel (2242 BC) was a post-Flood rebellion against God by Noah's descendants. God judged them by dividing the single language into multiple language families. As these groups spread out and became isolated, certain features (e.g. skin shade, eye shape) became dominant in certain groups."


The New Guinea natives will squawk at this one, along with the Orientals, the Polynesians, and the Blacks, i.e. all the different races of the world. They all claim that their race is more than 4000 years old.

At the Tower of Babel, *Answers in Genesis* says that's where all the people of the world lived. Think about that for a moment. All the people who were alive in the world were living in one city—Babel. That is what



Ken Ham is saying. If they were all living in Babel, there were no other countries at that time.

According to the erroneous doctrine of *Answers in*



*Genesis*, the entire earthly population lived in Babel.

There was only one language on the earth at that time. God broke up their building of the Tower by giving different parts of the population a different language. Then, these different language groups went to a different part of the world, where they settled, and produced the different levels of melanin or skin color.

According to the Bible, this is when the Tower of Babel occurred. Notice how old everyone who lived back then was when they died?

But notice what *Answers in Genesis* says about who was living at Babel: "God's judgment of the Tower of Babel was one of the greatest catastrophes in the history of the world. In one moment, a massive, highly complex building project, involving the entire human race, came to an end."

They then tie this incident with the Great Commission: "The church, however, has only partly succeeded in fulfilling the Great Commission. Several thousand languages, after two millennia, still have no part of the written Word of God. How tragic! How many of us will "suffer loss" at the Judgment Seat of Christ (1 Corinthians 3:15) because of disobedience to our Lord's commands?"

Ken also teaches that it is his "one race" Christianity against Evolution/Secularism's racism. He says that the believers in evolution also believe in abortion, euthanasia, homosexuality, and a strange view of racism.

But Christian Identity is a problem for Ken because they also teach that evolution is a doctrine of evil. And we believe that abortion is a sin. And we believe that euthanasia is a sin. And we believe that homosexuality is an abomination against God. And we believe that evolution's view of racism is also a sin. And we believe that *Answers in Genesis* is teaching a great sin too. Their view of racism is in opposition to the teaching in the Bible.

*Answers in Genesis* brags that they teach the Bible from Genesis, chapters 1 – 11. That's it. And they teach that all wrong, but they leave out chapter 12 and the rest of the entire Bible! From Genesis Chapter 12, begins the story of Abram's covenant that God made with him.

What was the purpose of the Abrahamic covenant if there was only one race, as they say with no doubt in their mind? Why was the LORD king only over the descendants of Abraham, Isaac and Jacob if there was only one race? Why is the covenant that the LORD made with Abraham, Isaac and Jacob and his seed **everlasting** if there was only one race? Why did the LORD instruct His covenant people to annihilate the Canaanite people if there was only one race?

Why did the covenant not include Ishmael and his descendants? Why did it not include Esau and his descendants? Why did it not include the offspring of anyone who

mingled the holy seed of Israel with the evil seed of the Canaanites? If they all eventually became equal, then why would their children be treated differently than their fathers? Why would anyone be eliminated?

Why did the LORD God tell His covenant people that they would have the best land, the best weather, the best minerals, the best government, the best crops, the best of everything, if they just obeyed His commands? What about the rest of the individuals that made up the other races? Why weren't they given the law to keep too, if they were of the same race?

And whose land would they occupy? The Israel people were freed from slavery in Egypt and were given the homes of the seven mighty nations of the Canaanites in the Promised Land:

Jebusites  
Canaanites  
Girgashites  
Amorites  
Perizzites  
Hivites  
Hittites

If they all were of the same race, and equal in the eyes of God, then why would He instruct His covenant people to **never marry** any one from these seven nations? In fact, He instructed them to kill every one of them: man, woman, child and infant. It didn't matter how old they were or how young they were—they all were to be killed.

If they all were of the same race, why didn't He instruct His covenant people to send missionaries to these

seven evil nations to possibly convert some of them before they put them all to death? Because not one of the seven nations could hear the words of God! That's why--not one.

If there is only one race, what was the purpose of the covenant seed of Israel? Why was the covenant seed identified that as the people of God and told that the LORD would only be a God to them—and to no one else?

*Answers in Genesis* believes that very few individuals outside the covenant seed would be in the kingdom of God until three years after the death and resurrection of Jesus the Christ when everyone would have a chance to enter therein? If that is true, then why didn't the LORD tell Esau that his tears would only last for about two millennia, after which his seed could enter the kingdom? The same for the seed of Ishmael?

If the purpose of Israel was just to provide the sacrifice for the sins of the whole world, what is their purpose now? If there is just one race, why would it be necessary to have Jesus the Christ be born of the covenant seed? If He was to be the sacrifice for not just the covenant seed, but for everyone in the world? How could Israel be the only people saved in the Old Testament, and now it is everyone who hears the gospel? How could the whole world be guilty of sins, when they were never given the law of God in the first

*New & Recently Listened to CDs & DVDs\**  
**A-9014 What to do About Unpayable Debt, Alexander Schiffner**

**E-477 Communist America, Don Elmore**

**G-1008 Immigration: Lawful, Legal & Illegal**

**New Covenant Recipients, pt 3, Ted Weiland**

**G-1009 Immigration: Lawful, Legal & Illegal**  
**New Covenant Recipients, pt 4, Ted Weiland**

**\*J-479 Who Is That God? pt 2, John Weaver**

**\*J-480 Left Alone and Without Hope,**  
**John Weaver**

**\*L-128 The Attire Of An Harlot, Steven Anderson**

**N-110 Intro to The Ten Commandments,**

*William Einwechter*

**U-406 Conceived in Sin, Bruce Shortt**

**X-903 Luther On The Psalms, W. Robert Godfrey**

**X-904 The Authority Of Scripture, Matthias Lohmann**

**\*CI-1073 Biblical Law, Mark Rushdoony; Manners, Half-breeds, Mixed Marriages, Southern Slavery, Spiritual Autism by Rousas Rushdoony**



place? Israel, was the only nation that received the Laws of God (Psalms 147:19, 20).

These are some of the questions that *Answers in Genesis* and others like them must answer instead of just saying that Christian Identity is wrong.

**2. The second point in Ken Ham's article on the Biblical reasons against racism is: "Furthermore, the Bible's book of Acts teaches that all people are of 'one blood.' In the Christian worldview, there are no different races but just one."**

Ken Ham says that "all people are of 'one blood'" in the book of Acts. And this provides the main reason as to why I hate *Answers in Genesis*. Ken Ham is a **false preacher**. He is preaching the same message that communists teach on interracial marriages. Both the communists and Ken both say that there is nothing wrong with interracial marriages; in fact, they say that they are preferred.

Here is the verse that Ken is quoting when he says that all people are made of "one blood."

Acts 17:26: "And hath made of one blood all nations of men for to dwell on all the face of the earth, and hath determined the times before appointed, and the bounds of their habitation."

But this is a verse which individuals and groups like to use to support the idea that there is no difference between races, like Ken Ham and A. Charles Ware. There are two major points that need to be made to counter their use of this verse:

In the majority of Bible manuscript texts, the word "blood" is not there. A look at most parallel Bibles, will give confirmation of this.

*Answers in Genesis* knows this. Look how they argue this very same point in another scripture from their website:

"...were these Mesopotamians who descended from Noah's family actually attempting to build 'a tower whose top [would] reach into heaven' (Genesis 11:4)? The clear answer is 'No!' The NASB puts the words 'will reach' in italics, which means that they are not in the original Hebrew text, but were added in an effort to make sense out of the wording. (The KJV italicizes "may reach".)

So, the conclusion can be made that *Answers in Genesis* knows this but that they keep on saying that all people were made from "one blood", because that is what they want it to say.

This verse is an excellent demonstration of how people can try to use one part of a verse to prove their point, but at the same time ignore the rest of the verse which flatly contradicts what they are trying to say. The second part of the verse is stating that God made 'boundaries' where differing peoples/races were ordained of God to live separated from one another. People cannot have it both ways. Wouldn't you say that *Answers in Genesis* severely misinterpreted this verse?

One of the problems that arises in a situation like this is that individuals will not examine what is being pointed out

and they will say something like, "We have always taught it this way and we are not going to change." Tradition or traditional beliefs and interpretations become entrenched and set rock hard in commentaries. It was such traditions which made the Word of God of none effect [Mark 7:13].

## SOUTH PACIFIC

One of Richard Rodgers's and Oscar Hammerstein's most popular musicals, *South Pacific*, has been acclaimed for its sensitive and courageous treatment of the subject of racial prejudice. Preaching tolerance and universalist humanism, the musical is often celebrated for representing interracial romance.

In 1949, Oscar Hammerstein, a former student at **Columbia University**, (remember what happened at Columbia) took a lot of flack for inserting the song "You've Got to be Carefully Taught" into *South Pacific*. That song admonished the mostly white, relatively affluent theater crowd that racism was taught, not inborn, and thus offered hope that a cycle of hate and fear could be broken. *South Pacific* opened on Broadway in 1949 and became a film in 1958.

In the early 1940s, the FBI opened a file on Hammerstein that told of the implicit one-world-order goals of the Anti-Nazi League. The New York and Philadelphia FBI offices coordinated surveillance efforts that included tapping his phone lines, reading his mail, and sometimes tailing his activities, mostly in New York

City.

Despite accusations of ties to known Communists and sympathizers, Oscar spoke his liberal beliefs clearly: "treat all human beings as equals despite race, creed or color."

During a touring production of the show in Atlanta in 1953, the song again raised hackles, this time offending some Georgia legislators who introduced a bill to outlaw entertainment having, as they stated, "an underlying philosophy inspired by Moscow." State Representative David C. Jones claimed that a song justifying interracial marriage was implicitly a threat to the American way of life.

In the second act of *South Pacific*, Lieutenant Joe Cable sings "You've Got to Be Carefully Taught," a song about racial prejudice. New York Jewish artists like Rodgers and Hammerstein and other Jews were commonly associated with the Communist Party and other left-wing groups.

*South Pacific* dealt with two interracial romances. One was the white American nurse who had a romantic relationship with a Frenchman who had been married to a Polynesian who had died, but he still had two of their mongrel children living with him.

The second romance was between a United States Army Lieutenant and a young Tonkinese woman which explored his fears of the social consequences should he marry his Asian sweetheart. The issue of racial prejudice is candidly explored throughout the musical, most controversially in the lieutenant's song, "You've Got to Be Carefully Taught".

Here's the lyrics to that song about racial prejudice:



*"You've got to be taught to hate and fear, You've got to be taught from year to year. It's got to be drummed in your dear little ear, You've got to be carefully taught."*

*You've got to be taught to be afraid, Of people whose eyes are oddly made, And people whose skin is a different shade, You've got to be carefully taught."*

*You've got to be taught before it's too late, Before you are six or seven or eight, To hate all the people your relatives hate, You've got to be carefully taught."*

Going against biblical directive, Charles Ware interracially married with a white woman.

#### A. Charles Ware

Ken Ham wrote half of the chapters in the book and Charles wrote the other half. A. Charles Ware, the other author of the book, *One Race ~ One Blood*, says that he is "an African American and my wife is 'white.'" Page 38.



What? Charles interracially married with a white woman. Charles Ware mixed his unholy seed with holy seed, and produced four children of an unholy seed and adopted two other children. Charles adds in his book, *One Race ~ One Blood*, page 51:

*"Racial extremists' [which includes Christian Identity] faulty interpretation of Scripture is used to justify their racism..." and*

*"Various Christian groups [including Christian Identity] still claim that the Bible supports white superiority and segregation."*

This is the main difference between *Answers in Genesis* and Christian Identity regarding the race question. Who is correct? We both can't be right. But we can both be wrong. Or only one of us is correct. We both state that the other is misinterpreting scripture. But who is right?

Ware then says that, *"The Christian Identity Movement is a white supremacist and religious group that shares much of the Ku Klux Klan ideology.* In the doctrinal statement of the Kingdom Identity Ministries...the group affirms the authority of Scripture, the Trinity, salvation by grace, and other theological points. A closer look, however, reveals a deadly virus when the group addresses the issue of race." Ware goes on and quotes Kingdom Identity Ministries doctrinal statement:

*"We believe the White, Anglo-Saxon, Germanic and kindred people to be God's true, literal Children of Israel.*

*...This chosen seedline making up the 'Christian Nations' (Gen. 35:11; Isa. 62:2; Acts 11:26) of the earth stands far superior to all other peoples in their call as God's servant race (Isa. 41:8; 44:21; Luke 1:53).*

*We believe that the Man Adam...is father of the White race only..." Page 53.*

Remember, Ware has interracially married. After quoting the above doctrinal statements, he comments: "Their [Christian Identity's] use of the Bible and Christian terms weaves a deceptive web that binds many into racist attitudes and segregationist actions."

Is that true? Does Christian Identity use the Bible and Christian terms to deceive its listeners, or does the Bible teach the racist attitudes and segregation of the covenant people. Who is right?

Ware then quotes The Christian Research Journal:

*"Christians sometimes connect with this movement because of Identity's espousal of issues such as right-to-life and anti-communism.... It is important for Christians to ... understand Identity's false and racist teachings. For the sake of non-Christians, it is also important for Christians to differentiate between biblical Christianity and Identity. Finally, it is the moral duty of Christians to stand against the evil intent of this form of white supremacist teaching."*

Charles Ware, who is a race mixer, goes on and says the following to disprove Christian Identity:

*"Note that these [Christian Identitists] are fellow creationists who refuse to bow to the culture of secular pluralism and dare to*

*stand on Scripture. The problem, however, is that they use the Bible to try to support their pre-existing discriminatory and racist beliefs. The verses they use to 'prove' their position are either taken out of context or severely misinterpreted."*

Ware says that there are two reasons he uses to disprove Christian Identity:

- *They dare to stand on Scripture. They use the Bible to try to support their racist beliefs.*
- *The verses they use to prove their position are either taken out of context, or severely misinterpreted.*

As a Christian Identity preacher, I would say that it is not MY belief which I preach, but rather it is the belief of my God. God is a racist and teaches that we are to be racist too. **Racist** is not a bad word, even though that is what the world thinks. It is a good word. And that is what the church believed for 1900 years.

Ware, on page 56 states, *"Contrary to the belief of evolutionists, there is actually only one race – Adam's race. And Adam's race includes 'black' people, 'white' people – all human beings everywhere."*

#### *Old Historic Videos:* **Roelofs Family Homeschool Concert & 1st annual CIM Camp part 1.**

@

***"Rehoboth" Far North Queensland in 1997/98***

***Singing, Recitals, Plays, CI-260 @ sug don \$17ea. or \$25 the two***

#### **Roelofs Family Homeschool Concert & 1st annual CIM Camp part 2.**

@

***"Rehoboth" Far North Queensland in 1997/98***

***Singing, Recitals, Plays, CI-261 @ sug don \$17ea. or \$25 the two***



Ware then writes about the need to eradicate racism in the church, just like the YWCA says its mission is to eliminate racism, too. He then gives his definition of racial reconciliation in the Church: *"Groups of different cultural, ethnic, economic, etc. backgrounds bonded together by redemption in Christ and growing together according to biblical principles for mutual edification, evangelism, and the glory of God (John 13:34-35; Rom. 15:1-13; Gal. 2:1-14; 3:26-29; Eph. 2:11-22)."*

In the book *One Race ~ One Blood*, Ware ends his chapter "A Bridge Too Far" by saying that "we must look to the cross of Christ to bridge the racial gap." He then gives the scripture to back up this statement:

*"For He Himself is our peace, who made both groups into one and broke down the barrier of the dividing wall, by abolishing in His flesh the enmity ... so that in Himself He might make the two one new man, thus establishing peace (Eph. 2:14-16)."*

What small part of these two verses (Ware stated that he quoted Eph. 2:14-16, but it was only Ephesians 2:14 & 15) did he leave out by putting "...". He omitted the following: *"...even the law of commandments contained in ordinances..."* Why did Mr. Ware, who is interracially married, leave out these eight words? Because it would prove that he "severely misinterpreted" this verse! And that is all he can do, for he is unable to understand the Word of our Father, just like Jesus said to the Jews of His day. I don't blame him, but I blame the white people who follow what he teaches.

The ordinances, along with the statutes and commandments, were given to only **one** people — His covenant people. They eventually divided into two kingdoms, the North and South. The Northern ten tribes never did keep the ordinances. They didn't:

- Go to the Temple of God that was built in Jerusalem
- Have the priests of God which could only be from the tribe of Levi
- Keep any of the sacrifices that had to do with the Temple of God

The Northern Tribes were Baal worshippers. They forgot the covenant that the LORD had made with their fathers. Today they would be called "Judeo-Christians." Many of them became race-mixers.

The Southern kingdom did worship at the Temple some of the time. There were times when the Temple needed many repairs and the Scriptures were even lost. But they eventually repented and God rescued them. But they too were eventually taken in captivity and only about 42,000 returned to the Promised Land. Many of these people also interracially married, and had send their foreign wives and children away (Ezra & Nehemiah).

Question for *Answers in Genesis*: When Jesus the Christ was born, the northern kingdom was located throughout Europe and a small part in northern Africa; a portion of the southern kingdom was located mainly in Galilee with a small remnant in Judea with the largest part of them living in the Middle East and Europe. The death and resurrection of Jesus the Christ made *what two groups one* by separating from them the ordinances of the Temple worship?

Jews and non-Jews? Or ...

Judeans and the nations: Northern kingdom and Southern kingdom?

## CONCLUSION

Current errant "Christian" theology supports the equality of all races as does Marxist/Jewish/Communist political philosophy. The foundations of truth must be destroyed and thus, compromised. What do you believe?

## Blessed be the LORD God of Israel.

Courtesy The New Covenant Messenger, Box 321 Union KY 41091

### from Robert Balaicius comes: APOLOGETIC EXPOSITIONS ACTS 15

#### Thorough, Consistent, Logical Studies Concerning the Exclusive, Irrevocable Promises which God gave to His people Israel:

*Proving God's Word does not change or contradict itself. Refuting popular, modernist interpretations of seemingly-isolated passages of Scripture that are grossly taken out of context or "spiritualized" into inefficacy.*

*The term "Apologetic" in the title does not refer to the use of the word as in "making an apology," as it is most often used modernly. It is used in its classical philosophical sense as when a person Defends his position through Logical Debate and Argumentation.*

*Likewise, "Argumentation" does not refer to petty bickering, but a formal, intellectual, logical, orderly discussion.*

#777 @ sug don \$12.50

### GREENHOUSE APOCO<sup>2</sup>LYPSE

Do Carbon dioxide emissions threaten all life on Earth?

by Andrew Miller.

Environmental activists were outraged. Politicians warned that greenhouse gas emissions would submerge cities, suffocate children, and put human survival at risk. Many saw President Trump pulling America from the Paris climate accord as an unmitigated catastrophe.

"We shouldn't have to wait until Mar-a-Lago is under water for President Trump to care about the consequences of climate change," Democratic Party Chairman Tom Perez told a crowd of protesters. "And we

shouldn't be joining Syria and Nicaragua as the only three countries in the United Nations to oppose this historic progress."

"The question I have for Donald Trump, as a mother of five and a grandmother of nine, is how he is ever going to explain to his grandchildren what he did to the air they breathe—assuming they breathe air," House of Representatives Minority Leader Nancy Pelosi said. "What happened yesterday on the climate issue is an embarrassment to our country, and it should be an embarrassment to him personally for how he answers to his grandchildren."

"The longer we delay action, the more catastrophic climate change will be," former Green Part presidential candidate Jill Stein warned. "Water and temperature levels will soar in the next decades. The sixth mass extinction of species will accelerate, which will render the chances for human survival precarious at best. The issue is how many people will needlessly be forced to deal with—to die



from—the ravages of extreme weather, droughts, famine, forced migrations, spread of diseases, and wars over access to land and water.”

To prevent this environmental apocalypse, some activists have proposed drastic action. Three years ago, environmental activist Robert Kennedy suggested that America needs laws to punish climate change deniers. Climatologist James Hansen said those who deny man-made climate change should face criminal trial for “high crimes against humanity.” One professor from the University of Graz suggested the death penalty for climate change deniers.

Before we start imprisoning skeptics, however, let’s look at the actual facts behind man-made climate change. Despite claims from alarmists, the science is far from settled.

### CONSENSUS MYTH

Former U.S. President Barack Obama tweeted in 2013, “Ninety-seven percent of scientists agree: #climate change is real, man-made and dangerous.” Many others, including Sierra Club former president Aaron Mair, have echoed this statistic over the past few years. The problem with this figure, however, is that it is completely bogus.

The 97% figure comes from a survey of almost 12,000 peer-reviewed science papers conducted by Australian scientist John Cook. The survey actually found a 97 percent consensus only among peer-reviewed papers *taking a position* on the cause of global warming. The catch is that only 34 percent of the papers took a position on the subject. Since 33 percent of the papers appeared to endorse the notion that humans cause climate change, Cook divided 33 by 34 to arrive at his 97 percent figure. It would be more honest to claim that 1 percent of scientists say humans *are not* causing climate change, one third of scientists say they are, and *two-thirds of scientists are not making such dogmatic proclamations.*

When University of Delaware Prof. David Legates reexamined Cook’s study, he found that even the 33 percent figure might be artificially high. By Legates’ assessment, only about 0.3 percent of the 11,944 abstracts Cook examined dogmatically state that humans were causing Earth’s climate to change.

More recently, over 31,000 U.S. scientists signed a petition saying, “There is no convincing scientific evidence that human release of carbon dioxide, methane, or other greenhouse gases is causing or will, in the foreseeable future, cause catastrophic heating of the Earth’s atmosphere and disruption of the Earth’s climate.” Among these scientists were two Nobel Prize-winning physicists, four NASA astronauts and more than 9,000 Ph.D.’s.

Despite being virtually canonized as religious dogma by the United Nations, the hypothesis of man-made climate change is not “settled science.” It remains an extremely contentious issue.

Those trying to silence dissenters have far more in common with the medieval inquisitors who arrested Galileo Galilei for spreading the heretical view that the Earth

orbits the sun than they do with real, unbiased scientists.

### GOVERNMENT BIAS

The environmental group Greenpeace condemned anthropomorphic climate change skeptic Dr. Wei-Hock Soon for accepting research grants from energy companies like Exxon-Mobil. They implied that fossil; fuel companies are paying off climate skeptics.

The majority of climate-research funding comes from the government. Could this lead to biased research?

Unlike the energy industry, which funds both sides of the climate change debate, the federal government primarily funds scientists who conclude that more government regulation of the economy is necessary in order to save the planet. According to Joanne Nova at the Science and Public Policy Institute, the U.S. government spent over \$32 billion on climate change research between 1989 and 2009—over 1,500 times more than Exxon -Mobil.

“Academics who jump on the global warming bandwagon are far more likely to get big research grants than those who express doubts—and research is the lifeblood of an academic career at leading universities,” wrote Dr. Thomas Sowell, a senior fellow at the Hoover Institution. “Environmental movements around the world are committed to global warming hysteria and nowhere more so than on college and university campuses, where they can harass those who say otherwise.”

These professors also receive billions of dollars from left-wing foundations like the Sierra Club, Earthworks, the Natural Resources Defense Council, the Environmental Defense Fund and Earth Justice.

Accepting funding from certain organizations does not automatically discredit research; however, it is undeniable that scientists skeptical of man-made climate change receive a fraction of the funding that goes to scientists who propagate it. In the words of Nova, “In this scientific debate, one side is gagged while the other side has a government-funded media campaign.”

What you see in the news isn’t an open, may-the-best-facts-win scientific debate. It is the result of heavily biased and politically motivated manipulation. [if you don’t believe that, you probably do believe that man went to the moon in 1969. CIM]

### MANIPULATING DATA

Ever since the 1980s, scarcely a week has passed without some new report warning about the apocalyptic effects of man-made climate change. These reports systematically exclude data that casts doubt on their conclusions.

The hypothesis of man-made climate change states that when human beings burn fossil fuels, the resulting carbon dioxide gases insulate the planet to an unnatural extent. This causes the planet to warm and the polar ice caps to melt. While few people deny that the planet seems to be about a degree and a half warmer than it was a century ago, the link between rising temperatures and rising carbon dioxide levels is not as straightforward as many politicians say.

*New Book*

### APOLOGETIC EXPOSITIONAL PREVIEWS: ISAIAH 56:1-8

**Robert Alan Balaičius**

*On the Exclusive Promises God gave  
Israel: Refuting Universalist Interpretations  
of Isolated passages of Scripture.  
People who hold to a Universalist doctrine  
of salvation often use this verse as a text  
supporting salvation for peoples other than  
Israel. Let us examine it in detail.*

**#770 @ SUG DON \$8.95**





According to NASA Earth Observatory, Earth's average temperature increased by almost 1 degree Fahrenheit between 1918 and 1941. Over the same period, carbon dioxide levels increased 5 percent. Between 1941 and 1978, carbon dioxide levels increased another 5 percent—but temperatures decreased by about half a degree.

The media at the time engaged in a freak-out about global cooling, yet from 1978 to 1998, temperatures increased another degree. Carbon dioxide levels have risen 15 percent since 1978; however, the average global temperature seems to have stopped increasing in 1998.

These facts cast serious doubt on the notion that burning fossil fuels is the primary cause behind the planet's 1-degree temperature increase over the past century.

Scientists from America's National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) rushed to publish a paper denying this pause in global warming before world leaders met to sign the Paris Agreement in 2015. But Dr. John Bates recently revealed that NOAA had to breach its own rules on scientific integrity to get this paper out in time. For some reason, NOAA failed to archive key pieces of data used in the paper, which means that its conclusions can never be verified.

Government-funded scientists are ignoring evidence that global warming does not correlate to carbon dioxide emissions, and has paused for the past 19 years.

They are also ignoring evidence that Earth has experienced higher temperatures than today—long before carbon-emitting industries were even invented.

### NATURAL CYCLES

According to the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, natural factors play an insignificant role in climate change compared to human-induced factors. In order to believe this assessment, however, you have to ignore reams of data from ice cores, dripstones, tree rings and ocean sediment cores. The vast preponderance of scientific evidence indicates that Earth naturally alternates between warm and cold phases in a 1,000-year cycle.

This cycle includes the Holocene Warm Period, the Akkadian Cold Period, the Minoan Warm Period, the Bronze Age Cold Period, the Roman Warm Period, the Dark Age Cold Period, the Medieval Warm Period, the Vikings colonized Greenland and the Normans planted vineyards in balmy Southern England. This warm period occurred 800 years before the first smokestack of the Industrial Revolution went up.

“Based on climate reconstructions from North Atlantic deep-sea sediment cores, Prof. Gerard Bond discovered that the millennial-scale climate cycles ran largely parallel to solar cycles, including the Eddy Cycle which is—guess what—1,000 years long,” wrote German environmentalist Fritz Vahrenholt. “So it is really the sun that shaped the

temperature roller coaster of the past 10,000 years ... with our empirically proven natural pre-industrial pattern, however, we would predict that solar activity had risen since 1850, more or less in parallel with an increase in temperatures. Indeed, both timing and amount of warming of nearly 1 degree Celsius fit nicely into this natural scheme. The solar magnetic field more than doubled over the past 100 years” (Telegraph, June 18, 2012).

However, Dr. Vahrenholt, shunned by climate scientists as a nonbeliever, doesn't deny that greenhouse gases contribute to climate change. He simply concludes that the contributions of carbon dioxide emissions are insignificant when compared to the effects of the sun. Since solar activity increased from 1900 to 1940 and decreased from 1940 to 1970, it correlates much closer with global temperatures than with carbon dioxide levels.

Yet many scientists still find it politically expedient to bury and discredit this type of research.

In 1995, Dr. David Deming from the University of Oklahoma received an e-mail from a major person working in the area of climate change, saying, “We have to get rid of the Medieval Warm Period.” Four years later, Dr. Michael Mann did just that. His famous “hockey stick graph” showed that Earth's temperatures had been stable for 1,000 years, before rising precipitously after the Industrial Revolution. This graph ignored Greenland ice core data indicating that the period between AD 1000 and 1300 was just as warm as it is today. In fact, according to researchers Steve McIntyre and Ross McKittrick, the algorithm used to produce this

graph was specifically built to erase the Medieval Warm Period no matter what data was fed into it.

That is not science.

The burning of fossil fuels may have some limited effect on global temperatures, but the problem with climate change alarmists is that they systematically ignore evidence of naturally occurring climate change. Why would they do this? The evidence suggests that their goal is to frighten people into surrendering their freedom to centralized government.

### WRONG PRIORITIES

Using data manipulation to push a political agenda threatens more than the economy: it also threatens the environment and human wellbeing.

Former President Barack Obama's plan to reduce the US greenhouse gas emissions 80 percent by 2050 would have cost the nation \$5.3 Trillion, according to Columbia Business School economist Geoffrey Heal. Such draconian reductions would likely have a miniscule effect on global temperature, and catastrophic effect on more pressing environmental issues.

Many rural communities in America lack access to

*and another:*

### APOLOGETIC EXPOSITIONS

#### ISAIAH 56:1-8

**Thorough, Consistent, Logical Studies Concerning the Exclusive, Irrevocable Promises which God gave to His people Israel:**

***Proving God's Word does not change or contradict itself. Refuting popular, modernist interpretations of seemingly-isolated passages of Scripture that are grossly taken out of context or “spiritualized” into inefficacy.***

*The term “Apologetic” in the title does not refer to the use of the word as in “making an apology,” as it is most often used modernly. It is used in its classical philosophical sense as when a person Defends his position through Logical Debate and Argumentation. Likewise, “Argumentation” does not refer to petty bickering, but a formal, intellectual, logical, orderly discussion.*

**#779 @ sug don \$12.50**



clean drinking water due to outdated infrastructure. The Government Accountability Office estimates that the cost of fixing this water crisis will be \$190 billion in the coming decades. This is money unlikely to be spent if drastic cuts in greenhouse gas emissions push the nation into recession.

Ecological restoration projects could also suffer if Americans abandon fossil fuels too quickly. Contaminated sites around the country—old factories, polluted waterways, disfigured wildernesses—need to be cleaned up. This “restoration economy” is worth about \$9.5 billion a year, but the work is usually done by green entrepreneurs banking on America’s continued economic prosperity.

Conservation efforts nationwide depend on a growing, free-market economy. So instead of subsidizing unprofitable industries and giving money to the UN’s Green Climate Fund in a misguided attempt to shut down the fossil fuel industry within three decades, the U.S. would do better to invest its money in a research and development initiative to discover alternative energy sources that work. This would be cheaper and much more effective than the UNs approach.

Fossil fuels and livestock grazing are often targeted as major culprits behind environmental catastrophes. But academic dishonesty, mismanagement of natural resources, and the abandonment of biblical agricultural laws are much bigger problems.

## ENVIRONMENTAL

### STEWARDSHIP

Scientists, journalists and politicians have hijacked concerns about the environment and twisted them for their own purposes. Does that mean, however, that we should blow off these concerns and ignore the smokestacks, the river-dumping, the nuclear waste leakage and all the other very real, very toxic substances we human beings are releasing into our own environment? Not at all. In fact, we should care about the environment more than those who co-opt it to serve their own agenda.

The Bible records with notable specifics that God created the environment: the seas, the atmosphere, the land, the plants, the animals. And He created all *for man*. Not for man to abuse, but to use responsibly

God gave the first human beings stewardship of the planet and provided them with instructions on how to sustain the environment, including these two principles: “*And the Lord God took the man, and put him into the garden of Eden to dress it and to keep it*” (Genesis 2:15). *Dress* means to work and, by implication, to serve as a bondman, or become servant to. *Keep* means to guard, hedge about, protect, preserve and look narrowly to (Strong’s Concordance). Dressing and keeping the planet requires honesty, discipline, self-denial and individual responsibility.

Industrialists today have discarded wholesome environmental practices and embraced principles based on short-term profit. Meanwhile, government officials who supposedly regulate these industrialists twist environmental concerns for their political agenda.

Our society is built upon the way of selfishness and greed. Our entire approach must be revolutionized. Real environmental stewardship is going to require nothing less than a change in *human nature itself*.

Mankind needs to reassume its role as leader of the environment and once again dress and keep the environment as God intended. The Bible tells us that a time is soon coming when “*the plowman shall overtake the reaper, and the treader of grapes him that soweth seed; and the mountains shall drop sweet wine*” (Amos 9:13). But first mankind must learn that attitudes of greed and selfishness need to be discarded and replaced with attitudes of service and of respect for God’s Law and God’s creation.

Courtesy The Philadelphia Trumpet, Box 3700, Edmond OK 73083

## AMERICAN IGNORANCE

by Dr. Ileana Johnson Paugh,  
Guest Columnist

Americans are greatly misinformed when it comes to socialism and communism. Winston Churchill said it best, “socialism is a philosophy of failure, the creed of ignorance, the gospel of envy, its inherent virtue is the equal sharing of misery.”

Socialism is ownership and control by the government of the means of production and of distribution. It is the stage following capitalism in the transi-

tion to communism. During this stage, according to Marxist theory, collectivism is implemented imperfectly. Communism, the ultimate utopia, refines this process.

“Socius” is Latin for comrade and ally. You had to be very careful whom you allied with, lest you found yourself in jail or dead. “Communis” is Latin for “shared.” I can attest first hand that nobody shared anything under communism except misery and poverty, except the ruling elite. Although communism is described in textbooks as having no classes, in reality there were two: the proletariat (the majority) and the ruling elite (communist party members).

The grandfather of all communists, Karl Marx, found a benefactor, Friedrich Engels, the son of a businessman in Prussia, to support him and his family while he sought redistribution of wealth in his native Russia through “cultural and political revolution.” Karl Marx was your 1960s hippy bum who hated manual labor, finding ways with “ideas” to mooch off rich patrons.

While his large family survived on a diet of bread and potatoes, he worked on his “Communist Manifesto” with Engels. Three of his seven children died before the age of ten and one died in infancy because they were malnourished and literally starved to death.

In 1852, a police spy from Prussia said that Marx, with a Doctorate of Philosophy from Berlin University, “lived the life of a gypsy, rarely washing, combing, and changing his linens. He is a drunk and idle for days on end...” He was being spied on as the leader of the Communist Party. Such philosophers as Marx were not interested in contributing anything productive in society, but destroying it and creat-

*do you have it?*  
**COMMON SENSE II**  
**America Betrayed**  
**Republic vs Democracy**  
**The Purpose of the Jury System**  
**Wars do not “Just Happen.”**  
**Are we in a Police State?**  
**Illegal Immigration**  
**Secret Experiments**  
**Conspiracy Theories**  
**Sovereignty & Jurisdiction of Rights**  
**#024 @ sug don \$2.50**



ing their communist utopia.

Marx died a pauper although his wife was the daughter of the Prussian Prime Minister. Espousing ideas and creating havoc in orderly society were more important to Marx than caring for his family or contributing to society in a positive way. His ideas laid the groundwork for immense destruction of property, of families, and the killing of millions of innocents across the globe.

### “CREEPING SOCIALISM”

The “creeping socialism” that Ronald Reagan, Dwight Eisenhower, and Friedrich von Hayek have warned us about is now blatant and a daily reality: illegal government takeover of Chrysler, GM, student loan programs from banks, health care, internet control, FCC radio programming content, stifling of conservative speech, attack on Christianity, its symbols, and Christian values. Private sector of our economy is shrinking every day; our economy is becoming more and more socialist. “We are all socialists now,” said Newsweek on its cover.

Having lived under socialism and communism for twenty years, I can attest that there is nothing “shared” except misery. There is nothing “equal” under communism except poverty; doctors, lawyers, mechanics, teachers were paid the same miserable salaries and had to work in less than desirable places, dictated by the communist party upon graduation. There is no “levelling of the playing field,” to use the Democrat euphemism. There is no “economic security” but insecurity. There is no “living wage,” another darling euphemism of the Democrats, but a ‘barely surviving’ wage. The communist work ethic is, “we pretend to work, and they pretend to pay us.”

### SOCIALISM AND COMMUNISM ARE NOT OPEN BUT REPRESSIVE SOCIETIES

Socialism and Communism are not open but repressive societies. There is no “universal healthcare,” but rationing of it. There is no “public good,” only the communist party “good” and goodies at specialized communist party-only stores. There is no free public education but forced indoctrination into the Marxist theory through Marxist Economics and the laughable “Scientific Socialism.” Students of all ages had to study and pass these courses.

We define our civilization by our humanity. There was no humanity under communism. Life was worthless unless it was the life of those in power. If a baby was born with a fixable handicap, nobody bothered to spend valuable resources on repairing the problem, they were left to die unattended.

Students were vaccinated in school with the same 3-4 syringes and needles boiled in rusty pans every morning, not autoclaved. Hepatitis was rampant. Hospitals washed and rewashed bandages and cotton. Hospital personnel, from orderlies to nurses, to doctors had to be bribed in order to have proper care provided to the patient. Medical care and drugs were free but families had to provide sheets, towels, round the clock care, food, drugs bought on the

black market. The patient who had no family caring for them lingered in a metal frame bed unattended for weeks until they got better on their own or died.

The doctor would write an order for a prescription, the hospital pharmacy could not fill it because they had run out of money to buy the drugs. The family would buy it on the black market and bring it to the doctor so he can administer it to the patient. When 4 p.m. came, everybody went home, nobody worked beyond the required 8 hours. Who cares if patients died during the night? There were no inquiries and no accountability.

I have lost many family members to medical malpractice, lack of care, gross medical negligence, and rationing of care based on age or connections to the elite in power. Yes, “death panels” did very much exist. People lingered in hospitals for weeks, ignored by medical staff, untreated, unfed, literally screaming in pain, with no drugs available to ease the pain unless doctors were bribed with money, soap, shampoo, wine, food, cigarettes, gold, and foreign made goods.

We had free medical care for all in Romania. The doctors were poorly trained, had no adequate equipment, were under-paid, and violated the Hippocratic Oath daily by giving poor or no medical care unless bribes were given. Rationing had to be imposed in order to serve everybody. Ambulances were a joke — they came with no staff or emergency equipment and arrived not just hours but days late. Some drivers would stop on the way to the hospital to pick up hitchhikers in order to make extra money. The neglect and potholes were enough to kill anybody.

Pharmacy shelves were empty because the allotted money for that area had run out. In America you have abundant drugs of any kind if you are sick. Try to picture your only choice being the black market at ten times the cost.

We have passed Obamacare in the dead of night, during the holidays, twisting arms, making back room deals, using obscene bribery, without lawmakers reading and debating such an important piece of legislation that affects one fifth of our economy, and now, we are finding out how disastrous it will be for our nation. It is long and convoluted, it includes a 15-member board charged with rationing of care and many unrelated items such as taxes on sales of homes. It is not about healthcare, it is about total government control of the population.

*Dr. Ileana Johnson Paugh, May 26, 2011, Canada Free Press. Dr. Paugh recently published a book about her 20-year experience with communist life, “Echoes of Communism,” available at Amazon in paperback and kindle. Short essays describe health care, education, poverty, social engineering, and confiscation (stealing) of property, among other subjects. The author lives in Northern Virginia with her husband David.*

R.McC. Comment: The purpose and objective of ‘communism’ and ‘socialism’ are the same; they are both godless, anti-biblical man-created socio-economic ideologies designed to enslave individuals and society at large by government edict.

Communism is still very much alive, the November 9,

### *Video you may have missed:* **HOW CALVINISM BUILT NEW ENGLAND, HOW NEW ENGLAND BUILT AMERICA**

**Dr. Paul Jehle**

*The tenets of Calvin, especially his view of the law and the jurisdictional roles of church and state, became the seed that was planted in New England through the Pilgrims and Puritans. In exploring the original vision of the Puritan Commonwealth in New England, Dr. Jehle discusses the role of God’s covenant and the developing role of church and state under the law of God. He identifies many key lessons for those who desire God’s kingdom to progressively be manifested on earth today.*

**#CI-706 @ sug LOAN don \$7**



1989, fall of the Berlin Wall, notwithstanding. The Ten Planks of the Communist Manifesto remain intact and continue to be promulgated in American academia, media, politics and religion and is protected by the judiciary.

Socialism is also very much alive and America is fast becoming a totally socialist nation. So is Australia!

How did we get into this socio-economic quagmire? First and foremost, by the violation of the first and eighth Commandments:

**“Thou shalt have no other gods before Me.”** Ex. 20:2-3. But somehow, religious plurality was enshrined in the Constitution.

**“Thou shalt not steal.”** Ex. 20:15. We get around that by calling it ‘confiscation’ like John Howard did with our means of defending oneself.

While the Eighth Commandment specifically prohibits stealing, *all* of the last six commandments are based on not stealing; not stealing honour away from parents (the Fifth Commandment); not stealing someone’s life from them (the Sixth Commandment); not stealing from heathen blood to mix with one’s own (the Seventh Commandment); not stealing the truth from someone (the Ninth Commandment); and not planning to steal ANYTHING from a neighbour (the Tenth Commandment).

‘Thou shalt not steal’ also applies to nations/governments.

The dollar was fixed as a measure of silver in 1792 in Article 1 Section 9 of the U.S. Constitution. The *real* “dollar” is a coin containing 371.25 grains (troy) of fine silver. This could be changed only by amendment or *revolution*. There is no amendment.

Silver Certificates were printed for a time in the United States as a form of paper currency. They were produced in response to silver agitation by citizens who were angered by the Fourth Coinage Act, which placed the United States on the gold standard. The certificate was matched to the same amount of value in silver coinage. For example, one dollar Silver Certificate equals one silver dollar. The Silver Certificates were abolished by Congress on June 4, 1963 and all redemption in silver ceased on June 24, 1968. In 1968, the ‘dollar’ ceased being a measure of silver and America ceased to have ‘money.’ Today’s paper currency - (*Federal Reserve Bank Notes* - is a debt instrument, not a ‘dollar.’ And now they can continue to steal from you through what is called ‘inflation.’

There are only two possible economic systems: barter and slavery. God commanded a barter system: “Thou shalt not steal.” The Constitution mandates a barter system of gold and silver coins in Article 1, Section 10: “No State shall make any Thing but gold and silver coin a Tender in Payment of Debts.” see [www.morpix.biz/god](http://www.morpix.biz/god)

(*Australian Constitution*: Section 115, “A State shall not coin money, nor make anything but gold and silver coin a legal tender in payment of debts.”)

The second reason America is in this socio-economic quagmire is because of silent, passive pastors who have failed to teach God’s Perfect Law to those they are responsible to instruct about how to live in this real world according to God’s Word and orderly way. America is enslaved by the false humanistic economic philosophies that have hood-

winked people for over fifty years. God spoke plainly when He said:

“My people (Israel) are destroyed for lack of knowledge; because thou hast rejected knowledge, I will also reject thee, that thou shalt be no priest to me: seeing thou hast forgotten the law of thy God, I will also forget thy children.” Hosea 4:6.

Courtesy The Wake-Up Herald, 605 Moore Rd, Newnan GA 30263.

## WHY JUDGES AND POLITICIANS HATE THE TEN COMMANDMENTS

Gary DeMar, Oct, 2017.

A court ruled that a Ten Commandments monument must be removed from the State Capitol grounds in Oklahoma and the Supreme Court “sided with a lower court that ordered a New Mexico city to remove a Ten Commandments monument from the lawn outside City



Hall.” Judge Roy Moore, who is running for the Senate Seat vacated by Jeff Sessions, “was removed from his position as Chief Justice in November 2003 by the Alabama Court of the Judiciary for refusing to remove a monument of the Ten Commandments commissioned by him from

the Alabama Judicial Building, despite orders to do so by a federal court.”

Moore’s support of the Ten Commandments is being attacked by the Democrats. Why would they do this? To protect Harvey Weinstein, one of their biggest donors, who violated the Seventh and Tenth Commandments (probably the Eighth and Ninth as well)? Politicians don’t like the Eighth Commandment since it prohibits stealing. There is no “You shall not steal except by majority vote.”

Secular governments don’t like “Thou shalt nots.” It’s also why they don’t like a written constitution, especially one that only allows for enumerated powers.

Don’t get me wrong, Judges and politicians love commandments as long as they get to make them (millions of them since Federarion). What they don’t like is someone higher than them—the true God—making commandments.

1. The First Commandment states that there is only one God (Judge and Lawgiver) and only He can save us. The State believes it is god and only it can save.

2. The Second Commandment forbids idolatry. The State has become an idol and is worshipped as a god when the Bible declares that its is a “minister [servant] of God to [us] for good” (Rom. 13:4). The State continues to grow with the promise of political salvation.

3. The Third Commandment forbids taking God’s Name in vain. Politicians appeal to God all the time and yet violate His commandments in the same breath (“God bless America - or Australia”). President Obama made reference to God—even singing “Amazing Grace”—in his eulogy for Rev. Pinckney and soon after celebrated the Supreme Court’s ruling making same-sex ‘marriage’ the law of the land. This is taking God’s name in vain.

4. The Fourth Commandment sets one day a week aside for rest. The interesting thing about this commandment is that it is written into the Constitution at Article 7,

*Cassette tapes still available @  
10 tapes for \$10 posted within Australia only.  
Outside Australia only by special quotation  
depending on postage rates.  
Please help us to clear these tapes out  
Thank you for your cooperation!*



Clause 2. The majority ignores this commandment either going to work to make extra money, going to play sport or going to watch the game - doing their own pleasure.

5. The Fifth Commandment defines the family. As we've seen the courts have redefined the family, and by redefining the family they can now rewrite all law in terms of that new definition.

6. The Sixth Commandment was legislated out of existence decades ago by the sanctioning of perpetual war and the legalization of aborticide - killing the unborn.

7. The Seventh Commandment in its prohibition of adultery (adulteration) is a summary statement about all marital relationships. The Law prohibiting adulteration rests on the creation mandate of "kind after kind" and of marriage being between a man and a woman (Gen. 1:25, 1:27-28; 2:20-25.) The complement of man and woman is what's "suitable," not a man and man or a woman and a woman, and not serial marriages and divorces. Jesus confirmed the creation mandate (Matt. 19:1-6).

8. The Eighth Commandment prohibits stealing. Our nation's outrageous taxing system is based on theft, when people are given the right to vote to take money from some people so it can be given to other people.

9. The Ninth Commandment prohibits bearing false witness. Politicians bear false witness with almost every word they speak. Consider the following statement from presidential candidate Barack Obama that he gave on April 17, 2008, when he was campaigning for the presidency: "I believe that marriage is the union between a man and a woman. Now, for me as a Christian, it's also a sacred union, God's in the mix." Hillary Clinton said something similar. (read 3, above, again).

10. The Tenth Commandment indicts the modern State and those who support it because it covets everything: power, property, authority, money, prestige, privilege, and our souls. Covetousness leads to envy.

Most people who have seen only the film *Ten Commandments* on television have never seen Cecil B. DeMille's opening monologue. DeMille had something more in mind than just making a film about a religious figure from the Bible. He considered his production to be so important that he came out on stage to deliver a short but powerful statement on the nature of freedom under the law of God.

"The theme of this picture whether men ought to be ruled by God's Laws or whether they are to be ruled by the whims of a dictator like Rameses. Are men the property of the State or are they free souls under God? This same battle continues throughout the world today."

The elaborate film Souvenir Book that was made available in theaters includes a preface with the title "The Law by Which Men Live."

"The **TEN COMMANDMENTS** are not laws. They are **THE LAW**. Man has made 32,000,000 laws since they were handed down to Moses on Mount Sinai more than three thousand years ago, but he has never improved on God's Law." (The Ten Commandments Souvenir Book, Paramount Pictures Corporation - 1956, 1957), was published by The Greenstone Company, New York, N.Y.)

President Harry S. Truman voiced the common and

prevailing sentiment of his day:

*"The fundamental basis of this nation's laws was given to Moses on the Mount. The fundamental basis of our Bill of Rights comes from the teachings which we get from Exodus and St. Matthew, from Isaiah and St. Paul. I don't think we comprehend that enough these days*

*"If we don't have the proper fundamental moral background, we will finally wind up with a totalitarian government which does not believe in rights for anybody."* (Harry SW. Truman: Public Papers of the Presidents of the United States Containing the Public Messages, Speeches, and Statements of the President—January 1 to December 31).

We cannot live within the fluid boundaries of legal relativism. There must be a definitive and final legal standard of appeal to justify moral decisions at the personal and governmental levels. If not, then one judge's opinion is as good (or as bad) as another.

The **Ten Commandments**, a summary statement of a broader body of revealed laws, have been that fixed summary standard in the nation since before its official founding. As *Nightline* host Ted Koppel stated in a 1987 commencement address at Duke University:

*"What Moses brought down from Mt. Sinai were not the Ten Suggestions. They are **Commandments**. ARE not WERE. The sheer brilliance of the Ten Commandments is that they codify in a handful of words acceptable human behaviour; not just for then or now, but for all time. Language evolves. Power shifts from one nation to another. Messages are transmitted with the speed of light. Man erases one frontier after another. And yet we*

*and our behaviour and the commandments governing that behaviour remain the same."* (Ted Koppel, **The Last Word**, Commencement address at Duke University, Durham, North Carolina [May 10, 1987]. Quoted in Robert H. Bork, *The Tempting of America: The Political Seduction of the Law* [New York: The Free Press 1989], 164.

<https://garydemar.com/judges-politicians-hate-ten-commandments/>

## THE AMERICAN WAY OF DEATH

*Pastor Ulrich Mueller, Michigan*

"The American way of death" has always been difficult for me. I came to this country five years ago. I had to perform many funeral services with different funeral homes, but still I cannot accept many of these customs. I just do not understand why the body has to be embalmed. I do not understand why the dead have to be covered with paint and powder and all the make-up and have to lie in state and then finally be sealed of hermetically in a metal casket.

This American Way of Death is, in its origin:

a. Not American at all. One hundred years ago, the funeral in this country was an affair for the immediate family. Only the closest friends and relatives would view the dead before they were buried in a simple wooden casket.

b. Not European. In Germany and other European countries, the dead are not embalmed, they don't get makeup and they do not lie in state.

c. Not Christian. Embalming has its origin in Egypt, where bodies of the kings and wealthy were preserved with chemicals, decorated with paint and powder and arranged for public showing. The body of the deceased had to look

### SATAN DISPELLED

**Kalamos**

**this booklet is listed @ \$6.65  
but this month only  
you can order for \$2.50 posted  
within Australia only.  
Get you copy now and dispel  
these myths**



attractive, so the souls would return to it and thus enable life after death. The early Christians opposed this pagan custom and in Egypt, too, the embalming stopped after the majority of the people had become Christians.

We express it in each funeral service: "Earth to earth, ashes to ashes, and dust to dust in the sure and certain hope of resurrection to eternal life through out Lord Jesus Christ." Since the resurrection of Jesus Christ, for the Christian it was never the dead body, but always the risen Lord, who was in the center of their funeral services.

It is not my intention to set rules for any congregation. Each one may have the kind of funeral he personally wants to have. I do believe that God is high above our human customs and I know He will raise the dead no matter in which way we have been buried. But, I would like to be of some help for all those who have difficulties, just like me, with the American Way of Death. I want to tell you that there are other possibilities. You may be buried in a different way which is closer to our European and Christian heritage.

When I die - and I have discussed all the details with my wife - I want to be buried in the following manner:

1. The funeral service will be in our church and not in the funeral home. The sanctuary, in which we celebrate the resurrection of our Lord on each Sunday, and in which we celebrate communion together, is the most proper place for a funeral service.

2. The commendation afterwards in the cemetery shall be held at the grave site and not in the chapel. The coffin shall be lowered in the presence of the congregation, that it becomes evident that we are from earth and shall become earth again.

3. I do not want to be embalmed. I think it is unnecessary and tasteless to drain my blood and empty my body cavities and fill them with formaldehyde. I want to be buried in the same way as God has created me.

4. I don't want to be painted and powdered. In death I want to look just the way a dead person looks. And it is good for us Christians if we are reminded of the fact that death is a reality which cannot be hidden through makeup. Don't we ask God: *Teach us to number our days, that we may apply our hearts unto wisdom* (Psalm 90:12).

5. I do not want to lie in state in an open casket. I believe that death is a very personal and intimate experience which is not fit for public viewing. Only the close family should see me and how I look in death. The casket will only be open for them; otherwise it will be closed.

6. I want to be buried in a simple wooden casket, not in an hermetically sealed metal coffin. Why should we try to seal the body? The seed that falls into the ground must die and decompose, according to Paul.

Is embalming legally required? No. Embalming is not required if the body will be buried within 48 hours after death.

Is a vault required? No. The laws require no vault. A vault is not necessary for us Christians. If the law requires one, I would advise you to take the simplest and cheapest vault available.

The usual tent over the grave site is neither beautiful nor necessary. The commendation at the grave side in our church takes only five minutes and we are well able to stay in the open for this short time, even if it should rain.

Courtesy Christian News.

## HATED BY THE WORLD

*Martin Lloyd-Jones (1899 - 1981)*

*Marvel not, my brethren, if the world hate you.* 1 Jn 3:1

Let me put this as a historical fact. This is one of the greatest principles that we find in the Bible from the beginning. There are many people who have difficulty with this verse. If this is true of you, you have somehow failed to understand the first great essential divisions of the Bible. The difference between Cain and Abel was in Cain, not in Abel. Cain (the world) hates Abel (the Christian). Look at Joseph and his brethren. Look at David and Saul; read the story of how King Saul treated David and tried to get rid of him—the jealousy, envy, and malice. Look at the treatment that was meted out to the prophets, those men of God who were trying to save the nation. It is there everywhere.

Look at the supreme "*Marvel not, my brethren, if the world hate you* - 1 John 3:13" example of our Lord Himself. Here is the Son of God in the flesh. Look at the world sneering at Him, how they picked up stones to cast at Him, how they shouted, "Crucify Him, away with Him!" The world crucified the very Son of God who had come to save His people. "Marvel not, my friends, if the world hate you." The world does not hate you because you are hateful people; the case of Cain and Abel proves that. Cain did not hate his brother because there was something hateful about him. There was nothing hateful in Abel, but Cain hated him in spite of that.

Neither does the world hate us because we are good. Let us be quite clear about that. The world does not hate good people; the world only hates *Christian* people. That is the subtle, vital distinction. If you are just a good person, the world, far from hating you, will admire you; It will cheer you. And what is true of the individual is true of the whole Church. The world, we are told, hates Christians, not because they are hateful, not because they are good, not because they do good, but specifically because they are *Christians*; because they are of God; because they have the Christ (anointing) within them

A thought to ponder. The world does not hate good people; the world *ONLY* hates Christian people.

Does the world hate you? If not, perhaps you need to take a good look at yourself.

The most hated of all is the White Christian Man.

From *Children of God*, pp 101-102.

Courtesy The Wake-Up Herald, 605 Moore Rd, Newnan GA 30263

I was just informed that Michael, the youngest son of Dave and Martha Barley of America's Promise, passed away on Sunday 29th Oct, in his sleep while suffering an epileptic seizure. He was 19 years old. Our prayers and condolences go out to their family at this time of sorrow. May God strengthen and comfort them.

Greetings to you all once again in Jesus Name. My health seems to continue improving, Praise the Lord; especially with taking the finely chopped garlic in honey (a teaspoon full on an empty stomach in the morning), and drinking plenty of water, and magnesium. Thank you for your continued interest and support. We look forward to your letters and orders and clippings. May the God of our fathers, Abraham, Isaac and Jacob bless you and keep you safe and grant you His protection,

*Hendrik (Bank) Roelofs* 14

#377 Ph 07 4066 0146 email: hr\_cim@bigpond.com

