



Christian Identity Ministries

A member of the
Congregations of Israel

PO Box 146, CARDWELL, QLD, 4849, Australia

Ph: 07-4066 0146 (International 61-7 instead of 07) www.christianidentityministries.com - hr_cim@bigpond.com

"Blessed be the LORD God of *Israel*; For He hath visited and redeemed *His* people, And hath raised up an horn of salvation for *us* in the house of his servant David; as he spake by the mouth of his holy prophets, which have been since the world began; That *we* should be saved from *our* enemies and from the hand of all that hate *us*; to perform the mercy promised to *our* fathers and to remember his holy covenant; The oath which he sware to *our* father Abraham, That he would grant unto *us*, that *we* being delivered out of the hand of *our* enemies might serve him without fear, in holiness and righteousness before him, all the days of *our* lives." Luke 1:68-75; the Anglo-Saxon-Celtic-Germanic-Scandinavian people are *ISRAEL!*

#363

Covenant Messenger

August AD2016

(a publication of N.Q. Fellowship of God's Covenant People)

GOD SEVERED ISRAEL

by Pastor Don Elmore

"And ye shall be holy unto me, for I, the LORD, am holy, and have severed (separated) you from other people, that ye should be mine." Leviticus 26:20.

INTRODUCTION

When God severed Israel from other races, there is no indication that this severance was for any limited period, in fact, the opposite is what the truth is. The separation was to last for an eternity. This separation is a **racial** expression. We might just as well say that it is **racism** on the part of God.

Did it say that Israel separated itself from the other nations; or did it say that the God of Israel separated them from other races? If it was God, how did He do this?

He sent the seed of Jacob to Egypt, due to a famine. There, they lived in a place in Egypt called Goshen; where they eventually became enslaved to the Egyptians after Joseph had died.

God told His people that the land of the Canaanites was the land that He had promised them. They would live in the homes that the Canaanites had built, but they would have to destroy their altars, idols and temples and, of course, all the people who lived in the land as well.

It is important to notice that the Israelites were not instructed to send missionaries to them first to see if they would believe the truth, but to totally kill each and every one of them, men, women and children, no matter what their age or gender. Why? Because it was impossible for them to hear and understand the gospel (Matt. 13:11). They were **genetically** opposed to everything God instructed His people to do.

Now explain to me what happens to the descendants of the Canaanites and the Israelites if the new mission of the Young Women's Christian Association comes to pass. Their new mission is to eliminate racism in our culture.

And what about the Salvation Army? You know, the people who are standing next to the red pots at Christmas time looking for donations. Who are they?

The Salvation Army is an international movement, it is an evangelical part of the Universal Christian Church. They claim that their message is based on the Bible, and its ministry is motivated by the love of God. The Salvation Army believes that the Lord Jesus Christ has, by His suffering and death, made atonement for the whole world so that *whosoever* will may be saved.

In summary....

The Salvation Army (signified by a red shield - which

is also the sign of the house of Rothschild) was established in 1865, and has been supporting those in need without discrimination for 130 years. Nearly 30 million Americans receive assistance from the Salvation Army each year through the broadest array of social services that range from:

- Providing food for the hungry,
- Relief for disaster victims,
- Assistance for the disabled,
- Outreach to the elderly and ill,
- Clothing and shelter to the homeless and,
- Opportunities for underprivileged children

The Salvation Army's mission is to meet human needs without discrimination and to preach the gospel of Jesus the Anointed. So, the Salvation Army's mission is to give aid to the descendants of the people that the God of the Bible said to completely annihilate—the Canaanites. They also imply that they have given aid to people that the Bible doesn't even mention by name—such as the descendants of the Aztecs, the Eskimos, the Indonesians, the Zulus, etc. and to people that the Bible says are not to be included in the covenant of God; for example, the descendants of Ishmael (Muslims), Esau (Jewish) and the mixed-seed bastards [*mamzers*] of the world.

Let's look at some Scriptures that the Y.W.C.A and the

IN THIS ISSUE:

God Severed Israel,	1
A Study on Philip & Ethiopian Eunuch	6
Why We Are Christian Identity,	7
Fools Among Us,	8
Should Principled People Vote for Trump?	10
Playing The Card,	11
Positive Money,	13
..	

The views and opinions expressed in the articles herein or herewith are those of the authors and not necessarily those of CIM. They are written by fallible men. You must ask Jesus to guide your studies!

CIM reserves the right to edit submitted or reprinted material in line with CIM editorial policy. CIM does the utmost to ensure that the spirit of articles remains intact at all times.

Salvation Army don't want us to read and understand, for



The YWCA mission statement was adapted by the General Assembly in 2009

YWCA IS ON A MISSION

- YWCA IS DEDICATED TO ELIMINATING RACISM,
- EMPOWERING WOMEN AND
- PROMOTING PEACE, JUSTICE, FREEDOM AND DIGNITY FOR ALL.

these scriptures put a large question mark on the doctrines that they are trying to get us to believe. Think about these questions as we examine a few scriptures.

- Is it man's sovereignty as the Salvation Army says or is it God's sovereignty?
- Is it the universal gospel salvation as the Salvation Army

says or is it the gospel of the Kingdom?

- Is it the desire of God to eliminate racism as the YWCA indicates or is racism the theme of the Bible?

words which I command thee this day, to the right hand or to the left, to go after other gods to serve them." Deut. 28:9-14.

What makes the people of God a great people? One of the slogans of Donald Trump is that he will make "America Great Again." But what is the only way that the Bible says that it can be done? And it is not the way that Trump is advocating.

The Bible says the only way for America to be great is for its true Israelite citizens to follow the laws of the God of the Bible; the commandments which were given to them. If we followed God's Laws then God would bless us and we would be the head. If we followed God's Laws we wouldn't have:

- Prisons
- A Public Education System
- A debt-usury money system
- A medical system based on drugs
- A permanent military force
- A non-discriminatory immigration system
- A tolerance of false ways of worship
- A celebration of false religious holidays, such as The

Mass of Christ (Christmas), Easter (Ishtar), Halloween, Ground Hog's Day, Martin Luther King, Jr. Day, Beltane Day, etc.

- An Internal Revenue Service (Tax Office)
- A (Federal) Reserve Bank
- A property tax
- An income (wage) tax
- A social security tax
- Any women in the armed forces; the President and the Secretary of Defense are not dealing with reality, but with a feminist-based fantasy based on false premises of gender neutrality. They see the world the way they want it to be, not the way it is. These policies are based on the illusion that genders are neutral and that none of this will affect the military's readiness, esprit de corps and ability to wage and win wars. There is no gender neutrality on a battlefield.

- A state-issued marriage license.
- A Jewish/Canaanite controlled government; in fact, there would be no Jews at all in the nation (Ex. 23:33)
- Etc., Etc., Etc.

The historical fact is that we used to have none of these situations in our society in this country. They all have been added as we replaced the Law of God with the laws of another god. We have become the largest borrower in world history, instead of the largest lender. We are the tail and not the head. And what nations are afraid of us because of the God that we serve?

#3

"And He declared unto you His covenant, which He commanded you to perform, even Ten Commandments; and He wrote them upon two tables of stone." Deut. 4:13

When Moses told Israel, who were God's covenant people, that they were commanded to keep the Ten Commandments, there was a mixed-multitude who were journeying with them. Did the mixed multitude have to keep the Ten Commandments too? No they didn't. It wasn't given to them. It was only given to the covenant people of God; **the seed of Jacob**

It was a **racial** message. It was a message to one certain race only. Israel was to live in isolation from the

New Video

PAUL WESTON of CPAC
How to Destroy a Country
Andrew Bolt - Islamic Appeasing
Paul Weston Arrested for Quoting Winston Churchill about Islam

Paul is a outspoken politician in England, warning people of the problem of the demographics today. There is a fear of Islam and when Islam pushes the government buckles. There is a deliberate Muslim voting fraud happening. Also people are being wooed by bread and circuses, sports and entertainment and they are too scared to say anything that is really happening.

CI-1025 @ sug don \$9



#1

"For what nation is there **so great**, who hath God as near unto them, as the LORD our God is in all things that we call upon him for?"

And what nation is there **so great**, that hath statutes and ordinances as righteous as all this law, which I set before you this day?" Deuteronomy 4:7-8.

We learn from these two verses that the nation which is called 'great' has the statutes and ordinances of God and that God is very near unto them; so near that He can answer their prayers when they call upon Him. What nation is this? It can't be all the different races of the world.

#2

"The LORD shall establish thee an holy people unto Himself, as He hath sworn unto thee, **if thou shalt keep the commandments of the LORD thy God, and walk in ways.**"

And all people of the earth shall see that thou art called by the name of the LORD, and they **shall be afraid of thee.**

And the LORD shall make thee plenteous in goods, in the fruit of thy body, and in the fruit of thy cattle, and in the fruit of the ground, in the land which the LORD swore unto thy fathers to give thee. The LORD shall open unto thee His good treasure, the heaven to give the rain unto thy land in its season, and to bless all the work of thine hand; and **thou shalt lend unto many nations, and thou shalt not borrow.**

And the LORD shall make thee the head, and not the tail; and thou shalt be above only, and thou shalt not be beneath, if thou **hearken unto the commandments of the LORD thy God, which I command thee this day, to observe and to do them.** And thou shalt not go aside from any of the



mixed-multitude.

#4

“And because He loved thy fathers, therefore **He chose their seed after them**, and brought thee out in His sight with His mighty power out of Egypt, To drive out nations from before thee greater and mightier than thou art, to bring thee in, to give thee their land for an inheritance, as it is this day.” Deut. 4:37,38.

The Bible gives another **racial statement!** It was the racial seed of Abraham, Isaac and Jacob—not the Jews—that God delivered out of Egypt. The Bible is about this group of people—the seed (sperma) of the fathers. It is sometimes presented in connection with the following words:

- “Called,”
- “Inheritance,”
- “Predestined,”
- “Redeemed,”
- “Elect,”
- “Foreknown,”

“Thy fathers” in the previous verse is plural. It does not refer to Abraham alone. It refers to Abraham, Isaac and Jacob—the great-grandfather, the grandfather of **seed**. Maybe that is why God describes Himself as being the God of Abraham, Isaac and Jacob.

We are told three times in the Bible that “*In Isaac shall thy seed be called:*”

1. Genesis 21:12,
2. Romans 9:7,
3. Hebrews 11:18.

Two of these three verses are in the New Testament (New Covenant) writings. What does that mean in today’s world if the YWCA is successful in eliminating racism? There would be no Israel as the Bible describes! Every race would be equal, or so they say.

#5

Just what does Romans 9:7 mean to the YWCA and the Salvation Army?

“Neither, because they are the **seed** of Abraham, are they all children, but, In Isaac shall thy seed be called....”

Paul writes to the Romans who were from the House of Israel, that even Abraham had more than one son; in fact, he had eight, by three different women. They were called his children, but only one was his **seed**. Isaac (the child of **the Promise**) was to whom his **seed** would be called, the only child with Sarai his wife.

Why is the seed of Isaac singled out in the Bible? That eliminates a lot of people who dwell on the earth. Hmm? Maybe that is what the YWCA is really doing. Eliminating racism is just a way to say that they are really eliminating Israel. For the Bible declares that Israel is the special race of God.

#6

“For you are a holy (separate) people unto the LORD your God. The LORD your God has **chosen you** to be a **special** people unto Himself, **above** all the people that are upon the face of the earth.” Deut 7:6.

God has made another **racial statement**. God chose the seed of Jacob to be His special people. This people are not equal to the other races of the world, but are above all the races of the world. God made a decision and a choice based upon a racial theme.

If Israel as a **seed** was to disappear as a race from the Bible, prophecy would forecast this. Paul asks, “*Has God cast away His people? God forbid*” (Romans 11:1). The separation of all Israel into two houses still existed, of whom “part” were blinded (verse 7), but Israel as a whole “hath not obtained.” The fact of this separation is not commonly taught.

#7

“For the LORD’s portion is His people; **Jacob** is the lot of His inheritance.” Deut. 32:9.

“His people” are not every race on earth. There is not one clear statement anywhere in scripture to say that any other race other than Jacob (Israel) genetically is God’s inheritance. “*Jacob*” is mentioned 24 times in the New Testament and there is no change to the racial identity.

#8

“And so all Israel shall be saved....” Rom. 11:26a.

Paul concluded his remarks about the two parties—the House of Israel and the House of Judah. After over 700 years of divorcement and forbiddance of joint fellowship between the two kingdoms, for they were separated from each other by a “*middle wall of partition*” (Eph. 2:14, they were once again joined back together.

A “*middle*” wall is in the middle of one common thing, not in the middle of two quite different things:

1. Was the “*middle*” wall between people of different races? Jews and whole host of different races called ‘Gentiles, or

2. Was the “*middle*” wall between one race in two houses—House of Judah and House of Israel? Paul concludes his argument about what the King James Bible says is Jew and Gentile, but it should be translated as House of Judah and House of Israel [*Strongs* - Gentiles #1484, ethnos: a race (as of the same habit), i.e. a tribe, a foreign (non-Jewish - i.e. non Judean) one]. And it is these two that shall be saved.

There is not a mention of other races in this New Testament Scripture. It doesn’t say what the Salvation Army says in its gospel: “And so all the world shall be saved...”

#9

“Ye shall not have gone over the **cities of Israel** until the son of man be come.” Matt. 10:23.

How can you make “*the cities of Israel*” become the cities of every race? Where is the area of evangelism? Is it not in the world of Israel? What were they teaching? Was it not the Gospel of the Kingdom (*wilt thou at this time restore again the kingdom to Israel?* Acts 1:6)? The Kingdom is what Jesus and John the Baptist came proclaiming, “*Repent, for the Kingdom of Heaven is at hand.*” Who proclaims that today? It is impossible to believe and teach both the traditional **universal** gospel to all races and the **exclusive** Kingdom of Heaven over Israel at the same time. And to whom is the gospel of the Kingdom to be preached? Everyone? or just to Israel?

#10

“But I have a few things against thee, because thou hast there them that hold the **doctrine of Balaam**, who taught Balak to cast a stumbling block before the children of Israel, to eat things sacrificed unto idols, and to **commit fornication.**”



In 1 Corinthians 10:8 it says that “Neither let us commit fornication, as some of them committed, and fell in one day three and twenty thousand.” What kind of fornication was it that caused 23,000 Israelites plus a 1,000 of the chief men of Israel to die?

- Israelite fornicating with another Israelite?
- Moabite fornicating with another Moabite?
- Israelite fornicating with a Moabite?

It was the latter. Balaam advised Balak that the one way that they could gain an advantage over Israel was to make them sin (which the enemies still use against Israel today). He advised him to get the most beautiful of all his Moabite women to seduce the Israelite men. The prostitutes of Baal were successful in leading some of the men of Israel into fornication or adultery.

Is this still true today? Is God still against an Israelite marrying a non-Israelite? It must be, for God criticized the early church for holding the doctrine of Balaam! What this means is that the New Testament doctrine about racial intermarriage is the same as that in the Old Testament.

So, if an Israelite is legally allowed to marry a person from another race, when did the doctrine change? What is the book, chapter and verse? And what about the children of this marriage? What race are they? They are neither race; they are hybrid. So when did the doctrine change to the belief that God no longer required Israel:

- To dwell alone,
- Not to intermarry with other races, and
- Be separate from the other races?

#11

“For He established a testimony in Jacob, and appointed a law in Israel, which He commanded our fathers, that they should make them known to their children...” Psa 78:5

It was not the public school system; it was not the church; it was not the village—but it was the fathers who should make the Law given to Israel known to his children. Can anyone find any scriptures giving even a suggestion that the Law and Covenants were given to any other race?

#12

“He answered and said unto them, Because it is given unto you to know the mysteries of the kingdom of heaven, but to them it is not given.” Matt 13:11.

Doesn't this clearly say that Jesus said all races cannot hear and all races cannot receive the things of God. The “you” in this verse refers to His disciples, while the “them” refers to the Edomite leaders of the Judean nation who were not Israelites whom the Bible calls ‘Jews.’ These cannot know the mysteries of the kingdom of heaven!

#13

“But the thing displeased Samuel, when they said, Give us a king to judge us. And Samuel prayed unto the LORD. And the LORD said unto Samuel, Harken unto the voice of the people in all that they say unto thee: for they have not rejected thee, but they have rejected Me, that I should not reign over them.” 1 Sam. 8:6,7.

This is a question that Judeo-Christians have a great deal of trouble answering. It is the following: From the time of Abraham till these two verses in Samuel quoted above, what group of people did God rule over as their King? They can't say that He ruled as King over all the races of the world for that is not even close to the truth.

For almost 1,400 years, God was King over His cove-

nant people. His throne was in the inner sanctuary on top of the Ark of the Covenant. The **seed** of Jacob/Israel decided to change their government in Israel from a **theocracy**, ‘God rule,’ to a **kingdom**, like all the other races of the world.

#14

“Be strong and courageous, be not afraid nor dismayed for the king of Assyria, nor for all the multitude that is with him: for there be more with us than with him: With him is an arm of flesh; but **with us is the LORD our God to help us, and to fight our battles.** And the people rested themselves upon the words of Hezekiah king of Judah.” 2 Chron. 32:7,8.

When the covenant people of God obeyed the commands of that the LORD God gave them, God will come and “help us fight our battles.” Is that still true today? Under King Hezekiah, Judah obeyed God and He delivered them from immediate defeat to a glorious victory. To grant them the victory over the Assyrians, he sent just one angel who destroyed 185,000 troops in one night! They were not preached to in order to try and convert them.

#15

“And he said, Harken ye, all Judah, and ye inhabitants of Jerusalem, and thou King Jehoshaphat, Thus saith the LORD unto you, Be not afraid nor dismayed by reason of this great multitude: **for the battle is not yours, but God's.**” 2 Chron. 20:15.

This is one of my favorite stories in the Bible. It tells of the invasion of Judah by Moab, Ammon and Edom. The King of Judah went to the House of God and offered up a sincere and truthful prayer that he and his people did not know what to do; they just turned their hearts and mind to their God.

God replied that they didn't have to do any fighting at all, they just had to go to the cliff the next morning led by the appointment of singers. When the singers began to sing and praise the LORD, the angels set ambushes against the three enemies of His people and they began to kill each other. So when the children of Israel got to the cliff and looked over they saw nothing but slain bodies of the great multitude that fatally chose to attack God's chosen people.

Question: Is the same still true today? Does God still have His chosen people that He will defend against their enemies?

#16

“Know ye that the LORD He is God: It is He that hath made us, and not we ourselves; we are His people, and the sheep of His pasture.”

This is one of many scriptures that tells us that God's people are referred to as sheep. This is important, for in John 10:11 it says that “... the Good Shepherd giveth His life for the sheep.” But there was some opposition to this statement. Some individuals argued with Jesus.

Jesus answered them: “But ye (Jews, vs 24) believe not, because ye are not my sheep...” (John 10:26). Question: Did Jesus the Anointed die for anyone who was not a sheep?

Do the Scriptures say anywhere that He died for vipers, or goats, or wolves? And can a goat become a sheep? Can a viper become a sheep? Can a wolf become a sheep? NO! To become a sheep you have to have two parents that are sheep.

#17

“Ye shall not eat of anything that dieth of itself; thou



shalt give it unto the **stranger** who is in thy gates, that **he may eat it**, or thou mayest sell it unto an **alien**: for thou art an holy people unto the LORD thy God..." Deut. 14:21.

This is another example that the Bible is a racial book. There are three kinds of people mentioned in this verse; one which is forbidden to eat of the animal that dies of itself; and two that are allowed to eat it:

1. The Covenant people of God,
2. The stranger (Strong's #1616) or sojourner who is living among them,
3. The alien (Strong's #5237) or foreigner.

The stranger #2 is to be given it and the alien #3 is to be sold it. The covenant people of God are not to eat of this animal because they are an holy people unto the LORD their God.

#18

"Thou shalt not lend upon usury [interest] to thy brother: usury of money, usury of victuals, usury of anything that is lent upon usury." Unto a stranger thou mayest lend upon usury, but unto thy brother thou shalt not lend upon usury, that LORD thy God may bless thee in all that thou settest thine hand to in the land to which thou goest, to possess it." Deut. 23:19, 20.

To whom did the LORD our God forbid us from charging interest? And to whom did He allow it? The Bible is racist.

CONCLUSION

The battle is intensifying. Each day there is another battle that must be fought. For example:

1. They said that sodomy was no longer a crime even though the Law said that it was.

2. Then they said that sodomy was an alternative lifestyle.

3. Then they said that sodomy must be tolerated.

4. Then they said that sodomites were allowed in the armed forces.

5. Then they said that same-sex marriage is legal.

6. Then they said that transgendered people are allowed to go in the restroom of their new identity—of their choice.

7. Then they said that any city, state or school district that does not adhere to this new policy will lose all federal financing.

But what does our God say about this issue? It is an abomination to him. And the person or persons involved in this kind of perverse behaviour He says should be killed. Quite a difference.

And the same is true with racism. The world is on a politically correct (Jewish) mindset that racism is an evil, wrong, trait for anyone to have. They assume that racism cannot be good in any way. But the Bible teaches racism. Without racism, the enemy of Jesus the Christ and His anointed are hidden from view.

Once this false doctrine gained a foothold in the churches, it wasn't long until the churches' view of love taught that God does not hate anybody for any reason. So, the Christian is to not separate from those holding false doctrines and practices, but just "love" them. In other words, they are to tolerate them. They are forbidden to rebuke or reprove heretics, and even are not to warn about the wolves in the flock.

One wonders why all of our ancestors in Europe kicked out the Muslims and Jews so many times in some places. The Jews were eliminated from England in 1290 and weren't legally allowed to return until around the 1660s. That's about 370 years. So if you put that in our time frame it would be from 1646 till 2016! That would be almost from the time of the Pilgrims landing (1620) to now—a long time.

It was tied into racism. All people with Canaanite/Ashkenazi/Edomite blood (racial) were forbidden to stay in over 100 European countries, states, or cities. There were several reasons given for this. One was that they were the descendants of the plotters and murderers of our Saviour. Another was because of all their evil teachings in their unholy books. Another was the usury that they collected in their debt system of banking [still run today by the Rothschilds and the Illuminati bloodlines]. Another was evil rituals. But if you have no more racism, this argument would no longer be put into practice.

On the other side, there is no people who are God's people based on race. For without racism, the argument is that God chooses anyone from any race to be His people. This is man's idea, it is not God's!

The Bible says that:

- God chose the **seed of Jacob** to be His people.

- God kept the **seed of Jacob** separate from the other races for many centuries.

- God gave the **seed of Jacob** the Ten Commandments.

- God was the King of **Jacob's seed** for almost 1400 years.

- God helped His kingdom (the **seed of Jacob**) several times to win battles with enemy forces that were going to annihilate His people.

- God punished His people (the **seed of Jacob**) for breaking His commandments.

- God established the **seed of Jacob** to be a Holy people.

- God says that if the **seed of**

Jacob will obey His commandments He will make them the head and not the tail.

- God married the **seed of Jacob**; not any other race.

- God only likens the **seed of Jacob** as being like sheep; no other race is called "sheep," but they are known as vipers, goats, wolves, bears, leopards, lions, etc.

- Etc., Etc., etc.

It was the Hebrew or Israelite or Semitic people who were the ones that were chosen of God to write down the Old and New Testaments. That is a **racial** statement, isn't it? If the Salvation Army is correct in their universalism, why didn't God choose a person of another race to write at least a part of the New Testament? Or why wouldn't there be at least one book written to the other races?

And why is there a book written to the Hebrews in the New Testament? The date for writing of this book is 68 AD. That is a question I would like the Judeo-Christian to answer.

"He showeth His word unto Jacob, His statutes and His ordinances unto Israel. He hath not dealt so with any nation; and as for His ordinances they [the other nations] have not known them. Praise ye the LORD." Psa. 147:19,20

*New & Recently Listened to CDs & DVDs**

***CI-055 Feminine Mystique, Cherie Peters**

***CI-062 More Than Conquerors, C. Peters**

D-050 Which Bible is the Best Translation, pt 1, Lawrence Blanchard

G-973 New Covenant Priests, Ted Weiland

G-974 Tax Exemption, Ted Weiland

***J-445 Biblical Principles of Secession,**

John Weaver

***J-447 Unlikely Revolutionaries, John**

Weaver

K-651 Straw into Gold, Conclusion pt 1

James Bruggeman

U-401 The Family: God's Plan for Victory,

Israel Wayne

***X-602 A Life-changing Experience,**

Charles Jennings



Israel is unique! This Psalm says very plainly what the denominations ignore—the other nations have not known the ordinances of God. In fact, we can say that the whole Bible is about Israel and the Israelites. It is not difficult to conclude that the Bible is a book primarily about God's purposes for Israel [as a people]. The God of our fathers is consistently declared to be the God of this one people. It is His story of His people.

There are countless conflicts between a religious belief that we have been taught and the truth that "has been written." Many have heard the song, probably in Sunday school: (words by C. Herbert Woolston, 1856—1927)

*"Jesus loves the little children,
All the children of the world.
Red and Yellow, Black and White,
All are precious in His sight.
Jesus loves [died for all, and rose for all] the little children of the world."*

But it's not found among David's Psalms of praise.

This has provided the thought that the way our God treats every one of every race is exactly the same the Fatherhood of God and the Brotherhood of man concept [a Masonic doctrine]. But the Bible points out that God, not only does not do that, but that He does not treat the tribes of Israel themselves the same. One family of one certain tribe was to be the royal family; another family from another tribe the priests; another family was to inherit by the sea and become a maritime power, etc.

Not one person can read the Old Testament without agreeing that God is shown to be totally racist. God has put many differences between the "seed of Jacob/Israel" and the rest of the people known as "humankind."

Why are there people who have a different color of skin? If everyone is the same and there is only one race, then why would God make all these different skin colors and different physiognomies? And the children of these mixed-colored marriages are of what race? (they generally gravitate towards the non-Israel race)

But we can say with certainty what the Bible tells us about the race of Israel:

- God made covenants with Israel,
- God gave Laws to Israel,
- God loves Israel,
- God gave Israel different food laws,
- God gave Israel different economic laws,
- God married Israel,
- God divorced the House of Israel,
- God remarried Israel
- God is the kinsman who has redeemed both houses of Israel.

God has formed a people when He breathed the breath of life into Adam's nostrils. God formed a dynasty and from out of this race the Hebrew people came. Scripture shows these peculiar people to be different from all other races and to be His people. The people whom the gospels and prophets say Jesus came to save.

Blessed be the LORD God of Israel.

Courtesy The New Covenant Messenger, PO Box 321, Union KY 41091

A STUDY ON PHILIP AND THE ETHIOPIAN EUNUCH

by pastor Mark Downey

The story about Philip and the Ethiopian is found in Acts 8:26-40. It has been assumed by contemporary seminary trained doctors of divinity that the Ethiopian of 2000 years ago is the same as today, as if to say the demographics remained static. We in Christian Identity respectfully disagree.

The key to the identity of the Ethiopian eunuch is to find out who the inhabitants of Ethiopia in New Testament times really were. Many Judeo-Christian publishers gloss the Ethiopian as an arbitrary African negro without much historical accuracy. History is replete with examples of territories changing in racial make-up via wars, famines, migrations etc. Egypt is a good example, of determining the racial identity of an Egyptian, depending on the time period. The history of Ethiopia is one of several important kingdoms that are basically unknown to Christendom, e.g. the Silurian, Parthian and Scythian Empires. These territories provided fertile soil for the missionary efforts of the early Christian church. The Apostle Matthew spent much

of his time fulfilling the Great Commission in the most unknown Christian empire of them all: Aksum, ancient Ethiopia.

This is what the *World Book Encyclopedia* has to say about this empire: "Aksum was a powerful ancient kingdom in East Africa. It was the ancestor of Ethiopia. It became important about 50AD and reached its zenith between the 300s and 600s. Aksum grew rich and powerful because of Adule, its port on the Red Sea. Adule was a world trading center. Goods were traded to merchants from Egypt, Greece, Rome, Persia, India and Ceylon. Aksumite kings built impressive forts, palaces, and granite monuments. During the 300s, King

Ezana defeated the state of Meroe. Ezana became famous for making Christianity the state religion. Aksum declined after the Persians conquered Arabia in the late 500s. During the 600s, the Muslims conquered the Persians and stopped the flow of settlers who had come to Aksum from Southern Arabia. As a result, the Christians of Aksum were surrounded by non-Christians."

In 960 AD, Judith conceived a plan to murder all the members of the royal family of Aksum. During and after this reign of terror, this once powerful Christian kingdom fell into insignificance. Later, in the 1600s Negro tribes called Galla moved into the ancient land and ravaged, pillaged, and burnt enormous areas, destroying countless treasures contained in the churches and irreplaceable old manuscripts. Thus, once the second largest Christian nation of its time, Aksum became a wasteland, [like South Africa today?] and its heritage forgotten and ignored. The forgotten history of the kingdom of the African Nile has caused many modern day Christian Bible students to make a major assumption that is totally incorrect, that the Ethiopian of Acts chapter 8 was a Negro. But this popular assumption is not correct for the following reasons:

- It's a faulty assumption because it's based on the idea that Ethiopia now, as well as 2000 years ago, was and is

History:

BRAVE LITTLE HOLLAND AND WHAT SHE TAUGHT US

by William Elliot Griffis, 1899.

My interest in Holland extends back to boyhood's days in Philadelphia, the city founded by the son of a Dutch mother, and partly settled by the learned and industrious Netherlanders who accompanied William Penn to America. I have written this story in simple language for the young folk, and trust that it may incite a deeper interest in the little country wherein John Adams wrote, "The originals of the two Republicks are so much alike, that the History of One seems but a Transcript from that of the other." Brave Little Holland taught our fathers many things which the true historian of the American republic can no longer afford to ignore.

#200 @ sug don \$27.00



a Negro nation. All of the ancient kingdoms of East Africa, including Egypt, Aksum, Kush, Yemen, and Southern Arabia were not Negro nations in 33 AD. but instead were Caucasian and bastions of white culture until the 1600s.

- The word “Ethiopian” indicates that this eunuch was a Caucasian. “Aith” means sunburned and “ops” means countenance, hence a sunburned complexion. Negroes do not have a sunburned look. It is white people who tan from sun exposure and therefore were the original Ethiopians according to archaic etymology. A similar exegesis is found in the Song of Solomon.

- The Ethiopian eunuch had been to Jerusalem to do what only an Israelite could do, i.e., “to worship” (Acts 8:27). Who was allowed to worship in the Temple? Could a non-Israelite enter the Court of Israel and worship in the Temple, especially in the time right after the death of Jesus? Consider what happened to the Apostle Paul when he stirred up all the people by bringing Greeks into the Temple, and they were going to kill him for it (Acts 21:27-31). Trophimus, even though he was really the same as Paul, was considered a heathen, polluting the Temple by his presence. How then could an Ethiopian Negro (who definitely could not be an Israelite) have entered into the forbidden, exclusive Temple Court of Israel and worshipped? Wouldn't he have polluted the Temple too?

- The Ethiopian was reading a very expensive scroll of Isaiah. Philip asked him if he knew what he was reading, and he said he needed someone to explain. The eunuch had just finished reading the following 3 verses from Isaiah 53:4-6, which had the recurrent theme of “OUR griefs,” “OUR sorrows,” “OUR transgressions,” “OUR iniquities” “OUR peace,” “WE are healed,” “WE, like sheep,” and “WE have turned.” What people are the preceding pronouns (in caps) referring to? “For the transgression of MY PEOPLE was He stricken” (Isaiah 53:8); God's people, the people known as ‘sheep;’ the people whom Jesus redeemed; the people of the covenants; the people of God's Kingdom; the people next of kin to Jesus, their Kinsman Redeemer. Isaiah was writing about providing atonement for Israel. This is, no doubt, what Philip explained to the Ethiopian eunuch. The Apostle Philip, Isaiah, Jesus, and the Ethiopian (Aksumian) eunuch were all Israelites.

- Doesn't it seem strange that if Aksum, the second largest Christian nation at one time, was actually a black nation, that there was no other known black Christians, let alone kingdoms anyplace in the world? Isn't it significant that there were no Negroes who ever attended any of the church councils; or were bishops or elders from this or any other area? If any assumptions are to be made, it is fair to think that the eunuch probably helped Matthew to establish churches in an area with a rich Christian heritage (which is all but forgotten today).

The preceding study is a typical treatment of rightly dividing the Word of God and harmonizing it with the rest of the scriptures from a Christian Identity perspective. We cannot ignore secular history as it relates to His Story of

divine revelation. Christian Identity is an aspiration towards pure Christianity in all of its moral applications. We admonish our people to read, believe and obey the Word of God. Much of the biblical narrative is enhanced by the understanding and wisdom we get from knowledge that is freely available.

* * *

WHY WE ARE CHRISTIAN IDENTITY

by Pastor Mark Downey

(some have in the past suggested changing this designation because of the bad publicity that has been associated with it)

Regardless of what the Jewish-controlled news media says about Christian Identity, we most certainly are not going to put our tail between our legs and call ourselves something else. We are not dogs and we are not pusillanimous chameleons. The propaganda of antichrist scribes can throw their worst diatribes of slander at us and we will remain instruments of God's righteousness, while our adversaries remain vessels of dishonor.

If words can mutate into a negative connotation simply because there is no resistance to a style of linguistic alchemy, then we are at the mercy of sorcerers whose expertise is demonization. We will not surrender the God-given appellation of Identity, as something bad just because bad people call it that, anymore than the Protestants would have covered to the power of the Pope.

In the was of words, in spiritual warfare, in the battle of ideas, the bottom line is the truth vs. the lie. It is difficult for more white people to fathom how much deceitfulness is incorporated into the people who hate us as their very way of life. Jewish communism has never made any bones about saying whatever it takes to destroy Christianity and western civilization. If, ‘for fear of the Jews’ (John 7:13) we change our divine designation to milquetoast

reformers or Anglo separatists, it will only be a matter of time when the word ‘Christian’ is politically incorrect. Now is the time, in this generation to stand up against these dens of vipers and ‘contend for the faith’ (Jude 3).

The word Identity is the perfect reference to our Movement (Matt. 5:48). We not only identify our race as the true Israel, we put everything under the scriptural microscope with a Berean fervor (Acts 17:11). We are not an invention of the media to be manipulated unless we acquiesce with a whimper and say to the network tabloids ‘please don't call us Identity anymore.’ I have news for the antichrists who think their persecution will silence us. The good news is that there are still men of Israel who will stand in the gap and with joy and gladness declare themselves Identity, not because they are iconoclastic idolators or have a persecution complex, but rather because “if God be for us, who can be against us?” Romans 8:31; “and the gates of hell shall not prevail against it” Mat. 16:18.

It is blasphemy to suggest that Jews espoused “identity” over 100 years ago, when in fact the pernicious misrepresentation has blurred the truth with what is known as

Doctrine:

ULTIMATE RECONCILIATION

The Ultimate Doctrinal Affront Against God

Robert Alan Balaičius

This booklet deals with an important topic: a heresy which I believe was introduced into the Christian faith through wild speculation and crazy, unsubstantiated ideas born out of reading mystical, pagan writings—and devised by “Christians” who prefer to invent theologies which are more acceptable to the human heart and mind: ones that do not endorse harsh, depressing topics such as judgment, punishment, responsibility, or sin. Universal Reconciliation is no new doctrine. Its first known champion was Greek philosopher and theologian Origin (185-254AD). Ultimate Reconciliation and Universalism teach the same basic philosophy as Evolution and the Big Bang (which I believe is the major factor that has led to rampant interracial marriage and amalgamation of the races in our modern era).

#363 @ sug don \$6.65



British Israelism (during the time of Oliver Cromwell) to allow Jews' reentry into England (after 300 years of a Jew-free society). The term 'Identity' can be documented as having originated in the 1950s with William Potter Gale who was second-in-command to General Douglas MacArthur in the Pacific theater of post WWII. The professional liars have tried to denigrate MacArthur through character assassination (words), however, he remains a hero to many Americans. If the liars can criminalise patriotism, then it is perjury that is the crime, not the patriot.

The image-makers will reap what they sow. When our people wake up (and they will) to the fact that the two greatest movements for the preservation of our culture was German nationalism of the 1930s and the Reconstruction Klan after the War of Northern Aggression, then we as a people will be proud of our heritage and not ashamed of the media generated epithets. We shall relish and savor each slur as a badge of honor. We shall see the real purveyors of malice towards mankind when the words of the Anti-Defamation League and Morris Dees fall on deaf ears. They will be cast out of our society and there shall be weeping and gnashing of teeth (Mat. 25:30); "And the gates of hell shall not prevail against it." (Mat. 16:18).

The ADL is not going to define Identity for me or my church. Fear mongers do not intimidate real Christians as to what they are or are not. Modern pharisees create their own self-fulfilling prophecies. Eventually the pendulum of disgust will swing against them. As long as we have Christian watchmen watching the so-called hate-group watchdogs and identify their every move, our Movement will advance. Our sights are on the Kingdom. God is in control. Identity is not the enemy of God's Kingdom.

We are a danger to wickedness in high places because the laws of God are in conflict with the laws of man. How much longer will we tolerate legalized plunder from a corrupt aristocracy of thieves? When will we once again demand the sanity and government of Jesus the Anointed as our only salvation?

We are Christian Identity and will not let the sophistry of wolves in sheep's clothing dissuade us from our divine calling. We are called to identify the Truth. It is the Truth that shall make us free from the rhetoric of vain imaginations. There is nothing new under the sun. There are still those who want to play god and control every aspect of people's lives. Identity Christians simply want to worship our God in peace in our Promised Land.

However, the words being levelled against Identity are to deny us our liberty. There will be those who try to destroy us from within, but we know them by their fruits. The Bible warns us about false brethren and false teachers. "Professing themselves to be wise, they became fools" (Rom. 1:22). The only false identity is the White man that can't look in a mirror and thank his Creator for all that He has given him. Our lost Identity as God's redeemed people Israel is now found and declared. We are the bride of the Anointed. Whom God hath joined together, let no man put asunder (Mat. 19:6). "And the gates of hell shall not prevail against it." (Matt 16:18).

Courtesy Kinsman Redeemer Ministries, Box 436, Alexandria KY 41001

FOOLS AMONG US

by Andrea Schwartz

I doubt many parents, upon looking at their newborn son or daughter, hope in their hearts that their child will grow up to be a fool. That would be as preposterous as desiring that their child have a catastrophic illness or debilitating injury. Parents tend to want the best for their children, and raising a fool does not fit into that category. Yet the very methods employed in raising their little darlings often result in what the Bible classifies as a fool.

The modern thinking regarding a fool signified stupidity or silliness, and one who makes bad decisions. But, the Bible (with its considerable discussion about fools) is reflected in the third definition of Noah Webster's 1828 Dictionary:

In scripture, *fool* is often used for a wicked or depraved person; one who acts contrary to sound wisdom in his moral deportment; one who follows his own inclinations, who prefers trifling and temporary pleasures to the service of God and eternal happiness. *The fool hath said in his heart, There is no God* (Ps. 14:1).

Starting Out as Fools

We all begin life as fools and it is only by God's grace and regeneration through holy spirit that we gain the mind of Christ (an Anointed mind-set). Jesus was obedient to the entirety of the law; as new creatures, we are to strive to do likewise, relentlessly battling the foolishness that remains in our hearts. What's more, we must identify the fools in our lives, and deal with them appropriately, lest we become like them. R.J. Rushdoony, in discussing Proverbs 14:9 notes,

There is an unspoken and yet very real communion and community among men.

Fools, meaning in Scripture men who deny God and His Law, have an invisible bond: their common guilt. They share a bad conscience, a rejection of God and

His Word, a common guilt and a rebellion against God's order. This is the bond between them; their intermediary or mediator is sin and guilt; this brings them together.

There is a like communion among the godly, an invisible bond that brings them together. It is a good conscience before God, and a life which manifests the favor, grace, or goodwill that is born of a life in the Lord. As against the arrogance of the ungodly, there is grace and goodwill. The central point of this proverb is that there is a division between these two kinds of men. While it is invisible, it is very real, and more powerful than many things on the surface. The question for us to ask ourselves is this: in which camp are we?¹

When a child enters a family (either by birth or adoption), it is the responsibility and duty of the parents to administer Biblical training and discipline. This has many facets that include instruction, correction, commendation, rebuke, and the rod of discipline when appropriate. Each requires wisdom on the part of the parents who must know the Law-Word of God well enough to determine the nature of any infraction or offence and respond accordingly. This also involves knowing one's child well enough [read: spend enough time with the child(ren)] to differentiate between ignorance, confusion, character weakness, or defiance. It most certainly involves teaching what sin is from the earliest encounters with a child so that the standard of

New Video:

1. Ocean Robins & Ty Bollinger discuss the Food Revolution Summit.

To eat healthy clean food is important for our health. Glyphosate is a carcinogen and is sprayed on GMO vegetables to kill the weeds.

2. Port Arthur Anniversary, reminds us of the unanswered questions. The gun man was right-handed, and Martin Bryant is left-handed. The locked door was responsible for so much loss of life. There was no inquest or trial.

3. Holland's Barrier to the Sea. One incredible feat of engineering is the barrier that the Dutch have built across the waterway of the Maas to protect Rotterdam from the sea.

CI-1026 @ sug don \$9



right and wrong is clearly communicated. Rushdoony notes:

We live in an age when all too few people take sin seriously. In fact, many regard it as a mark of being out of date and irrelevant to regard sin as an important matter.

Sin, however, is any want of conformity to, or violation of, the Law of God. Failure to take God seriously, and this is at the heart of our problem.²

Godly knowledge is enhanced by godly influence, teaching, and being shielded, at an early age, from individuals and things that strive to turn people away from God. Thus, those Christians who justify sacrificing their children to a godless public education, complete with all the results of humanistic materialism, are rejecting the Lord and His means of transmitting godly knowledge. What's more, they are demonstrating to their children those things that are of the utmost importance to them evidenced by the choices they make.

The godly man recognizes that he has been called and chosen by God. He is therefore under authority. His choices have been made by God and set forth in Scripture. The Ten Commandments spell out God's choice and law. man has no free option. One way is sin, and the other faith, obedience, and blessing. The godly man rejoices in God's Word and choice, and it is his joy that God "shall choose our inheritance for us" (Ps. 47:4).

Our choices thus reveal whether or not we are chosen by God or self-chosen. The self-chosen say, "My will be done," whereas the chosen of God, as C.S. Lewis saw, will say to God, "Thy will be done."³

Built into this process of active obedience on the part of the parents is recognition of the fact that "*Foolishness is bound up in the heart of a child; but the rod of discipline shall drive it far from him*" (Prov. 22:15). The rod of discipline is not limited to physical punishment, and when used appropriately, this aspect should be rare.⁴ However, for the purposes of this discussion, Proverbs is telling us that where we find children, we will also find foolishness. This requires active, hands-on parenting so that wisdom lessons are inculcated early on in life when the consequences of deviance will have less significant ramifications.⁵

When Fools Raise Fools

It is important to realize that much of our problems today are the result of fools raising fools. Having been coddled as children themselves, and in many cases devoid of any contact with the Bible, many have been successfully propagandized into believing that their children are good people who need a permissive and exploratory environment in which to thrive. But, as Rushdoony points out,

Solomon made it clear long ago: give a fool, or a simple-minded person, as much as you can, but they will still acquire or inherit only folly: "*The simple inherit folly: but the prudent are crowned with knowledge*" (Prov 14:18). According to Solomon, the fools, or the "simple," are not halfwits; they are those who refuse to accept discipline in the school of wisdom (Prov. 1:22-32).⁶

Moreover, while it is true that bad parenting often results in encouraging foolishness, the Bible places the guilt on the individual himself. Since God's imprint is on all of us, the fool bears responsibility for his transgressions. When we all stand before God, we will have to make an account for ourselves, not blame our parents.

By the same token, when a child who has been raised in a covenanted, Christian home disavows his or her upbringing, parents must accept that, although they themselves are still being sanctified and are not without flaws, it is the child who has rejected God and who is responsible for that decision (as with Samuel, when Israel wanted a king, the child did not reject the parents - as God said to Samuel - they have not rejected you, but they have rejected ME from being King over them!) Certainly, parents can improve in how they steward the lives of their children, but it must be acknowledged that, although we can control behaviour and speech to some extent, the heart is something we can only inform.⁷

The root of the fool's trouble is spiritual, not mental. The fool loves his folly, and he keeps returning to it, no matter what one does to keep him away from it. "*As a dog returneth to his vomit, so a fool returneth to his folly*" (Prov.

26:11). Fellowship with fools is destructive: "*He that walketh with wise men shall be wise: but a companion of fools shall be destroyed*" (Prov. 13:20).

The essence of the fool's life is his rejection of God: "*They hated knowledge, and did not choose the fear of the Lord*" (Prov. 1:29). The prevalence of fools in our day is an outcome of this rejection of the Lord and of godly knowledge.⁸

It is heartbreaking for parents to witness their grown or almost grown children reject the faith they were taught. Living in a culture replete with those who disregard God's Law, sometimes even within the church, can make for turbulent times, especially when there are younger children looking on and paying careful attention to **how** this

is dealt with. It is very difficult to have children grow up and remain in the church they were brought up in—yet this is what strengthens families and communities.

The Marks of Foolishness

While there is no "one size fits all" solution to maneuvering through such waters, it must be recognized that when anyone lives as though God does not exist and His Law-Word is irrelevant, you are dealing with a fool. Moreover, the book of Proverbs deals repeatedly with the reality of having to associate with fools:

Like snow in summer or rain in harvest, honor is not fitting for a fool.

Like a fluttering sparrow or a darting swallow, an undeserved curse does not come to rest.

A whip for the horse, a bridle for the donkey, and a rod for the backs of fools!

Do not answer a fool according to his folly, or you yourself will be just like him.

Answer a fool according to his folly, or he will be wise in his own eyes.

Sending a message by the hands of a fool is like cutting off one's feet or drinking poison.

Like the useless legs of one who is lame is a proverb in the mouth of a fool.

Like tying a stone in a sling is the giving of honor to a fool.

Like a thornbush in a drunkard's hand is a proverb in the mouth of a fool.

Like an archer who wounds at random is one who hires a fool or any passer-by.

As a dog returns to its vomit, so fools repeat their folly. Do you see a person wise in their own eyes?

Library Builder: ME? OBEY HIM? Biblical Principles for the Husband-Wife Relationship.

Elizabeth Rice Handford.

The husband-wife relationship is the foundation for a happy and godly home. When the wife submits to the loving leadership of her husband, she is not an inferior partner.

Once the responsibility of submission is understood and practiced, the plan of God brings privileges, blessings and joys which cannot be achieved any other way.

#043 @ sug don \$11.05



There is more hope for a fool than for them. (Prov. 26:1-12).

These are strong words, yet sum up what must be kept in mind when dealing with those who overly and proudly proclaim disbelief. Too often, we try to lessen these Biblical reminders, allowing for mitigating circumstances or declaring, "But that doesn't make him/her a bad person." If we embrace this schizophrenic perspective we are succumbing to the foolishness of the world, accepting its definitions of what is good and what is bad.

One of the challenges of dealing with fools within our own household and extended family is that our anti-Christian culture exalts wickedness and denigrates righteousness, and there is often very little support for the Biblical prescription of dealing with fools. Christians are told that no matter what, we should engage with unbelieving and/or mocking people as a mark of our Christ-likeness. However, Jesus offered a different message:

"And if anyone will not receive you or listen to your words, shake off the dust from your feet when you leave that house or town" (Matt. 10:14).

In other words, our Lord instructs us to plant on fertile ground and invest in those people (no matter what their past) who wish to learn how to please God and keep His Commandments, rather than chase after those who don't have eyes to see or ears to hear.

So, what do parents do whose offspring continue to manifest foolishness despite correction and instruction? This is not an easy question, made more difficult by the surrounding culture, which agrees with and encourages the wickedness of fools. In some cases, extra diligence is required so that other members of the family are protected from the pollution of the rebel. Certainly, there is the need to remain on one's knees before God, and, additionally, seek the prayers, support, and counsel of brothers and sisters in Christ (Anointing)

None of this discussion should be viewed as granting permission to neglect the Great Commission given to us as believers (Matt. 10:6). Nor is it license to determine unilaterally who is of the elect and who is not, since we are not granted that omniscience. Keeping in step with Jesus' direction to judge others by their fruits (Matt. 7:16), and to correct ourselves before we set out to correct others (Matt. 7:1-5), it behooves us to recognize that there are fools among us and that we have a responsibility to respond appropriately. In the process, we must remain steadfast to build and reinforce our house built on the Rock of our salvation.

Everyone then who hears these words of mine and does them will be like a wise man who built his house on the rock. And the rain fell, and the floods came, and the winds blew and beat on that house, but it did not fall because it had been founded on the rock. And everyone who hears these words of mine and does not do them will be like a foolish man who built his house on the sand. And the rain fell, and the winds blew and beat against that house, and it fell, and great was the fall of it. (Matt. 7:24-27). -----

Notes:

1. R.J. Rushdoony, *A Word in Season*, vol.3 (Vallecito, CA: Ross House Books, 2011), pp.83-84.

2. R.J. Rushdoony, *A Word in Season*, vol 7 (Vallecito, CA: Ross House Books, 2016), pp.62-63.

3. R.J. Rushdoony, *A Word in Season*, vol.5 (Vallecito, CA: Ross House Books, 2014), p11.

4. See my blog site www.thekingdomdrivenfamily.com for numerous articles on this subject.

5. Learning to ask permission before taking or using something, that a child has not been granted, sets the stage for asking permission to use a phone, credit card, or vehicle later on, all of which can result in expensive and potentially dangerous or fatal results.

6. R.J. Rushdoony, *A Word in Season*, vol.1 (Vallecito, CA: Ross House Books, 2010), pp.90-91.

7. Biblical accounts of godly parents with rebellious offspring demonstrate to us the limits of what parents can do.

8. *ibid.*

Courtesy Faith for All of Life, Box 158, Vallecito CA 95251

From: <Herbap@aol.com>

SHOULD PRINCIPLED PEOPLE VOTE FOR TRUMP?

Don Boys, Ph.D

I have known Dr. Don Boys for many years ... he is a personal friend ... the following is his opinion and certainly worthy of consideration ... read and ponder ... it is certain that politicians and political parties can not salvage America in these momentous days ... God's Word - the Bible - is the only answer ... the solution begins with repentance and obedience to the sovereign, holy, Jehovah God revealed in Jesus Christ ... Salvation is of the Lord! ...

Pastor Robert McCurry, The Wake-up Herald, July 12, 2016

Some lessons for Australian elections

From: dboysphd@aol.com

Donald Trump may be a tall Mussolini with a New York accent who will destroy the Republican Party, devastate Conservatism, and disgrace America. Or, he might be the one non-politician who can lead us out of the wilderness into the Promised Land.

I have made the argument many times in my columns that Trump is a terrible candidate for President-of anything! I have always taken the position that Cruz was far more preferable even with the problem of his wife working for the CFR for a time. I pointed out to a friend that at least she did not pose nude as did Trump's present wife. And Trump has been married three times and has boasted of his affairs with married women!

However, Trump has been chosen by millions of Republicans as their nominee. So, now what do we do? I took the position that if the choice were between Hillary and Trump, I would hold my nose and vote for Trump. But, I wonder if I can hold my nose that tightly. Now, understand that I think he would be far better than Hillary, but that is not the question. The question is, since Trump has changed his positions so many times and is obviously not born again or a Conservative, is it time to cast a principled (rather than a pragmatic) vote and acknowledge the results are in God's hands? I will have to decide that question soon.

I have used the argument that we are electing a president not a pastor and I have used the lesser of two evils argument but the choice is still an evil. Charles Spurgeon said, if two evils, choose neither. Maybe it is time to see if we really believe what we have been teaching: that men are responsible to do right, leaving the consequences with God as happened with the Hebrew nation.



Solomon was dead after a 40 year reign and was known for his wealth, his women, his wisdom, his writings, his works, wantonness, and his wine. He experienced the greatest success and the greatest failures of any man on earth and finally died after acquiescing to his pagan wives and the rejection of his great spiritual heritage of his father, King David.

Solomon's son Rehoboam ascended the throne among strong criticism of his recently deceased father. Solomon had laid heavy taxes on the people to support his many works, including the erection of pagan chapels for his heathen wives! Apostasy! Solomon's older advisors politely suggested that the newly crowned Rehoboam lift some of the tax burden but Rehoboam rejected the old men and heeded the young men: tax and spend even more. He did and the nation split like a very ripe watermelon. That lesson has been forgotten by modern politicians.

It was God's will for the Hebrew Kingdom, the greatest on the face of the earth that stretched from the Mediterranean Sea to the Euphrates, to split it into Israel, the Northern Kingdom and Judah, the Southern Kingdom. So the great Kingdom of David and Solomon split because it was God's will although the increased taxes was stupid, but leaders do stupid things—often.

After the split, God told Rehoboam, *Thus saith the LORD, Ye shall not go up, nor fight against your brethren the children of Israel: return every man to his house; for this thing is from me.* God brought judgment upon the nation because they followed after pagan gods and forsook His commandments. God was getting their attention.

Maybe that is what God will do with America. A litany of departures from God and Biblical principles is not necessary since we read of them every day in the newspapers. Nor is there serious debate about whether God brings judgment upon nations. He does, and we get what we deserve. Maybe we deserve Trump or Hillary!

The Bible teaches that God puts men on the throne as He chooses. Dan. 2:21 reveals:

“And he changeth the times and the seasons: he removeth kings, and setteth up kings.” Verse 37 reveals *“Thou, O king, art a king of kings: for the God of heaven hath given thee a kingdom, power, and strength, and glory.”* So, Daniel clearly teaches that God puts on the throne those whom He chooses; moreover, the Scripture and history reveal that Nebuchadnezzar was a boastful, bigoted, and blasphemous candidate, er, king. It was God's sovereign will for him to be king of the first world empire and to be a chastening agent for the rebellious Hebrew nation.

If God wants Trump or Hillary to be president then He can provide the circumstances to produce enough votes to accomplish His will. If there is another terrorist attack on America before the election then Trump's election will be a “cake walk.”

I am concerned that Trump may be a disaster leading us into wars, reneging on building a wall, devastating the economy because of high tariffs, etc. and the “etc.” scares me. I don't want to bear any guilt for his “accomplishments.”

While I am not sure what I will do, I may justify not voting for Trump with the above principle as my reason.

Not sure right now. So, depending on the circumstances during the next few months and my conscience, I may vote for someone other than Hillary or Trump. After all, if God is in control, then He will also handle the consequences.

Who am I to think I can thwart the plan of a sovereign God!

Boys's new book *Muslim Invasion: The Fuse is Burning!* was published last week by Barbwire Books; to get your copy of *Muslim Invasion*,

(https://www.amazon.com/Muslim-Invasion-Burning-Don-Boys/dp/1927684390/ref=sr_1_1?s=books&ie=UTF8&qid=1467573882&sr=1-1&keywords=Muslim+Invasion:+The+Fuse+is+burning!) .

An eBook edition is also available.

(Dr. Don Boys is a former member of the Indiana House of Representatives; ran a large Christian school in Indianapolis, wrote columns for USA Today for eight years; authored 15 books and hundreds of columns and

articles for Internet and print media publications; defended his beliefs on hundreds of talk shows. These columns go to newspapers, magazines, television, and radio stations and may be used without change from title through the end tag.

His web sites are www.cst-news.com and www.Muslim-fact.com and www.thegodhaters.com

Follow Dr. Boys on Facebook at [_CSTNews_](https://www.facebook.com/_CSTNews_)

([http://www.facebook.com/CST-News?ref=hl](https://www.facebook.com/CST-News?ref=hl)) and [_TheGodHaters_](https://www.facebook.com/TheGod-Haters?ref=hl) ([http://www.facebook.com/TheGod-Haters?ref=hl](https://www.facebook.com/TheGod-Haters?ref=hl)),

[_Twitter_](https://twitter.com/CSTNews) (<https://twitter.com/CSTNews>), and visit [_his blog_](http://donboys.cstnews.com/) (<http://donboys.cstnews.com/>).

PLAYING THE CARD

by *Andrea Schwartz*

Anyone who has played Monopoly knows about the “get out of jail” card. This card allows the player to avoid the unpleasant outcome of missing a turn. In the course of the game, if a player lands on a space or draws a card sending him to jail, this card can come in handy.

Too often in life, without openly acknowledging it, we all seek to have such “cards” in reserve and pull them out when it suits us. More than once during mentoring times with wives, I hear about husbands pulling out the “submission card” on them, virtually ending all discussion. Does the Bible teach that God gives husbands such a “submission card”? If so, where do we find it? Moreover, what does it entail? Does God give women a “you are not loving to me” card of their own to justify actions that stem from frustration when their husbands are not being loving to them?

In Ephesians 5:22-33, Paul lays out the basic premises to bring about harmony in marriage.

“Wives, submit to your own husbands, as to the Lord. For the husband is the head of the wife even as the Anointed is the head of the ecclesia, his body, and is himself its Saviour. Now as the ecclesia submits to the Anointed, so also wives should submit in everything to their husbands.

Husbands, love your wives, as the Anointed loved the ecclesia and gave himself up for her, that he might sanctify her, having cleansed her by the washing of water with



the word, so that he might present the ecclesia to himself in splendour, without spot or wrinkle or any such thing, that she might be holy and without blemish. In the same way husbands should love their wives as their own bodies. He who loves his wife loves himself. For no one ever hated his own flesh, but nourishes and cherishes it, just as the Anointed does the ecclesia, because we are members of his body. "Therefore a man shall leave his father and mother and hold fast to his wife, and the two shall become one flesh." This mystery is profound, and I am saying that it refers to the Anointed and the ecclesia. However, let each one of you love his wife as himself, and let the wife see that she respects her husband."

Anyone who has been married for any length of time knows that these directives are impossible to attain apart from regeneration. In other words, these are instructions to act beyond our sinful inclinations, responding to the guidance of holy spirit. However, the Scripture nowhere contains a directive to husbands to enforce a wife's submission. Nor does it direct a wife to reprove or correct her husband for failing to love her as the Anointed loves His ecclesia. These commands to submit and to love are directed to each spouse in the marriage by the Word, and are a matter of individual obedience, on the part of husband and wife, to the Lord.

Too often, couples use this portion of Scripture to bludgeon each other, pointing out where the other party is failing, rather than rectifying their own areas of non-compliance. This is a prime example of focusing on the speck in another's eye while living comfortably with the plank in your own (Luke 6:41-42). A spouse is not free to disregard the directive particular to him/her based on the other person's dereliction of duty. Therefore, in essence, there is no such thing as the "submission card," or the "love me card."

An Important Difference

It is useful to examine the difference between submitting to authority and being a submissive individual. If I am driving down the freeway and I see a car behind me marked as a highway patrol car with lights on, I know that I am to pull over to the side of the road. I do this because I am submitting to the authority of the highway patrol. If I am told I was driving too fast, and I disagree (let's say I had my cruise control on and I know I wasn't), I should not be required to say, "Yes, Officer, you are right I was speeding." That would amount to being submissive to what he alleged disregarding what I know to be true. I, then, can challenge the assertion of the officer without challenging his authority. This distinction applies to every area of life and thought, and thus is the Biblical way to be under authority.

Imagine a marital relationship where each spouse strives to outdo the other in showing honor (Rom. 12:10), even when in the midst of a disagreement! For it is in times when the two are at odds with each other that the temptation to dishonor is greatest. What does it mean to show honor? Is it a one-way street or are both parties in a marriage called to do so? The answer lies in the creation account of the institution of marriage.

God did not give Adam his wife immediately after he was created. God allowed Adam to feel his need for a counterpart of his own apart from the animals. God declared that it was not good that Adam go through life on his own and gave him one who was compatible, yet different from him—Eve. Although they were one flesh, they

remained two individual people with callings separate from each other—Adam, the husband (leader, provider, and protector) and Eve his helper (nurturer and mother of all). Neither was to determine autonomously what was good and what was bad, in terms of their marriage or in any other regard.¹ God's Word, from the beginning, was to be the necessary and only standard by which to fulfill their respective callings.

Adam in Eden no doubt had at least one pet dog from the moment of his creation as a mature man. He was created mature into a mature creation. If all he needed was someone or something to boss and order to come at his whistle, or his beck and call, a dog would have been sufficient. But God said, "It is not good that the man should be alone; I will make him a help meet (suitable) for him" (Gen. 2:18). A helpmate is not a doormat, but a subordinate and necessary partner.²

Unquestioning Obedience

Eve was created to help Adam, but nowhere in Scripture does it say that Eve was to get her direction as to *how* to help her husband *from* her husband. I believe it is a distortion of God's created order when men take it upon themselves to assume that they are righteous in demanding that their wives submit to them unquestioningly, as if they were to be some sort of robot.³ R.J. Rushdoony notes:

The requirement of unquestioning obedience by any human authority is a sin and defiles the very intent of God's Word. The unquestioning obedience which Scripture requires is only to God, never to kings, rulers, employers, husbands, or parents. To render unquestioning obedience is *sin*.

Obedience thus is basic to God's plan for man, but all obedience must be to the Word of God: "those things which are revealed belong unto us." "The secret things" means essentially the hidden things of the future, and the "revealed" means "the unfolded issues of the day" in terms of the Law-Word of God (James Moffatt). In a secondary sense, however, all that the Word of God forbids to us means not only the issues of the future, but also men and the things of today. We cannot treat the world as something totally ours to use: it must be used under God. We cannot treat people as our creatures. Even in marriage, in its sexual relationship, the boundary is sharply drawn. The menstruous woman cannot be taken (Lev. 18:19, 20:18): to do so is to treat her as totally man's creature, which no man can do. The woman was also guilty, if she permitted it.⁴

Thus, the basis of a godly marriage is a commitment and covenant to God's law as the primary means to live a holy life, followed closely by a commitment and covenant to serve God's Kingdom together. Without a fidelity to God's Word as the standard, circumstances of disagreement or conflict will deteriorate into an autonomous struggle for domination.

Another passage that is often cited regarding the marital relationship comes from 1 Peter 3:1-7,

"Likewise, wives, be subject to your own husbands, so that even if some do not obey the word, they may be won without a word by the conduct of their wives, when they see your respectful and pure conduct. Do not let your adorning be external—the braiding of hair and the putting on of gold jewelry, or the clothing you wear—but let your adorning be the hidden person of the heart with the imperishable beauty of a gentle and quiet spirit, which in God's sight is very precious. For this is how the holy women who hoped in God used to adorn themselves, by submitting to their own husbands, as Sarah obeyed Abraham, calling him lord.

Still available:
CASSETTE TAPES
One price, 10 tapes for \$10 posted.
We need to clear the space occupied by these tapes. If you can play tapes, they are an excellent means of archiving in the long term
Get yours NOW!



And you are her children, if you do good and do not fear anything that is frightening.

Likewise, husbands, live with your wives in an understanding way, showing honor to the woman as the weaker vessel, since they are heirs with you of the grace of life, so that your prayers may not be hindered."

It is important to note the fact that the first word in each directive is "Likewise." The likewise has to do with what Peter covers in the early part of this epistle. He is speaking to all believers in terms of the necessity to go beyond the milk of the Word and learn how to apply the Law of God over every area of life and thought. He addresses rulers and subjects, masters and slaves, and every other institution. Therefore, in the truest sense, no person in any position has a "divine right" to lord it over anyone else. Rather than resort to anarchy and revolution when those in authority act this way, it is the Word of God that must direct our action and response. Rushdoony notes:

"The secret things" of God extend to our own lives and persons. We are not our own: our todays and tomorrows are totally under the government of God, and, beyond our obedience to His Law-Word, we have no right to demand special knowledge, reward, or privileges. Precisely because God requires us to be obedient to Him, He at the same time sets boundaries on our authority over one another and our claims upon one another. We have Christian liberty to the degree that we have Christian obedience.⁵

Who Should Do the Teaching?

Husbands and wives need instruction and guidance in fulfilling their duties to God in their respective callings. Although God's Law-Word is sufficient for such instruction, Paul in his letter to Titus makes it clear who should be doing the teaching: older women teach the younger ones, and older men teach the younger men (Titus 2). The assumption, of course, is that those with age and experience filter both in terms of fidelity to Scripture, not replacing doctrine with personal preference. Having gone through similar circumstances themselves, older believers can offer perspective and insight.

There may well be times when a wife disagrees with how her husband is providing and protecting. She may advise, but he remains responsible for those aspects. Likewise, there may be times when a husband does not like the form or manner in which his wife performs her duty as a helper, but that should not nullify the duty of the wife to help, as she understands it from Scripture. Much of this can and should be learned from those God has ordained to be the teachers - older family and church friends.

How have we lost a heritage that included men and women working together in unity, with mutual respect and honor? Rushdoony sheds some light:

In the European tradition, rulers were compared to God, and Husbands to the Anointed (Christ), employers to God, and priests and pastors to the Anointed, without any real stress on the difference between absolute and relative authority. In the American tradition, the Puritans began by resisting authority in the name of God, and they established a tradition of godly and relative authority as against idolatrous and divine right authority. As a result, America has not had the revolutions and social upheavals so common to Europe. Too many European groups in the U.S. today are reviving this dangerous tradition, wherein rulers expect people to be unquestioningly obedient, wives to be docile cows, employees to bow and scrape before their employers, and church members never to question the pastor or priest in his infallible wisdom. The result is

either stupid obedience or wild rebellion.

The Puritan wives were not given to servile obedience, and they provided the strong-willed helpmeets necessary to the conquest of the continent. The Puritan men held that the Kingship of Jesus was the only absolute power, and they acted on that principle.

Today, as anarchy and contempt for authority are spreading everywhere, the worst possible answer is a blasphemous and idolatrous doctrine of authority. The only valid answer to either of these two crimes is godly authority.⁶

When the Kingdom of God and His righteousness are paramount, normal day-to-day conflicts can be resolved as a husband and wife self-consciously apply God's commands. Sadly, premarital counseling avoids the mundane daily issues a couple will face, and fails to spend time exploring the difference between mere abstractions and actual convicting application. Since marriage is a voluntary union (unlike being born into a family), it is incumbent upon each of the parties to resolve that they know exactly what they are promising to uphold, and have demonstrated to each other the understanding of Biblical directives and a commitment to God's Word as the only and final authority.

One might think that this perspective would prevent marriages from ever taking place, setting the stage for power struggles. On the contrary, for believers contemplating marriage, the Biblical doctrine of authority establishes a bond of mutual respect for the unique roles God has ordained for the husband and for the wife. Moreover, rather than presume a "happily ever after" mentality, there would be a strong foundation laid to weather the eventual storms of life. Obedience to the commands and ordinances of God is fundamental to the Christian life. If we wish to see our culture restored based on the family as God's primary institution, we need to get this right, moving away from a pagan mentality that asserts the powers of human beings can ever be absolute.

The origins of this belief are in pagan antiquity and in emperor worship. They rest in the belief in the immanent deity inherent in earthly powers. This pagan concept has infiltrated and corrupted the Biblical doctrine of obedience. It must be resisted, and the people of God must be taught that it is a *sin* to require unquestioning obedience, and a *sin* to yield it. We are not God: we cannot require or expect for ourselves the absolute obedience due unto God. We are not man's creature: we cannot yield to any man the absolute and unquestioning obedience due only unto God. The ecclesia must be cleansed of the requirements of pagan obedience or it will continue under the judgment of God.⁷

1. This is the sin of Genesis 3:5, using our own definitions of good and evil and not God's.

2. R.J. Rushdoony. *Salvation and Godly Rule* (Vallecito, CA: Ross House Books [1983], 2004), pp.495-496

3. Those who use internet sites are well aware of CAPTCHA, a challenge response test used in computing to determine whether the user is human. Any honest examination of Proverbs 31 demonstrates that Scripture not only demands women be godly, but they exercise their jurisdictional role in marriage as a fully capable human. The Bible does not call for a robotic spouse in marriage. When such is the foundation of a marriage, a husband who demands such unquestioning obedience is guilty of stealing and coveting his wife's calling, time, and conscience.

4. *ibid.*, p.497.

5. *ibid.*

New Video:

INTERNAL OVERHAUL STOMACH PROBLEMS AND GMO THE KILLING FIELDS

Dr. Randy Tent

*lectures on improving health using
herbs, spices, fruits. Too much steriliz-
ing, chlorinated pools are not healthy,
antibiotics leads to obesity, don't have
flu shots - much, much more*

CI-1041 @ sug don \$9



6. *ibid*, pp. 497-498.

7. *ibid*, pp. 498-499.

Courtesy Faith for all of Life, Box 158, Vallecito CA95251

POSITIVE MONEY

Times are changing. In Holland last year, 120,000 people signed a petition to parliament to request a revision of the money system. This effort is part of an international attempt to take the power to create money away from the banks and return it to a democratic, transparent and accountable process, promoted by 'Positive Money' (www.positivemoney.org), with sister organizations in 17 countries.

Humanity owes a lot to a soft-spoken German architect, Margrit Kennedy (1939—2013), the first person to lay this whole plot open in easy-to-understand language. She calculated that the interest compounded by this system amounts to about 45% of the cost of daily expenses, and even more in durable items such as cars or houses. Thus, during our whole lifetime we all pay a 50% fee to the bankers - as if we were living as slaves on an isolated plantation where you can only buy in the shamelessly expensive shop of the owner. Even medieval serfs paid a much smaller fee!

Kennedy also calculated that for Germany alone, in 2004, "1 billion Euro were transferred every day from those who work for their money to those who can make their 'money work for them,'" Globally, this means that trillions are constantly moving into the vaults of bankers, who do a little accounting for their fees. As Henry Ford stated in the 1930s: "It is well enough that people of the nation do not understand our banking and monetary system, for if they did, I believe there would be a revolution before tomorrow morning."

The saying goes that "the art is not in making money, but in keeping it." And, clearly, the banksters developed a long-term power strategy to preserve and further expand their gigantic yet undeserved fortunes. That project was cunningly sold as the one and only solution for global peace and prosperity: the one-world government, or New World Order (NWO). [*received from a reader*]

MAKE ME A SERVANT, LORD

by Betty Daley

"Suppose one of you has a servant plowing or looking after the sheep. Will he say to the servant when he comes in from the field, 'Come along now and sit down to eat'? Won't he rather say, 'Prepare my supper, get yourself ready and wait on me while I eat and drink; after that you may eat and drink'? Will he thank the servant because he did what he was told to do? So you also, when you have done everything you were told to do, should say, 'We are unworthy servants; we have only done our duty'" (Luke 17:7-10)

As we train our children to do chores and to help others, we must also teach them to do it with a servant's heart, full of humility. They shouldn't expect to be rewarded for doing the things asked of them. They need to learn that doing chores and helping others is part of being a family or community. Jesus served others. He didn't do it for gain, but as an example for us to follow. He did it expecting nothing in return. He wanted to show love and compassion for others by serving them. He washed his disciples feet as an example of how we should minister to others.

I am not saying that we shouldn't reward our children, but we need to be sure they understand they are not owed anything for doing what is right and good. It is their attitude and heart we are after. We want them to have a good attitude towards serving others and to be obedient and compassionate out of love, not their desire for the latest toy.

I am all for encouraging our children. Our words must show them that we are pleased with their actions and attitudes, but when we are giving them toys or candy every time they display the correct behaviour, they will expect that all the way into adulthood. I feel that is what is wrong with many adults today. They expect something each time they perform their duties. Let's raise our children with humble hearts, that are willing to do what is right, even when no man is looking.

Being a mom is the most rewarding job. I call it a job because it is hard work. We have no time off, no sick days, and we lose a lot of sleep, but when you look into the eyes of your child, everything else fades away. Their smiles, hugs, and kisses make it all worth it.

We are responsible to teach our children from the time they are born until the day the Lord calls us home. Even as adults they will need our loving advice and our gentle guidance. As children they need us to teach them character, academics, and life skills. We need to lead them by our example.

Our children are like little sponges. They soak up everything they see and hear. We must be very careful to not allow them to purposely see or hear things that may lead them into wrongful thoughts and actions. We are to keep them and protect them until they are old enough to step into the battlefield and face the evil forces that battle for their souls.

We must get our children ready for battle by teaching them the Word of God. We need to teach them to put on the full armor of God so they will be ready for every fiery dart that the adversary throws their way

Courtesy Home School Digest, Box 374 Covert MI 49043

OUR READERS SAY

Dear CIM Team: Your material is of great interest. This month's (July) lead article by Arnold Kennedy especially, and well-written. - Qld.

UNIVERSALISM

Joseph Smith, Sr. was a member of the Universal church and most likely had contact with occultic and mystic Masons that were preaching for the Universalist Church in that area. The first Universalist minister in Western NY used occult powers and a rod. The Universalist minister who preached at Palmyra, NY from Jan. to Mar. 1825 likely mentioned to his congregation about his visions of Christ.

Universalism is directly connected to Freemasonry. The public image of the Jehovah's Witness and Mormons is that they teach that they alone will be saved. This is not the case. According to the Watchtower (WT), at Armageddon only loyal Jehovah's Witnesses will be saved. But at the resurrection almost all of sinful mankind (the WT figures 20 billion sinful people) are to be resurrected and given a second chance. Their doctrine is veiled universalism. Likewise the Mormon church envisions essentially all of mankind will be saved minus a handful of exceptions. Some end up going to a lesser heaven, but this lesser heaven is claimed to have essentially all the blessings of a Christian heaven.

(from *Bloodlines of the Illuminati* by Fritz Springmeier, 1992, 2002. - ISBN:0-9663533-2-3)

Contact in Western Australia: If you are interested in contact, please call Maxine Rout on 08-9851-2740. Thank you.

We are constantly fighting declining numbers as older readers pass away. Please try and get your children interested in reading and give them gift subscriptions for their birthday or something. May the God of our forefathers bless us, and keep us all safe in these trying and troublesome times, keep your eyes on Him,

