



Christian Identity Ministries

A member of the
Congregations of Israel

PO Box 146, CARDWELL, QLD, 4849, Australia

Ph: 07-4066 0146 (International 61-7 instead of 07) www.christianidentityministries.com - hr_cim@bigpond.com

"Blessed be the LORD God of *Israel*; For He hath visited and redeemed *His* people, And hath raised up an horn of salvation for *us* in the house of his servant David; as he spake by the mouth of his holy prophets, which have been since the world began; That *we* should be saved from *our* enemies and from the hand of all that hate *us*; to perform the mercy promised to *our* fathers and to remember his holy covenant; The oath which he sware to *our* father Abraham, That he would grant unto *us*, that *we* being delivered out of the hand of *our* enemies might serve him without fear, in holiness and righteousness before him, all the days of *our* lives." Luke 1:68-75; the Anglo-Saxon-Celtic-Germanic-Scandinavian people are *ISRAEL!*

#361

Covenant Messenger

June AD2016

(a publication of N.Q. Fellowship of God's Covenant People)

JESUS CHRIST DID NOT DO AWAY WITH THE SABBATH by Kenneth W. Lent

Exodus 20:8-11

"Remember the Sabbath day, to keep it holy. Six days shalt thou labour, and do all thy work: But the seventh day is the Sabbath of The Lord thy God: in it thou shalt not do any work, thou, nor thy son, nor thy daughter, thy manservant, nor thy maidservant, nor thy cattle, nor thy stranger that is within thy gates: For in six days The Lord made heaven and earth, the sea, and all that in them is, and rested the seventh day: wherefore The Lord blessed the Sabbath day, and hallowed it."

There has been a doctrinal proclamation issued by church denominationalism which supposes that we do not any longer need to honor the Sabbath Day of Rest (the 4th Commandment) because it has been fulfilled by Jesus and has now been done away by the New Testament. As this relates to the present national state, there could not arise a more untimely and detrimental doctrine. Christians have their backs to the wall at the hand of state atheism in the very land our forefathers settled. Yet the Almighty gives us the answer for coming out from under the socialist yoke put upon us. *"Ye shall keep my Sabbaths, and reverence my sanctuary: I am The Lord. If ye walk in my statutes, and keep my commandments, and do them --- ye shall chase your enemies, and they shall fall before you by the sword -- for I will have respect for you --"* (Lev. 26:2,3,7,9). Do we grasp the enormity of that divine statement? Beyond God taking note of our respect for Him, the all-powerful Creator of everything in existence said that He would respect us -- if we would but honour two stipulations, His Sabbaths and commandments. That is an amazing statement! Yet with one sweeping stroke of the pulpit hand, nearly all preachers have forsaken our national salvation by ignoring The Lord's Sabbaths and commandments for our victory over our antichrist captors.

The mistaken points of thought which teach that the Sabbath day is no longer to be honoured or observed can be summarized as follows: [It is reasoned that since the word "Sabbath" means "*cease/rest*" and not specifically "to worship," that we can therefore worship God at any time and place, so we don't really need to gather together on a Sabbath. Since the Old Testament says that the Sabbath was a "sign" to teach us that God is our salvation and our rest, the Sabbath was just a shadow of the real rest who is Jesus the Anointed. Therefore since Jesus has arrived, we have now done away with the "sign" and honour the real Sabbath (Jesus' fulfillment of it) every day by our Christian belief.

Colossians 2:16,17 instructs us *"Let no man judge you in -- respect of an holy day, --- or of the Sabbath days: which are a shadow of things to come ---."* Thus, we do not have to celebrate the Holy Days of The Lord listed in the Old Testament, nor honour the Sabbaths, and if we do so we are fallen from New Testament grace and are trying to seek salvation by legalistic works of the law].

This particular slant of anti-Sabbath teaching basically finds its roots within two fallacies. First, the assumption that the Bible is two books (Old and New Testaments) written to two distinct groups of people (Jews and Gentiles). Second, that Jesus fulfilled the Law for the purpose of doing away with that divine law. The Scriptures tell us that both of these views are in error. Needless to say, those of you who have been with the Christian Israel Kingdom message for even a short while have become aware of the reality that the people calling themselves "Jews" today have neither a physical bloodline descent nor a spiritual connection with the ancient Israelites. Today's "Jews" are not Israel. Both God's written Word and history clearly teach that Caucasian Christian Saxons (I-saac-sons, the sons of Isaac) are the Israelites of the Bible, but that our people have forgotten who they are as part of God's punishment upon Israel (us) for our disobedience against Him.

Furthermore, the Holy Bible is specifically *one book* (not two) merely written at an "older time" and a relatively

IN THIS ISSUE:

Jesus did not do away with the Sabbath,	1
On Vaccination, and illegal administering of same, .	5
Maximizing Your Efficiency,	6
They Will Come From Far,	7
Are You Confident in our Political Process?	8
Keep Your Eyes Upon Jesus,	9
Original Intent or Original Sin?	10
Is Christianity a Religion or a Nation?	12
Noteworthy Quotes.....	14

The views and opinions expressed in the articles herein or herewith are those of the authors and not necessarily those of CIM. They are written by fallible men. You must ask Jesus to guide your studies!

CIM reserves the right to edit submitted or reprinted material in line with CIM editorial policy. CIM does the utmost to ensure that the spirit of articles remains intact at all times.

“newer time.” As such, the Old Testament is about Israel while the New Testament is still to the same people—Israel, His covenant people who are now called “Christians.” According to The Lord’s promise in Isaiah 62:2, Israel would receive a new name in the latter days -- the Anointed ones: Christians. Jesus made this clear when He emphatically said, “*I am not sent but unto the lost sheep of the House of Israel.*” (Matt. 15:24). That gospel mission has never been changed by Him although the churches have done their best to make a world wide religion out of the redemption which was given only to Israel (Saxon Christians).

As for Jesus “doing away with the law” because He “fulfilled it,” this is hardly the case. “Fulfilled” does not mean “done away with” as some teach. The verse usually cited is Matthew 5:17 where Jesus says, “*Think not that I am come to destroy the law, or the prophets: I am not come to destroy, but to fulfill.*” If “fulfill” means “to do away with,” the total absurdity of Jesus’ supposed statement would be “*I didn’t come to destroy the law, just do away with it.*” Simply because Jesus fulfilled all that was written by the prophets concerning the circumstances of proof of the Messiah’s arrival, does not mean He would do away with God’s Laws. If so, we would all now be free to murder, steal, lie, cheat, etc. Our Saviour plainly said that He did not come to destroy the law and in verse 19 of this same chapter He tells us, “*Whosoever therefore shall break one of these least commandments, and shall teach men so, he shall be called the least in the kingdom of heaven: but whosoever shall do and teach them, the same shall be called great in the kingdom of heaven.*” The only part of the law that has been done away with since Jesus arrived is the animal sacrifices of shed blood on the old altar.

The Old Testament animal sacrifices were temporary ordinances symbolic of the Lamb of God (Jesus) who would come according to God’s promises, and die on the bloody cross as atonement for our sins (Heb. 9:11-28). Since the time of the cross of our Messiah, we now have clear instruction from the Scriptures that the animal sacrifices have ended. However, all the other laws of commandments, statutes, and judgments for the family and the nation are still in effect, including all of the Ten Commandments (Rom. 3:31). Our Master Jesus beckons us, “*If you love Me, keep My commandments.*” (John 14:15).

We can apply this same established understanding to the Sabbath issue. Just because the Scriptures teach that Jesus is indeed our eternal rest into life everlasting, it does not mean that honouring the 4th Commandment Sabbath Rest of “one in seven days” has been nullified. If anything, the opposite is true. We now even *more* realize the importance of our rest in Jesus and testify to it by our action of loving to honour the Sabbath to show our faith. “*Yea, a man may say, Thou hast faith, and I have works: shew me thy faith without thy works, and I will shew thee my faith by my works.*” (James 2:18). As baptism is a sign that shows our inner true faith, so is the Sabbath a sign showing that we are loyal to the crown ruler of our family Kingdom, Jesus the Anointed. It is a sign most reverently held by those of Saxon Christendom blessed with the revelation that we are physical Israel. It is also a reciprocal sign of the same on the part of God Almighty. “*Wherefore the children of Israel shall keep the Sabbath, to observe the Sabbath throughout their generations, for a perpetual covenant.*” (Exodus 31:16).

What of Colossians 2:16 & 17? Here Paul tells us, “*Let no man therefore judge you in meat, or in drink, or in respect of an holy day, or of the new moon (note: literally “new month”), or of the Sabbath days: which are a shadow of things to come; but the body is of Anointed.*”

This letter from the Apostle Paul to the Ecclesia at Colossae is repeatedly used by anti-Sabbath promoters to supposedly “prove” that we don’t have to honor God’s Sabbath day or His seven Holy Feast Days, and that if we judge anyone for violating the Sabbath, then we are being unchristian. The misapplied deduction surrounding this verse is that the Sabbath Day was a rest required in the Old Testament and it was only a menial shadow of the real rest who is Jesus the Anointed. On the surface this concept is launched with a cloak of semi-truth, as Jesus indeed is our rest (Matt. 11:28), but the final conclusion to void the Sabbath is twisted beyond Scriptural authority. Some liberal renderings of new Bibles (which are not even actual translations) quote this verse as “*the substance of the shadow (Sabbath) is Christ.*” The sad reality is that such renderings originate in ecumenical councils of men who doctor verses to promote their pet opinions.

These verses in Colossians, instead of being the banner against keeping the Sabbath claimed by some, are in reality some of the strongest statements in the New Testament proving the opposite—namely, that 1st century Christians were most assuredly honoring the Mosaic Sabbath well after Jesus’ crucifixion, resurrection, and ascension.

An overview of what was happening in the city of Colossae will help us understand what Paul was really telling the believers when he wrote to them concerning this issue.

Colossae was a city in Asia Minor on a well-travelled trade route and as such became a center of philosophical asceticism and Talmudic Judaism. The Christian gathering there was under a lot of religious pressure by way of heated debate and heated influence. On the one hand there were early Gnostics teaching doctrines that we still find on our “New Age” bookshelves, while on the other hand there were assemblies of local Jews practising an adulterated religion of altar sacrifice, Torah Holy Days, and Babylonian superstitions.

The Westminster Dictionary of the Bible, 1944 ed., pg. 114 is quoted concerning Colossae as, “*The reports of Ephras (Paul’s friend) has shown that the Colossian Christians were threatened by false teachers, who seemed to have combined ritualistic, ascetic, and speculative tendencies.*”

This flock was being seduced away from the faith by non-believers speaking enticing words, philosophy, vain deceit, and traditions of Jewish men trusting in circumcision and rites (Col. 2:4, 8, 11). In 2:14 Paul makes a point to remind the assembly that the altar ordinances (animal sacrifices, Heb. 9 & 10) were nailed to the cross; that is, not ALL the laws, but the bloody ordinances only. That being the case, there would now be no more need for altar sacrifices on the Feast Days or Sabbaths. These Sabbaths are perpetual memorial days to which altar sacrifices were added because of sin (Gal. 3:19). The purpose of the memorial days in and of themselves is separate from the old sin offerings performed on those days. “*Speak unto the children of Israel, saying, In the seventh month, in the first day of the month, shall ye have a Sabbath, a memorial of blowing of trumpets, an holy convocation.*” (Lev. 23:24, the beginning of the Jubilee year in the seventh month of

**OLD HISTORIC VIDEO:
PRIESTHOOD or PRIESTCRAFT
by Paul Johnson**

Paul made a number of videos of himself expounding on various scriptural issues.

Do you know what the difference is? Probably not the best quality anymore, but even Americans are ordering some of our old videos, as they are not available in the USA anymore.

DVD#CI-072 @ sug don \$20



the 49th year). “*And this day (Passover, in the first month) shall be unto you for a memorial; and ye shall keep it a feast to Yahweh throughout your generations; ye shall keep it a feast by statute for ever.*” (Exodus 12:14).

The city religious fervor in Colossae was that of a heathen ascetic religion of security based on self denial and salvation by formal rites. As this crept into the assembly of the flock, Paul was quick to advise this group of Christians how to deal with those who were bringing ritualistic tendencies and vain philosophies into the Sabbaths and Feast Day memorials which these Christians were observing. Paul retorts:

“*Let no man therefore judge you in meat, or in drink, or in respect of an holy day, or of the new month, or of the Sabbath days: Which are a shadow of things to come; but the body is [of] Anointed.*” (Col 2:16-17).

However there are two glaring and obvious errors in the translation of these verses, inserted into our Bibles by “New Testament only” practitioners who would deny the obedience of following the family and national laws of our heavenly Father.

First, the word “respect” in verse 16 is the Greek word MEROS (see Strong’s #3313) meaning “a part, any particular, to some degree.” Promoters of the metropolitan Colossian Judaized and ascetic influences were trying to dictate the “particulars” of the Sabbath memorial days which were being attended by the true Christian assembly. Paul’s response when he heard of this? To paraphrase, he said, “Don’t let any (Colossian city) man judge you in what you are doing on these Sabbath days as to the particulars about rites or other practice—I’m telling you that the altar sacrifices were ended at the cross.” (Verses 14, 16, 17). The Concordant Literal New Testament, page 470 clarifies the verse which reads “respect of an holy day” to have been literally written by Paul as “*in the particulars of a festival.*” (CLNT, p.470)

Secondly, the word “is” in the last part of verse 17 was not in the original Greek manuscript texts. In the King James Version please notice that “is” is in italics which means it was added by the translators of that English Bible. It makes all the difference in the world as to the correct meaning.

Sabbath critics even take an even more extended liberty by saying that this verse should read “*the Sabbath was a shadow but Jesus Himself is the substance,*” which surely confuses the specific intent of Paul’s message here, (even though all believers automatically know Jesus is our salvation rest). With the verb “is” properly removed as it should be, correcting the blatant error of this verse, we clearly realize what Paul is teaching, as it *is now in context with the rest of the chapter* and makes complete sense. The earliest Greek manuscripts substantiate this truth. Paul tells the Colossian Christians to not let any mere man of the city attempt to enter the fold and judge what will transpire on the Sabbaths and Feast Days, but the believing “body of anointed” itself must make these decisions (judgments). What is the body of Anointed in an assembly? We know from 1 Corinthians 12:27, “*Now you are the body of Anointed, and members individually.*”

Again, here is the fallacious KJV rendering of this verse “*Let no man therefore judge you in meat, or in drink, or in respect of an holyday, or of the new month, or of the*

Sabbath days: Which are a shadow of things to come; but the body is [of] Anointed.” (Col. 2:16,17).

However, as the Greek language manuscript lexicons show, Colossians 2:16,17 correctly read from a literal Greek perspective into our English comprehension:

“*Let no (common city) man therefore judge you in meat, or in drink, or in the particulars of an holyday, or of the new month, or of the Sabbath days: Which are a shadow of things to come; but the ‘body of Anointed’ (i.e. knows how to judge these matters as we keep them) that is to say: you the actual believers know the true particulars honored on those days.*”

The clear intent of Paul here, as Greek words fell into different sentence placement arrangement many times compared to modern English, is: “Let no man but the body of anointed judge you.”

Yes, brothers and sisters, the Colossian Christian assembly was most definitely celebrating the Sabbaths and Feast Day as were all New Testament Christians. The apostle Paul certainly knew about it, and he took the time and effort to tell them to never let these days become adulterated by unchristian doctrine! Nowhere in this chapter does Paul ever hint that the Sabbath was supposedly “done away with” by Jesus’ arrival. To assume so is mere speculation,

which we have absolutely no authority to stretch from the Scriptures.

The viable reference in Colossians 2:17 (above) to the Sabbath day being as shadow of salvation, and in Hebrews 4 of a Sabbath remaining in Jesus’ eternal rest, is by way of comparing the two rests (Sabbath & Salvation), never in destroying the fourth Commandment of keeping the Sabbath, or it would have specifically stated so as is clearly stated about the altar sacrifices (now past). It is nowhere stated in either of those chapters that the Sabbath is now void, but only a comparison between the physical Sabbath and the spiritual Sabbath rest in Jesus is drawn. Comparing two things does not entail

destruction of one of them.

The “weekly” Sabbath depicts the full reign of Jesus at the end of the age, and the memorial Feast Days collectively all tell the story of our redemption. We are commanded to celebrate these as a testimony to the lasting salvation given to us by our Kinsman Redeemer. Where would we be right now if every generation arising in our land willingly and lovingly obeyed God Almighty and honored the glorious Feast Days where our children would learn our Scriptural family heritage as told by these memorials?

As it turns out, Colossians 2:16 & 17 is a strong and convincing part of the New Testament which proves that those Christians knew they were Israel and that the Sabbath day was refreshed and never destroyed by the New Covenant in Jesus the Anointed.

Our Saviour observed the Sabbath as He walked the Earth, and He said that His believers would continue with Sabbath keeping after He left. Jesus gave us His clear support for observing the Sabbath and told us how to honor it with a more sincere heart than the Pharisees — “*that it is lawful to do good on the sabbath.*” Helping friends in an emergency, or aiding them in their illness are acceptable works on the Sabbath day (Lk. 14:3-5, 13:16, 6:9, Mat. 12:11, Mk 2:27). He also taught the Word in Galilee on the Sabbath. “*But He passing through the midst of them went*

New Video:

TOTAL ONSLAUGHT

The Islamic Connection to Catholicism.

Walter J. Veith

The Islamic religion is exclusive with no evangelism and is about full control of the Islamic territory. Islamic worshippers go to the shrine of Mohammed to worship. This is a Baal religion, and Roman Catholicism uses the same occult symbols, their religions are very much the same. The Muslims are being used to create chaos, to bring in the New World Order.

DVD#CI-1014 @ sug don \$9



His way, and came down to Capernaum, a city of Galilee, and taught them on the Sabbath days. And they were astonished at His doctrine: for His word was with power.” (Lk 4:30,31). Jesus was the ultimate example of how to “walk the walk” of the Sabbath not just “talk the talk” like many do today. People seek to do their own pleasure, and disregard how Jesus walked. Isaiah warned Israel to turn away from doing their own thing on the Sabbath days (Isa. 58:13). There is no doubt that Jesus kept the Sabbath while He was on earth. Decades after Jesus had gone to the heavenly dimension, in 90AD the Apostle John wrote in his epistle 1 Jn 2:6, “*He that saith he abideth in Him ought himself also to walk, even as He walked.*” This is a New Testament command. Jesus did not go to work at paid employment, did not get dirty fixing the car, and did not take the family to watch a football match. He taught scripture and doctrine with power. Jesus was our example of how to live and what to do. The Bible says that we are to follow the footsteps of our Master.

Our Master honoured the Sabbath day as He walked the earth.

Jesus expected His followers to be observing the Sabbath in the future tense (after He was gone) as is clear from His warning about tribulation. “*But pray that your flight be not in winter, neither on the Sabbath Day.*” (Mat. 24:20). Additionally, we have the promise of prophecy from the book of Isaiah that during the future reign of Jesus the Sabbath will still be observed.

“*For as the new heavens and the new earth, which I will make, shall remain before me, saith The Lord, so shall your seed and your name remain. And it shall come to pass, that from one new month to another, and from one Sabbath to another; shall all flesh come to worship before me, saith The Lord.*” (Isa 66:22,23). No, Jesus never did come “to do away with the Sabbath” as some claim, for He will demand observance of it in His Kingdom. So we see that the Bible teaches that the Sabbath was kept in Old Testament times, New Testament times, and will be kept in the future Kingdom. Heeding what Jesus and the Apostles taught is sound doctrine.

Another illogical deduction used by the Sabbath violators is that supposedly the Sabbath is so little spoken of in the New Testament that it proves Jesus did away with it. This reasoning is in error from the outset as we have seen from our study so far that the Sabbath is most certainly mentioned in the New Testament. In any event, let’s assume for the sake of argument that it is not. Can something be proven from a negative, that is, from a silence about a matter? Absolutely not. For instance, we could not declare that Jesus rode a Harley motorcycle just because it is *not* mentioned in the New Testament. Trying to prove something from a negative is a non-factor with respect to the Sabbath.

Jesus told us that “*He is master of the Sabbath*” and that “*the Sabbath was made for man, not man for the Sabbath*” (Mat.2:28, Mk 2:27) and that He “*did not come to destroy the law.*” (Jn 5:17) All things were made by Him and for Him (Col 1:16) and He has given man the Sabbath to sooth and refresh our souls as we work, and as a reminder of our very creation and salvation we have in Him.

Continuing, there is also the belief that the New Testament doesn’t recognize the Sabbath because of what Paul says in Romans 14:5&6, “*One man esteemeth one day above another: another esteemeth every day alike. Let*

every man be fully persuaded in his own mind. He that regardeth the day, regardeth it unto God, and he that regardeth not the day, to God he doth not regard it.” A hasty conclusion is drawn that Paul is saying “It doesn’t matter about the Sabbath any longer and we can each decide on any day for regarding our God.” This is not what Paul is teaching. He is giving instruction on how to handle new believers who are babes in Jesus and have just left their former pagan religions. These folk are still “*weak in the faith*” and by rashly judging their doubts we can be a stumbling block. Coming out of pagan religions where all manner of holidays are ingrained in the mind takes some time. A Christian has to grow into the strong faith. Any of God’s days that are to be observed must be “*regarded unto The Lord,*” and that means by faith in the heart as persuaded by holy spirit unto the renewing of the mind (Rom. 12:2). If a “person weak in the faith” is forced to observe a day to The Lord because they are pressured by other believers to do so,

then that observance is for the wrong reason! The person must be “*fully persuaded in his own mind*” of the love of regarding a day to The Lord. Look at the last verse (23) in this chapter and you will see that Paul explains that “*whatsoever is not of faith is sin.*” Not only should the true Holy Days be regarded unto God and the pagan days not regarded unto God, they must be sincerely regarded or disregarded for the *correct motives*—that is, faith and love in

obeying The Lord and comprehending what the Sabbath Days mean. This takes time, and Paul is advising those more mature in the faith to be patient and understanding toward those still weak in the faith by not judging them harshly as they work out their doubts by prayer, studying, and learning.

Likewise, for those who become more versed in the faith, yet still desire to revert back to pagan days such as Christmas and Easter after knowing better, Paul instructs on that as well. This is where we read the comment by Paul “*Ye observe days, and months, and times, and years. I am afraid of you, lest I have bestowed upon you labour in vain.*” (Gal. 4:10,11). These ‘observed days’ is not pointing to God’s Holy Sabbaths, but it is a warning about Roman, Greek, or other pagan days/times and services to false gods which times were held by those when they were yet previously in unbelief (see v.8, “*Howbeit then, when ye knew not God, ye did service unto them which by nature are no gods.*” also Acts 17:29, Rom. 1:23). Paul was never “*afraid of them*” observing the correct Sabbath Days, since he laboured to bestow upon them on how to correctly celebrate those days in the first place.

A joyful encouragement in realizing why we should keep the Sabbath is that it is not our day to make holy or disavow in the first place. It is God’s day which He Himself intentionally set apart. “*And God blessed the seventh day, and sanctified it*” (set it apart). The 4th Commandment doesn’t tell us “*Now you make the Sabbath day a holy day,*” but it tells us “*to keep it holy.*” It was God Almighty who made it holy. Man doesn’t *make* it holy by honouring it. The Sabbath was already holy before man existed and we didn’t have anything to do with it! The Sabbath was in effect long before the law for the nation of Israel was given to Moses at Mt. Sinai. The Sabbath is repeatedly called God’s day, (Psalm 118:24) a day that belongs to Him. Why is the Sabbath holy? Because only our God is holy and His very presence is connected to the everlasting Sabbath cove-

CDs of the Month:

- J-217 The Theology of Worms, pt 1**
- J-218 The Theology of Worms, pt 2**
- J-219 Do You Possess Eternal Life? 1**
- J-220 Do You Possess Eternal Life? 2**
- J-221 When God Gives Up His People**
- J-222 Salvation Illustrated & Explained**
- J-223 The Biblical Doctrine of Hatred**

all pastor John Weaver



nant. "Ye shall keep my Sabbaths — and keep my commandments, and do them — and I will walk among you and will be your God, and ye (Israel) shall be my people." (Lev. 26:2,3,12). "Who shall not fear thee O Yahweh, and glorify thy name? for thou only art holy:" (Rev. 15:4).

The Lord owns the Sabbath day for it is "a Sabbath unto The Lord" (Ex. 16:25) making Him creator and master of the Sabbath. "But the seventh day (after your six days of work) is the Sabbath of The Lord thy God." (Ex. 20:10). "Verily MY Sabbaths ye shall keep" (Ex. 31:13). Do we now want to tell God that we have decided to not honor His day and do our own pleasure instead? on the only day of the week He has claimed as being holy and belonging to Him? "Six days may work be done; but in the seventh (or next) is the Sabbath of rest, holy to The Lord: whosoever doeth any work on the Sabbath day, he shall surely be put to death." (Ex. 31:15) It is a serious matter!

Rebellious Israelites that are Sabbath violators live under a curse. "But the house of Israel rebelled against me in the wilderness: they walked not in my statutes, and they despised my judgments, which if a man do, he shall even live in them; and my Sabbaths they greatly polluted: then I said, I would pour out my fury upon them in the wilderness to consume them. — Because they despised my judgements, and walked not in my statutes, but polluted my Sabbaths: for their heart went after their idols (e.g. 'money' because they pay extra for working on the Sabbath?) — "I am The Lord your God; walk in my statutes, and keep my judgments, and do them; and **hallow my Sabbaths**; and they shall be a sign between me and you, that ye may know that I am The Lord thy God.

Notwithstanding the children of Israel rebelled against me: they walked not in my statutes, neither kept my judgments to do them, which if a man do he shall even live in them; **they polluted my Sabbaths**: then I said, I would pour out my fury upon them, to accomplish my anger against them —" (Ezek. 20:13-16, 19-21).

Let's not make the grave mistake to think that God Almighty has today changed His mind about these everlasting Laws. The Ten Commandments (memorize them, and get your children to memorize them as well!), all of them, are eternal righteous concepts which proceeded forth from our un-changing God, and they apply today just as they did thousands of years ago. "For I am The Lord, I change not." (Malachi 3:6) "All his commandments are sure. They stand fast for ever and ever; and are done in truth and uprightness (Psalm 111:7-8). "Thou hast rebuked the proud that are cursed, which do err from thy commandments" (Psalm 119:21).

May the anointed remnant stand firm, in loving to honor what God has made holy ... the keeping of His special day of rest for our blessing from Him!

from the internet

ON VACCINATION

by Kathy Scarborough

The full impact of 'No Jab, No Pay' is now being felt by families utilising child care services whose children are not fully vaccinated and who have not entered into a catch-up schedule arrangement. Especially low income and sole parent families already struggling to make ends meet. The onus is on parents to inform Centrelink that they do not meet the requirements of the legislation to ensure they do not continue to receive payments, as any payments made after March 18 will have to be repaid and potentially with an interest charge added.

There is very limited scope to claim a medical exemption and there is no compensation scheme in place in Australia for vaccine damage.

It has, however, brought a lot of people together to build support networks and if you contact us through the visa e-mail or Child Health Australia website we can put you in touch with others in your area. Also:

- Challenging the NJNP legislation and uniting groups around Australia for collective action is Freedom of Choice Australia, <http://www.freedomofchoice.org.au>

- The Australian Vaccination (skeptics) Network (AVsN) has published stories about the impacts of the legislation (we also welcome your stories at Child Health Australia) and are calling for support for a legal challenge to the legislation. www.avn.org.au

- Judy Wilyman PhD has an excellent newsletter that you can sign up to at <http://vaccinationdecisions.net> (see the publications section for previous newsletters) and her recently published thesis - A Critical Analysis of the Australian Government's rationale for its Vaccination Policy 1 can be read in full at <http://ro.uow.edu.au/theses/4541/>

- Another excellent resource for information is the Know Your Rights Group who have a page on their website about vaccination which includes a downloadable letter to the Minister for Social Services. www.knowyourrightsgroup.com.au

Also to keep informed about screenings of VAXXED the movie directed by Dr. Andrew Wakefield about the omission of crucial data by the CDC that links MMR vaccine to autism you can subscribe at www.vaxxedthemovie.com to receive updates in screenings and ways to watch.

Thank you to everyone who has offered help to VISA. A meeting will be confirmed in the next few weeks to map out a way forward. If you are keen to be more active please e-mail me at visa@adelaide.on.au or call on 042424224742 for meeting details.

Also I know many of you will be happy to receive an electronic newsletter or a PDF version so please let me know via e-mail. This will reduce printing and mailing costs.

Finally, I have travelled interstate 7 times in the last 6 months for meetings and rallies, mostly at my own expense. Your membership is important at this time and I am eternally thankful for all the kind words of support I receive from members and contacts.

As always, Kathy Scarborough.**

New & Recently Listed to CDs & DVDs

*B-2833 Nutritional Therapy, Lawrence Blanchard

*B-2835 Restoring God's Kingdom Order, pt 2, Lawrence Blanchard

D-048 The Election of 2000, Lawrence Blanchard

E-461 Universalism on Trial, pt 2, Mark Downey

G-969 Theonomy vs Autonomy, Ted Weiland

G-970 The Eyes of God are Upon You, Ted Weiland

*J-442 Sinful Perceptions & Interpretations, pt 2, John Weaver

*J-443 When Prayer is Useless, John Weaver

*J-444 When You Know You Are Going to Die, John Weaver

K-645 WWW and WW Drug use, Stone Kingdom Pt 56, James Bruggeman

K-646 Moses, Asheville, Exodus, and the Dinar, S.K. Pt 57, James Bruggeman

K-647 The Science of Addiction, S.K. Pt 58, James Bruggeman

K-648 The Methodology of Mind Control, S.K. Pt 59, James Bruggeman

K-649 Public Relations, Advertising and Mind Control, S.K. pt 60, J. Bruggeman

*L-109 The Thorn in your Flesh, Steven Anderson

*L-110 Doubting Your Salvation, Steven Anderson

*R-106 Matthew 9 & 10, Charles van der Pool

*R-107 Matthew 11 & 12, Charles van der Pool

U-140 How to Think like a Christian, Doug Phillips

AC-1346 Those Who Shall Not Overcome,

Charles Weisman



GlaxoSmithKline accused of illegally administering vaccines leading to the death of children in India

April. 4, 2016, Altreyyee Chowdhury

The Indian Supreme Court has sought answers from the government in a case involving tribal children, who were allegedly inducted into a drug trial without their consent years ago, and died because of the consequent reactions. The court criticised inaction on the government's part and gave it a month's time to respond.

Some children out of a group of selected children died after being injected with Merck's Gardasil vaccine. The vaccine is manufactured by GlaxoSmithKline.

According to some campaigners, a study, promoted by Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, failed to obtain consent from children or their parents before they were administered with the drug. The allegations, if proved, would mean that the drug companies conducted an illegal drug trial on the children.

The petitioners also asked judges to look into the way trials were conducted with Merck's new cervical cancer vaccine, Gardasil 9. Research by MailOnline, revealed that some children showed abnormal symptoms after they were administered the drug. Gardasil 9, is expected to generate £1.2 billion a year in sales.

The Indian Council of Medical Research (ICMR) had in 2010 asked state governments to suspend cervical cancer control vaccination programme for girls after four deaths and complications among 120 girls were reported. The girls complained of stomach disorders, epilepsy, headaches and early menarche.

An investigation by an Indian parliamentary committee has previously concluded that the trials amounted to a serious breach of trust and medical ethics. Merck and GSK have both been ordered to respond to the allegations made by campaigners.

After taking into consideration the arguments, the judges also ordered the government to produce an opinion on the issue of liability and compensation, which was welcomed by lawyers.

Speaking to Daily Mail, Kerry McBroom, one of the lawyers said, 'It is a very encouraging development that the judges are not discussing accountability and not just accountability but also compensation, so the tone of the hearing today was very positive for us because it's clear from all the parties, including from government reports, that there were, at best, serious irregularities and, at worst, gross violations of fundamental human rights.'

The court expressed its unhappiness that the government had not come out with concrete action following the parliamentary committee's findings. It gave the government a month to explain what action had been taken to investigate and what efforts had been made to obtain the consent of those taking part in the trials.

Gardasil is a drug that is used to (supposedly) prevent cervical cancer, which is the second most common type of cancer in women and kills more than 265,000 people every year according to Cancer Research UK.

courtesy VI(SA) Box 643, Magill SA 5072

Passed away:

Bruce G. McCarthy who often spoke on the money system at conferences in USA, at 68 years, on May 2, 2016.

MAXIMIZING YOUR EFFICIENCY

by Skeet Savage

Every member of the family should bear a measure of responsibility appropriate to their age/ability. When the load becomes imbalanced, it will surely result in responsibility overload that is sure to topple even the most willing servant.

For the child, this can mean requiring the child to make adult decisions that he is not capable of making, or for which he should not shoulder the burden and responsibility.

For example, have you ever observed a parent asking a toddler to express preferences such as which balloon he wants—the red one or the blue one? Or, asking a young child which clothes he wants to wear or whether he wants eggs or cereal for breakfast. (Frankly, this was never a problem at our house because we were so poor when the children were little that they really never had more than two choices at any given meal—take it or leave it!)

Early exposure to unlimited choices will engender self-

ishness and ingratitude. "But, I wanted a *blue* bike, not a *red* one!" rather than an appreciation for the basic provisions of the Lord. It can leave the child with the impression that all the world is just waiting for his will to be expressed or imposed.

A child's legitimate need is simply to know that there *is* food for him to eat and clothing for him to wear. To train him in the direction of his wants and desires (beyond genuine need) is to feed and cultivate his carnal nature and to nurture a willful spirit that will ultimately hinder him later in life.

Young children have a deep need to feel covered and cared for—not independent and self-suf-

ficient. There will be plenty of time, when they are older, for them to decide every manner of issues for the rest of their lives. For now, why not do the merciful thing and just allow them to be children?

In order to avoid responsibility overload in your children, make every effort to establish and enforce specific patterns, rules, and guide-lines for your home and the members of your household. Set up a schedule. (It can, and should, be flexible, but it should be resolutely in place and adhered to as much as possible, nonetheless).

Even if you have a child who seems self-confident and acts like he wishes independence, it is not in the child's best interest to give it to him. If you are faithful to provide a peaceful, quiet, ordered, secure, controlled atmosphere, once the child is confident of its consistency, he or she will come to rest in it. Children feel more secure when they know their boundaries and limits, and the guidelines and rules are consistently enforced.

The serenity that these simple principles will bring to your home is a lasting blessing that you can give to your child(ren).

When folks ask, "How do you manage to do it all? I mean, you're a single parent, and you homeschool, and you have this publishing-speaking-writing ministry to keep up with ... How do you ever manage it all?" I tell them the truth. I can't do it all—and, neither can you. The Bible tells

New Video:

VAXXED:

Vaccination Evidence of Harm From Cover-up to Catastrophe

Exposes the harm vaccination inflicts upon our children. The corrupt CDC has been exposed for committing fraud and lying about the MMR vaccine causing brain damage such as autism. One of their scientists has come out and revealed that cover-up, that the CDC has been changing the date and hiding the facts and have deleted and destroyed documents. Now in 2016, one in every 45 children have some form of autism.

DVD#CI-1022 A sug don \$ 9



us that apart from God, we can do nothing. To believe anything other than that is just arrogance.

So, what is the answer? One sure way for a homeschooling parent to effectively manage their homeschool and avoid responsibility overload is to demonstrate and delegate! Stop trying to do everything for everyone and, instead, start teaching and training them to do things for themselves, and for you.

Hopefully, you know how to do math (or at least how to run a calculator!) and read and write, and spell (or at least you know how to run the spell-checker on your computer). You know how to cook, clean, do laundry, keep the house in order, balance a checkbook, and countless other skills which you've acquired over the years. Children, obviously, are not born with this knowledge. Once your children reach a teachable age, your job description changes just a bit. Rather than continuing to single-handedly do all these things for your family—like you did when they were helpless babies—your job, at this stage, is to teach and train your children to do these things to the point that, eventually, they can do everything that you know how to do without your prompting or oversight. Before you know it, they'll be teaching you a thing or two!

Learn to perform mutually compatible tasks simultaneously. For example, you can easily fold a load of clothes while talking on the phone. Another multi-tasking opportunity that presents itself daily is the time spent washing and drying the dishes (or loading the dishwasher, as the case may be). While applying yourself to this mindless task, try coaching children on memorization of facts, or quizzing children on their work, or orally grading papers. This is a much better approach as children learn immediately not only that they gave the wrong answer, but they'll learn *why* the answer was wrong which is much less discouraging to a child.

We've all heard the health enthusiasts rally cry, "No pain, no gain." While in many countries women walk several miles a day just to fetch and tote a days provision of water for their families, here comfort and ease are the norm and the general philosophy is more along the lines of, "no pain, no gain."

In this day of modern conveniences, it is well worth the effort to look for ways to stretch yourself beyond your comfort zone. Rather than arrange your house with an eye toward ease and comfort, consider setting up your house so that you are getting good, natural exercise as you go about normal daily tasks.

Not only can we learn to make our work even more profitable by combining tasks (and, therefore, benefits) we can also make our daily routine more enjoyable.

Play inspirational or educational tapes or CDs while showering or taking a bath or while driving to and from appointments. Make sure that you're getting positive input to recharge your batteries on a daily basis.

Pray while hanging the laundry or driving your car (I would strongly suggest learning to pray with your eyes open for these activities—especially while driving).

You may fellowship with family members, memorize Scripture, or sing together while doing the dishes. Make sure that, when you are interacting with your children you give them your undivided attention. I'll be honest with you—for me, that is a constant battle. Sometimes, my children would come in while I was working (usually trying to do several things at once) and they'd be talking away to me before I realized what I hadn't even looked at them. I was just there staring intently at my work, going, "UmHm. Yeah. UmHm. Okay ..." and acting like I'd really heard them. Sometimes I approved things that I never would have approved had I really been paying full attention! They'd just say, "Thanks, Mom!" and they'd run with it! If you're day-dreaming, nothing will wake you up faster than when your child goes skipping off hollering, "She said, YES!" while you're standing there asking yourself, "What did I say YES to?" I guarantee you. I'd quickly come to attention and go find out what I said YES to and make sure I really meant YES!

To this day, I'm still learning to stop what I'm doing, turn towards them, look them in the eye and say, "I'm sorry, I was distracted here with all this stuff. Tell me again, what was it you said?" Speak their name, let them know you're really with them. They appreciate that. Just that little bit of common courtesy says, "I care about you. You are my priority." You love them and they know it.

Team up with friends, family members or neighbours when it comes to big jobs such as gardening, canning, Once-a-month Cooking, etc. If you are working together with Christians, this provides a welcome opportunity for fellowship and makes time pass quickly. If you are working with unbelievers, you'll have an excellent opportunity to shine your light as you work together.

Don't be too proud to ask for or receive help when necessary. Face the fact that you are naturally restricted by our

common human limitations and content yourself to live within those normal boundaries that our God has established. Be satisfied to allow Him to get all the glory for everything you do in His Name. If you make it your ambition to do only what He has for you to do in any day's time, your efforts will not go unrewarded. In so much as you homeschool your children for His glory, He will daily receive your sacrifice as a sweet-smelling offering of praise to Him. When all is said and done, it will have been worth all the effort.**

Skeet Savage is a veteran homeschooling mother of six, founder of Wisdom's Gate Ministries and editor of Home School Digest.

Courtesy Home School Digest, Box 374, Covert MI 49043

THEY WILL COME FROM FAR

by Greg Hinnant

Have your children strayed far from Jesus—and from you? When considering their condition, do you sometimes worry they may never come back to faith in God—and fellowship with you? Here's an encouraging word.

New LOAN ONLY video:

THAT VITAMIN MOVIE

What They're Not Telling Us about Vitamins and Disease; and what you and your loved ones need to know right now!

23 World-renowned experts - one clear message. With prescription drugs now in the top ten killers, taking more lives than illegal drugs and more lives than car accidents, this timely documentary shows that there is a safer, more effective and cheaper way to tackle illness. It reveals a 'hidden' 65-year history demonstrating that vitamins and other supplements work. From Mexico to Toronto, from Oslo to Tokyo, over 20 of the world's leading nutritional experts (including many physicians) give plain and simple advice which they believe will make us live longer, feel better, and cut worldwide healthcare budgets by billions.

DVD#CI-1015 @ sug LOAN don \$7



“Thy sons shall come from far, and thy daughters ...” (Isaiah 60:4). Spoken originally to reassure the Israelites that God would return their posterity from all nations, it also speaks to troubled Christian parents today.

God says our sons and daughters will come from spiritually “far” places (“distant lands” NLT, “great distances” MESS). We can see how God could easily bring them back from “near” places (minor straying, small transgressions), but not “far.” That seems impossible, and it is, to us; but not to God! If we’re steadfast intercessors, His eyes remain steadfastly on our children. Even their wandering “great distances” from Him, though not His will, has prepared them for His fulfillment. To return “from far” they must be far away! So while God never wanted them to stray, and repeatedly warned them and blocked their way, nevertheless He has used their wanderings to bring them to where they are today. Now He can keep His promise to retrieve them “from far”—provided we abide in Him and pray faithfully for them (John 15:7-8). Scripture and history confirm this.

God has often restored straying ones. He brought Jacob back to Him after twenty years of ignoring God and serving himself. He restored a remnant to the Promised Land after the Babylonian Captivity. He led Augustine home from a life of empty intellectualism, false religion, and immorality.¹ He led George Mueller back from a life of lying, stealing, and pursuing young ladies.² His amazing grace led John Newton home from the degraded life of a slave trader.³ He led Nicky Cruz home from a confused, hellish life swirling with gangs, drugs, sex, and violence.⁴ All these came from far away, spiritually or geographically, to return to God and to praying parents, family, friends, or ministers.

What “distance” stands between your child and the Saviour? Are they separated by academically seeded secular beliefs? Immorality? False religion? Bitterness? A disillusioning sickness or injury? Disappointed hopes? Loving the things of the world? Misunderstanding your adversities? Mistreatment by a sibling? Drug dealing or addiction? Gang life? Or simply dead, mainline religion? Trusting in their goodness? A craving to always please their friends? A stubborn desire to go their own way?

These and other “great distances” leave your child distant, cold, or even hostile toward God and you, because they identify you and your faith with Him. You sense their disbelief in your faith. Their reluctance to be around you and your “religion” is evident. And when you mention spiritual things with them, they turn away. You used to enjoy real, meaningful fellowship when they were near God. But now their looks, expressions, tone of voice, and avoidance of you betray their real thoughts: they don’t want any part of your God or you. Every time you think about this awful “distance” your heart hurts, for them and for you. They’re far from God and you know it. The chasm seems impassable, too much for you to bridge by your own strength, wisdom, or counsel. But it’s not too much for Jesus—if you’ll trust Him and humbly cooperate. Here’s your strong, unyielding bridge.

Listen again to His promise—“Thy sons shall come

from far, and thy daughters”—and believe it! Abide close to Jesus and live a self-examined life so He can fully honor your prayers.⁵ Pray fervently and in the spirit for your distant one(s) every day, reminding the Lord of His promise, remembering all the sons and daughters He has restored, and expecting yours to be next to return “from far” to Him—and to you.**

Notes, 1) In his youth, Augustine (of Hippo) abandoned his then superficial Christian allegiance to adopt the dualist religion of, Manichaeism—much to the dismay of his praying mother, Monica. But she kept praying until he was distinctly converted to faith in Jesus the Anointed.

2) Before his conversion and amazing life of faith and prayer, righteousness, and service, George Mueller was a petty thief, liar, gambler, and ladies man not to be trusted.

3) And in 1779 Newton published arguably the most famous and ministerial hymn in Christian history, the partly autobiographical “Amazing Grace.”

4) This amazing story is told in David Wilkerson’s book, *The Cross and the Switchblade*, and also in the excellent full-length movie by the same name distributed by Vision Video.

5) Repeatedly the Bible teaches that unconfessed sin separates us from a holy God and hinders our prayers (Psalms 66:18, 84:11, John 9:31, 1 John 3:21-22).

Courtesy Home School Digest

ARE YOU CONFIDENT IN OUR POLITICAL PROCESS?

By Joel Hilliker

Polls show that 71 percent of people are unhappy with the country’s direction; many are openly frustrated. A year ago Conservatives gained control of the Senate and their largest majority in the House since 1928. Conservatives enthused that they would reverse President Obama’s unpopular policies. They promised to defund or repeal Obamacare, shrink illegal immigration, stand up to unlawful executive orders, and check the

nation’s runaway debt.

They have done none of these things. Congressional Conservatives have shown a stunning lack of will—even when it came to opposing a nuclear deal with the world’s biggest sponsor of terrorism. All the optimism surrounding last year’s election has proved completely ill-founded. Now here we are in the midst of another presidential election cycle. In many ways, this wild campaign reflects the people’s frustration.

The top Republican contender would not be considered a serious candidate under normal circumstances. But people appreciate him because he appears to be everything the Republican establishment is not: a pugnacious, unbribeable, politically incorrect, tough negotiator who puts the liberal media in their place. He says he’s a winner who will strengthen the military, build a border wall, and make the nation respected again. This man is also arrogant, narcissistic and at times nasty, but people are so disenchanting with politics that they are willing to overlook his flaws. The next top Republican candidate is also a political outsider. After two elections lost by middle-of-the-road, mainstream Republican candidates, voters are clearly fed up.

*want to learn more about God’s calendar
and the Sabbath?*

**IT’S ABOUT TIME: THE SABBATHS
They Will Get it Right Sometime**

Adam de Witt

*What calendar do we use, and what calendar did
God use to institute the Sabbath, and the Feast
Days? Is there a correct calendar to use?*

*“I believe this book could bridge the gulf between
Saturday and Sunday Sabbath holders. So far, both
of these have only helped widen the gap whereby
otherwise loving Christians have become bitter foes
at times. For 2000 years all churches and cults have
chosen one of the other doctrines, yet they never
taught or even seemed to know that God’s Sabbath
system is very different and thus hidden to so many.
Those who did not know of it wrongly taught that it,
like the rest of God’s Laws, were nailed to the cross*

#161 @ sug don \$11.55



On the Socialist Democratic side, the top two candidates are a woman whom a large majority of people don't trust—thanks to years of scandals and an open FBI investigation—and an avowed socialist whose gigantic government spending plans would cost \$18 trillion according to the *Wall Street Journal*.

Can any of these candidates actually *solve* our problems? The debates are crowded with candidates trying to make a witty comment, giving the sound bite that will boost their poll numbers, jabbing at and contradicting each other over supposed facts—a bizarre mix of arrogance and distractions that do nothing to reveal leadership credentials. Yet CNN's recent Republican debate snagged the *biggest ratings* in its history. And *that* is what CNN cares about.

"If you are not informed, then the most patriotic thing you can do on Election Day is stay home," Thomas Sowell wrote. "Otherwise your vote, based in whims or emotions, is playing Russian roulette with the fate of this nation" (September 15). Do people really know how to elect a leader? Are the qualities that cause someone to stand out on a debate stage really the same qualities that qualify someone to lead?

Are arrogance and narcissism good qualities for a leader? I would argue that we have tremendous evidence to the contrary in our government today. yet the polls suggest that many people still believe they are tremendous political virtues. Do people really know anything important about their candidates? Two presidential elections ago, voters bought into an idea of hope and change; seven in 10 people thought the new president would improve race relations in the US. Meanwhile, they ignored several disturbing facts. Tom Brokaw admitted that the media didn't vet this man. Will another election solve our problems? Another cycle of uninformed citizens voting based on a political process distorted by money, by biased media coverage, and by lies peddled by the candidates about themselves and about each other?

And in the end, even if you do get the victories you think will benefit the country, you may still end up with a feckless government like the one we have now.

Government is often selfish, greedy, vain, ambitious men lusting for rule and operating via secret deals, graft, immorality, deception and dishonesty. They promise peace and declare war. They promise prosperity and deliver debt, taxation and poverty. We fail to find in human government any knowledge of life's purpose, or dissemination of the true values.

This form of government is perhaps the best on Earth for constraining tyranny and corruption and maximizing freedom. However, abuses of power are *undermining* the benefits of America's government and turning its political process into a farce.

In Leviticus 26:19, God said to the ancient Israelites and their descendants who sinned: "*I will break the pride of your power.*" We are among those descendants—we have sinned, and our power is broken. But *God doesn't blame the leaders*. He says **THE REAL PROBLEM IS WITH THE PEOPLE**. our sins **HAVE BROUGHT THESE CURSES!** We want to blame our problems on politicians—but the fact is, **WE PUT THEM IN OFFICE**. (although there probably are scams with election results).

The boilerplate campaign refrain is, *If we can just get these bums out, we'll solve everything!* When will we acknowledge that our problems are bigger than that. And

when will we accept responsibility for *our* part in the problems?

We are suffering a broken will, lawless leaders, social breakdown, racial strife and economic disaster. These are curses that God is bringing upon us—not because we voted for the wrong candidates, but because we have turned our backs on HIM.

How well do you suppose a politician today would fare who brought a message like that?

Courtesy The Philadelphia Trumpet, Box 3700 Edmonf OK 73083

KEEP YOUR EYES UPON JESUS!

by Ken Kemble

"Wherefore seeing we also are compassed about with so great a cloud of witnesses, let us lay aside every weight, and the sin which doth so easily beset us, and let us run with patience the race that is set before us, looking unto Jesus the author and finisher of our faith; Who for the joy that was set before Him endured the cross, despising the shame, and is set down at the right hand of God. For consider Him that endured such contradiction of sinners against Himself lest ye be wearied and faint in your minds." (Hebrews 12:1-2).

"And straightway Jesus constrained his disciples to get into a ship, and to go before him unto the other side, while he sent the multitudes away. And when he had sent the multitudes away, he went up into a mountain apart to pray: and when the evening was come, he was there alone. But the ship was now in the midst of the sea, tossed with waves: for the wind was contrary. And in the fourth watch of the night Jesus went unto them, walking on the sea. And when the disciples saw him walking on the sea, they were troubled, saying, It is a spirit; and they cried out for fear. But straightway Jesus spake unto them, saying, Be of good cheer; it is I; be not afraid. And Peter answered him and said, Lord, if it be thou, bid me come unto thee on the water. And he said, Come. And when Peter was come down out of the ship, he walked on the water, to go to Jesus. but when he saw the wind boisterous, he was afraid; and beginning to sink, he cried, saying, Lord, save me. And immediately Jesus stretched forth his hand, and caught him, and said unto him, O thou of little faith, wherefore didst thou doubt? And when they were come into the ship, the wind ceased. Then they that were in the ship came and worshipped him, saying, Of a truth thou art the Son of God." (Matthew 14:22-33).

In this passage in Matthew, we see something that I think is very significant. Jesus had been preaching and, as usual, had attracted a large crowd. Five thousand men, besides women and children, had gathered to hear Him, and He not only taught them, but He also fed them all (possibly as many as ten thousand people) through a miracle provision. After He had fed them, He sent them away, and instructed His disciples to get into a boat and go out onto the Sea of Galilee while He Himself went to be alone to pray.

While Jesus was in prayer, the wind became boisterous, and the waters of the sea became severely troubled. But in the fourth watch of the night (somewhere between 3am and 6am), He went out to the boat, walking on the water! When the disciples (who were, I'm sure, already very concerned about the weather) saw Him, they were frightened, and thought they were looking at an apparition. Knowing this, Jesus said, "*Be of good cheer; it is I: be not afraid!*"

from 1870 comes

NO TREASON:

The Constitution of No Authority

by Lysander Spooner (1808-1887)

a Massachusetts lawyer noted for his vigorous and brilliant opposition to the encroachment of the State upon the liberty of the individual. He has the great and honorable distinction of being of the very few constitutional lawyers of note who ever turned from his colleagues and the institution of central government.

#903 @ sug don \$12.65



Peter, always the first to speak up, good or bad, said, "Lord, if it be thou, bid me come unto thee on the water!" So Jesus instructed Peter to come on out to Him, and Peter following Jesus' instruction, proceeded to go out to Jesus; and he too was walking on the water.

But when Peter realized what was going on, and saw the wind and the waves were tempestuous, he was afraid, and began to *sink down into the water*. Peter *immediately* cried out to the Master, and Jesus stretched out His hand to catch him. And as Jesus caught him, He said, "O ye of little faith, why did you doubt?"

Jesus took him, and they got into the boat; and when they did, *the storm immediately ceased*, and in this same account in the gospel of John (6:21), it records that they were immediately to the other side of the sea. And *they all worshipped Jesus, saying, "Truly You are the Son of God."*

There is something very significant that I want you to see in this account; something that I hope will help you — it is the same with us today.

You see, our Lord *has* gone up. And we are left here below to face the storm. Yet we do not have to face the storms of life alone; He is *ever with us*. Hebrews 7:25 says that He "ever liveth to make intercession for us."

As long as we hear His voice, and obey His Word, we will be on *top of the troubled water*, or, *on top of the storm — the situation that troubles us — we will be on top of LIFE*. But when we take our eyes off Him, and begin to go our own way, and focus on other things, We will begin to sink down into that which we are focused on.

When Peter followed the Master's instruction, He was on top of the water, as troubled as it was. But when Peter took his eyes off Jesus, and began to look at the troubled water all around him, he began to sink down into that water. **What he was focused on began to consume him!**

And it's the same with you and me. You see, just as Peter sank into the troubled waters, *we too will sink if we focus on the trouble that is all around us!*

Now I know, there are many things out there to trouble the waters. There will be storms in life. But *do not focus on the storm!* Because what you are focused on *will consume you!*

That is why we *must* keep our eyes on Jesus! Because as we are focused on Him, we will not be consumed by the storm, but will be consumed with our Lord and Master Jesus the Anointed and His precious holy spirit as He becomes more and more the centre of our lives. *And when we are focused on and consumed with the central figure of all the ages, we will never be shaken.*

We are indeed in a very troublesome time in the history of our people. Wickedness abounds, and the righteous are pressed down. The turbulent wind and the tempestuous waves are all around us on every side, and the trouble and hopelessness of our situation would seem to be almost insurmountable. Life is full of storms. What are you going to focus on?

We must be as determined as Job was when he said: "Though He slay me, yet will I trust in Him."

No matter what happens, we must remember that God is absolutely SOVEREIGN, and that the rulers of all nations and kingdoms of this world are but pawns in His

Hand, to accomplish that which He desires. That's hard to remember when evil seems to prevail, *but GOD IS STILL IN CONTROL! And don't you ever forget it*. We may be under the Refiner's fire at present, but it **MUST** be so; for He is purging out the dross. And He **WILL** purge the Kingdom of all that offends.

Don't focus on the troubled water, and be consumed by it. Live your life according to God's Word; keep your eyes on Jesus and put your trust in Him, and you'll be on top of the troubled waters. Because right out there in the middle of all that trouble is the **ROCK OF AGES**; and He's a **FIRM FOUNDATION**.

Courtesy Ken Kemble's Sermon Excerpts.

"ORIGINAL INTENT" OR "ORIGINAL SIN"?

by Matthew Luckey

The wicked no longer simply sin. They now claim a moral right to their sin thanks to the Constitution.

WE, THE PEOPLE demands to "be as God knowing good and evil."

WE, THE PEOPLE commands, "a molten calf made from gold."

WE, THE PEOPLE cries, "crucify Him."

WE THE PEOPLE "establishes justice," and "a more perfect union."

WE, THE PEOPLE stands guilty before God; and WE, THE PEOPLE shall have its wagging tongue stopped-up; and WE, THE PEOPLE shall be cast into the lake of fire.

The recent Supreme Court decision mandating fagrimony (*it may seem to be about freedom to gays, but to those of us in the opposition the decision amounts to an unwelcome command, as something mandatory against our wills*) recalled that other horror offspring of secular government, legalized early-stage infanticide (abortion). Now two of the gravest atrocities committed against God have been officially institutionalized thanks to our government process. This got me thinking about just what we mean when we speak of the legal or political, or even the free-market, "PROCESS."

We live under **PROCEDURAL** political and economic systems. This means that the government and the market are not supposed to take sides in a dispute or competition. They are not to say that one side is good and another bad; that the content of one citizen's speech or the content of one businessman's merchandise is immoral and another's moral. The systems that organize our society are supposed to be neutral, secular, and morally indifferent with regard to particular moral content. That is, these procedures are required to treat all content being circulated through the political and financial systems equally. In fact, it is precisely this moral indifference which gives these systems their warrant to govern society. *We the People* gives its consent to be governed, because the governing systems claim to favor no individual or private group over any others. The authority of proceduralism is quite unlike authority expressing a single moral view that coercively imposes itself on a population (from a king, caliphate, or communist dictator). In these kinds of authoritarian systems the people's agreement is neither required nor asked for. Decisions descend top-down as commands on citizenry, so procedures

*Are you teaching your children?
not many left! Get it NOW!*
THE ELEMENTARY SPELLING BOOK
being an improvement on the
AMERICAN SPELLING BOOK
of 1829
- The National Standard -
by Noah Webster
A good companion booklet for the
MCGuffy Readers set.

#580 @ sug don \$13.45



to discover the will of the people are quite irrelevant.

Why has the country adopted a procedural system to run its society? An official public process exists for the purpose of producing a decision (*it's often referred to as the "decision-making process"*). This *decision* is supposed to express popular consent, thereby confirming authority on the process as government-on-behalf-of the citizenry, as *self-government*. "The Process" operates as a shared *function* - a kind of collective ritual - which by virtue of this shared quality bestows authority upon its decisions. Everyone involved in the public ritualism of the **Constitution** is implicated in and responsible for whatever decisions issue from it, even when most citizens personally oppose these decisions (i.e. fagrimony and abortion). Citizens are guaranteed an equal process not an equal outcome. By participating they implicitly agree to accept outcomes even when they lose.

Procedural decision-making, however, presupposes that we *don't know* or can't know until the authoritative process tells us what our collective will is upon issuing its decisions. Such an outlook is not Christian but pagan, for to assume we *don't* or *can't know* until the process tells us is to, in effect, call the God of the Christian Bible a liar.

We do know already because the Bible already tells us!

The act of feigning ignorance in this way is nothing less than an attempt, and a passive-aggressive one at that, to suppress the truth of Christian Revelation. The authority of constitutional proceduralism supplants God's authority, and "The Process" takes the place of God as sovereign Law giver.

The real goal of constitutional proceduralism, therefore, is to repeal God's Law and authority by issuing anti-Biblical decisions. This is not an accidental by-product of following "the Process." ***It's the whole point!*** If "The Process" didn't deliver anti-Biblical, although officially legal and binding decisions, then this process would be completely redundant. If constitutional proceduralism only ever issued Christian decisions, then what would we need the Bible for? We do not need a non-Christian system to deliver Christian results.

We already have the Bible! So what would we need the Constitution for?

The constitutional process doesn't help Christians. It only helps those who want to repeal God's Law. We don't need it. Only they do. It's lose-lose for us and win-win for them. We already have our Law-Giver. And Christians are held hostage by "The Process" because, they are told, by participating in "The Process" they have given their permission to whatever abominations this process issues.

You win some, you lose some. Unfortunately, in the constitutional system Christians always lose. Even when they win they lose. One might even correctly say, "we lose bigger when we win," because our "winning" issues not from Biblical authority but from the secular anti-God authority of "The Process." Even when we ostensibly win it is so only by accepting the anti-God process as issuer of God's decisions, which were, oh yeah, already issued by God's Word. When this process throws us a few crumbs now and then—by, say, electing a Christian congressman or temporarily legislating religious protections—and we respond with a victory dance, we are celebrating the fact that Christian-friendly outcomes issued from Baal authority

and not from God's authority. We are stating thereby, we can produce morally good decisions on our own, by our own power, and without Jesus. When we win through constitutional proceduralism, we lose as Christians, because we confirm the power of Baal as issuer and minister of Christianity.

Is God's government procedural? No, a process is only needed in order to divine the will of the people. In God's Kingdom the will of the people is irrelevant while God's Will is sovereign Law. God speaks and man obeys, period!

God's government is authoritarian indeed, but it is legitimately authoritarian because God as Creator is the only legitimate authority. When man tries to govern as God (as the tyrant Nimrod) his system of government is abusively authoritarian. He must invariably must compensate for his mortal deficiencies by resorting to surveillance, control and terror. During the long course of fallen man's errors in governing without God, commonly known as western history, he finally figured out how to hide the failure of his tyranny by concealing it behind the mask of popular will, social contract, or legitimately authorized government *by, for and of The People*, i.e. procedural constitutionalism.

Some Christian leaders speak about the Supreme Court decision as if gay marriage were a violation of the *Constitution* as it was originally intended, forgetting perhaps that the *Constitution* does no more than outline a procedural system and does not take sides over moral issues. Far from being a corruption of the *Constitution*, the fagrimony decision was the *Constitution* working perfectly as

it was designed (This discounting the dissent of Justice Scalia, because his objection is only that a technical error of constitutional due process was committed and not that the *Constitution* morally prohibits gay marriage. As far as Scalia is concerned, as long as separation of powers were maintained a result in favor of legalized gay marriage is constitutionally legitimate).

The *Constitution* is prohibited by its basic principles from taking sides for or against any particular content it is adjudicating, whether this be faggots, pornographers, abortionists, or even Judeo-Christians claiming their 501(c)3 rights. The *Constitution* is not allowed to say one side in a dispute is good while the other is bad, it is required to process them all equally, morally blind to whatever particular cause they're pushing. If in the past the government had been guilty of violating its own basic principles by showing favoritism toward White Christian culture, this does not mean the *Constitution* is not procedural. It simply means that the *Constitution* has not been applied consistently according to its own principles. It may take the culture and morality of a civilization centuries to catch up with its new gods and new law-order. Once the Christian God and His Law were supplanted with a secular pagan god and law beginning from 1776, it still took several generations for Christian culture and institutions to catch up with this new order. Christian assimilation is still occurring as we witness with legalized fagrimony. The *United States* is simply becoming what it has always been, according to its original intent, anti-Christian.

I'm an unapologetic and fanatical opponent of the *Constitution*, because I'm a Protestant Christian and I believe in *Sola Scriptura*, not the Bible **and** the *Constitution*. I just think if we're going to use or even discuss the *Constitution*

Collector Item:

THE STONE KINGDOM: AMERICA

by E. Raymond Capt

In this book Mr. Capt traces the history of each of these four empires which Daniel was shown: Babylon, Medo-Persia, Greece, and Rome, and their relationship with his fifth and final kingdom. All other governments or kingdoms, have risen, flourished for a while, and fallen - Not so with the Stone Kingdom.

#355 @ sug don \$26.75



we should be completely candid about just what it is. We should not try to blend Christianity with the leaven of secular proceduralism, because we are unwilling to separate ourselves, as God commands, from this corrupting leaven. Let's let Christianity be what it is and the *Constitution* be what it is, and not try and confuse the two.

Legalized fagrimony is not the result of some malfunction. It's the result of the Constitution working successfully. So too is abortion, replacing prayer in school with evolution and sex education, banning the **Ten Commandments** in courthouses, and decriminalising prostitution, dope, and gambling; all the result of the *Constitution* functioning successfully. You see, the problem isn't the *Constitution* not working properly; the problem is that the *Constitution* **IS** working properly. The reason Christian values have persisted up to about a century ago despite the encroachment of secularism is because these values restrained the *Constitution* from working successfully. The problem is the *Constitution* itself, which is why we need a Christian revolution and not "original intent."

This is precisely the crucial point for Christians who seem always to be in a perpetual state of surprise over the fact that anti-God policies get issued by anti-God government. The root trouble isn't fagrimony or infanticide, it's the constitutional process that authorizes fagrimony and infanticide. And the great evil of the constitutional system is not that it licenses wickedness, but that it refuses to acknowledge the difference between wickedness and righteousness by pretending to be neutral, secular, procedural and morally blindfolded.

The above picture of the Constitution as a values-neutral proceduralism is not some left-wing revisionist interpretation. This is the Libertarian (i.e. fiscal conservative) understanding of the Constitution, and what could possibly be more "original intent" than the Libertarian position?

These are the same guys who scream "it's none of the government's business who I have sex with, or who I decide to marry, or what dope I use and what brand of sorcery I practice, or how many abortions I've paid for and what venereal diseases I've contracted!" on FOX NEWS and at TEA PARTY PATRONAGE rallies.

MORALITY IS NONE OF THE GOVERNMENT'S BUSINESS!., WE, THE PEOPLE declares.

Which is exactly why insurrectionist man drew up the *Constitution* in the first place. Libertarianism is the purest form of blind proceduralism. Wilful blindness to sin is the original intent. The *Constitution* functions as a tranquilizer that paralyzes the us from taking action against wickedness. While we spin our wheels going through "The Process," evil holds sway over the land, laughing us to derision because we are so gullible as to buy into their whole deception in the first place. As an Identity Christian I'm all for big government, **big Christian government**. I want the Law of God to get into your private life. I want you to be held publicly accountable to Jesus for your private sins. The conflict at issue for true conservatives is not between big government and small government, it's between God's government and secular procedural government.

657 Clay St. #67, San Francisco CA 94111

IS CHRISTIANITY A RELIGION OR A NATION?

by **Matthew Luckey**

Surprise, if you haven't yet noticed, reality is a warzone for Christians. This is simply a fact of life; a violent

conflict between two warring combatants for dominion over the earth. We can witness this warfare everywhere, polite civil spaces and not so polite civil spaces. They are all combat zones: the Public Library, the shopping mall, the workplace, the DMV and hospital, etc. etc. etc. God's sovereign authority and the authority He delegates to His Covenant People are attacked constantly and everywhere.

Therefore, it is incorrect to think of Christianity as a religion, for religions are under terms of peace with the ruling powers. In fact, an official 501(c)3 religion acts as a friend and agent on behalf of the ruling powers, forever affirming these powers' right to rule. A religion is never in a state of conflict with its environment, because a religion is in relation of *dependence* upon the powers that manage the environment. It does not claim a right to exist independently from the ruling powers—to exist in its own right (dominion)—thereby contesting their authority. Religion is a social institution whose existence presupposes peacetime as its prevailing condition. And peace presupposes an authority that secures and acts as guarantor for peaceful conditions. "Religion," therefore, is a designation of *subordination* that exists by virtue of the *domination* power allowing it to exist.

Christianity, on the other hand, cannot be a religion, because it refuses subordination to, nor will it recognise, any higher power under whose care it is made ward, except that power of the Creator God of Holy Scripture. In fact, Christianity violently

opposes any social power that claims sovereignty, because Christianity itself is a claim to absolute and unconditional sovereignty, the sovereignty of the author of Christianity the Living Jesus the Anointed. Christianity is the physical fact of territorial dominion, Kingdom rule, and Christian Imperium on behalf of God's sovereign authority. Christianity finds itself imbedded behind enemy lines, and consequently always in a state of war with its environment. Christianity, therefore, must be a nation and not a religion, for only a nation asserts dominion, and dominion presupposes warfare, not peace, as its precondition.

A seditious bargain is struck between religion and ungodly powers: in exchange for recognizing their dominance, these powers will officially authorize—by virtue of their dominance—religion to exist as "religion." Under the seditious power commonly known as the UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT, this authorization is called "501(c)3." In exchange for affirming the GOVERNMENT as its god, Judeo-Christians are formally licensed to exist as 501(c)3 religious organizations. Judeo-Christians are the biggest patriots of the GOVERNMENT, and of the free-market system as well (by affirming the free-market as its other god, Judeo-Christian churches are also authorized by the power of this free-market god to be religious market enterprises). Christianity, therefore, cannot be a religion under 501(c)3 authorization, because such is idolatry and treason against the Christian God.

Given that life is a warzone, everything in life boils down to the issue of *domination* and *subordination*. Christian-Israel's enemies will often behave in an ostensibly peaceful and polite manner toward it in an effort to get Christian-Israel to voluntarily accept their own *subordination* to this enemy's *domination*. Such is the case with signing the *CONSTITUTION* into law, or legislating special civil rights, or agreeing to a universalist financial system. All such politely-agreed-to arrangements presuppose Christian-Israel's subordination to its adversary's domi-

Videos You May Have Missed:
THE GREAT ZAPRUDER FILM HOAX
THE ZAPRUDER FILM MYSTERY
How the film was doctored and frames removed, covering the JFK assassination
2 separate clips of 57:32 and 1:25:03 min.
CI-690 @ sug don \$9



nance. Shrewdly, our enemy chooses to subjugate us by means of our own cooperation as opposed to the use of overt violence. They know that if Israel awakens from its slumber and realizes it's in a real war for survival, Israel will utterly destroy its enemies from the face of the earth.

This is not to say our enemy does not commit any acts of physical violence on God's Covenant People. On the contrary, such violence on God's People is a way of life in modern society, and we have come to accept this as an ordinary fact. The enemy gets away with violence on Israel by categorizing it as "crime" instead of what it really is, an act of war. Crime does not require retaliation. In fact, retaliation is also prohibited as a crime, the crime of taking the law into one's own hands, vigilantism. And our officials consider vigilantism a much worse crime than the ones that vigilantism seeks to redress, because vigilantism is ultimately a challenge to the authority of these officials. Crime requires the response of an orderly legal process. Justice is taken out of the hands of the victim and given to the criminal justice system. Thus, our enemy gets away with war against Israel by conducting it under the category of crime. Either God's People are designated as criminal and dealt with by law enforcement (i.e. Gordon Kahl, Ruby Ridge, etc.); or else truly criminal elements are deployed as a weapon against God's People unofficially by the system itself. Negroes are incited by the media to commit Black-on-White race war; politicians legislate policies enabling Latin American narco-traffickers to invade our borders and commit atrocities on the White population; pedophiles are given access to their underage victims under the ruse of gay rights; baby-killers are empowered to commit a holocaust against the unborn by abortion rights. When we complain to those officials who are supposed to protect us they reflexively shrug and tell us, "No government is perfect, but rest assured, you live in the best one."

Ancient Babylon did not subjugate captive Israel (Kingdom of Judah) by outlawing its "religion." It subjugated Israel by officially institutionalizing it as a state-approved religion. Israel the nation became Judaism the religion under Babylon's version of 501(c)3 status. If you really want to destroy something good don't prohibit it; issue orders of tolerance and then administrate and regulate it to death.

The Babylonians emperors were cunning. They even issued incentives to encourage the racially and religiously mixed population of Babylon to convert to Judaism, including tax exemption. The emperors wanted their citizens to convert to Judaism!

They wisely understood that by formally recognizing Judaism they were also placing it in a subordinate relationship dependent upon their sovereign office, and thereby forcing Israel to renounce its God-ordained right to exist as an independent, self-determining nation in exchange. Why utterly destroy a competing power when you can absorb it under your own power and then deploy it as a mechanism for controlling the population? As a nation Israel stood as the assertion of sovereign independence under its God. As the religion Judaism, Israel became the neutered ward and court eunuch of the emperor's sovereign indulgence.

A religion is an institution of universal inclusion. The proper member of a religion is the convert, who becomes a member by volunteerism; right of conversion regardless of age, race, gender identity, etc., etc., guaranteed by the sovereign power of the secular state. A Christian nation, on the

other hand, is an institution of exclusion, the right of the Sovereign Creator to select who He will to be members of His Holy Race. "Nation" is simply another word for race once it has been politically formulated and politically realized. Religion tells God whom He must accept, while God predestines and commands His own Race for national dominion.

Judaism is a religion; Judeo-Christianity is a religion. Israel was a nation, and Christian-Israel is a nation, an Aryan Nation. Babylon conquered the mind and heart of Israel by transforming the Aryan Israel nation into the Judaic religion. Likewise, the neo-Babylonian government conquers the minds and hearts of God's Covenant Christians by turning the Aryan Christian nation into the Judeo-Christian religion. And Christian-Israel cooperates in its own subjugation by agreeing to the terms of its surrender: *THE DECLARATION OF INDEPENDENCE* and the *CONSTITUTION*.

A nation embodies the particular identity of a concrete people as opposed to the servile identity expressed by a universalist political-religious-economic system. A nation is a claim of dominion, of a concrete race's self-determined right to territorial jurisdiction, i.e. a right to exist in *this* world. The internal ideology that formally outlines this right to exist as a racial dominion may be called this race's "religion," or more accurately, "its race as its religion," for in the *real* flesh and blood world race and religion are identical, only different modes of a single entity. Thus, theology is nothing other than the political constitution of a race; or in the case of secular universalism, theology represents a deceptive weapon of occult war surreptitiously deployed by the Jew to advance its own racial dominion under a false pretext. "Religion" used in this sense simply

means the racial instinct to not only survive but flourish formalized rationally as political theology. The use of the term "religion," however, is incorrect in the modern context where it always implies subordination to and dependence on a higher human authority to award freedom of religion, thereby contradicting the notion of racial national self-determination.

We live on the planet of races, not of spiritual beings or abstract entities called "individuals" who bear constitutional rights. If you truly desire such magical beings as sprites and "rugged individualists," you will have to step through the portal on the left to the polka-dot planet. If you want to exist on this planet, then you are required to insist on your own race's right to exist. You can remove George, Mary, and Steve, and you will still have the race of George, Mary, and Steve. But if you eliminate the race, you will have no one. If you do not exist as a race, you do not exist. At best you are made to subsist dependent upon another race (i.e. Jew) that does insist on its racial right to exist (Global Zionism).

When a race asserts its right to exist as a race independent of any other human power it automatically becomes a nation, race-as-nation, for only a race can truly be a nation. A nation based on anything else besides race and racial ideology (i.e. Christianity) is no nation at all, but rather, functions as a tool to advance another race's hidden nation. True nationalism is always racial in essence. The only difference is between successful race-nations and failed race-nations. A nationalism that pretends to be based on volunteerism instead of race is not another kind of nationalism; it is another name for a race-nation defeated and brought into

**Another video you may have missed:
ON THE LAW OF GOD**

**Jay Nauss at the Inverell Forum
22-03-09**

**Jay does a great job of bringing God's
Law to a general audience a few years
ago, but still very relevant.
DVD#CI-691 @ sug don \$9**



bondage under another race-nation. It is no more than a chain-gang of equal slaves under a constitution of captivity to another race that does assert its nationalism.

Only the Creator God has authority to sanction a racial right to exist as nation, since only He is creator of the kind of racial beings to whom the earth belongs. Racial dominion as nation is the Creator's will. If it were not He would have created beings with another kind of nature to populate the earth. The rational formulation of this racial right to exist as national dominion is the ideology of racial nationalism (The Holy Bible). It is not a religion because it is not neutered and subject to another human dominion (Babylon). "Religion" is a designation of subordination and captivity. "Nation" is a name for autonomy and self-determination.

Noteworthy Quotes:

All authority of government on earth originates from the sovereignty of God alone. When God says to me, "Obey," then I humbly bow my head, without compromising in the least my personal dignity as a man. For in like proportion as you degrade yourself, by bowing low to a child of man, whose breath is on their nostrils, so on the other hand do you raise yourself, if you submit to the authority of the Lord of heaven and earth.

Abraham Kuyper, Lectures on Calvinism, (1931).

Alfalfa is an intolerable water guzzler which California harvests for export to China to feed workers who make our iPads. . . I can't wait for the Alfalfa industry to challenge excessive government intervention in their freedom to engage in commerce with the centrally planned economy of a communist state.

Nato Green, *San Francisco Examiner*.

Today, most of the good people are afraid to be good. They strive to be broad-minded and tolerant—but mostly tolerant of evil—and this new code has reached the proportions of demanding intolerance of good. The wall of resistance to evil has thus been broken down and no longer affords protection to those who persecuted by evildoers, stand in need of it.

Lady Queensborough, *Occult Theocracy* (1933).

What else remains as "politics" except resistance to the inhuman.

-Jean-Francois Lyotard.

Christ (The Anointed) came to free men from guilt, but the "now" gospel is designed to make us feel guilty for the sins of others, and for the backwardness of other people and races in other words, White People must pay a heavy tax penalty for some time to come because of its initiative and superiority.

-Rousas John Rushdoony, *Chalcedon Report*, #26

See "supply and demand" is what someone quotes you right before they try to take what you have. They blame it on the market or say that "change is inevitable" but those are all euphemisms for "I don't care about you." If we allowed the market to solve all our problems, then we'd all be working for Microsoft because there never would've been anti-trust laws to break things up. The 1 percent would be the 0.001 percent and they'd literally own everything.

-Stuart Schuffman, *San Francisco Examiner*.

He whom God guides will not stray, but he whom God

leads astray will not find the way.

-Old Saying.-

Conservative Baptist minister and theology professor at Mercer University, Rev. Dr. David Gushee flips sides by embracing homosexuality in a new book *Changing Our Mind*. Gushee travelled from Atlanta to hawk the Judas book and speak in celebration of Supreme Court's recent surrender to militant fagrimony activists at San Francisco's Episcopalian Grace Cathedral, the church where San Francisco's "Society Page" reprobates go to worship their own gold-plated sins: "The cathedral has hosted blessings and weddings for same-sex couples since 1998 and has a long history of acceptance, said Elizabeth Grundy, a reverend canon with the church. "Baptist Gushee originally obeyed God's Word by condemning faggotry, but then he moved to Atlanta with its homy population second in size only to San Francisco. Suddenly he saw the light—or the dark—if you will: "Last year, Gushee published that personal and theological journey in a book, *Changing Our Mind*, and has since argued that a literal reading of Biblical references to gays and lesbians without proper context and broader interpretation has led to a great deal of harm" (S.F.Examiner, July 3, 2015). It's encouraging to see we still have (other) pastors with moral spines of granite running the Baptist denominations, rather than a bunch of weak sisters from the sewing circle acquiring their theology from the latest winds of fashion and aiming to please the loud and scary ones.

- Matthew Luckey. -

OUR READERS SAY

Dear Hank and Whelming: Hello you two lovely people. I have enclosed another tithe to our Lord God and His Son Jesus the Christ; For your ministry in their behalf to spread the Truth to our Race. And you do a wonderful "job" with it and your articles are knowledgeable, uplifting, encouraging, informative, pleasing, interesting and just when I am struggling, there and behold there is a Scripture from His Word which I am delighted about, and the comfort it gives me is wonderful. The timing is perfect. Thank you both and, keep up the good work and may God bless you always. ----- QLD

Dear Hank, Glad to hear you had a good family reunion. Please send me the disc on Climate Change, What they haven't told you. Here are some more names to send sample newsletters to. Yours ----- NSW

Greetings in Jesus' name. After a phone call from overseas, enlightening me about transdermal magnesium, I have acquired a book on that subject, and have also obtained some Magnesium Chloride flakes, which we dissolve in warm water and then soak my feet for a half hour or so. I also have a small spray bottle of magnesium chloride, to spray on the skin/muscle. So far, it seems to be working, as I have not had an "episode" since following this routine. I am still also using the Strauss Heart Drops from New Zealand. As the reader above notes, I also have often noticed that when there is a problem or difficulty, the necessary information or solution "miraculously" presents itself. We thank God for His continuing mercies, and for providing the answers.

Thank you all again for your input, orders and donations, much appreciated as usual, also thanks for any advice regarding my condition. Please note that donations for the Loeries have declined over the last year. Remember the next transfer to them will be made in September. May the God of our forefathers bless you and keep you and make His face shine on you and be gracious unto you and grant you peace in these troubling times,

