



# Christian Identity Ministries

A member of the  
Congregations of Israel

PO Box 146, CARDWELL, QLD, 4849, Australia

Ph: 07-4066 0146 (International 61-7 instead of 07) [www.christianidentityministries.com](http://www.christianidentityministries.com) - [hr\\_cim@bigpond.com](mailto:hr_cim@bigpond.com)

"Blessed be the LORD God of *Israel*; For He hath visited and redeemed *His* people, And hath raised up an horn of salvation for *us* in the house of his servant David; as he spake by the mouth of his holy prophets, which have been since the world began; That *we* should be saved from *our* enemies and from the hand of all that hate *us*; to perform the mercy promised to *our* fathers and to remember his holy covenant; The oath which he swore to *our* father Abraham, That he would grant unto *us*, that *we* being delivered out of the hand of *our* enemies might serve him without fear, in holiness and righteousness before him, all the days of *our* lives." Luke 1:68-75; the Anglo-Saxon-Celtic-Germanic-Scandinavian people are *ISRAEL!*

#357

## Covenant Messenger

February AD2016

(a publication of N.Q. Fellowship of God's Covenant People)

### DISSIDENT RACISM by pastor Mark Downey

*"I have yet many things to say unto you, but ye cannot bear them now. Howbeit when he, the spirit of truth, is come, he will guide you into all truth: for he shall not speak of himself; but whatsoever he shall hear, that shall he speak: and he will shew you things to come. He shall glorify me: for he shall receive of mine, and shall shew it unto you. All things that the Father hath are mine: therefore said I, that he shall take of mine, and shall shew it unto you."*

John 16:12-15.

In a world where nothing seems to matter anymore, especially the truth, why is the recent sound bite 'Black Lives Matter' going viral? Why is it taking such an extreme racist position while bemoaning racism? The truth of the matter would seem to imply that 'black low-lives matter' or conversely 'White Lives Don't Matter.' To the world of pornographic infomercials, 'size matters' and the public airwaves controlled by the "prince of the power of the air" Jews, inundate living rooms with little children hearing a steady mantra of "Viagra" and "Cialis." If race is such a tender ultra-sensitive issue demanding meaningless words like 'respect' and 'dignity,' then you would think that the cultural assaults on Christianity perpetrated by the same tribe of professional race-baiters would not rub the nose of decent White Christian Americans in their smut. It's not a two-way street. Is it that hard to figure out, that an enemy of the White race has a completely different criteria for what really matters most? Why is it that we are hearing more about the Confederate flag than the alleged 9 Negroes shot in their black church by a White guy? Does it matter if the alleged shooter, Dylaan Roof, is a Manchurian Candidate or a patsy and somebody else is pulling the strings (or triggers) behind the scenes? If this blitzkrieg avalanche of non-White hate speech is not being choreographed, and is not coincidental, then it very well may be a meme, a cultural phenomenon where an idea or phrase spreads exponentially within a certain demographic. "Black low lives matter" cannot proliferate without the scapegoat of eradicating the Confederate flag, as if the two are inseparable, which perfectly distracts us from their lawlessness and their handlers lurking in the shadows.

Apparently when a darkie Muslim kills US Marines, it's an "isolated incident" and has nothing to do with Islam, a non-White religion; in fact, a Jewish invention. Initially, they tried to pin the Charleston shooting to guns in the hands of White people and the need to control law-abiding White people who bear arms. However, that bright idea

evaporated as soon as the statistics pointed to an overwhelming propensity of black low lives that commit more gun violence in America than anyone else, no matter how jaded the news is. In fact, here's the twisted logic of Babylonian horse thieves telling us what matters: Whites are oppressors, non-Whites are victims; although race doesn't exist, we're supposed to love racial diversity; but we celebrate our differences, because we're all the same; therefore we must destroy diversity by race-mixing, but only in White countries.

Is there a love connection between Charleston, Chattanooga and the Thursday (23-7-15) shooting in a Louisiana movie theater? And oh how they love to say the shooter was a "White man" a million times. I say 'love' because they all seem to be affectionately touted by the Jews media as their penchant to confiscate your guns in a series or run of serial PSY-OP's, staged events (like Port Arthur). Again, as is the case with so many manufactured realities, one of the soldiers killed in Chattanooga was killed in Iraq in 2004, Marine Lance Corporal Larry L. Wells. They love to call good evil; after all, it's the evil assault weapon that kills people, not human beings. Why don't they have the same disdain for chimpanzees, a term used to describe violent black gangs acting like wild animals? Why? It's because they love an out of control chimp. The Holy Spirit, on the other hand, from our Scripture reading today, tells us

#### IN THIS ISSUE:

Dissident Racism, .....	1
Lamsa's Eastern Text from the Peshitta, .....	7
The Next Frontier in the Sexual Revolution, .....	8
The Saddest Story Ever Told, .....	9
When State Sovereignty Defies Divine Sovereignty,10	

The views and opinions expressed in the articles herein or herewith are those of the authors and not necessarily those of CIM. They are written by fallible men. You must ask Jesus to guide your studies!

CIM reserves the right to edit submitted or reprinted material in line with CIM editorial policy. CIM does the utmost to ensure that the spirit of articles remains intact at all times.

“When the Spirit of truth comes, He shall lead you into the whole truth ... and the events that are coming He will announce ... what He receives from Me, he will transmit to you.” Dissident racism gives us the writing on the wall from the watchmen on the wall. All we have to do is not believe their lies; the Jews and their lies. And oh how the pulpit whores can make hay about red moons; “Vain pretenders! You know how to analyze the appearance of the earth and the sky, but you don’t know how to discern the present times” Luke 12:56. Presently, we are being overwhelmed with a slew of violent brainwashing techniques to disarm White Christian America. If you can’t see the patterns, your mind is being washed with their dirty lies. There always seems to be a pattern of the “lone nut,” drugs or mental problems, firearms used efficiently with no previous training, immediate federal intervention over local authorities and a perfectly orchestrated media regurgitating only the sanitized version for public consumption after the real body of evidence has been “classified.” And we never see or hear from the perpetrator again, except during the carefully staged trial with all concerned being corrupt actors and conspirators. And always an ulterior ready-made agenda for drastic legislation to **advance the emerging police state, gun confiscation and widespread surveillance.**

It’s pretty obvious that whoever started this ‘black lives matters’ nonsense had an agenda to agitate and push the envelope to **kill more White people** than they already are. The race war has entered into a new realm of hypocrisy and violence with the non-stop hype of what only matters to blacks and other half-breeds. Certainly the reaction was to be calculated when others would say “hey other lives matter also” and they would be the next level of ostracized, politically incorrect racists; an inexcusable thought crime perpetrating hate. Yeah, I hate these bastards and if mamzers don’t enter the congregation (Deut. 23:2), then mamzers don’t matter in the Kingdom of God. If we are to be marginalized by jungle supremacists, then our options are limited to moving to safe areas (even though there’s no place to run these days), submit to the concentration camps called corporate cities or we can fight on as many fronts as we choose with any weapon we want, physically and spiritually, above ground and underground. Not one single presidential candidate will dare to say that White lives matter. That’s because they want blacks to vote for them; they want the brainwashed masses those White voters who worship the negro and are constantly pining for Negroes to like them. Blacks will never like White people, because they see us as being a privileged class and the perpetrators of slavery, even though that’s not true. They don’t care about you or the canard of a brotherhood of man; they only care about how they can extract more freebies from you through taxes or by force. We’ll get to slavery in a moment, because the Confederate flag is being mischaracterized as a symbol of slave masters

But first, we need to look at an outrageous race traitor who was snookered by his own PC Democrats last weekend (18-7-15) in Arizona at a town hall meeting for liberal activists. The event was upstaged by a Black Alliance group, taking over the stage where Sen. Bernie Sanders and former governor of Maryland, Martin O’Malley, were to speak in front of a multi-racial audience of progressive socialists/communists. The boisterous group that flooded in through a side door were shouting down the presidential

contenders, grabbed a microphone and began to rant and rave, finally demanding of O’Malley this question, “As the leader of this nation, will you advance a racial justice agenda that will dismantle, not reform, not make progress, but will begin to dismantle structural racism in the United States?” To which O’Malley immediately responded “Yes,” but before he could say anything else, the frenzied intruders began chanting “Black lives matter, black lives matter” followed by another phrase gaining traction when blacks get on TV “If I die in police custody, Burn everything down!” Of course, Negroes don’t understand that being out of the jungle for only a couple hundred years is like a fish out of water and don’t seem to grasp their own propensity for criminal behaviour attracts law enforcement to their criminal activity much more frequently than any other people groups. Compound this with their unruly temperaments and unwillingness to cooperate with police, and this always invites violent encounters. Negroes do not accept accountability, or inferior traits inherent within black communities and instead chose to play the blame game attributing the deaths of numerous gangbangers, dopers and malcontents to White racism. But you never hear about the extraordinary statistics of blacks killed by their own kind on an annual basis, that has been going on for years, much in the same way tribal warfare erupts as a way of life on their home continent of Africa.

O’Malley stood in silence as the heathen raged, while the organizers begged the crowd to calm down and let him respond. Thinking he could pacify all the barking dogs, he threw the crowd a bone, saying, “Black lives matter. White lives matter. All lives matter.” At the point that he said ‘White lives matter’ the crowd exploded with more vociferous shouting and boo-ing. It was a grand exhibition of how low America

has devolved in civility and animalistic interaction. If that wasn’t pathetic enough, the next day O’Malley groveled to the same animals saying, “That was a mistake on my part and I meant no disrespect, I did not understand the tremendous passion, commitment and feeling, and depth of feeling that all of us should be attaching to this issue.” And the black community graciously accepted his apology? No. Why would they respect a spineless apologetic coward that doesn’t have a dark skin? Bernie Sanders wasn’t much better when he got his chance to mutter some egalitarian platitudes, he said, “Black lives, of course, matter. I spent 50 years of my life fighting for civil rights and for dignity. But if you don’t want me to be here, that’s OK. I don’t want to out-scream people.” 50 years wasted on the false premise that savages can never be our equals. Now we know, that blacks do not believe that all lives are equal. Racial equality is a farce.

Is it any wonder that defenders of our faith and race, might have a dissident voice objecting to the notion being put forth that our lives don’t matter? I will defend the Bible and my people to say what the God of Israel says: quoting John 10:10, “*The thief comes only to steal and kill and destroy; I came that they may have life, and have it abundantly.*” Who is the “they” in this verse and what thieves? This is not a generic de-racinated passage pandering to universalists. The same bunch of thieves are mentioned elsewhere, most notably in the parable of the householder; “*But when the husbandmen saw the son, they said among themselves, This is the heir; come, let us kill him, and let us seize his inheritance*” Matt. 21:38. The vineyard was a metaphor for true Israel, the White race, and in verse 45 “the chief

**New Video Series:**  
*the 4th video*  
**THE TRUTH ABOUT CANCER**  
**A Global Quest:**  
*episodes 7 and 8*  
*with Ty Bolinger*  
*very extensive and informative*  
*a ‘must have’ series*  
**#CI-1006-D @ sug don \$8**



priests and Pharisees ... perceived that He spoke of them," the Jews, who killed the Son of God, Jesus (the) Anointed ["*Father, forgive them NOT, for they know what they are doing.*" Lk 23:34]; stealing the name of Israel, which they have done, and for the last 2000 years have cursed Christianity. That's not how the Abrahamic Covenant works; we don't curse those who bless us, but that's the way the Jew works. The racial context of John 10:10 is in the very next verse (11), "*I am the Good Shepherd: the Good Shepherd gives His life for the sheep.*" Sheep are always in reference to the lost sheep of the house of Israel who are always separated from goats and other metaphors for alien strangers. Our life may not matter to strangers, but it matters to our Kinsman Redeemer. The children of darkness are booing and jeering at the thought that White lives not only matter, but matter abundantly. This is indeed White privilege that other races have no right to; they are not the heirs of the promises of God. It is only a matter of time, when the mongrel will declare that the God of the Bible does not matter. It becomes so much easier when the antichrist can convince White people that they are insignificant and that their lives have no meaning, in order to destroy them without much resistance. It's psychological warfare, but putting on the whole armor of God is the White Power of dissident racism.

"*The truth shall make you free*" John 8:32. The racial alien will never know the truth, will never live life according to the Word of God and therefore will never be free, which means they will always be slaves in bondage to the carnal world. Those who believe a lie, regardless of race, will suffer strong delusion. The CNT translates 2 Thes. 2:11 whereby God "*sends them an operation of error for them to believe in that which is false, that all those should be judged who believing not the truth, rather have satisfaction in unrighteousness.*"

The enormity of "black lives matter" is an operation of error that wallows in wickedness; that makes the Confederate flag a warped and twisted symbol of fantasy slavery and is no different than the fantasy Holocaust. You want to talk about slavery, and I don't think our adversaries want to be confused with historical facts (but they want you to be confused), the black slave does not compare to the oppression the White slave has endured (if you want to find out about White slavery, Amazon has the book **WHITE CARGO** available in paperback).

From a Christian Identity perspective, Adam was the first White man, the 12 tribes of Israel became the Aryan nations of Europe and many prophecies have been fulfilled for Israel right here in America. Our ancient ancestors endured the slavery of Egypt, some of whom escaped, getting to Europe before the great migrations out of Assyria. Yes, the northern house of Israel did not disappear from history when Assyria took them into bondage. We can prove that the Celts, the Saxons, the Scythians, the Jutes, the Lombards, the Goths and a host of other branches of the 12 tribes were connected to Israel and survived their time of slavery in Assyria, which was their divine judgment for disobeying God. The same held true for the southern house of Israel (Judah) going into the Babylonian captivity many years later. And then we cannot dismiss the history of the great whore of Babylon, **the RCC**, making their mark in history, subjugating White people to ecclesiastical slavery

for hundreds of years. The negro was never a slave during this great expanse of time in the jungles of Africa, no, at worst they were running from lions or their own tribesmen wanting to eat them for dinner. And that is the reality check for today, that we are dealing with cannibals who are only a stone's throw from the jungle, who create their afro-centric history out of thin air.

In Michael Hoffman's book '**They Were White and They Were Slaves**' [#273 @ \$17.95] is yet another chronicle of the hidden history of White slavery during the same era of blacks being brought to colonial America in the 17th and 18th centuries from Africa. The difference between black slaves and White slaves is that the negro was bought and sold as a commodity, whereas the poor Whites [many taken from English prisons], and often times children, were kidnapped, often called "indentured servants," and subjected to hard labour and faring much worse than the imported Africans. White slaves were the cheapest of labourers. Hundreds of thousands of White slaves were kidnapped by thugs, chained, whipped, and worked to death in the colonies; they were an expendable commodity, because evidently, the lives of black slaves mattered more than the lives of White slaves. This was the cruelty of the (English, and the) northern upper class, who had the gall to

start a War of Northern Aggression against the South who did not exploit the trafficking of White slaves. [Brothels in England were also raided, and the women shipped to the colonies as 'breeding stock' for the White slaves].

I dare say there is more White slavery in the world today than black slavery. In one California county alone, there is a class action lawsuit for thousands of children who were wrongfully taken from their parents without a warrant by CPSW (Child Protective Services), a criminal organization kidnapping children and conspiring

with criminal foster care homes in the business of selling children in the sex-trafficking cartel. Who is CPS giving these children to? According to the LA Times, 1000 "convicted sex offenders" had been given a "green light" by CPS to become "approved foster parents" just in Los Angeles County. Half of those children were murdered while away from their families. This industry now makes more money than the illegal drug trade and illegal arms trade combined. Georgia Senator Nancy Schaeffer was one of the few who did speak out, and tried to do something to stop this horrendous problem, but now she is dead, many believe murdered. Why haven't we heard about these atrocities of modern White slavery? Because the damn controlled Jews media is more concerned about White racism and the Confederate flag. The foreign press has reported on pedophile rings in the highest echelons of government here in the US and in the UK. Everybody is afraid because there are some really big heavy hitters that are very influential that are involved. Surely Donald Trump has heard about his fellow billionaires who are filthy perverts; about the buying and selling of his own kind and the great wealth accrued from untold suffering. George "Poppy" Bush is one of those elites, who are too big to be prosecuted for clearly being involved in child sex, heroin smuggling, MKULTRA, Satanism and a multitude of other corruptions, all documented in Sen. John DeCamp's book "The Franklin Cover-up." Tammi Stefano (a White woman), executive director of The National Safe Child Coalition, who has

*New Video:*

**PEOPLE WHO CONTROL AMERICA  
Mind-Blowing Documentary 2015**

*It reveals how all branches of the government are run by corporations. This is the invisible government and the people have no say which President they get. The CFR controls both parties. War is a racket and is used to create as much fear and trauma as possible to bring in World Government and NWO. - 138 min.*

**CI-1003 @ sug don \$8**



investigated this real life horror within 48 hours after a child turns 18 and they leave their foster homes, 65 to 70% of them are captured into human trafficking syndicates. Stefano believes the only way this can happen is because those inside the foster care system are helping to arrange it to happen, for a fee. Stefano has received numerous death threats, her website hacked and phones bugged.

Can you say “dissident racism”? Is there any fire of hate kindled in your heart? Jesus said in Luke 12:49, “I have come to cast fire upon the earth; and what do I desire that it already be kindled?” Can anybody answer what the desire of the Lord is for us in a time of Jacob’s trouble? Not a time of troubled Negroes, but a time of Negroes troubling the White race. Churchianity doesn’t have a clue about Jeremiah 30:7, “Alas, what a terrible time of trouble it is! There has never been any like it. It is a time of trouble for the descendants of Jacob, but they will be rescued out of it.” It can only be understood in the context of Christian Identity. By the time Jesus returns to earth, the furnaces should be warming up to incinerate the tares. To do that, we are putting out the brush fires of universalism and igniting the baptism of fire.

How dare these black low-lives whine and snivel about slavery. But, the Jew is in constant agitation mode to stir up the negro rage, while White people imagine foolish things. Can you believe that the 1977 miniseries ‘Roots’ is getting a remake, scheduled for another whopping 12 hours in 2016 with Kunta Kinte being gratuitously whipped again without mercy, just in time for the presidential elections and all out rioting. As I recall, the black author, Alex Haley, plagiarized the fictional novel and was sued by a Jew who actually wrote it. Haley lost the case and had to pay over half a million dollars to the Jew. But, the real impact of Roots was the falsification of history and inculcating it into the minds of today’s gang-bangers who still feel the imaginary welts upon their ancestors backs. They believed a lie. The Jews just never know when to stop; MTV, owned by Sumner Redstone (real name Murray Rothstein) is airing a new show called ‘White People.’ I can guarantee that there will be nothing good to say about White people and will make the useful idiots want to put a bullet in their head.

Ask yourself, if you were a slave, would you rather be plunged down a chimney to sweep the soot and die an early death of black lung disease or would you rather pick cotton out in the open with fresh air and given food, clothing and shelter? The point is: blacks have no point to make, because their delusional lives are pointless. Even if the White man were knowledgeable about the slavery of their own race being a thousand times worse than what the negro can only imagine i.e. the cracking noise of a whip, we would not be a race of perpetual whiners demanding entitlements, no, we would move on and rise above the trials and tribulations. Why? Because deep down in our hearts we know that we’re sinners and deserve the wrath of God. By the same token, when the White man is down to his last breath, then he will seek the mercy of God. To God, White sinners don’t matter. If you want to be an atheist, a sodomite, a race-mixer, a usurer, then God will give you the desires of your heart. Yes, Jesus came for sinners, but with the proviso to repent. You don’t want the manna, then gorge yourselves on quail. But, it’s a two-way street and does not bode well for the mongrelly-minded emulating the mongrel: “For whoever has, to him shall be given, and he shall have more abundance; but whoever has not, from him shall be taken away even that he has.” He was speaking

racially; whoever has the true grace of God implanted in their heart and mind shall grow in even more knowledge and understanding of Jesus. If you forget God, God will forget your posterity (Hosea 4:6).

The New Covenant, as with all of the covenants, was the Law of God and His grace written exclusively for only one race of people. “But this is the covenant that I will make with the house of Israel after those days, saith the Lord: I will put My laws into their minds and write them on their hearts. I will be their God, and they will be My people” Heb. 8:10. Why apologize for being God’s Covenant People, for being White. Why chastise the Confederate flag? Why do you want those who will destroy you, to like you? Why do you like them more than the God of the Bible? The real Bill Cosby is not the fantasy doctor-father image, he’s a serial rapist criminal animal who is not your atypical negro, but typical. I’m hoping some New Age air-head will be reading this and their anti-racist bubble suddenly pops. Lord, what will it take to awake our people? A planet of the apes ruled by Queen Hillary?

Do you know why we don’t talk to strangers; why we don’t engage the racial alien in any conversation with substance; why we don’t debate them in the marketplace of ideas? Before I answer that, let me tell you about what not to do, which happened in several cities where blacks and Confederate flag supporters clashed. There seems to be a weird form of anarchy and outdated street activism, and I’m sure that on such days the police would rather be fishing. Radical White national-

ist groups are without any game plan or leadership, no training or combat skills; whereas the gangbangers are like a school of fish turning on a dime as soon as their prey is isolated and they can pounce on it with impunity. It’s a sad state of affairs that our side is now a minority in certain dark alleys, and doesn’t have the smarts to realize it’s not a good idea to hit the hornets nest with a baseball bat. Some of these recent events were pathetic with a showing of “nazi” uniforms and Klan robes (not to mention obese men and women, profanity, no concern for image and appearance, not being media savvy, no discipline or leadership, proving that the road to hell is paved with good intentions) surrounded by hundreds of grimacing, sweaty, mean-tempered beasts who were just looking for a White person they could stomp to death, but would settle for a Confederate flag they could rip to shreds, like wolves ripping meat from a bone. A perfect storm for bad propaganda.

If a thousand blacks can mobilize and play a game between civil disobedience and violence in the streets over a black thug who got shot for being a thug, then why can’t a thousand Whites assemble for a redress of grievances in the halls of their state representatives, demanding they fulfill their oath of office or resign? The rally to save the Stars and Bars didn’t take any brain power to stand on the capitol steps in Columbia, SC. As much as I love a good old-fashioned protest demonstration, it no longer serves any purpose, especially if it is unorganized, than to comfort the protestors themselves in thinking they did something meaningful, although it will be forgotten the next day by those it was intended to reach. It was, unfortunately, self serving and ineffective. What more could they have done? A lot!

Our founding fathers never really envisioned free speech or freedom to assemble in the form of yelling at subhumans and waving flags. No, to whom much is given (like freedom), much is required (Luke 12:48). We are what we are committed to. Waving a flag is simply the starting

#### *CDs of the month:*

**J-190 Romans Eight, pt 6**

**J-191 Romans Eight, pt 7**

**J-192 Romans Eight, pt 8**

**J-193 Romans Eight, pt 9**

**J-194 Romans Eight, pt 10**

**J-195 Romans Eight, pt 11**

**J-196 Romans Eight, pt 12**

**Romans 8:28-39 series**

*by John Weaver*



point of dissident racism, not a means to an end. If it were that simple, then we would see the fruits of their efforts. The cockroaches would scatter at the first appearance of light. There is no law prohibiting us from lobbying those holding public trust. However, without a Christian barometer to guide us in our protests, we don't have the tools to be effective. We can find the wisdom needed for what we want for our race and nation in the Bible. *"Let the high praises of God be in their mouth, and a two-edged sword (is that a double-barrel shotgun or a semi-auto rifle??) in their hand; To execute vengeance upon the heathen, and punishments upon the people; To bind their kings with chains, and their nobles with fetters of iron; To execute upon them the judgment written: this honor have all His saints. Praise ye the Lord."* Psalm 149:6-9. Leaders are to be chained to the Word of God, rather than the people chained to the whims of tyrants. If we're not committed to that idea, we're begging for persecution.

Is the media going to report that the bloody White body beaten to a pulp matters? No, their only song and dance right now is "black lives matter;" otherwise it would not see the light of day on talmud-vision (TV). Are you going to argue the point in public with those retarded apes who have million dollar educations, but only a third-grade mentality? Or should God's Law be put on public notice to those in government? I'm thinking along the lines of pastor Everett Ramsey's Court of Divine Justice and imprecatory prayer, not recycled political activism ... "oh please Mr. Congressman, please help your constituents from being overrun by communists." No, there will be no more grovelling before race traitors; now is the time for divine ultimatums and last call for repentance. Dissident racism is and has got to be offensive. It may not sit well with those who are in denial about their own innate racism. Should we forbear speaking the truth for fear of offending others and incurring real hate? *"So then, have I become your enemy by telling you the truth?"* (Gal. 4:16).

We do not dialogue with those who are not Israelites unless it is absolutely necessary, like: 'get off my lawn!' 'Get out of my nation!' I know the situation is pretty far gone and the elected officials don't have a clue about race laws right there in the Bible; otherwise they would be outraged that a negro is occupying the White House (although he has supposedly bought a multi-million dollar mansion in Dubai, to move to) and on down the line to other mongrels who soil everything they touch. We don't talk to zuwr-strangers because God says, *"Give not that which is holy unto the dogs, and do not cast your pearls before swine."* (Matt 7:6) We are warned that wolves will masquerade as having the same kind of divine authority as we do (Matt 7:15). This erroneous notion is not supported by Scripture, but is in fact prohibited. The Law states that they aren't even supposed to be living in a White nation (Exodus 23:33), so if we were obedient to the Law, how then could we talk to them? Or take them into our houses as boarders, as some are doing? Black lives only matter in Africa. We must live as if we are living in the Kingdom of God right now, minus the presence of strangers; hence, they

must be gone. It is critical for Mystery Babylon to do just the opposite and amalgamate every demographic into their New World Order. Dissident racism is the new unpardonable sin, the heresy of political correctness. They can go to heaven's antithesis!

Have you seen those license plate holders with chains? They've been around for years. Do you know what that's about? It's a black thang. I found this explanation in the signature poster at Stormfront: "They put chains on their car plates because they get something out of withholding forgiveness. If they forgive the past, they forgo excuses that let them control White people. The fact is, non-Whites all around the world want to control Whites. Westernization has brought forth amazing progress not seen in other cultures. Some of the world harbors a great deal of jealousy over that. I think they also harbor a lot of guilt in that they have not created near to the extent Whites have. Therefore they resent us. So, out of guilt, they blame Whites for their incompetence, lack of creativity, lower intelligence, etc. They want what we have, which comes from Whites internally, as a gift from God; but since they don't have it internally, the next best thing is to blame us for their not having it; and living with the illusion that they were held down by Whites. The truth us, every culture in the world has experienced being held down. Every culture, including Whites, have been the victims of slavery. But the descendants of Irish, Scottish, and German slavery and indentured servitude (yes, in America, too) have risen above their lot, done amazing things, and have not complained since. Blacks blame us for their slavery still, because they do not want to take responsibility for now being the only ones holding themselves down.

George Orwell said, "If liberty means anything at all, it means the right to tell people what they do not want to hear." Do you want to hear a good one? Police in Omaha say they have had at least 10 interracial couples report receiving anonymous letters explaining why they should not be together, because they are not the same race. "Dear uneducated white

woman" is how it started, "You're dumb for dating a black guy, and you're gonna make dirty black babies." Naturally, the actual contents of the letter cannot be published because they may have some religious overtones citing biblical prohibitions against race-mixing. I think whoever is doing this might be on to something; one might avoid the postal system for whatever harassment type of laws may exist. The so called police authorities said that, depending on what the man is charged with, he will likely face between 5 to 20 years in prison, which is nothing more than blowing smoke ... show me the law and I'll show you the Word of God. The race-mixers have moved out of that neighbourhood and may discourage others from moving there. There are sanctuary cities, cities that issue gay pride parade permits, and gun-free zones. Maybe we need cities of no refuge for illegal aliens, cities prohibiting homosexuality and mandatory gun carry ordinances. As things become more extreme, just as Lincoln imposed 75% tariffs on Southern goods, which led to no other alternative than to detach from such draco-

***New and Recently Listened to CDs & DVDs***  
***B-524 Standing in the Faith, pt 4,***

*Dave Barley*

***E-457 Judging Xmas, Mark Downey***

***G-960 Fawning at the Feet of the Religion of Tolerance, Ted Weiland***

***G-961 Ezra: A Man Stirred by the Spirit, pt 1, Ted Weiland***

***H-142a Our Big Godfather - the State,***  
*Bob Hallstrom*

***J-435 Principles from the heart of Dixie,***  
*John Weaver*

***J-703 Firearms & Freedom Symposium, Springfield MO. John Weaver***

***L-104 Why The Police Should Not Exist,***  
*Stephen Anderson*

***R-103 Matthew 4, 5 & 6,***  
*Charles VanderPool*

***U-136 George Washington: America's***  
*Joshua, Doug Phillips*



nian measures that would inhibit their inalienable rights from God, so today we must give serious consideration to seceding from the Union of corporate dogs and swine.

I think it is safe to say that the primary reason for the proliferation of more race-mixing than what we saw just 50 years ago, is because people are reluctant to openly say it's wrong. The silence drifted into tacit approval. The less opposition (hate) shown to race-mixers, the more politically incorrect it is to speak against it. I used to have these business card size stickers that said, "We're watching you and we don't like what we see. KKK" It was a fast and easy way to put race traitors on notice. Having said that, you can do just about anything you want in this country today as long as you don't get caught on one of the millions of cameras watching you. The bottom-line is that the Jewish commissars can't stand any White Christian with a sense of morality who stands up against racial adulteration or the abomination of sodomites. Maybe more anonymous letters targeting the despoilers of our society will let them know that their sin is not approved by God. Maybe we need something to go viral like millions of postage size stamps with a Confederate flag symbolising our hate for what they're doing and the preservation of our heritage will not go unheeded. They could just be cheap black and white stickers printed on your computer.

Unbeknown to most Americans, Dictator Obama unveiled a new program to collect data on Americans by race in order to document "inequalities" between minorities and Whites. This Orwellian-style stockpile of statistics includes a vast and permanent network of discrimination databases, which Obama is already using to make "disparate impact" cases against banks that don't make enough prime loans to minorities, schools that suspend too many blacks; cities that don't offer enough section 8 and other low-income housing for minorities; and employers who turn down Negroes for jobs due to criminal backgrounds. Federally funded cities deemed overly segregated will be pressured to change their zoning laws to allow construction of more subsidized housing in affluent areas in the suburbs, and relocate inner-city minorities to those predominantly White areas. Dovetailing with this wondrous power for "social justice," Big Brother Obama on this rare occasion is exercising a Constitutional right "to grant reprieves and pardons for offenses" (Article 2, Section 2) and on July 13th commuted the sentences of 46 so-called non-violent drug offenders, some of which were trafficking in crack cocaine and weapons charges and the connotation is that all of them were either black or Mexicans. He had the nerve to say that those being released "Have something to contribute to society and should not be there [in jail]." And I have the dissident racism from my 10-plus years of having a prison ministry to tell you that all coloureds in the prison system are animals, are a scourge on society and should have been executed. There are still some nations that do that, because they know drugs will destroy their social and moral fabric.

On the comical side of tragedy, a man on the street prank-asked people to sign a petition to support a 1% White privilege tax on all White people in order to "even out the

playing field" and redistribute the wealth amongst minority communities. After a Puerto-Rican man signs the petition, another individual who admits he is a non-resident alien asks for clarification, remarking, "so in other words, tax the White man?" before signing the paper. And with a straight face told him, "We're gonna take the silver spoon out of the White people's mouths and put it back into yours." Thankfully, a number of individuals refused to sign the petition, realizing that fighting racism by instituting racist policies was a dumb idea. But, it was perfect timing for Obama's calling evil drug pushers good and forcing these same unsavory elements into so-called White privilege neighbourhoods; perhaps he can arrange for these ex-felons to get jobs as corporate CEOs with big fat paychecks or maybe community organizers as a stepping stone to becoming a big-shot politician.

Once elected, these animal bureaucrats could commence to remove monuments and memorials dedicated to White heroes or racists of the past ... just like the Confederate flag. They could start with the demolition and or sand-blasting to bits, Stone Mountain, Georgia, an epic bas-relief sculpture of Jefferson Davis, Robert E. Lee and Stonewall Jackson, on par with the exquisite workmanship of Mount Rushmore, which, regardless of artistic merit, may disappear for its racist appearance of White men offending non-Whites; or the desecration of graves and statues like General Nathan Bedford Forrest and dozens of others who seceded from the den of vipers. Black mayor of Memphis, A.C. Wharton said the statue should be removed and then made the brilliant comment that, "I despise whatever the Confederacy stood for. This is not just an ordinary monument. This is a monument to a man who was the avowed founder of the organization that has as its purpose the intimidation, the oppression of black folks."

Wow, he must have earned straight A's in history. Because stupid black leaders like this are not intimidated, they can bear false witness at will. But, evidently the mayor wasn't acting fast enough and a group of "black folks" decided to vandalize the grave site themselves with shovels, which must have been too much work as they indicated to the eager media whores reporting on the scene that, "We are going to bring the back hoe, the tractors and the men with the equipment to raise Bedford Forrest from the soil of Memphis," said Isaac Richmond with the "Commission on Religion and Racism."

While they're at it, they can continue removing the Ten Commandments from public properties, as did the Oklahoma Supreme Court, ruling that no religion 'can benefit from the use of state property. The spoiler in this case however was a challenge brought forth by the Satanic Temple demanding equal expression with a monument depicting the goat god Baphomet. Many White monuments have been spray-painted around the country with the caption: 'black lives matter,' but you probably won't see any graffiti on the MLK monument in Washington DC. That is because it is the sacred god of "black folks" who call evil good. All the more reason to perpetuate the dissident racism of White folks.

*Old Historic LOAN ONLY Video:*

### **THE TEN COMMANDMENTS FOR TODAY**

*This 12 part series contains an in depth interview with Dr. R.J. Rushdoony, the foremost authority on Biblical Law, interviewed by Rev. Brian Abshire, on the application of God's Law to our modern world. Each Commandment is covered in detail as Dr. Rushdoony challenges the humanistic remedies that have obviously failed. Only through God's revealed will as laid down in the Bible can THE standard for righteous living be found. Rushdoony silences the critics of Christianity by outlining the rewards of obedience as well as the consequences of disobedience to God's Word. (Introduction & Commandments 1, 2 & 3.*

**CI-242@ sug LOAN don. \$7**



“Take away the heritage of a people, and they are easily persuaded.” I’ve quoted this subtle admission from Karl Marx on numerous occasions and it’s especially germane to today’s message, because we are now on the slippery slope of change that state of mind communists can count on. Under pressure from the NAACP, who has been the primary instigator in taking away the White Christian heritage of America of late, the Connecticut Democratic Party erased the names of Thomas Jefferson and Andrew Jackson from their annual Jefferson-Jackson fund raising dinner, because of their ties to slavery and in the aftermath of the shooting in Charleston SC. The decision was seen as unprecedented with the apprehension that other states will follow suit. Good grief! How many schools and streets are named after Jefferson in the US? There is nothing noble or righteous about these hyper assaults on American history. There is only the hate on steroids agitating jungle savages to destroy everything White. Some of the apologetic voices for the Confederate flag say “It’s heritage, not hate.” But, the way things are going, they will see, if they are not already color blind, that it is not only heritage but dissident racism that will save what we cherish most in life, i.e. the love of our own kind. Our enemies can call it “hate” all they want, but they are not without a far deeper animus. I couldn’t help but notice what can be identified as the dialectical synthesis (black hate) in an incident that happened in nearby Hamilton county (Ohio) where a negro pushed a White female jogger into busy oncoming street traffic, screaming “I hate White people,” while threatening her by waving a knife. The victim managed to flee and call for help. Police let the perp go after she identified him at a police line-up, because they couldn’t find the weapon on him. 30 minutes after his release, police got a separate call, of the same negro brandishing a knife and this time he admitted to having the weapon. Duh. Weeks after the first incident, he was arraigned and charged with “ethnic intimidation,” which is a new one on me ... whatever happened to hate crimes? Well, they only pertain to White folks. But really, our enemies fight to destroy what they hate, we fight to protect what we love.

Courtesy The New Covenant Messenger, Box 321, Union KY 41091

It has often been said in some circles that the Bible does not condemn interracial marriage. This version may make things more clear - alien being non-Israelite!

This is from a translation you may not be familiar with: **THE HOLY BIBLE From the Ancient Eastern Text**, George M. Lamsa’s translation from the Aramaic of the Peshitta. (available from **Koorong** - mine is paperback).  
*“And the Lord spoke to Moses, saying, Say to the children of Israel, Any man of the children of Israel or of the proselytes who sojourn in Israel who shall cast any of his semen into an alien woman, he shall surely be put to death; the people of the land shall stone him with stones. And I*

*will pour out my anger against that man and will cut him off from among his people; because he has cast his semen into an alien woman to defile my sanctuary and to profane my holy name. And if the people of the land do in any way ignore the offense of the man who has cast of his semen into an alien woman, that they may not kill him, Then I will set my anger against that man and against his family, and will cut him off and all who go astray after him, because they go astray after alien women from among their people. And the person that goes after diviners and soothsayers to go astray after them [as you find in the back of women’s magazines], I will pour out my anger against that person and will cut him off from among his people.*

*Sanctify yourselves, therefore, and be holy; for I am the Lord your God. You shall keep my commandments and do them; I am the Lord who sanctifies you. And he who curses his father or his mother shall be surely put to death; he has cursed his father or his mother; and his blood shall be upon his.*


*And the man who commits adultery with another man’s wife, even he who commits adultery with his neighbour’s wife, both the adulterer and the adulteress shall surely be put to death. And the man who lies with his father’s wife has uncovered his father’s nakedness; (Ham and Noah) both of them shall surely be put to death; their blood shall be upon them. And if a man lies with his daughter-in-law, both of them shall surely be put to death, because they have committed a sin; their blood shall be upon them.*

*If a man lies with a male as he lies with a woman, both of them have committed an abomination; they shall surely be put to death; their blood shall be upon them. And if a man takes a wife and her mother, it is wickedness; they shall be burned with fire, both he and they, that there may be no wickedness among you. And if a man lies with a beast, he shall surely be put*

*to death and the beast shall be stoned. And if a woman approaches any beast and lies with it (the two-legged kind), you shall kill the woman and the beast; they shall surely be put to death; their blood shall be upon them.*

*And if a man shall take his sister, his father’s daughter or his mother’s daughter, and be intimate with her and she be intimate with him, it is a shameful thing; and they shall be cut off in the sight of their people; he has uncovered his sister’s nakedness; they shall suffer for their iniquity. And if any man shall lie with a woman having her menstruation and shall be intimate with her, he has uncovered her fountain and she has uncovered the fountain of her blood; both of them shall be cut off from among their people. And you shall not be intimate with your mother’s sister nor your father’s sister; for he uncovers the nakedness of his near kin; they shall suffer for their iniquity.*

*And if a man shall lie with his uncle’s wife, he has uncovered his uncle’s nakedness; they shall suffer for their sin; they shall die childless. And if a man shall take his*



brother's wife, it is an iniquity, for he has uncovered his brother's nakedness; they shall be childless. You shall therefore keep all my commandments and all my judgments (penalties) and do them, that the land wither I bring you to dwell therein may not be bereaved of you.

And you shall not walk in the manner of the nations which I am casting out before you; for they committed all these things, and therefore I was grieved by them (God gives the land to whom He wills, no original or traditional owners). But I have said to you, You shall inherit their land and I will give it to you to possess it, a land flowing with milk and honey; I am the Lord your God, who have separated you from other peoples (God is opposed to integration and multiculturalism).

You shall therefore make a distinction between clean beasts and unclean, and between clean fowls and unclean; and you shall not make yourselves abominable by beast or by fowl or by any manner of living thing that creeps on the ground, which I have separated from you as unclean. And you shall be holy to me; for I the Lord am holy and have separated you from other people, that you should be mine.

A man or a woman who is a diviner, or soothsayer shall surely be put to death; they shall stone them with stones; their blood shall be upon them."

(Leviticus 20:1-27 - comments in brackets added, Ed.)

## THE NEXT FRONTIER IN THE SEXUAL REVOLUTION

by Joel Hilliker

IT WON'T STOP WITH SAME-SEX MARRIAGE.

Morality is transforming. Where will it be five years from now? It progressed from the traditional Christian family to the non-religious family to the dysfunctional family to the divorced and remarried family to the fornicator to the adulterer to the homosexual/bisexual/pansexual/transsexual/transgender.

Is that where it ends? Or is there more transformation to come?

One of the few remaining "outcast" deviant sexual groups consists of adults who are sexually attracted to children. Pedophiles who gratify their desires and generate or possess child pornography are criminals, and the overwhelming majority of people in society regard them as perhaps the worst kind of perverts. It would be impossible for pedophiles to win the same acceptance as homosexuals, wouldn't it?

Actually, in the wake of the homosexual/transgender tidal wave, that movement *has already begun*. Pedophile groups like the North American Man/Boy Love Association already exist. Some mainstream voices are arguing that this sexual practice should no longer be condemned. Some are advocating for the rights of adults who seek to have sex with children. Don't believe it? Open your eyes.

### "BORN THAT WAY"

Today's homosexual special interest juggernaut started as a small movement. Not long ago, homosexuals were in the place now occupied by pedophiles. In 2015, this aggressive special interest group got homosexual "marriage" sanctioned by the Supreme Court, got social media giants celebrating with rainbow hearts and rainbow photos, and

got the sitting president to pose on the cover of a homosexual magazine (because it has been said that Obama is homosexual, and Michelle is actually a man, Michael. There was a YouTube clip of President Obama saying "Michael and I ....")

Is it possible that in five or 10 years, pedophiles will be where homosexuals are now? The wheels are already moving in that direction.

A major argument of the homosexual lobby is that same-sex attraction is genetically determined—that people are *born* homosexual. They argue that if you say homosexuality is wrong, you are hateful—a bigot who might as well be condemning someone for being born with a different skin colour (which is predictable if you have coloured parents - but as homosexuals can not have children, how could they be born that way, being born from 'straight' parents?)

Thus, homosexual activists decry any effort to change someone's sexual orientation as morally reprehensible (reprehensible, that is, *if* it is a change *toward* heterosexuality—they never denounce persuading a heterosexual to become homosexual). After all, how can you try to change someone from his genetic destiny? They believe the *morally right* thing is a full, unrestricted expression of this supposed inborn tendency.

Well, *if* genetics *do* determine morality, that notion has some serious implications.

Now, there is another small movement that is growing stronger—a movement that wants to extend this same kind of morality-is-genetic argument to pedophiles.

Michael L. Brown, author of the book *A Queer Thing Happened to America*, wrote this in the *Christian Post*: "Some psychiatric leaders who were instrumental in removing homosexuality from the American Psychiatric Association's list of mental disorders in 1973 have been fighting to remove pedophilia as a disorder as well, not to justify the abuse of children but rather to say that being sexually attracted to children is not a mental

disorder." (Sept.28, 2015).

"Many researchers Taking a Different View of Pedophilia," the *Los Angeles Times* reported on Jan 14, 2013. "Pedophilia once was thought to stem from psychological influences early in life. Now, many experts view it as a deep-rooted predisposition that does not change."

"Scientists at the Center for Mental Health and Addiction in Toronto, have uncovered a series of associations that suggest pedophilia has biological roots," the *Times* reported, adding that more and more people are taking the view that pedophilia is "a sexual orientation as immutable as heterosexuality or homosexuality." It quotes a man who was arrested for child pornography saying that a court ordered him to go to therapy. "These people felt they could snuff out the desire, or shame me into denying it existed," he said. "But it's as intrinsic as the next person's heterosexuality."

To anyone who has watched the way homosexuality became mainstream, this line of reasoning should sound very familiar (see footnote).

### "I AM NOT A MONSTER"

With pedophilia, however, there is a twist to the argu-

### Must read/have book: ANTICHRISTS IN THE LAND

Charles A. Weisman

*Christian nations are suffering from a plague. Its symptoms are a continual increase in crime, secularization, drugs, and juvenile delinquency. The cause? The answer is too simple and too volatile for most to identify. The main cause is the free reign of antichrists in the land. This book identifies these antichrists and the evil acts they do. The reason they have not been exposed is because most people fear them. Discussing the contents of this book in public may cause hostile reactions against you. It is not recommended for those who fear controversy or are afraid of Jesus' enemies.*

#657 @ \$22.45





ment. It isn't simply, *We're born this way, so don't judge us*. They also add to this: *And don't worry—we're not a threat to your children*.

This is the argument that Todd Nickerson made in a September 21 Salon.com article headlined "I'm a Pedophile, But Not a Monster:" "I've been stuck with the most unfortunate of sexual orientations, a preference for a group of people who are legally, morally and psychologically unable to reciprocate my feelings and desires ... Yet, I'm not the monster you think me to be. I've never touched a child sexually in my life and never will, nor do I use child pornography."

In a related example, the British paper the *Independent* recently published an article by prominent psychologist Dr. Glenn Wilson. The title: "Not all Pedophiles are Bad People Need to Have a Sense of Proportion." The article reads: "In my studies of the Pedophile Information Exchange in the 1980s, many members admitted sexual feelings for children which they had been able to *contain or turn to social good*" (emphasis added throughout, JH). In this man's view, these sexual feelings can actually *benefit society*. "Some gravitated toward occupations such as schoolteacher or social worker, where they could enjoy the company of children without plotting abuse," he explained. "It is possible to be attracted to children as a sexual orientation without acting upon those desires."

This psychologist told the *Telegraph* that "pedophilia should be viewed as a type of 'sexual orientation' *which is not necessarily acted upon*."

Ask yourself: Does the idea that some pedophiles won't necessarily act on their desire to have sex with children comfort you? Would you support a pedophile who wants to be your child's teacher or social worker so he can "enjoy the company of children"? Does it reassure you that "it is possible" to be attracted to children without acting on that?

The Salon.com article was aimed at helping you sympathize with a celibate man who is attracted to children. But *even he* says that anyone who *acts* on those feelings is a "monster." The psychologist in Britain says everything is OK because pedophiles probably won't prey on children. But think about this: If genetics determine morality, *then why would it be wrong for a pedophile to gratify his desires?*

How does it make sense to label a "born that way" pedophile who fulfills his desires a "monster"—but then to say that a "born that way" homosexual *should* fulfill his desires and even marry, *because* he was "born that way"? [What next - let people steal *because* "they were born that way" kleptomaniacs?]

Is it possible that by 2020, Todd Nickerson will look *bigoted* for saying that pedophiles who have sex with children are monsters? The belief that genetics determines morality has grown common, thanks to efforts to legitimize homosexuality. "God doesn't make mistakes," people say. But as columnist Selwyn Duke wrote, we obviously don't apply the same logic to many birth defects. "Cleft lip, Spina bifida, Down syndrome, Club foot. Tay-Sachs disease, and other abnormalities make clear that God's perfection isn't enjoyed by man. And is it logical to consider the brain the one organ immune from this imperfection? Note also that the same psychologists telling us homosexuality is innate

also say that psychopaths are born and not made. Now, if some people were born with homicidal instincts, would it be all right for them to commit murder?"

(New American, Sept. 28, 2015). Of course not—but that is the logical conclusion of such reasoning.

If it is wrong to condone pedophilia despite someone supposedly being "born with" a predisposition for it, then it is also wrong to justify homosexuality for that reason. You can't have it both ways. Either genetics determines morality or it doesn't.

And the truth is—IT MOST CERTAINLY DOES NOT.  
**WHERE DOES IT STOP?**

This new wave of activists is trying to get us to sympathize with those who have romantic feelings for children but do not act on them. Do you really think that is all they are trying to do? Just to *feel compassion* for *celibate pedophiles*? If you think that is where this trend is going to stop, *wake up*. You know absolutely nothing about this group of people.

Consider the views being expressed in these articles in conjunction with other recent events. Think about the fact that American soldiers in Afghanistan have been ordered to ignore their Afghan "allies" who sexually abuse young boys, even *on American military bases*—supposedly in the name of "cultural sensitivity." Add to that authorities in Britain ignoring Muslim child-sex trafficking for similar "politically correct" reasons. Add to that the "pedophilia epidemic" in Hollywood, exposed this past summer in the film *An Open Secret*. These are people of enormous social influence in America and worldwide.

Watch the trajectory of what is happening here. The homosexual movement has completely changed modern culture in less than a decade. People now accept and support practices they were utterly opposed to just a few years ago. Now, *this* deviant sexual movement is tracking in the same direction. To see where pedophiles might be in five years, just look where triumphant homosexuals are today. •

—footnote—

#### 'Successful' Arguments

Michael L. Brown documents the main arguments that have successfully normalized homosexuality and how those same arguments now support the normalization of pedophilia.

**ONE:** Pedophilia is innate and immutable; people are born this way and cannot change.

**TWO:** Pederasty is richly attested to in many different cultures throughout history.

**THREE:** The claim that adult-child sexual relationships cause harm is greatly overstated and often completely inaccurate.

**FOUR:** Consensual adult-child sex can actually be beneficial to the child.

**FIVE:** Pederasty should not be classified as a mental disorder, since it does not cause distress to the pederast to have these desires and since the pederast can function as a normal, contributing member of society.

**SIX:** Many of the illustrious homosexuals of the past were actually pedophiles.

**SEVEN:** People are against intergenerational intimacy because of antiquated social standards and puritanical sexual phobias.

**EIGHT:** This is all about love and equality and liberation.

*must have book*

### THE WOLF AND THE SHEEP

Richard Kelly Hoskins

*Wolves eat sheep. That's what they do. In order to eat sheep wolves must gain entry into the sheepfold. If you run all the wolves out of the sheepfold, they will immediately try to get back in. Because if they cannot get into the sheepfold, they cannot eat sheep. Sheep don't hate wolves. There is almost no anti-wolfism in the land today. Sheep just have an unreasoning fear of being eaten.*

**#385 @ sug don \$10.55**



Look again at each of those arguments and replace *pedophilia* or *pederasty* with *homosexuality*. This is exactly the line of reasoning that has successfully normalized and vaunted homosexuals.

Courtesy The Philadelphia Trumpet, Box 3700 Edmond OK 73083.  
and after that? ..... normalization and acceptance of bestiality?? Think on these things!

### THE SADDEST STORY EVER TOLD

When a white girl marries a negro,  
her sun of life goes down.  
And glaring spots of sin appear,  
on her white wedding gown.  
And White and Black then stand aghast,  
while viewing this strange role:  
And mutter, "they will wreck themselves,  
and damn each other's soul. -  
We know a carnivorous bug  
has crept into her brain.  
And gnawed away her self-  
respect,  
which left her half insane.  
Now all her racial pride has  
flown  
beyond redemption's fold.  
And she, being life's saddest  
tale  
that ever yet was told. -  
Three days and nights she felt  
black lips  
press smug against her own.  
And on the fourth, her troubled  
soul,  
ley out a frightful groan.  
And so the weeks and months  
flew by,  
and then a baby came;  
She looked at it with tear-filled  
eyes,  
and hung her head with shame. -  
And then she dreamed of other days,  
sweet girlhood days gone by,  
And of her White friends left behind,  
and so we hear her cry:  
"O, could I turn life's pendulum  
backwards a few short years.  
I would not bear this cross today,  
nor shed these bitter tears." -  
"My baby would be White as snow,  
and sleep upon my breast.  
Like a little fledgling robin  
that slumbers in its nest.  
While now, O God, my mongrel child,  
just whimpers through the night.  
Till in my sleepless dreams I scream,  
not White, O God, not White!" -  
And so I stagger through my days  
far from God's love and grace.  
Till now, I know, no black man lives,  
can take a White man's place.  
My off-springs shall be mongrel-bred,  
their hue-skin shall remain.  
For even God with all His power,  
cannot remove the stain. -  
I sold my birthright for a mess,  
I mixed my White-born blood  
With black blood, so I languish here  
like one bogged down in mud.  
Though God may grant a pardon,

### Library Builder THE IRON CURTAIN OVER AMERICA Col. John Beaty

*This book is unique in that it not only discusses the internal decay and the external disasters which threaten the life of our country, but diagnoses the growing cancer of which they are merely the symptoms. Aggressively Christian and patriotic in his attitude, the author goes behind the iron curtain of propaganda and censorship to give his fellow citizens the first comprehensive documented account of the origin, the scope, and the intentions of the "insidious forces working from within," which are seeking to destroy America and Western Christian civilization.*

**#307 @ sug don \$19.35**

I never can retrace  
My footsteps down life's narrow road,  
back to the White man's race. -  
So now I groan, "it might have been"  
had racial pride been mine.  
Today I'd hug a pure White child,  
and call him half-divine.  
I'd lift him up before the world,  
and praise his father's name.  
While now, my baby's mongrel face,  
reminds me of my shame. -  
All other crimes may be forgiven  
when prayer its power fulfills;  
The scheming crook may find new hope,  
and even the man that kills,  
But all my prayers can never clear  
my baby's mongrel skin,  
Nor make him White as driven snow,  
nor cleanse my soul of sin. -  
I was my father's future hope,  
my mother's joy and pride  
But I got lost on life's dark road,  
and there my spirit died.  
I smeared my all-White  
heritage  
and left the White man's track.  
Now my descendants for all  
time  
shall be forever black. -  
I try to hide from all the stars,  
the moon and the setting sun;  
For all mankind of my White  
race,  
condemn what I have done;  
I tremble and my teardrops  
flow,  
I pray, but pray in vain:  
For nevermore shall I be one  
with my White race again. -  
And so dark clouds above me roll,  
deep waters crash below,  
I sing, and reap what I have sown,  
and drink my cup of woe.  
My mother sleeps deep in her grave,  
my dad lies at her side,  
For both were crushed when I became  
a negro's common bride. -  
Now, should I decide to leave him,  
where could I choose to go?  
My misspent life will follow me  
like footprints in the snow.  
Before me lie dark jungles  
where paramours seek prey;  
Behind me death keeps whispering,  
"I am the only way." -  
This black and White, prenuptial; mess,  
this racial suicide;  
Must be forbidden by the law,  
men must find racial pride!  
Then never again, forever,  
shall tales like mine unfold,  
With all its shame, the saddest tale,  
that ever yet was told.

-Hon. Oliver Allstorm

<http://www.naawpflch.org/index/saddest.html>

*"When a strong man armed keepeth his palace, his goods are in peace: But when a stronger than he shall come upon him, and overthrow him, he taketh from him all his armor wherein he trusted, and divideth his spoils. He*



that is not with me is against me: and he that gathereth not with me scattereth.” Luke 11:22-23.

## WHEN STATE SOVEREIGNTY DEFIES DIVINE SOVEREIGNTY

by Kevin Craig

[note by Faith for All of Life: In Titus 1:12-13, Paul quotes a heathen “prophet,” saying of the man’s statements, “This witness is true.” There was no endorsement, express or implied, inherent in Paul’s use of this source. By the exact same token, the Chaldeon Foundation’s publication of the material below does not constitute an endorsement of the theological positions the author has taken. Nonetheless, as specifically touching this author’s experience with the California State Bar Association, we must echo Paul’s conclusion that “this witness is true.” In that light, we believe this author’s journey into the heart of the state’s claim to sovereignty over God himself can profitably be shared with our readership. The problems we face today were entrenched in our laws decades before the country’s moral decline was translated into the controversial court decisions that have been appearing recently]

I studied law and passed the California Bar Exam, said to be the toughest bar exam in the world. I understand how to read laws. I have a passionate interest in the legal system. The Constitution requires legislators to take an oath of office. Every person who signed the US Constitution believed that an “oath” was an act of worship, a promise made in the presence of God. At first, only Christians were allowed to take any oath. This meant only Christians could hold political office; atheists could not. Over time, some states allowed Jews and other theists to take the oath, and eventually even atheists were permitted in some states to raise their right hand toward heaven and take the oath of office in the presence of a God whose existence they denied.

### ORIGINAL INTENT VS MODERN INTENT

For the first hundred years after the Constitution was ratified, America was a Christian nation. The US Supreme Court recognized this obvious fact in several decisions. America was also a capitalist nation, acknowledging the work of an “invisible hand” in a free market.

However, during the *second* hundred years after the Constitution was ratified, America became an theistic and socialist or fascist nation. In 1961, the US Supreme Court declared all state laws prohibiting atheists from taking an oath of office to be “unconstitutional.” Decades before that, the Court had ruled that a Christian—whose allegiance to God is greater than his allegiance to the government—cannot take an oath of office.

### THE RESULT

My allegiance to God is greater than my allegiance to the state. So after I passed the California Bar Exam, I asked the California State Bar if they intended to abide by the modern Supreme Court cases (instead of original intent), and of course, they did. I then asked the State Bar to permit me to modify the oath of office required of attorneys so that it would state clearly that I am a Christian before I am an “officer of the court.” I asked to add the language required of all office holders in the Delaware Constitution of 1776. My request was denied. I appealed to the California State Supreme Court, and ultimately the United States Supreme Court.<sup>1</sup> The highest court that published an opinion in my case was the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals in San Francisco, the same court which infamously declared that

students in public schools should not be permitted to utter the word “under God” in the Pledge of Allegiance. You can read the court’s opinion in the source cited in the endnote.<sup>2</sup>

All the details of my case, why I am not an attorney today, and why Christians are not allowed to take the oath to “support the Constitution” are spelled out below in more detail than your average constitutional law professor would want to know.

### WHY I AM NOT A LAWYER

I’m not a lawyer, but it’s not for lack of trying. I went to USC pre-law; after law school I passed the California Bar Exam. I was completely qualified to become a lawyer. But then I ran into the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals. This was the Court that recently said the words “under God” in the Pledge of Allegiance are “unconstitutional.” This was only the latest in a long series of decisions that are hostile to religion. In 1998, I came before the Ninth Circuit Court

with a brief written by three well-known professors of constitutional law and a former California State Supreme Court Justice. The court blocked my attempt to become an attorney by refusing to reverse the decision of a federal district court that declared that a 1945 decision of the US Supreme Court barred me from practising law. I believe America ought to be a nation “under God.” But we can’t have attorneys actually believing that, now can we?

### THE SLIPPERY SLOPE OF BAD PRECEDENTS

When it struck down “under God” in the Pledge, the 9th Circuit Court claimed it was only following Supreme Court precedents. — Not true. — The 9th Circuit could have said “under God” in the Flag

Salute was constitutional, because 30 years ago it declared that “In God We Trust” and “So help me, God” were constitutional—on the grounds that they were merely “patriotic” slogans and really had nothing to do with God or religion (*Aronow v. US*, 432 F.2d 242, 1970).

This is just as bad, really, as saying that “In God We Trust” was unconstitutional. Either ruling would be a violation of the Original Intent of the Framers. As Notre Dame Professor of Law Charles E. Rice writes:

*The Court requires government at all levels to maintain a neutrality between theism and non-theism which results, in practical effect, in a governmental preference of the religion of agnostic secularism. Justice Brennan argued, in his concurrence in the 1963 school prayer case, that the words “under God” could still be kept in the Pledge only because they “no longer have a religious purpose or meaning.” Instead, according to Brennan they “may merely recognize the historical fact that our Nation was believed to have been founded ‘under God.’ [Abington School District v. Schempp, 374 US 203, 304, (1963)]. This false neutrality would logically prevent an assertion by any government official, whether President or school teacher, that the Declaration of Independence—the first of the Organic Laws of the United States printed at the head of the United States Code—is in fact true when it asserts that men are endowed “by their Creator” with certain unalienable rights and when it affirms “the Laws of Nature and of Nature’s God,” a “Supreme Judge of the world” and “Divine Providence.”<sup>3</sup> (The Declaration of Independence did not consider the ‘merciless Indian savages’ to be the ‘men who were equal’!)*

Instead, the Court (maturing over the last 30 years in its hostility to religion) declared that the words “under God” really do have reference to theology, and are therefore unconstitutional. I believe all attorneys and politicians have a divine obligation to conduct their public affairs according to “the laws of Nature and

**LOAN ONLY Video you may have missed:  
CLONING, STEM CELLS  
and the Value of Life.**

**Mike Riddle**

**Human stem cells can be used to cure diseases. But are embryonic (baby) stem cells as effective as those from adults? What is the latest research on the topic? What should a Christian believe? Here Mike addresses the ethical issues surrounding embryonic and adult stem cell research while deflating the myths and false reports given by the media. The value of human life is inescapable in his hard-hitting conclusion. 54 minutes  
#CI-686 @ sug LOAN don \$7**



of Nature's God," and that our nation is obligated to be a nation "under God." Because of this, the legal system refused to allow me to become a member of the Bar.

### SAUCE FOR THE GOOSE IS SAUCE FOR THE GANDER

In light of the above, I'm willing to bet that you wouldn't be permitted to become an attorney either, even if you passed the Bar Exam and were otherwise completely qualified to receive your license—provided you have a conscience, and are willing to let the legal system know it. (And provided you get a fine, upstanding, patriotic judge acquainted with the cases below; a judge who resembles the accused in Hannah Arendt's book on *The Banality of Evil*).

In fact, if you have a conscience, you would not be allowed to serve on a jury, much less become an attorney. Here's why:

I know a good man from Afghanistan. 30 years ago he was imprisoned by the Communists. He was well known in Afghanistan, something of a hero. He's a hero in my eyes. He now lives in the United States. I've had dinner at his home. He is a great American, and his family loves this country. Suppose in 2016 the Department of Homeland Security determines that all Muslims are a threat to America's national security, and they have thirty days to leave the country, after which time true Americans must shoot any Muslim on sight. (If you think this is a ridiculous scenario, fine, but it will soon become a very vivid illustration. Hang with me for a minute). I have been arrested and charged with treason for willful failure to shoot my Muslim friend. Both the law and the facts are clear: the law says I should have killed the muslim friend, and the fact is I didn't. (In fact, I wouldn't have killed any Muslim even if he were my enemy).

You are now being interviewed as a potential juror on my case. You know me to be a fine person, and you know my Muslim friend was no enemy of America. You think I've been charged with violating a very, very bad law. Not just an "unconstitutional" law, but an unethical law. An immoral law. You have a conscience, and your conscience will not allow you to do anything that would send me to prison or to the firing squad for failure to kill a good person. You know in your heart that as a juror you will not vote "guilty" regardless of the law or the facts in my case. Once in the jury room, you will also attempt to persuade the other jurors to vote "not guilty," to send a message to the government that this is a very bad law. You hope that juries across the country will nullify this bad law.

If you tell the judge that you intend to vote your conscience regardless of the law or the facts, you will not be allowed to serve on the jury. "You're excused," the court will tell you, and send you home. And, "You're excused" is what the California State Bar told me, along with every court all the way up to the US Supreme Court. Why?

Because in America today, anyone who places God (or his own conscience) above the decrees of the government *cannot be an attorney* (unless he keeps his mouth shut about his having a conscience). Anyone who would publicly announce with the Apostles, "We must obey God rather than man," cannot become a lawyer, a draftsman for the county, a certified elevator inspector—even a naturalized citizen according to numerous court cases.

### THE TIP OF THE ICEBERG

This situation is, in my opinion, merely the tip of the iceberg. On my website I provide links that spread out to over 300 webpages, including historical documents from

early American history, court cases all the way up to the Supreme Court, and pleadings from my own case, the only case I ever litigated. Those materials show that we have lost a great deal of the virtue and greatness that was once America. (I hope you find those pages interesting, challenging - maybe even encouraging). Let's walk through the fundamental problem for the sake of clarity. In order to become an attorney in California, one is required by statute to take the following oath:

'I solemnly swear (or affirm) that I will support the Constitution of the United States and the Constitution of the State of California, and that I will faithfully discharge the duties of an attorney and counselor at law to the best of my knowledge and ability.'

This seems fairly innocuous. It is well known that many who have taken this oath have never even read the Constitution. But a Christian (and by implication, anyone with a conscience) would actually be prohibited by state law from taking this oath. If you're ready for the civics class you never had in government-run schools, keep reading. We will run through the legal sequence of events, and then survey their historic counterparts.

### PSALM 94:20 FRAMING MISCHIEF USING THE LAW

Years ago, a Christian named Clyde Summers wanted to become an attorney. The United States Supreme Court ruled<sup>4</sup> that as a Christian he could not take the oath to "support the Constitution." Why not? Because a Christian places God above the state. The Court held that a Christian would be lying if he said he supported the Constitution, because he really supports God above the Constitution. Any obedience he gives to the Constitution is only because God tells him to do so, and if God ever told the Christian not to obey Caesar, the Christian "must obey God rather than man."

I tried to argue that this case was a bad decision, and that this case should not be followed, but a federal district court judge said the case was still good law, and that I should not be permitted to take the oath to "support the Constitution."

That court was correct; the judge was fair. The more research I did, the more hopeless it appeared. This case may be obscure, but it is not an anomaly. It represents the tip of an iceberg of Supreme Court decisions that have quietly converted America from a nation "under God" to a *government that thinks it IS god*.

If my case sounds like the makings of a nutty conspiracy theory, I respect your good sense and patriotism. But the case law<sup>5</sup> is against you, as it was against me. To appreciate that case and the tectonic shift in values it represents, it is necessary to review the cases it cites, and trace those cases back to cases at the time the Constitution was ratified, and even further back.

### HOW THE STATE DETHRONED GOD TO TAKE HIS PLACE

In 1892, the US Supreme Court declared that America was "a Christian nation." The case was *Holy Trinity Church vs United States*, and it involved a New York church that wanted to hire a pastor from England. Federal immigration authorities tried to stop the church under a statute prohibiting the importation of cheap manual labor. The US Supreme Court said it was wrong to apply this statute to churches and pastors because "this is a Christian nation." The Court described the Christian roots of America in the last half of its opinion. Things have changed dramatically

### Remember:

*We will very shortly be making another transfer to the LOERIES in South Africa. If you would like to contribute (contributions are down from previous transfers) Please do so within the next few weeks, otherwise they will have to wait until September. Thank You!*



since 1892.

This case was overruled in 1931 (*US v. Macintosh*).<sup>6</sup> A pastor from Canada wanted to become an American citizen. The Holy Trinity case should have been applied here. Like the Apostles, this pastor was one of those “radical” “subversive” Christians who “must obey God rather than man.” The Supreme Court, in a truly hideous opinion, declared that allegiance to God took second place to allegiance to the state. The Court said the oath to support the Constitution” (which the Canadian pastor was willing to take) required “unqualified allegiance to the nation and submission and obedience to the laws of the land” even if these laws directly contradicted the law of God.

This case represents the complete overthrow of everything America once stood for.

There’s more: By its very nature, an oath (such as the oath to “support the Constitution”) is religious: a solemn statement made to (and in the presence of) God. At least it used to be religious. Atheists who opposed hearing “so help me God” in public succeeded in having the oath legally redefined into an act of “ceremonial deism.” But back in 1844, the US Supreme Court, in holding that the government must teach the Bible in government-operated schools, had declared that “deism” was a form of “infidelity.” The modern secular oath is therefore now a declaration that one is not faithful to God.

### THE STATE BLOCKS ALL OATHS BUT THOSE OF ITS OWN MAKING

As I said above, a Christian (and by implication, anyone with a conscience) is prohibited by law from taking the oath to “support the Constitution.” Discovering this, I also concluded that no Christian (or anyone with a conscience) should want to take this oath.

Given the fact that I was barred by the US Supreme Court from taking the oath, and given the fact that I refused to participate in an act of “ceremonial deism,” I asked for a modification of the oath required in California in my case only (not to be imposed on everyone) as follows (see the associated endnotes why my legal reasoning and specific historic precedents for each clause are marshalled):

*I, Kevin Craig, do profess faith in God the Father, and in Jesus Christ His only Son, and in the Holy Ghost, one God blessed for evermore; and I do acknowledge the Holy Scriptures of the Old and New Testament to be given by divine inspiration.<sup>7</sup>*

*I do solemnly swear: That I am dedicated to the peaceful and reasoned settlement of disputes between men and between a man and his government,<sup>8</sup>*

*And am committed to dissuading others from resorting to force or violence,<sup>9</sup> That I am not loyal to any foreign state,<sup>10</sup> and that I will faithfully discharge the duties of an attorney and counselor at law to the best of my knowledge and ability.<sup>11</sup>*

I was not permitted to take such an oath. This is why I am not an attorney. The state insists that the person taking the oath concede that the authority of the state trumps the authority of God, and that his allegiance to the state is absolutely unqualified. The state must be conceded, for all intents and purposes to be God.

Some people—even some attorneys—told me to “just go ahead and take the oath” and “get it over with.” But it would be a “false statement of fact or law” for me to say that I was permitted to take the required oath, as the judge in federal court rightly observed, citing the 1945 *Summers*

case (325 US 561). It struck me as ironic that my very first act as an attorney would be to violate the duties of an attorney by falsely declaring that I was permitted by courts to take the oath. This I was unwilling to do, as no Christian can affirm under oath that Caesar is Lord.

### THE TEN COMMANDMENTS CASE

The recent controversy over the Ten Commandments in Alabama is an easy parallel. “The question presented to this court” wrote the federal court which ordered the removal of the Ten Commandments, “is whether the Chief Justice of Alabama Supreme Court violated the Establishment Clause when he placed a ... granite monument—engraved with the Ten Commandments and other references to God—in the Alabama Judicial Building with the specific purpose and effect, as the court finds from the evidence, of acknowledging the Judeo-Christian God as the moral foundation of our laws.” If the Ten Commandments (and other legal documents on the monument) had been presented as irrelevant historical oddities in a dusty museum, the court would have considered them “constitutional.”

Many Ten Commandments monuments have been held “constitutional” precisely because they were held to be irrelevant. But Judge Roy Moore contended the monument represented something very relevant: the authoritative Word of a living God who was sovereign over federal courts. Moore contended all courts and every branch of government at every level had a duty to acknowledge the sovereignty of the God of the Bible. The new god of the religion of secular humanism would not permit this. It demands the “unqualified allegiance” laid down in the 1931 *Macintosh*<sup>12</sup> case—and has never actually ceased from doing so. [having the Ten Commandments in a courtroom creates a hostile work environment for those who work there: Thou shalt have no other gods before me; Thou shalt not bear false witness; Thou shalt not steal; and, Thou shalt not commit adultery - make for a very hostile workplace for most attorneys and judges]

### RECENT SUPREME COURT RULINGS ULTIMATELY BASED

#### ON “unqualified Allegiance”

The federal judge in Roy Moore’s case had earlier acknowledged that the First Commandment (not just the “second table” as some have claimed) had legal and political implications in American history:

*The second tablet, of course, is entirely secular—from “Thou shalt not kill” to “Thou shalt not covet”—but the first tablet also has secular aspects. As the Chief Justice pointed out in his speech unveiling the monument, Samuel Adams gave a speech, the day before the signing of the Declaration of Independence, referring to the King as a false idol, alluding to the Commandment that “Thou shalt have no other gods before me.”<sup>13</sup>*

Shutting down the press, confiscating arms, quartering troops, searching without warrant, double jeopardy, denial of bail, denial of jury, cruel and unusual punishments, taxes on tea, taxation without representation: imagine that all of these abuses in the Bill of Rights and the many grievances listed in the Declaration of Independence been completely redressed by the British Crown and restitution made. The American Revolution might have been averted. But then imagine that the very next day, the King announced the colonial magistrates and pastors had to “marry” homosexuals. It would have been described as “blasphemy.” It would have been seen as an insane assertion of deity on the part of

*New Video:*

### MASONIC SECRETS From Their Own Books

*This video shows you from the Masonic books the secret of their organisation, which is in fact a occult religion. Freemasons do not believe in Jesus, but that the legend of the 3rd degree is the most important plan of salvation. They believe every mason can attain a Christ state where he can attain his own salvation without Jesus. They worship Baal and Lucifer. A Christian cannot be part of this organisation*

CI-1011 @ sug don \$8



the king. The Revolution would have commenced with triple intensity.

Anyone who was surprised by the recent supreme court decision overriding the democratic process in numerous states hasn't been paying attention. People today are idolaters. They tolerate blasphemy. People 200 years ago would have more fiercely opposed the claim to redefine marriage than all the "taxation without representation" one could imagine.

The court claims to be God, and one of the key distinctives of being a god is *the power to define reality*. The usurpation of this divine prerogative has been legal reality since the 1931 *Macintosh* case: the State has demanded "unqualified allegiance" over and above the true God *for over eighty years*. Upon that legal foundation rests the modern state's claim to be humanity's savior, reflecting the pretensions of imperial Rome in virtual lockstep, and motivating its radical rearrangement of society to build the utopia it has charted for us.

Until we address the long-standing dislocated sovereignty at the root of today's crises, our solutions to the problem will be superficial ... and will fail. "The wicked frame mischief using law" (Psalm 94:20), but those deadly frames were erected in 1931 and 1945, not in 2015.--•|

\*\*\*

**Kevin Craig** was once a regular contributor to the Chalcedon Report (prior to 1982). His articles have also been published by Dr. Gary North's **Institute for Christian Economics**. He spent the better part of a decade with the Catholic Worker movement and is the founder of Vine & Fig Tree.

1. *Los Angeles Daily Journal* 107:82 (April 29, 1994) p.20. See also 1994 Cal. LEXIS 2431 (Cal. Apr.27,1994) and *Craig vs State Bar of California* 115 S.Ct.421. (1994) Note that, technically, the merits of this case have never been reviewed. Courts have only refused to take the case. Note also that one of the attorneys on my brief before the 9th Circuit is now the Dean of Law School at UC Irvine. I don't say that because he was particularly fond of my position, but rather to demonstrate that the case was never "frivolous," as some hasty analysts have erroneously concluded. Even the *Daily Journal* article conceded that the Court gave my case a great deal of thought.

2. <https://law.resource.org/pub/us/case/reporter/F3/141/141.F3d.1353.96-55396.html>

3. "The Constitution: Guarantor of Religion," in *Derailing the Constitution: The Undermining of American Federalism*, ed. Edward B. McLean (Intercollegiate Studies Institute, 1997) pp.155-156. (June 15, 2004 update: *US Supreme Court reverses 9th Circuit case*. Father who brought lawsuit did not have legal custody of the daughter—who wanted to say the words "under God" in the Pledge of Allegiance anyway. *The basic constitutional issue remains unsettled*).

4. *In re Summers* 325 US 561; 65 S.Ct. 1307 (1945).

5. For reference, "case law" means previous court decisions, which function as law, just like the statutes of the legislature do.

6. *US vs Macintosh*, 283 US 605, 51 S.Ct. 570, 75 L.Ed. 1302 (1931).

7. This phrase is taken from the old Delaware Constitution, Article 22 (adopted Sept. 20, 1776), 1 Del. Code Ann. 117 (Michie, 1975). The relevant portion reads: *Every person who shall be chosen a member of either house or appointed to any office or place of trust ... shall ... make and subscribe the following declaration, to wit: "I \_\_\_\_\_ do profess faith in God the Father, etc. as quoted.*

8. This phrase is taken from the US Supreme Court

opinion in *Law Students Civil Rights Research Council, Inc. v. Wadmond*, 401 US 154 at 166, 91 S.Ct 720 at 728. 27 L.Ed2d749 (1971). I offered to use "gender-inclusive language" if the State Bar insisted.

9. This phrase meets some of the requirements stated by the Court in *US v. Schwimmer*, 279 US 644, 49 S.Ct. 448, 73 L.Ed. 889 (1929).

10. This was obviously a major concern of the oath to "support the Constitution" throughout American history:

Pre-American Common Law: *Imbrie v. Marsh*, 3NJ. 578 at ---, 71 A2d 352 qat 357, 363, 18 ALR2d 241 at 247, 253 (1950) (rival sovereigns).

Revolutionary America [Article VI, section 3]: *Imbrie* at A2d 364, ALR2d 255; (England);

Civil War Era: *Cohen v. Wright*, 22 Cal-Rptr 297 at 299 (1863) (brief for appellant), 307, 310, 311, 330; *Cummings v. Missouri*, 71 US 277 at 317, 327, 18 L.Ed 356 at 361, 364 (1867); *Imbrie v. Marsh* at A2d 365, ALR2d 256 (the Confederacy);

Cold War Era: *Koningsberg (1) v. State Bar of California*, 3534 US 252 at 298, 77 S.Ct. 722 at 745 (1957); cf. *Barenblatt v. United States*, 360 US 109 at 130n31, 70 S. Ct. 1018 at 1095n.31 (1959); cf. *Communist Party v. Subversive Activities Control Board*, 367 US 1 at 5-9, 13-14, 51-55, 57, 81 S.Ct. 1357 at 1363, 1364, 1365, 1366, 1368, 1387, 1488, 1389, 1390 (1961); *Elfbrandt v. Russell*, 384 US 11 at 21, 86 S. Ct. 1238 at 1243 (1966); cf. *Wilkinson v. US* 365 US 399 at 401, 404n5, 81 S. Ct. 567 at 569, 571n5 (1961) (the Soviet Union);

Present: *Imbrie* at A2d 354, 355, 371, ALR2d 244, 245, 362; *Speiser v. Randall*, 357 US 513 at 515-16, 78 S.Ct. 1332 at 1336 (1958).

11. This last phrase is found in the current oath required by statute of all California attorneys. The duties of an attorney are set forth in Calif. Business and Professions Code §6068 and discussed here. One of these duties is:

(d) To employ, for the purpose of maintaining the causes confided to him or her such means only as are consistent with truth, and never to seek to mislead the judge or any judicial officer by an artifice or false statement of fact or law.

12. See endnote 6.

13. *Glasroth v. Moore*, 229 F.Supp.2d 1290 (M.D. Ala. 2002).

Courtesy Faith for all of Life, Box 158, Vallecito CA 95251

-----  
As we see more and more events soon come to pass, don't be troubled as others will, for there is really no need for fear or worry, as we are only witnessing promises being fulfilled; for if the storm comes to pass as HE said it would, then how much more faithful will be HIS Sunshine, coming after the storm. - - - *Thelen Paulk*. 1988  
-----

Well here we are again, praise the Lord, as there were another couple of Atrial Fibrillation episodes over this past month. I am continuing with natural heart drops and supplements.

Thank you for your letters, orders, clippings and support. We look forward always to the mail, even though it is moving far more slowly these days. That's Australia Post! We try to get material to you as quickly and economically as possible.

Let us all continue to live in this life God has given us in His presence and perspectives until death brings us all to God's glorious eternal work and bliss. Blessings to you and thank you for the continued prayers and support of this ministry. The grace of God our Father and of our Saviour Jesus the Christ, and His peace be with you all,

