



Christian Identity Ministries

A member of the
Congregations of Israel

PO Box 146, CARDWELL, QLD, 4849, Australia

Ph: 07-4066 0146 (International 61-7 instead of 07) www.christianidentityministries.com - hr_cim@bigpond.com

"Blessed be the LORD God of *Israel*; For He hath visited and redeemed *His* people, And hath raised up an horn of salvation for *us* in the house of his servant David; as he spake by the mouth of his holy prophets, which have been since the world began; That *we* should be saved from *our* enemies and from the hand of all that hate *us*; to perform the mercy promised to *our* fathers and to remember his holy covenant; The oath which he sware to *our* father Abraham, That he would grant unto *us*, that *we* being delivered out of the hand of *our* enemies might serve him without fear, in holiness and righteousness before him, all the days of *our* lives." Luke 1:68-75; the Anglo-Saxon-Celtic-Germanic-Scandinavian people are *ISRAEL!*

#350

Covenant Messenger

July AD2015

(a publication of N.Q. Fellowship of God's Covenant People)

ITS DANGEROUS TO BE RIGHT

by pastor Mark Downey

"Blessed are ye, when men shall revile you, and persecute you, and shall say all manner of evil against you falsely, for my sake ... Rejoice, and be exceeding glad for great is your reward in heaven: for so persecuted they the prophets which were before you." Matthew 5:11-12.

If you're an Identity Christian old enough to remember the 'right-wing-extremist' musician Carl Klang, he had a song called, "It's Dangerous to be Right, When the Government is Wrong." If you're really old, you probably remember who Carl got his title from: Voltaire is reputed to have said, "It is dangerous to be right in matters on which the established authorities are wrong." Some versions say "established men." Any viewpoint that questions, contradicts or opposes the views maintained and propagated by those who have established themselves as the ruling power are perceived as a threat to their authority and if left unchecked, the prevailing belief of society will replace it with something else. Sometimes this process takes centuries and other times, the established law and order takes drastic measures to silence the opposition in short order. Many times it turns into all out war. In more recent times, armed conflicts are contrived, what is called a false flag operation. Orwell observed that, "In a time of universal deceit, telling the truth is a revolutionary act." Those who are so bold to expose corruption, blow the whistle to turn our attention to evil-doers. History has enough examples of rulers taking extreme measures by killing people with persuasive new ideas or rekindling old ideas that have a ring of goodness to them or just making common sense. Just ask Galileo. If someone discovers a cure for cancer that is cheap and effective (and they have), do you think the American Cancer Society (ACS) is going to lead an army of lobbyists to the Legislators? They've had ample opportunities to do so since their founding in 1913; wow! The same year the Federal Reserve Bank was founded. Do you think there's a connection?

I said that facetiously, but when I did a search with those two entries, I was flabbergasted with page after page of white collar executives whose resumes did connect with the Fed and the ACS, which is a combination of the AMA monopoly/Big Pharma and insurance companies. It all translates into the cash cow that Jews have been milking for over a hundred years. If somebody has the time to research this Babylonian connection, it may lead to some very interesting liaisons, that some researchers have already touched upon. There is an aristocracy of the rich in America even

though our founders were leery of 'titles of nobility.' Nowadays they call themselves CEOs and 'chairman of the board' (and they get paid millions per year), and many believe that they have more political power than governments (especially after the Trans-Pacific-Partnership is passed). This singular point was made convincingly with John Perkins in his runaway bestseller "*Confessions of an Economic Hit Man.*" (see CI-397 @ \$17).

According to Perkins, he began writing this book in the 1980s, but "threats and bribes always convinced him to stop." Perkins function was to convince the political and financial leadership of under-developed countries to accept enormous development loans from institutions like the World Bank and USAID. Saddled with debts they could not hope to pay, those countries were forced to acquiesce to political pressure from the United States on a variety of issues. Perkins argues in his book that developing nations were effectively neutralized politically, had the gap between upper and lower classes driven wider and economies crippled in the long run. In this capacity, Perkins recounts his meetings with some prominent individuals. Perkins describes the role of an economic hit man as follows: Economic hit men (EHMs) are highly paid professionals who cheat countries around the globe out of trillions of dollars. They funnel money from the World Bank and the US Agency of International Development (USAID),

IN THIS ISSUE:

It's Dangerous to be right,	1
Where have the Mainstream Denominations Gone?	6
True Christianity: What is it?	6
When God Says: "I've had enough."	7
Because I said So,	10
Adam De Witt Answers:	13
The Banner of the Netherlands,	14

The views and opinions expressed in the articles herein or herewith are those of the authors and not necessarily those of CIM. They are written by fallible men. You must ask Jesus to guide your studies!

CIM reserves the right to edit submitted or reprinted material in line with CIM editorial policy. CIM does the utmost to ensure that the spirit of articles remains intact at all times.

and other foreign “aid” organizations into the coffers of huge corporations and the pockets of a few wealthy families who control the planet’s natural resources. Their tools included fraudulent financial reports, rigged elections, pay-offs, extortion, sex, and murder. They play a game as old as empire, but one that has taken on a new and terrifying dimension during this time of globalization. Of course the media has their brothel of whores who describe Perkins as “a frothing conspiracy theorist, a vainglorious peddler of nonsense.” Perhaps the JFK assassination has its most astute sharpshooters mocking theories that come really close to ‘whodunit.’ It’s dangerous to expose murderers.

For those with eyes to see, this wicked manipulation of money and people is described in many places throughout the Bible. In describing these heirs of Esau-Edom in John 8:44, they are in the business of murder and lying is their protocol; not just fudging the facts, but bearing false witness on a grand scale. We can’t even get a straight answer on the background of the so-called President of the United States. This was due in part to Obama’s appointment of jewess Elana Kagan as Solicitor General, who ran interference for every lawsuit before the Supreme Court regarding Obama’s natural born citizenship, which was shot down every time by her office. She was rewarded for her duplicity and now sits on the Supreme Court. But, she’s just the most blatant form of corruption; her roots can be traced to Goldman-Sachs. This jewish banking firm has a stable of conspirators that are packed into the Obama government; I counted at least 48 and most of them are jews and all in key governmental positions. Such a deal when all you have to do is make it dangerous for your opponents to say anything about their lawlessness and hypocrisy.

‘None Dare Call It Conspiracy’ is the name of a book written in 1971 that pioneered the genre of exposing communism under the guise of many innocuous names and future plans for a global government, economy and religion. Gary Allen, the author, postulates that the conspiracy got legs in the early 1900s with a 4 pronged approach to 1. establish an income tax extorting money from the common man. 2. establish a central bank deceptively named so that people think it’s part of the government. 3. have this bank be the holder of the national debt, and 4. run the national debt sky high with interest through wars. In 40 years the proof of the pudding is in the eating and the our people have been feeding at the trough getting deeper and deeper into debt, with wars and rumor of wars. Where have we heard that phrase? And as in the days of Noah and Lot; race-mixing and homosexuality.

The government is very wrong. Under the Obama Administration, in 2009 alone, Goldman Sachs took more than \$20 billion in taxpayer cash through bailouts and then turned around and paid out \$16.2 billion as 2009 bonuses, plus an additional \$5 billion more in bonuses in 2010. Without Obama’s “help” Goldman’s bonuses would have been zip, zilch, zero. Did you know that corporations like this can simply buy a majority of Congress through campaign contributions amounting to a mere \$1.6 billion? *That’s less than 10% of the \$20 billion “bail-out” Goldman Sachs received. Easily affordable, with a 10x return on their investment.*

We have to understand that they have think tanks run by pathological criminals to control the thinking of society

through mind control. But, every now and then, somebody with a conscience blows the whistle on the Alice-in-Wonderland of politics. Likewise, in religion, Christian Identity blows the judeo lid off Christianity. They try to make it dangerous to be right about the Bible through the ADL and SPLC, when we simply point out how they are destroying us. Obamacare just might be the bubble that popped on the hoped-for world of Orwell’s ‘1984,’ a totalitarian dictatorship that controlled every aspect of people’s lives. It parallels Mystery Babylon in the prophetic book of Revelation. And the medical cartel is the modern Church of Reality. It has its priests in white coats, stethoscope around the neck and its ceaseless propaganda about an overwhelming concern for the well-being of humanity. A number of US doctors are making headlines over whatever mass vaccination is in vogue and announcing that they will refuse to see patients who hold libertarian views regarding freedom of choice; it’s OK for aborting babies, but not OK to resist turning perfectly healthy children into autistic zombies. They say they don’t want the unvaccinated children of these libertarian parents in their office, because they might give other kids in their office the measles; but do they inform any parent bringing their children into their office

that they may have illegal aliens in their office (potentially carrying AIDS or any other disease), and that there would be no outbreaks without open borders from countries where measles are widespread? It’s dangerous to tell your friends and relatives that their reality is an elaborate manipulation. There’s no glory or gratitude for whistle-blowers today, only slander and contempt or at best a perplexing novelty, like Edward Snowden. Let Hollywood make a movie and explain it all. They made a couple of movies about Obama finally killing Osama, but it can’t be called a documentary or non-fiction. The reality of Mystery Babylon is the prefabrication of Marxist dialectics, in which the fantasy is sugar-coated for mass consumption. Sugar or

shall we say the cheap ‘high-fructose corn syrup’ is in fact the main ingredient in their overwhelming concern for the health and well-being of the socially engineered homogenized human race.

Experiments with lab rats have shown that sugar is just as addictive as heroin and yet it’s one of the most common ingredients on grocery shelves, in hundreds of national brand name products. Refined white sugar is toxic to your body and has absolutely no nutritional value. Corporations are allowed to make money, but should they be allowed to make money by making people sick? Has any AMA certified doctor ever warned his patients about this potent enemy against a person’s well-being? Has anybody ever made the connection between junk food and biological warfare? Why should these corporate executives kill people knowingly? ‘Winston tastes good like a cigarette should.’ The problem has mushroomed all over the world in 30 years and is driven by the profits of the food and diet industries combined. Sugar causes diseases and is a primary risk factor in getting sick and if ignored by medical professionals, people get sicker. It might be like crime is good for business in the criminal justice system (and law enforcement growth industry); Sickness is good for the medical monopoly and it is how some people get rich on the suffering of others.

Several times, Jesus directly alludes to Himself as a

New Video:

**WAR ON HEALTH
Gary Null’s Documentary
exposing the FDA.**

The FDA does not want the public to have access to natural supplements, organic foods or raw milk. They are raiding organic dairy farmers and organic vegetable growers because they want to force the people to use their GMO grown foods and eat meat grown with anti-biotics and hormones. Dangerous pharmaceutical drugs are promoted such as VIOXX, which has killed a lot of people, and many other medications which are equally dangerous.

CI-985 @ sug don \$8



physician. “He said to them, They that be whole need not a physician, but they that are sick” (Matt. 9:12). Our nation is sick with sin and we need the Great Physician, not AMA quacks. He can heal any one of us of any affliction, whether it be physical, mental, emotional, or financial. There are going to be times in your life when you’ll need God to heal you or a loved one. And little do most people know, but reading the Bible can heal you also. The Bible isn’t magical illusions, it is miraculous reality and it will put you in the right frame of mind to be healed. The most amazing things can happen to you if you have faith. The government wants to divert your faith away from Jesus to mere politicians (and doctors). It’s dangerous to be right with Jesus when the government is playing god. Or actually doing the bidding of corporation gods, having the power, which came from ill-begotten monies, to play a game of life and death with a consumer society. So we might need to modify our phrase to ‘it’s dangerous to be right when the bottom line of corporations are wrong.’ The root of all evil is the lust for personal gain; and what does a person do when they have more money than they could ever spend in their lifetime? Their megalomania goes to their head and they want to rule the world without Jesus the Christ. To those of us who are sane, this is just insanity run amuck with the inmates running the asylum.

As I mentioned previously, the root of all evil is not money, it is the **LOVE OF MONEY**. I get these e-mails all the time from Africa and Pakistan, where my ministry has been chosen to receive a sizeable amount of money (so does CIM get these same e-mails), which is a scam that some people fall for (you have to send money before you supposedly get any). However, I must be doing something right, because the other day I got the real deal and hit the jackpot. According to the first e-mail, from a bona fide Nigerian prince no less, I somehow won their National lottery in the sum of \$1 million after the person with the winning ticket, signed it over to Kinsman Redeemer Ministries, and the Prince is going to send it to me for free as soon as I send him my bank account and routing number along with a measly \$500 to show my good faith; and he’ll transfer the money to my bank. And then I get another e-mail from a leader in Kenya who wants to give me and our whole congregation free healthcare for life! All we have to do is give him our bank account information, social security number and the necessary health information and medical history for him to get started. And then, if we pay only \$700 a month for a health care insurance policy plan (with only \$10,000 deductible), he can make it happen right now. Such a deal.

On a back-to-reality note (sort of), I also received an unbelievable e-mail from Pastor Blanchard. If ebola, measles and influenza aren’t enough to stir a panic for mass vaccinations and straight jackets, there is a new epidemic being reported, now that it has been identified and given an official government seal of approval for medical enforcement; perhaps with SWAT teams with Uzi’s and white jackets. Until recently, the mental disorder was largely ignored because it had not yet received an official government label. But now that we know what it is, we can take preventative steps to counteract the disease as soon as possible in order to gain immunity before vaccines are developed and administered like rabies shots. In an attempt to curb a mass rush for a change in diet and reform, the field of psychiatry, which is by the way, in case you didn’t know, a jewish cre-

ation perfected in the old USSR whereby gulags and mental asylums were used to destroy people who opposed jewish communism, and has no foundation in science whatsoever; a psychiatrist can do what no police officer can do, no judge can do, what no forensic laboratory can do, that is to commit someone to confinement under the mental health act with no evidence whatsoever. But, don’t you know, it’s for their own good.

Just one word is enough or a sentence taken out of context to label you with this new mental disorder called “orthorexia nervosa.” The gods of psychiatry in tandem with the government have given the green light to start pushing a public relations campaign to increase awareness about this new epidemic that may affect your mental health. One might think this could be a comedy sketch on Saturday Night Live, but CNN, Popular Mechanics, Fast Company and other media outlets have begun the propaganda... er, public service announcements. Well, what the heck is it, you may be wondering. Orthorexia nervosa is defined as “a pathological obsession for biologically pure and healthy nutrition.” It’s a label concocted by psychiatrists designating those who are concerned about eating healthy; characterized by disordered eating, fueled by a desire for clean or healthy foods; those diagnosed with the condition are

overtly preoccupied with the nutritional makeup of what they eat. These experts are saying that our demand for nutrient-dense healthy food is a mental disorder that must be treated. If you see a person in a restaurant with a little Tupperware bowl containing carrots or celery, there might be a phone number near the cash register in which to report the person; after all, other people might get the same idea and bring their preferred organic veggies and if everybody did this, they’d put these eating establishments out of business. And we can’t have that. It’s now dangerous to be right/healthy when the food police are wrong. Ever wonder why the doughnuts

are associated with police (white sugar?).

In essence, if you turn your back on low quality, mega-agriculture corporation food containing known cancer causing toxic additives and a rich history of corruption, lobbying government with big campaign contributions in a continuous profits over people mind-set, then you may be suffering from mental illness. Ray Kroc made McDonalds what it is today and he was known as one of the biggest campaign contributors in the Republican Party; Time Magazine named him one of the top 100 “most important people of the century;” nowadays these chains contribute to both parties, because it’s good business to play both sides of the fence when the government is deciding what regulations are to be put on food. The maraschino cherry on top of the Hostess cupcake is that if you’re the unlucky perfect picture of health and get targeted as one of those obsessive health nuts and then labeled with the pseudo-scientific disorder of orthorexia, you might be prescribed known toxic pharmaceutical drugs from the same corporate conglomerates that you’re trying to avoid, by buying alternative food products without the poisons that can kill you. Which is more dangerous ... eating junk food or telling the junk food industry to go to hell? The jewish psychiatry of the communists is now identical to ours in the western world, a godless criminal atheist doctrine of Frankenstein medicine that will condemn a man for being right with God. It’s not right to put Twinkies and Skittles in the Temple of God. It is a weapon against White people. And if you dare call it jew-

New Video:

SEEDS OF TRUTH

1. GMOs & Roundup - a Destructive Combination

2. What are Statin Drugs

3. Why We Need Sulphur

Dr. Stephanie Seneff

a senior research Scientist at MIT, has written many research papers, and extensive research on the effects of GMOs and Roundup, patented by Monsanto.

CI-986 @ sug don \$8



ish, you can be called an anti-Semite and in the old USSR anti-Semitism was punishable by death. It is a mentality war, in which the mind of Jesus (the anointed mind) will not be altered by things God never meant for man to consume. A lot of people misunderstand Mt. 15:11, “*It is not what enters into the mouth that defiles the man, but what proceeds out of the mouth, this defiles the man*” to justify that they can eat anything, but Peter still didn’t get the parable, so Jesus explained in verse 17, that every food deemed clean by God is processed in the stomach and eliminated through the excretory system. Of course, it has to be implied that He is not talking about pork or synthetic preservatives or red dye #4, otherwise Jesus would be contradicting the Law. Unclean food does defile our physical body, things that are not to be eaten are called “abominations” (Lev. 11). When has God ever changed His mind on any abomination? Never! The point Jesus was making is the rhetoric that comes out of our mouths that defile us, because the heart is our moral barometer. Our brain is not the Holy of Holies and anything unclean, synthetic or hybrid is not welcome in the Temple of God. Unfortunately, we do not live in a perfect world and many of our people will often times have no other choice than to eat or go hungry. That might be a good time to look into fasting; not to be confused with fast foods, but a fast way to improving your health.

Obama has said a lot of dumb and patently false things, but the other day he said, “No religion is responsible for terrorism—people are responsible for violence and terrorism.” If we are to obey God rather than man as Peter proclaims (Acts 5:29), then obeying government that is void of God’s Law is terrorizing Christians who are right about believing what is good and evil. For example, I believe that it is wrong and evil for non-Whites to be rulers over our race; it is the Law of God (Deut. 17:15). Paul writes about Christian government in Romans 13:3, “*For rulers are not a terror to good works, but to the evil.*” As odd as it may seem in this day and age of terrorism, Christianity should be a terror to Barry Soetero. “*But if thou do that which is evil, be afraid; for he beareth not the sword in vain; for he is the minister of God, a revenger to execute wrath upon him that doeth evil*” verse 4. The enemies of Jesus are violent and take the Kingdom by force. Obama is a terrorist to the Christian world. They have reversed God’s Law to be a terror to good works and not evil. They praise the wicked and the evildoer. Having a mongrel ruling over us proves the wages of sin; an incredible spike in black on White crimes, a loss of respect among other nations, a plunging economy, a fall into degeneracy, a proliferation of antichrist religions and the list goes on and on. It’s dangerous to be right when they call evil good and good evil.

Both the ministers behind the pulpit and in the forefront of the body politic make being right with God a dangerous proposition. And that’s because they are so horribly wrong in what they think the church and state of society should be. For example, a reader board at a community church in Denver recently read: “We celebrate evolution.” It’s a celebration of all things Darwin in the church. The idea of Evolution Weekend is for Christian, Jewish, Catholic, Buddhist, and Unitarian congregations to ignore the Bible and expound on failed scientific speculation at the request of an atheist. The avowed atheist who organized this event is

Michael Zimmerman, a Jew, who teaches at Evergreen College in Olympia, Washington. I know about Evergreen because I used to live in Washington and I can tell you that it’s one of the most wacky campuses in the country, teaching everything but the preservation of White Christian America. This church, if you want to call it that, says on its ‘welcome page’: “We take the Bible seriously, but not literally. We see science and religion as two compatible world-views. We are interested in ecumenical and interfaith cooperation for the common good. We are a faith community that celebrates the spiritual gifts of God that empower us to engage in the struggles of life, to care for each other, and to serve Christ where we work and live. Messiah Community Church is a Reconciling-in-Christ congregation. [“Reconciliation of all things” is code for universalism]. We welcome the participation of people of all ages, ethnic and racial backgrounds, sexual orientations, gender identities, educational backgrounds, abilities and economic conditions - all who want to join in community to live in relationship with God and be of service to people. Shalom! Pastor Wolfgang Stahlberg, a member of the Progressive [communist?] Christian Alliance of Colorado.” The problem with all that is that the God of the Bible will have no relationship with such blatant blasphemies that are in His face, rubbing the Lord’s nose in the idols of Baal. Aside from embracing evolution, they claim to “seek justice, peace and inclusion for all creation.” Their church picnics must resemble a circus freak show. It’s dangerous to not be a progressive universalist, when the

church allows the bastard, the sodomite, the communist globalist and barnyard creatures to enter the congregation, justice for pigs, let the swine enter the sanctuary; peace for the vultures and roadkill critters .. come on down for the altar call

They must get along famously with the government of devils. They must live very safe and secure lives, not having to worry about SWAT teams knocking down their door for hoarding organic carrots. Benjamin Franklin was aware of these scoundrels and said, “Those who would give up essential liberty, to purchase a little temporary safety, deserve neither liberty nor safety.” The idea he was putting forth was that we cannot compromise freedom, because freedom is not free [Freemen are not equal; and Equal Men are not Free!]. Government spying on its people is an element of Baal worship; it is a major foundation of Orwell’s ‘Big Brother is watching you.’ Keeping liberty is dangerous. Jefferson wrote that, “The tree of liberty must be refreshed from time to time with the blood of patriots and Tyrants.” Those who spy on us are wrong. Judge Andrew Napolitano, who wrote a book called, coincidentally, ‘It’s Dangerous to be Right, When the Government is Wrong’ said last year (2014) that, “The first American patriot that shoots down one of these drones that comes too close to his children in his backyard will be a hero.” But, here’s a new twist: you can buy your own drone on the Home Shopping Network (with camera) for under \$100. Legislators are busy preparing laws to make them illegal. Again, Jefferson puts things in the proper perspective: “When government fears the people, there is liberty. When people fear the government (or its agents and officers), there is tyranny.”

Churches like the lap dog religion in Denver are no different than the crowds that rejected Jesus in His three year

CDs of the Month:

J-148 Is the Confederate Flag a Symbol of Hate? pt 2

J-149 Wine: Its Condemnation and Commendation

J-150 The Confederate Chaplains

J-151 The Temple Tax

J-152 Deja Vu

J-153 The Biblical Doctrine of Justification

J-154 Going Back Into Egypt
all pastor John Weaver



ministry, because of the arrogance of authority in the priesthood, which became symbiotic to the political demands of Judea and Rome. As the Lord's reputation increased, many became more hostile towards Him. Even His followers were falling by the wayside. "Because of what Jesus said, many from among His disciples [students] quit following Him and no longer walked with Him" John 6:66. I think that's very similar to the parable of the sower; some of the seeds were devoured by fowls (i.e. the wicked), some fell on stony ground (i.e. hardened hearts), some fell among thorns and were choked (i.e. mongrelization or universalism); but some of the seed fell on fertile ground and became fruitful (i.e. our people being convicted of their sins and repenting and thus following Jesus). They became more God-fearing than fearing anything man or government could do to them, because Jesus gave them hope. Hope is the only thing stronger than fear. A little hope is effective; a lot of hope is dangerous. It's dangerous to stand your ground when it is fertile and growing and healthy. There's nothing like a clear mind that is focused and Jesus-centered. The corrupt government of America is part of Mystery Babylon and wallows in confusion: we are treated like mushrooms, kept in the dark and fed manure. It's dangerous for the UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT INC. to be wrong, when the people are right. Most Americans do not believe Lee Harvey Oswald was the lone assassin; most Americans believe 9/11 was an inside job; most Americans believe something is wrong with the government, but they don't know who is right.

I often refer to TV newsmen as media whores, because they are paid to lie to the public and to form public opinion. In a way, they play God by being the Potter and we the clay. I have already alluded to the shoddy journalism about health and vaccinations. It is dangerous to expose prefabricated stories about an imminent disease turning into a hysterical pandemic and the only saviors in sight are unelected experts saying "Hi, I'm from the Center for Disease Control and I'm here to help you, please cooperate with our quarantine and imposed treatment." If you ask these doctors, who are ready to stick a needle in your arm with some mysterious serum, what it is made from? And they can't tell you, but you know that it contains awful ingredients that a witch's brew would be proud of: mercury, formaldehyde, aspartame, aluminium, monkey puss, and aborted foetus material, etc. Did you know that mercury is the second most poisonous element known to man? And more and more people are learning the facts, which are denied and mocked, just like they did with cigarettes, and their pillar of reality begins to crumble and collapse. The cabal of liars from the CIA, NSA, FBI and all the other alphabet soup agencies, to the president of perjury, these pillars can no longer support the foundation of lies. Like a cornered rat, the government will go into attack mode and deliver painful bites to anyone who questions their authority. The US banking system and thus the US government is basically insolvent; the so-called global economy is downright ugly; it's not a safe time for the rats who have royally screwed up. Our national debt is so astronomical that it can

never be repaid even if future generations are sold into slavery in perpetuity. Some of the rats can jump ship, but the ship is still sinking and can only be bailed out so many times before the buckets and/or bailers wear out. Greece and Italy cannot fail without devastating much of Europe. Europe cannot suffer without causing problems in China. China cannot slow down without causing major problems in the rest of the world. Everything is interconnected. Only little Iceland seems to have known what to do with the problem. They repudiated their debt, seeing it as fraud in the first place, and then arrested the banksters and prosecuted them for ruining their money system. It's dangerous to suggest declaring a biblical Jubilee, when the all-seeing-eye of the mother of pyramid schemes is blind.

Brian Williams is (or should I say was) a pillar of reality that fell from the grace of NBC. Williams was one of the master manipulators and paid handsomely for his whoredoms. He is no longer the anchor of the evening news, because he lied about his Chinook helicopter in Iraq being hit by RPGs back in 2003. He only admitted his lie after crew members of the actual chopper that was hit by rockets went public with the truth. He didn't really say that he lied, but rather, "I don't know what screwed up in my mind that caused me to conflate one aircraft with another." Surely there's more to this story than just one little fudging of the facts. If I may quote Jon Rappoport who said, "Mind control has one basic purpose: the construction of false Reality. The embedding of false Reality to such an extent that it seems absurd to question it or even notice it. Purple and pink raccoons? Of course there are purple and pink raccoons. Why even bring it up? Without purple and pink raccoons, the world as we know it would collapse." We are led to believe that the news is a pillar of virtue; how dare anyone call into question the integrity of a news story? But something doesn't add up.

Brian Williams reputation was destroyed over a piddly claim of being under fire, which pales in comparison to Hillary Clinton being under sniper fire in Bosnia, when video footage reveals an imaginary memory to boost the bravery of Jezebel under fire. Does the media explain the discrepancy for exposing one and not the other? No. It's not just Brian Williams who has been taken out of the picture, but 3 of his colleagues who were killed the same week. Bob Simon, a veteran CBS News and 60-Minutes correspondent was killed in New York City in a car crash; Ned Colt, a former NBC international correspondent dies of a massive stroke and within hours David Carr collapsed and died in his New York Times office. Why were they killed? What did they all have in common? Apparently they all had second thoughts about how their media bosses presented the news and sought to do what was right. The 4 of them had formed an independent video news company last month and filed the necessary security documents that would allow them access to the Kremlin's most secret archive of evidence relating to the spectacular September 11th 2001, attack on the World Trade Center. Russian President Putin, recently disclosed that they have satellite surveillance of 9/11 and would show that it was an inside job

New & Recently Listened to CDs & DVDs
A-7004b Christ's Blood for the Kingdom

Sheldon Emry

D-037 Divine Attentiveness (Fire, Water & the Sword, pt 7) Lawrence Blanchard

E-448 Baal Worship/judeo Churches, Pt 2,

Judges 3:5-8, Mark Downey

G-942 Tyrannical Powers, or Godly Authority, (Romans pt 35) Ted Weiland

G-943 Ministers of God (Romans pt 36)

Ted Weiland

J-418 The Doctrine of Election, pt 1

John Weaver

J-419 The Doctrine of Election, pt 2

John Weaver

S-110 Prophetic Russian Invasion, pt 1

Pete Peters

U-130 Manly Friendships, pt 2,

Doug Phillips



by the American government. Spearheading the new company was Carr, who, in his capacity at the N.Y. Times, championed Edward Snowden; and after watching the documentary movie 'Citizenfour,' which won an Academy Award, became very perturbed about what America was turning into. Knowing that they may be following in the footsteps of Tom Clancy and Andrew Breitbart, they moved forward regardless of the clear and present danger to their very lives. But the Russian report went further in a grim follow-up stating that the Obama regime has sent a "clear message" to the elite media class daring anyone else to expose their darkest secrets.

This faggot Nimrod who occupies the White House is threatening to outlaw all websites that disagree with him; and has jumped on the bandwagon of an emerging police state of armed sodomites with a badge to sign into law a proposal to classify any criticism by the American people against the police as a 'hate crime.' I know that not every cop is a negro worshipper; some are a modern Cornelius and we need them in uniform. All I can say is that I will bathe in the glory of the truth, I will immerse myself in danger if it means standing your ground for what is right. For we are blessed "When men shall revile you, and persecute you, and say all manner of evil against you falsely" for Jesus' sake. The Truth shall make us free from the slimiest of liars and those who can kill the body, but cannot kill the soul. "Rather, fear Him who is able to destroy both body and soul in Gehenna" Mt. 10:28. What are we promised by the God of Israel? A Kingdom ... if you can love it enough to fight for it. We will continue along this dangerous path next time, but only because it is the path of righteousness.

**WHERE HAVE THE
 MAINSTREAM DENOMI-
 NATIONS GONE?**

by Pastor Don Elmore

Have you noticed what the names are of the new churches that have sprung up in our midst in the last 20 years? Vineyard, Cornerstone, Crystal Cathedral, Community Church, etc. There is no mention of Baptist, Presbyterian, Methodist, Lutheran, Puritan, Congregational, Church of Christ, or even other Protestant churches, except perhaps the Free Reformed Church. What has happened?

The Protestant nation is over. The new congregations are following the handbook of establishing churches(?) that have no affiliation with the old Protestant faith. It used to be that when you said you were a Lutheran, that you believed the teachings of Martin Luther. Martin taught the predestination of God. But most Lutherans no longer teach this doctrine as well as others that they once believed.

The same is true with the Presbyterian Church, Baptists, and a whole host of other Protestant churches. They no longer teach what their older churches taught. They erred greatly when they bought into the lie of signing up for the 501(c)3[tax exemption]. Then there was the question of gender leadership over men, then there was the question of membership, then the question of race, then the question of

homosexuality, then the question on ?????

On the front page of our local newspaper is an article about two female pastors who were voted in as the head of the local Christian Church. And how many former Protestant churches have women pastors and assistant pastors, which used to be against what their older churches taught? And it is going to continue. The article stated that there are now more women than men in the seminaries.

And what about the predestination of God? The new churches don't mention it at all. The new congregations are multi-cultural and free-will. The TULIP doctrine of the Presbyterians (Calvinism) is long forgotten.

And what about the question of race? The new congregations are open to all races. Each person of any race can choose Jesus to be their saviour.

What about the homosexual? God's love for everyone would include the sodomite, wouldn't it? It doesn't matter to them what God said, what He likes or doesn't like. The churches are caving in to marry same-sex partners. The Christian Church is about dead, "Contend for the faith of your fathers."

**TRUE CHRISTIAN-
 ITY: WHAT IS IT?**

"For thou art an holy people unto the Lord thy God: the Lord thy God hath chosen thee to be a special people unto himself, above all people that are upon the face of the earth." (Deut 7:6).

As the word *identity* implies, it is the condition of being the same as something described or asserted. Christian Identity establishes who the true Israel is today according to the Holy Bible and world history. There is more than adequate and convincing proof that the Anglo-Saxon-Celtic-Germanic-Scandinavian and kindred people are the racial descendants of the (twelve) tribes of Israel.

It becomes readily apparent that there has been a case of mistaken identity when associating Jews with the claim of being the "chosen people." The

Jew has an identity, but it is that of a thief who has stolen the history and nomenclature of the really true Hebrews and Semites. For the sake of understanding it is necessary to clearly distinguish between the terms Israel, Judah, and Jewry, because of the careless, thoughtless and often purposely deceptive usage by both religious and political leaders.

The time has come when the hidden Israel nation is being revealed to those having eyes to see and ears to hear. It is being positively identified. Only one race answers to the Holy Bible scenario of Israel in the latter days and that is the White Race. Our people are in possession of what Israel was to possess and we are doing what Israel was to do according to God's covenants and promises which Jesus came to confirm. Only our people have the Bible, believe in Jesus the Anointed, call on His Name, are called by His Name, have used His Laws for our civil government and are now the object of a world-wide attack by the enemies of

Jesus who are organizing all the heathen under the Red

**Old Historic Videos:
 CAN WE GET AUSTRALIA BACK
 also**

**Foreign Ownership of Australia
 by (late) Jeremy Lee.**

Recorded in a public meeting in Mackay in 1995, it documents the huge gap between the sunny picture claimed by federal politicians and the gold reality faced by large numbers of Australians.

#CI-232 @ sug don \$17

-----**-----

**THE LIES ABOUT DOMESTIC VIOLENCE
 by Dan McSweeney**

The family is under attack, as is the idea of free people having the right to own and bear arms. To justify the right of the State to intrude into these areas, the public is confronted with a picture of never-ending conflict, family breakdown and domestic violence.

#CI-233 @ sug don \$17



banner of antichrist World Communism.

In Genesis, God created Adam, the first man. The Holy Bible is a history book of Adam's people. The Hebrew word for man is Adam itself and actually means to show blood in the face; to be fair; rosy cheeked; to be ruddy; and to be able to blush or flush. One must admit that the other races do not fit this description and therefore, cannot descend through Adam. God declared, "Everything after its own kind." From Adam, there proceeded a chosen line who followed God after righteousness. From Adam's son Seth, to Noah the chosen line remained racially pure and faithful to God. Noah and his family were preserved during the Great Flood while God destroyed the disobedient. Noah's son Shem, continued the chosen line and these people became known as Shemites or Semites.

Next was Eber, whose descendants became known as Hebrews. Generations later, God chose one Hebrew-Adamic man who remained faithful to God and did not live in wickedness as did the other races. This was Abraham, who received special blessings and covenants and promises from God. Abraham passed these blessings along to his Son Isaac, who passed them on to his son Jacob. Jacob's name was changed to Israel and he had twelve sons, who then grew into the Children of Israel (a congregation) These tribes were disobedient to God's Laws and, in 745BC went into the Assyrian captivity. From Assyria, these people migrated west and became the Caucasian European nations (because they passed through the Caucasus mountains).

The people of true Israel will recognize their identity and turn to the Lord Jesus Anointed and call upon Him for salvation and redemption, and that they will be delivered from their enemies in the "last battle" which will destroy the wicked and will usher in the great Kingdom Age upon the Earth.

"He sheweth his word unto Jacob, his statutes and his judgments unto Israel. He hath not dealt so with any nation; and as for his judgments they have not known them. Praise ye the Lord." Psalm 147:19-20.

"Blessed be the Lord God of Israel for He hath visited and redeemed His people." Luke 1:65

all the above Courtesy The New Covenant Messenger, Box 321 Union KY 41091

WHEN GOD SAYS, "I'VE HAD ENOUGH!"

by Robert McCurry

It is not a matter of *if* God will say, "I've had enough," it's just a matter of time *when* God will say, "I've had enough." God's Word tells us of a time when kings of the earth, great men, rich men, chief captains, mighty men, bondmen, and every free man will hide themselves from "the face of him that sitteth on the throne, and from the wrath of the Lamb: For the great day of his wrath is come; and who shall be able to stand?" (Rev. 6:16-17).

In the days of Noah God said, "I've had enough." With the exception of Noah, his family, and the animals aboard the ark, God destroyed all in a flood. Why did God say, "I've had enough" and impose such drastic measures of wrath and judgment upon His creation? God's Word provides the answer.

"But as the days of Noe were, so shall also the coming

of the Son of man be. For as in the days that were before the flood they were eating and drinking, marrying and giving in marriage, until the day that Noe entered into the ark, And knew not until the flood came, and took them all away; so shall also the coming of the Son of man be" (Matt. 24:37-39).

It is not a sin to eat and drink. Eating and drinking are necessary for the preservation of man's life. But the people in Noah's day did not eat and drink to live, they lived to eat and drink. This was their pursuit of life. They had their being for no other end than to eat and drink. Life consisted of perpetual parties and banquets. They indulged themselves in gluttony and drunkenness. Their philosophy was, "Come ye, say they, I will fetch wine, and we will fill ourselves with strong drink; and tomorrow shall be as this day, and much more abundant" (Isa 56:12).

It is not a sin to marry. God originated and ordained marriage. Marriage is necessary to the preservation of mankind. It is evident then that their "marrying and giving in marriage" had violated God's ordained, orderly way of marriage. They had entered into unlawful marriages and followed a course of constant intemperance and lust.

THE GENESIS RECORD

The record of Noah's day referred to by Jesus is found in Genesis 6.

"And it came to pass, when men began to multiply on the face of the earth, and daughters were born unto them, that the sons of God (Adam's descendants) saw the daughters of men that they were fair; and they took them wives of all which they chose. And the Lord said, My spirit shall not always strive with man, for that he also is flesh: yet his days shall be an hundred and twenty years.

There were mighty men in the earth in those days; and also after that, when the sons of God came in unto the daughters of men, and they bare children to them, the same became mighty men which were of old, men of renown. And God saw that the wickedness of man was great in the earth, and that every imagination of the thoughts of his heart was only evil continually. And it grieved him at his heart. And the Lord said, I will destroy man whom I have created from the face of the earth; both man and beast, and the creeping thing, and the fowls of the air; for it repenteth me that I have made them" (Gen. 6:1-7).

There were fewer than two thousand years between the first and sixth chapter of Genesis (there were 1656 years between creation of Adam and the flood). There were only two Adamic seeds on the earth. First, there was the seed of Cain who had been banished by God and excluded from the covenant blessing because he had rejected God's orderly way of obedience and worship and murdered his brother Abel (Gen. 4:15-16). Interestingly Cain's genealogy (Gen. 4:17-24) is not a part of Adam's genealogy (Gen. 5:1-32). Second, there was the Godly, covenant seed of Seth. The "daughters of men" were Cain's seed line and the "sons of God" were Seth's seed line.

By the time of Noah, however, marriage had lost its sacredness and permanence. Divorce and remarriage were rampant. God's ordained orderly way of marriage became so violated that the earth was filled with violence (much like today?)(Gen. 6:11,13).



God's Ordained and Orderly Way of Marriage

God created Adam and Eve at the same time. Eve was created *in* Adam (Gen 2:26-28). God took Eve *out* of the side of Adam and builded a woman and presented her to Adam (Gen 2:18, 21-24). They were *one flesh*. It was Adam and Eve not Adam and Steve. God made no spares for Adam and Eve in the event they later decided, for whatever reason, they wanted to dissolve the marriage and go their separate ways. There were no disillusion clauses in this marriage. It was one man for one woman and one woman for one man. It was a marriage "until death do us part." This was God's ideal original building block for marriage.

But in less than two thousand years man had abandoned God's ideal original building block and marriage was perverted and in shambles. They were "marrying and giving in marriage." Marriage, divorce, and remarriage were a revolving door. As a result of the breakdown of marriage and the family, the earth was "filled with violence" (Gen. 6:11,13). They eventually passed the point of no return. God's ideal original building block had been so perverted and broken that God in essence said, "I've had enough. I'm not going to repair this mess. I'm going to destroy the whole earth." And He did. But not until after Noah, a righteous man, preached repentance for one hundred and twenty years. The people refused to hear, believe, and repent. One day God shut the door to the ark, and a world that refused to listen didn't even know what happened "until the flood came and took them all away" (Matt. 24:39).

It's about to happen again.

The Message of Jesus concerning divorce and remarriage, Jesus said, "It hath been said, Whosoever shall put away his wife, let him give her a writing of divorcement: But I say unto you, That whosoever shall put away his wife, saving for the cause of fornication, causeth her to commit adultery: and whosoever shall marry her that is put away committeth adultery" (Matt. 5:31-32). (for more on the subject of **divorce and remarriage**, see the booklets by Ted Weiland, Bob Hallstrom, and Stephen Jones, #244 @ \$9.25; #813 @ \$8.25; and #436 @ \$7.25)

God does not say that He hates divorced people, but God does say that He hates divorce. The problems arise when the translators do not differentiate between "put away" and "bill of divorce".

"Yet ye say, Wherefore? Because the Lord hath been witness between thee and the wife of thy youth, against whom thou hast dealt treacherously: yet is she thy companion, and the wife of thy covenant. And did not he make one? Yet had he the residue of the spirit. And wherefore one? That he might seek a godly seed. Therefore take heed to your spirit, and let none deal treacherously against the wife of his youth. For the Lord, the God of Israel, saith that he hateth putting away (parting without divorce): for one covereth violence with his garment, saith the Lord of hosts: therefore take heed to your spirit, that ye deal not treacherously. Ye have wearied the Lord with your words. Yet ye say, Wherein have we wearied him? When ye say, Every one that doeth evil is good in the sight of the Lord, and he delighteth in them; or Where is the God of judgment?" (Mal. 2:14-17).

Paul said, "For I am jealous over you with godly jeal-

ousy: for I have espoused you to one husband, that I may present you as a chaste virgin to The Anointed" (2 Cor. 11:2). Note: The bride is to be a "chaste virgin" - a maiden; by implication, an unmarried daughter. The bride as a pure virgin saves her love for one man only, for the one who will be her husband.

Every participant and attendee at a Christian marriage ceremony is a confirming and approving witness that the marriage ceremony they are witnessing truly reflects the biblical symbolic image of Jesus and His Ecclesia. Therefore, a Christian's participation in or attendance at a marriage ceremony that misrepresents and mars this biblical symbolic criterion of Jesus and His Ecclesia dishonors Jesus.

The public Christian marriage ceremony is a sacred ceremony. It is here that the groom and bride exchange covenantal promises with one another and make vows to God that is to continue "until death do us part." God says of the marriage relationship, "Wherefore they are no more twain, but one flesh. What therefore God has joined together, let not man put asunder" (Matt 16:6). It is clear. A

subsequent divorce disqualifies them from another public Christian marriage ceremony.

Unfortunately, some Christian do end their marriage in divorce, and the majority of divorced Christians remarry. Thankfully, many Christians that remarry after divorce understand that there are certain restrictions that follow divorce and they do not, therefore, expect a public Christian marriage ceremony. There are marriage ceremony options available to those who choose

to remarry.

Cleaving and putting asunder

The word *cleave* means to *glue, to stick, to adhere firmly and closely or loyally and unwaveringly*. The word implies *permanence*. The word *asunder* means *all to pieces, one part from the other, to shred*. To put asunder is to *tear*. The same Greek word for asunder is used in Acts 1:18, "Now this man [Judas] purchased a field with the reward of iniquity; and falling headlong, **he burst asunder in the midst, and all his bowels gushed out.**"

It is God that joins together in marriage. The two are one flesh. It is impossible to *separate* one flesh. But it is possible for one flesh to be *torn, cut and broken asunder* by divorce. It is God that commands that marriage not be put asunder - torn, cut, or broken apart. Divorce hurts, but it does more than hurt. It causes anguish, anger, animosity, and bitterness in the spirit and emotions of the spouses, children, extended families, and friends. Divorce is one tragedy that is worse than death. It never ends.

Marriage, divorce, remarriage, and violence

There are ever-increasing reports of meaningless, senseless violence wreaking havoc in every segment of our society. This writer has observed that most perpetrators of this violence are products of divorce. More and more adults are walking the treadmill of divorce and remarriage, some multiple times. Many divorced people are merely shacking-up. Children and teenagers are either living in a single-parent home (single as the result of divorce) or living in a home with a step-parent. But the violence that makes news merely serves as a cover for the mass of unreported violence.

The violence in Noah's day was caused by interracial



marriage, divorce and remarriage. Divorce and remarriage, children out of wedlock, is the root cause of most of today's anguish, anger, animosity, bitterness, and violence.

Churches and Weddings

Pastors and churches have long served as guardians of the sacred institution of marriage. They have so served by ascertaining that weddings performed under their auspices and direction meet the Biblical criteria and properly represent the image of Jesus and His Ecclesia. For example, neither bride nor groom is divorced; both bride and groom are Christians with credible testimonies; all activities including music, ceremony, and reception are in keeping with God's Word and orderly way.

Until recently, Bible-believing pastors were so committed to the integrity and permanence of marriage that they would not perform a wedding for divorced persons—publicly or privately. Pastors and churches would never participate in a church wedding if they knew the couple had been cohabiting, the bride was pregnant, the bride had birthed children outside of marriage, or the groom had fathered children outside of marriage. But those are yesterday's standards. More and more pastors and churches today are abandoning the old paths and church weddings have few, if any, restrictions. How sad. Many would only marry people from their own congregations, who were known to them, and not marry outsiders. Why this departure from God's Word and orderly way? One word tells it all: Accommodation.

Church weddings involving divorced people are a recent phenomenon. Of course, there is no verse in the Bible that says: "Divorced people cannot get married in a church building." But neither is there a verse in the Bible that says, "Sodomites cannot get married in a church building." Unfortunately, sodomites are now demanding their "rights" and an increasing number of pastors are performing "same-sex marriages" in churches. The Georgia Southern Baptist Convention withdrew fellowship from two Southern Baptist churches in 1998 for performing and/or sanctioning such 'marriages.' Yes, many things have changed in many churches in recent years in order to accommodate the whims and lusts of today's people—a people who do what is right in their own eyes. But God's Word has not changed, and it will not change.

When the Bible talks about "church" it is not talking about a building or denomination; it is referring to the Ecclesia that Jesus purchased with His blood. This 'Church' consists of Jesus' redeemed people in a local visible assembly of people of which Jesus the Christ is Lord and Head. Although there were no church buildings for over one hundred years after the resurrection of Jesus, the Lord's Congregation did assemble to worship and study God's Word (hence the mention of "forsaking to assemble" as some were wont to do). Today the Lord's Congregation meets to worship in buildings that are called "churches." But in reality these "church buildings" are not "churches"—they are merely "church buildings" that have been dedicated as a place for the Lord's Congregation to assemble.

Today, a "church-building" is synonymous with the Lord's Church, God, and the Bible in the minds of many people. Therefore, whatever message is proclaimed and whatever activities are carried on in a church building—including weddings—represent God and the Bible in their minds. Of course, it is true that the message pro-

claimed and the activities carried on in a church building may not truly represent God and the Bible. Nevertheless, it is true that the message proclaimed and the activities carried on in a church building *do* represent and portray what the Congregation believes, approves, sanctions, promotes, and recommends that other people believe, approve, sanction, promote, and practice. It used to be that when people went to the church building, they saw that as entering into the presence of God, and required dress, seating, and behaviour in an appropriate orderly and reverent manner while there.

Responding to the crisis

All of the teachings of God's Word on marriage, putting away, divorce, and remarriage notwithstanding, it is a fact that an ever increasing number of people, including Christians, are divorcing and remarrying. Sadly, some are now divorcing and remarrying multiple times (following the trend of Hollywood). Among the many factors contributing to this divorce epidemic are: Neglect by the Congregation in scripturally preaching and teaching on the subject of putting away, divorce and remarriage, and, "no-fault" divorce laws in all states. Some are now considering the repeal of these "no-fault" laws and indicate they will be replaced with tougher and more restrictive divorce laws.

Only the Lord's Congregation can provide the truth and solution to the rapidly increasing divorce epidemic. But this will happen only when the Congregation and Chris-

tians choose to agree with God about divorce. God hates putting asunder, and we must hate what God hates. The sin of divorce must be acknowledged, confessed, repented of, and forsaken. Of course, God will forgive the sin of divorce if and when the terms of His Word are met.

The past cannot be relived and, generally speaking, the past can not be changed. And let's face it. The combined efforts of the Congregation and the legislature will never completely eliminate divorce under the best of circumstances. But since divorce is first and foremost a spiritual issue and not principally a legal problem, the Church must provide the leadership to stop the hemorrhaging and minimize the damages of divorce in the future.

Christians, who have divorced and/or divorced and remarried are not offended by, nor do they object to and resent Scriptural teaching on these subjects, if they have truly dealt with the subject in obedience to God's Word. Rather, they will joyfully accept such preaching as a springboard of praise and rejoicing that God has extended His grace and forgiveness to them,

Old Paths and Old Landmarks

What I have set forth here has been the historic teaching and practice of Bible-believing pastors, churches and the Christian Community at large until recent times. It is what I was taught by my pastors. It is what I was taught in Bible school. It is what I have taught and preached during my sixty-four years of Gospel ministry, including what I taught, preached, and practiced during my more than fifty years as pastor of the same church.

It was not until recent times that some preachers and churches began departing from God's Word and orderly way on the subject of divorce and remarriage. This departure has not taken place because God and His Word have changed. It has taken place in order to accommodate the rapidly deteriorating moral and spiritual fabric of contemporary society—a society that has less and less respect for

must have book:

LAWFUL MONEY EXPLAINED

or

How to win Back your America

by

Gertrude M. Coogan, B.S., M.B.A.

Bachelor of Science & Commerce; Master of Business Administration

First published 1939, reprinted many times.

The solution: proper distribution and exercise of power.

#467 @ sug don \$15.25



God, His Word, and His orderly way in reference to marriage covenants between bride and groom, marriage vows to God, divorce, and divorce and remarriage. Times change. People change. Religions change. Pastors change. Churches change. But **God and His Word never change!** There is no variability, neither shadow of turning with God; and His Word is forever settled in heaven (James 1:17, Ps. 119:89). I welcome any truth that will demonstrate that the position stated herein is biblically untrue and/or defective.

Where Must We Go From Here

Not everyone reading this message is divorced or divorced and remarried. At least, I hope not. However, everyone reading this article has been exposed to and affected by divorce or divorce and remarriage either by parents, grandparents, child, sibling, extended family member, friend, co-worker, or neighbour.

Divorce and divorce and remarriage know no social, economic, family, or religious restraints or boundaries. It is now pervasive in the culture at large. Sadly, it is reported that more 'Christians' than non-Christians are now leading this trend. A tragic spin-off of this divorce and divorce and remarriage tragedy is that an increasing number of 'Christian' and non-Christian couples alike are circumventing 'divorce' by avoiding biblical marriage—choosing rather 'cohabitation'—a New Age word for 'shacking-up.'

Where do we go from here as a nation? Biblical restraints have crumbled and Biblical conviction has diminished. The national divorce and divorce and remarriage phenomenon has surpassed flood stage. Humanly speaking, 'Things are so broken, they can't be fixed.' Biblically speaking, God and His Word are the remedy for the future.

Where do we go from here as individuals? First, as far as the past is concerned, 'things are so broken they can't be fixed' for many divorced people. That is, there are often a multiplicity of factors that prevent a reconciliation and restoration of some divorced couples (e.g. the woman has been with another man). But this does not mean that the past is to be forgotten; the initiator of the divorce 1) must agree with God and His Word by acknowledging, confessing, and repenting for initiating what God says He hates—God hates divorce (Malachi 2:12-17) 2) violating the "Until death do us part" marriage vow; 3) whenever possible, apologies must be made and forgiveness sought of everyone that was adversely affected by the divorce. Of course, the offending spouse that was the cause of a divorce being initiated has a corresponding biblical responsibility to likewise obey God's Word regarding his/her sin(s) and part in the marital conflict and divorce.

Second, Christians who are divorced and divorced and remarried should be in agreement with God's Word and give no excuses or justification to others for their status; be Jesus' Ambassadors in working to curtail and minimize further proliferation of this tragedy by teaching their children and grandchildren this biblical truth: be a witness to others of the truth of God's Word on this subject; appeal to others who are considering these avenues as an opinion to 'better their life' to study, believe, and obey God's Word.

A final word

Finally, Christians do not belong to themselves.

"What? Know ye not that your body is the temple of holy ghost which is in you, which ye have of God, and ye are not your own? For ye are bought with a price: therefore glorify God in your body, and in your spirit, which are God's." (1 Cor. 6:19-20). "Whether therefore ye eat, or drink, or whatsoever ye do, do all to the glory of God." (1 Cor. 10:31).

Courtesy the Wake-Up Herald, 605 Moore Rd, Newnan GA 30263.

'BECAUSE I SAID SO'

by Jeremiah Jacques

Scientists treat dissent like heresy. But considering their track record, they should welcome debate.

What should be done to those who question the belief that human activity is causing catastrophic global climate change?

Environmental activist Robert F. Kennedy Jr. (nephew of the late president) recently said some of such people are "treasonous" and should be in jail with other criminals. Similarly, climatologist James Hansen, who was NASA's top climate expert for over a decade, said questioners of the hypothesis should face criminal trial for "high crimes against humanity." For Richard Parncutt, a professor at the University of Graz in Austria, however, prison is not punishment enough. In 2012, he said: "I propose that the death penalty is appropriate for influential GW (Global Warming) deniers." [What? get out the Zyklon B?]

More mainstream activists generally don't call for prison or execution, but still work to silence all questioners. In 2007,

The Weather Channel's climate guru called for stripping certification from unbelieving meteorologists. A Senate report that year showed that unconvinced scientists routinely faced threats and intimidation by proponents. In 2013, Reddit's/r/science forum—which, with five times more subscribers than the *New York Times*, has become the front line of science-public interface—banned climate change questioners from discussions and said newspapers should follow its lead. A few months later, in his State of the Union address, US President Barack Obama said that "climate change is a fact" and "the debate is settled."

This desire to silence, imprison or even kill opposition raises specific questions about climate change. It also raises broad questions applicable to all of science.

Why is Climate Alarmism Questioned?

The main reason some question the belief that human activity is causing catastrophic climate change is that some of the science behind the hypothesis is thin—to put it mildly.

Historic evidence shows that Earth's climate has always warmed and cooled—sometimes abruptly. Most of these changes came long before the Industrial Age. Little evidence supports the notion that current fluctuations are unordinary. Prof. R. Timothy Patterson, director of the Ottawa-Carleton Geoscience Center at Carleton University, wrote: "Climate stability has never been a feature of planet Earth." The world's climate "changes continually and, at times, quite rapidly," he wrote. "Many times in the past, temperatures were far higher than today, and occasionally, temperatures were colder. As recently as 6,000 years ago, it was about 3°C warmer than now. Ten thousand years ago, while the world was coming out of the thousand-year-log



‘Younger Dryas’ cold episode, temperatures rose as much as 6°C in a decade—100 times faster than the past century’s 0.6°C warming that has so upset environmentalists” (*National Post*, June 20, 2007).

Another thin part of the anthropogenic global warming (AGW) hypothesis lies in claims that rising CO₂ levels from human activity drive up the atmosphere’s temperature in a clear instance of cause-and-effect. Such experts as geophysicist and retired astronaut Walter Cunningham say this claim is faulty because temperature increases have often come before boosts in CO₂ levels. “We cannot be sure which is cause and which is effect,” he wrote in the July-August 2008 issue of *Launch*. “Historically, temperature increases have preceded high CO₂ levels, and there have been periods when atmosphere CO₂ levels were as much as 16 times what they are now, periods characterized not by warming but by glaciation.”

Ian Plimer, professor of Mining Geology at the University of Adelaide, South Australia, also questions the link between CO₂ and temperature. In his book *Heaven and Earth: Global Warming, The Missing Science*, he shows that from 1860 to 1875, temperatures went up. They then fell from 1875 to 1890, increased until 1903, then fell again until 1918. From 1918 to 1941, they sharply rose—then cooled again until 1976. CO₂ emissions were much lower at the start of the 20th century than they are now. So why were the amount and rates of warming greater then? Why is the Arctic now cooler than it was in the 1920s and 1930s, when carbon emissions were much lower?

From 2000 to 2010, mankind added about 110 billion tons of CO₂ to the atmosphere. That’s more than a quarter of the total since the start of the Industrial Revolution in 1750. Yet, during that decade, there was no statistically significant increase in global temperatures.

Also problematic is the AGW claim that carbon dioxide is a toxic, “industrial” gas that is having a catastrophic effect on the climate. CO₂ is a natural, trace gas that currently constitutes just 4 one-hundredths of 1 percent of the planet’s atmosphere—far less than during other epochs. “At the current level of 400 parts per million, we still live in a CO₂-starved world,” says *Climate Change Reconsidered II*, a report written in 2013 by a team of 50 scientists on the Nongovernmental International Panel on Climate Change (NIPCC). “Atmospheric levels (of CO₂) 15 times greater existed during the pre-Cambrian period (about 550 million years ago) without known adverse effects,” the report says.

Atmospheric scientist Robert L. Scotto says green activists also overstate mankind’s contribution to CO₂ levels, and understate that of the sun: “Based on the laws of physics, the effect on temperature of man’s contribution to atmospheric CO₂ levels is miniscule and indiscernible from the natural variability caused in large part by changes in solar energy output.” The fact that Mars, Pluto and Jupiter—upon which there are no carbon emitting factories—are currently heating up strengthen the view that the sun is largely responsible. Man’s CO₂ emissions are also paltry compared to the amount released into the atmosphere by vegetation and the oceans.

Moreover, carbon dioxide is actually vital to life on the planet. “CO₂ is a vital nutrient used by plants in photo-

synthesis,” the NIPCC report stated. “Increasing CO₂ in the atmosphere ‘greens’ the planet and helps feed the growing human population.”

Do these points resolve the controversy? Do they mean mankind’s CO₂ emissions are not potentially dangerous? Do they mean no further study into climate change is needed?

No. They do not settle the questions or close the book. They are among many issues that have not been examined to the satisfaction of Patterson, Plimer, Cunningham, Scotto, the NIPCC and a rapidly growing counter movement.

Why So Many Scandals?

Another reason some question the hypothesis of catastrophic climate change is that many of its lead advocates have been rocked by serious scandals.

In 2009, thousands of documents were leaked from the University of East Anglia Climatic Research Unit (CRU). They revealed years of data manipulation, suppression and fraud by prominent climate scientists. The massive scandal,

nicknamed Climategate, revealed three main themes: First, many scientists privately admit to each other that parts of the data are flimsy and require manipulation if they are to support the idea of severe man-made climate change. Second, many leading climate scientists see climate change as more of a political cause than a scientific pursuit. Third, many such scientists hide underlying data if it does not support the cause.

If the science is sure and settled, why would this manipulation

and anti-scientific behaviour be needed to “prove” it? Climategate has been called “the worst scandal of this generation” and “the biggest scam in history.” Yet it was downplayed by climate activists and whitewashed or ignored by most media and politicians. Most activists call the revelations “irrelevant.”

But are they irrelevant? In one leaked e-mail, climatologist Kevin Trenberth wrote: “The fact is that we can’t account for the lack of warming at the moment and it is a travesty that we can’t.” In another, CRU chief P.D. Jones wrote to climatologists Michael Mann, Raymond Bradley and Malcolm Hughes: “I’ve just completed Mike’s nature trick of adding the real temps to each series for the last 20 years (i.e. from 1981 onwards) ... to hide the decline.”

It was the use of this “trick” that had enabled Mann to produce the now infamous “hockey stick” graph. Gathering data from ice cores, tree rings, lake sediments and corals, his crew graphed global temperature over the past thousand years. Their graph showed a long, mostly flat line for the years from 800 to 1900 (the handle of the hockey stick), then a sudden upward spike (the upturned blade). It seemed to pin warming firmly on industrialization and became a fixture of global warming alarmism.

But the problem is that the graph was the result of manipulation—or, as Jones said, a “trick.” Mann had massaged the data to get rid of a pesky time of pre-industrial warmth called the Medieval Warm Period that occurred from about AD 1000 to 1300. Just after this period came the Little Ice Age, which lasted until late 1800s. Does this mean 20th century warming could be largely a natural recovery from those cold years? Mann’s trick, which buried around seven centuries of climate history, tries to silence such questions.

In 2011, Climategate 2.0 broke. A new batch of 5,000

useful information: COMMON SENSE II America betrayed.

This little booklet is intended to arouse the insight and spirit of the true nature of our form of government ... of freedom. Wars do not just happen; Are we in a police state? Illegal immigration; Secret experiments; Conspiracy theories; NAFTA/GATT; Environmental laws that over-regulate; Three types of conquest; Newspaper control; Interest & usury; and much more.

until gone: sug don \$2.00



e-mails was leaked to the public showing the same themes as the first batch. “I have been talking w/folks in the states about finding an investigative journalist to investigate and expose [skeptical scientist Steve McIntyre],” wrote Mann in one leaked e-mail. “The trick may be to decide on the main message and use that to guide what’s included and what is left out [of IPCC reports],” wrote Jonathan Overpeck, coordinating lead author for the most recent climate assessment by the United Nations Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), in another.

Then there is the famous “97 percent consensus” figure—one of the most frequently cited arguments of climate alarmists: *Let’s not get into looking at the facts; those are established; 97 percent of all scientists agree.* That number comes from a group led by John Cook that claims to have analyzed almost 12,000 peer-reviewed climate studies to find that 97 percent of them endorsed the view that humans are causing severe global climate change.

But shortly after Cook’s meta-study was published, investigative journalists at *Popular Technology* produced evidence showing that his crew had doctored the results by misclassifying many “skeptical” papers as consensus papers, cherry-picking data and asking misleading questions. *Popular Technology* asked many of the scientists whose studies Cook had lumped in with the “consensus” if that representation of their work was accurate. Here are a few of the replies: “Nope .. it is not an accurate representation.” “That is not an accurate representation of my paper.” “It would be incorrect to claim that our paper was an endorsement of CO₂-induced global warming.” “Cook et al. (2013) is based on a straw man argument ... What my papers say is that ... 40-70 percent of the global warming observed from 1900 to 2000 was induced by the sun.” “Certainly not correct and certainly misleading.”

The “97 percent” claim also ignores 60 scientists who published a document in 2006 lambasting the theory of AGW. And it ignores the international panel of more than 700 prominent scientists on the December 2007 Senate report. This group of climatologists, geologists, oceanographers, biologists, glaciologists, biogeographers, meteorologists, economists, chemists, environmental scientists, physicists, paleo-climatologists and other scientists signed a letter saying climate change was a well-known natural phenomenon. Many of them were current or former members of the IPCC.

Yet the “97 percent consensus” remains a mantra of climate change activists, while the *Popular Technology* piece, the 2006 document and the 2007 Senate report go largely ignored.

Climate change was delivered its most recent black eye in January 2015, just after all the jubilant claims by alarmists that 2014 had been “the hottest year on record.” One of the key regions warming especially fast was a large swath of South America. Researcher Paul Homewood compared the published temperatures that were originally recorded. In all three cases, he found the data had been doctored to show warming where there actually was none. Homewood then turned his attention to the weather stations between Canada and the heart of Siberia. Again, in almost every case, he discovered that the same one-way upward temperature adjustments had been made. The *Telegraph* said this “fiddling with temperature data” wasn’t just the biggest of all climate change scandals, but “the biggest science scan-

dal ever” (February 7).

It seems that the more the climate data is scrutinized, the more doctoring, manipulation and data massaging is exposed.

Why Is It Blasphemy to Question?

When the thin science is placed alongside the scandals, and then considered in light of the fanatic efforts to silence questioners, a worrying picture emerges: Belief in climate change has departed from science and become something more like a religious faith.

It bears all the hallmarks of a man-made religion: a caste of priests and prophets, an irrational set of beliefs which adherents cling to tenaciously, abuse of authority and intimidation of any dissent. It even has plenty of sacred texts.

When believers are confronted with evidence that disproves or questions their dogma — like, for example, the study published in March 2013 showing that global temperatures had been static for 15 years even though greenhouse-gas emissions during that time had soared—it only seems to strengthen their piety. The “persecution” fortifies their resolve. Many adherents seem driven by a faith just as blind as that in some religionists they are so quick to criticize.

“True believers,” Cunningham said, “are beyond being interested in evidence: it is impossible to reason [people] out of positions they have not been reasoned into.”

Do calls for investigating and exposing skeptics sound like objective scientists, or like Puritans who ran the Salem witch hunts? Do demands to suppress

heresy sound like unbiased researchers, or like religious extremists? Do suggestions to execute deniers sound like experts motivated by reason, or like the cries of Catholic Church leaders during the Inquisitions?

Clearly, such calls and demands do not sound like the voice of science. “Science is facts,” said French physicist Henri Poincare, and “just as houses are made of stones, so science is made of facts.”

That means science is apolitical and welcomes prodding, scrutiny and debate. Its focus is on measurable, pressure-tested evidence. Its love is not for religious dogma, but for facts. Its goal is not to prove a hypothesis, but to test it in order to see whether it is true or untrue. It is not arrogantly confident, but humbly aware of its limitations. Since science is concerned, not with courting agendas but with discovering truth, scientists are unbiased, transparent, objective, and receptive to criticism and investigation.

The climate change debate is being dominated not by scientists, but by pseudo-scientist ideology-driven zealots. They approach it backward, with a conclusion firmly in mind. Whatever they can contort into supporting the hypothesis, they keep. All else they often reject or downplay. They facts are made submissive to the conclusion.

And climate change is not the only topic that has been thusly hijacked. In the world of academia, there is one person who is more ridiculed, blackballed and ostracized than a climate skeptic: a questioner of the theory of evolution.

‘Science Falsely So-Called’

Long before the climate change hypothesis or the evolutionary theory were hatched, the Apostle Paul labeled such agenda-based movements “*science falsely so called*” (1 Tim. 6:20).

These movements expose the fallibility of science. Does this mean we should give science the cold shoulder?

Historic pamphlet:
THE REBIRTH OF AMERICA
J. Franklin Snook
The man from Ur, Look to the Rock, Division, Separation, Esau’s turn, Usurpation, A new land, Progression, Promises to the Fathers, Early migrations, More division, Re-Union, Their king before them, A new name, until they’re gone #401 @ NOW \$1.50



Not at all. The problem isn't with science, but with man's misuse of it. The Creator God authored true science, and the means for men and women to love and pursue it. "God intended for man to produce additional knowledge, He gave us the basis—the foundation—the premise—the concept. But He also provided us with eyes with which to observe. With hands and feet to explore and measure. With the means to produce laboratories, test tubes, means of experimentation. He gave us awesome minds with which to think," said Herbert Armstrong.

Courtesy The Philadelphia Trumpet, Box 3700 Edmond OK 73083

ADAM DE WITT ANSWERS:

Question: What does CI mean when it talks about Christ coming back to save us? Does CI believe, as some Christians do, that we lie in the ground after death waiting for a "judgment day" when we are either brought back to life or discarded? CI people speak of a non material world, do they mean spirit world? If so, surely the "judgment" is ongoing now, so Jesus coming back, only applies to this world?

Greetings: The things that you ask are a bit of a mixed bag of things based most likely on what poses as CI. I guess CI should be seen as any group that sees our identity with the folk of the Bible. But CI is not a church organization or cult as it has no central bishop system.

So there will be many using the term CI but the thing to remember is that many of the folk who have come out of some church or another and thus have teaching from whence they came but with a layer of 'identity' over the top of it, much like BI, which are chiefly ex-Anglicans, so many have Anglican doctrines as their belief system and that coupled with the British as Israelites as their main platform.

So what does CI mean with the asks you ask? Well that will hinge upon who you talk with.

However, biblically speaking, Jesus coming back is a very open and unexplained term. But more accurately Jesus has never left. The assumption is that Jesus went away and left us to our lot.

Jesus has two works, the scepter work and the dominion work - and these works have all been foreshadowed in the Old Testament sacrifices. There is with doves, goats, bullocks, sheep, two works: the death work and the living work. They symbolize what Jesus has done and what He will do.

The first of each (each creature standing for an aspect of Jesus) was slain (the death work on the cross) and the other set free into the wilderness the living work. In the first work He came to take the scepter as from the Tribe of Judah, the Lion of Judah and that also ties in with the riddle of the bees and the dead lion.

The book of Revelation is as it were part two of the book of Daniel. Revelation is the Revelation of Jesus ... so what does it reveal? Well, His scepter work ... That as King and how He rules ... and He rules as His Father would. That rulership is not as per the minds of man, with their concept of kingdom rule being a 'hunky-dory' place. The Kingdom rule is a place where the law will set the bounds of man's rebellion.

The Kingdom is not on the clouds. Moses founded the Kingdom when the contract was made when the folk said: "Amen, all these things we will do." But it was a kingdom on a Passover level and that ended at the cross. However, quite a number of years before the birth of Jesus that king-

dom was taken by violence by a certain group. This is what Jesus said. That group was the religious sect of the Pharisees. Herod had empowered them to be the state religion, hence the Sanhedrin as parliament. But God never gave any state religion the right to hold sway over the kingdom. The kingdom was a covenant pact between God and His folk, not a man made organization. So the apostles asked Jesus after His resurrection, "when will you restore the kingdom to Israel?" That ask tells us there was a kingdom that was taken away and will be restored to them. Jesus answered, not many days hence. And indeed on the feast of weeks, 120 gathered in the upper room received holy ghost and the kingdom was returned to the Israelites starting in Judea and then spreading to the lost tribes of Israel called the nations or heathen (translated 'gentiles' to use the Latin word). But it was the kingdom on a Weeks or Pentecost level. The way that level was to be (and has been ruled by Jesus) is all documented in the book of Revelation, as it is the Revelation of how He rules ... and it is not a picnic

The second work is the dominion work, the Joseph work. Think of Joseph. He was sold to the Egyptians. Everyone thought he had gone. But he ruled and ended up having his brothers bow to him.

The Feast of Weeks 120 will be 'outdone' as it were with the Feast of Booths 144,000 starting the next level of the kingdom with the full "body of Anointed" (that is the first fruit overcomers) who have been groomed over the full length of the kingdoms, so most are now dead, but will be resurrected. They are the body, NOT the BRIDE, churches mix the two up. But a Groom is not the Bride - the Groom woos the bride and weds the bride. The churches are not the bride. The bride is all Israelites and graftable kinsfolk who have not wanted to be the Amen folk (they were not chosen to want this calling), they were chosen to be of the bride calling and their resurrection is for a later time.

For now the bride company is whoring willingly with the systems of man.

Those who die are of course dead ... and dead means dead, not walking about as a spook. Dead is dead. Judgment is everyday as such on a personal level, that is why we are to die daily to ourselves. That is why they are given the choice, life or death (not biological death as we all get that, other than those who are living at the time of the first resurrection and who are part of the body (or Groom company).

There is the greater judgment day at the end of the 7th day (age 1000 years) and that is of course when the general resurrection takes place. Resurrection means brought back to life, so I'm not sure what you meant by "discarded" in this context.

CI folk talking about spiritual world and non-material world? Not sure who says that, but sounds a bit cultic, and churchy and so on.

Spirit is a Latin word and means the same as the word Ghost. Ghost does not mean spook. Nor does cool mean good. Yet words and their meanings have become twisted to the current understanding. Ghost is linked to the word GAS, namely fuel, or that which fuels you, or that which fires you up. It is meant to mean in the concept of something that bestirs, inspires. 'Inspire' is based on 'spire' as in expire. So breathing in or out. It has to do with the concept of ideas, or mind-set. Ghost simply means 'the mind.' In Dutch the word is Geest (they were the same word once as

Still available:
We still have quantities of cassette tapes available to clear quickly @ 10 tapes for \$10 posted - be quick they will have to go up with postage rises.

Direct deposit available to: C.I.M.
Bendigo Bank
BSB 633-000
Account # 128022837
or PayPal to: hr_cim@bigpond.com



Dutch and English share a common root with themselves and German where the word is *geist*. Today we speak of *Zeitgeist*, meaning the ghost of time, i.e. current thinking.

Someone whose mind in Dutch is broken is 'geestelijk kapot.' . . . i.e. ghostly broken. The alcohol in wine is called *wyngeest*, wine ghost. The point is, holy ghost is not a 3rd person. It is not a spook. It is a 'state of being,' rather than 'a being.' So spiritual world in any truthful and logical meaning is the world of the thinking and mind-set, no spooks.

The spirit or ghost is the thinking or awareness in a being, and that 'being' is material. The two are together, when the body dies, the mind dies too. That is why the Bible says, the dead have no knowledge.

Jesus is always here, hence when 3 or more come together in His name (authority), He is amongst them.

The subject is big because traditions are based on heathen ideas, man's ideas, and man's wishes. And these things have been going on for 2000 years ... a long history of misinformation!

(The *Anointed Standard Translation* uses: "**mind-set of separation**" for holy ghost, or holy spirit).

MEMORY VERSES TO LEARN:

Years ago, we compiled a list of important verses for children to memorize, weekly. You might get your children to memorize these (over the next 4 weeks):

Ephesians 5:19 Psalm 66:18
2 Chronicles 29:30 Proverbs 15:8

"If freedom of speech is taken away, then dumb and silent we may be led, like sheep to the slaughter."
—George Washington.

THE BANNER OF THE NETHERLANDS

by Helene van Woelderren (a 1958 article)

Just as Canadians had some controversies about a new flag, there was quite a flag fight in Holland before the last world war. There was a trend to change the old red-white-blue flag into orange-white-blue without an orange ribbon. This ribbon was and is used only when the Dutch flag flies during festivities in connection with the Dutch royal family (i.e. the birthday of the queen/king)

This fight suddenly came to an end, when a greater fight started and the Germans took our country and occupied it for five years. During those years 1940-1945 the red-white-blue flag was forbidden by the Germans. Instead they flew the swastika and a pseudo-Dutch flag invented by some Dutch collaborators in black-red colours.

Try to imagine that you don't see your own flag flying in your own country during five years of oppression. What would that arouse in you? Imagine then that from all your buildings were hanging, well, for instance a hammer and sickle. Would that put you to sleep or awaken you?

Well, strangely enough the Germans were used without knowing it, to awaken a sort of unconscious Israel-identity within the Dutch people. Many Dutch flags were confiscated, but most people had hidden their flag somewhere (hide your wheat from the Midianites!). So, when the day of the liberation came, suddenly from everywhere the red-white-blue flags appeared, and they jubilated, so to speak, from official buildings and private homes. There were the ancient colours of Israel again!

The free Anglo-Saxon world had given them back to an oppressed country, and all together they had conquered the swastika flag and the black-red one.

Now, these years had awakened many Dutch, and the controversy about the flag colours had stopped. Although

still blind as to their true meaning, they chose for their Israel-identity unconsciously, and in the year 1949 the Dutch government officially decided the national colours to be a combination of vermilion red, white, and cobalt blue. Here again Holland gave a proof of being connected with the true Kingdom.

Why the banner of the Netherlands red-white-and-blue? To us, as Israelites, this is very clear. They are the colours of every Israel country [although enemies have worked and are working to eliminate these colours from flags]. The red-white-blue of the curtains of the tabernacle (Ex. 25:4) and for us this is a symbol of Holland being connected with the lost tribes of Israel of old. But all this is unwritten, sacred history, so far.

What does recorded history say? The encyclopedia and some books, and books on the Dutch flag cannot trace it further back than the year 1572, when it was used by William the Silent (of Orange), who as you may know was our great hero. He fought for religious freedom against the supremacy of Papal Rome and the Spanish conquerors and Inquisition. The Dutch Protestants fought during 80 years for their freedom, double the length of Israel's sojourn in the Wilderness. As a result of this fight, won by the "Hollanders," the Netherlands became noted for their religious freedom and tolerance (this was the birthplace of America's 'First Amendment'). This also was the reason why hundreds of years later these Israelites who would become the Pilgrim Fathers, fled to Holland (Leiden) first. From there they sailed to the New World aboard the Mayflower.

The flag of the Netherlands is a horizontal tricolour of red, white, and blue. Variants of the flag have been in use since 1572, and in 1937 the flag was officially formalized as the national flag of the Kingdom of the Netherlands. **It is the oldest tricolour flag still in national use.**

The National Anthem of the Netherlands, the *WILHELMUS*, is also the most Christian-Israel-oriented national anthem in the world.

(Courtesy Thy Kingdom Come, Box 1478, Ferndale WA 98248)

OUR READERS SAY:

Hi Folks: Thank you once again for your devoted commitment in bringing 'Identity' news to us all. There seems to be an escalation in material content this year & it has been rewarding reading every article ... Maybe this is a reflection of the times of this age & the reminder daily of our Saviour's return. Keep up the good work - it is deeply appreciated. May the richest blessings of Almighty God strengthen & empower you as you continue to do amazing work in His Glorious Name.

Dear Hank: Hope all is well and you are in good health. There are two cheques: One for books, and one for the children at Loeries (South Africa). NSW

Dear all, I am hoping to get this Messenger in the mail before we leave on our driving trip south to NSW. God willing we will be leaving 23 June and be back by July 4. We will be visiting family around Brisbane and Northern NSW, and although of limited time, I hope we can fit in what we need to, and spend as much time as possible with each. Pray for our safe travel. For urgent matters, you can call me on 0408-660-164.

Thanks again for your letters, orders, clippings and donations. They are all very much appreciated. We always look forward to hearing from you. Remember we still need more names on our list! May the God of our fathers Abraham, Isaac and Jacob bless you for your faithfulness and keep you safe in these trying times, and grant you His protection,

