



# Christian Identity Ministries

A member of the  
Congregations of Israel

PO Box 146, CARDWELL QLD 4849, Australia

Ph: 07-4066 0146 (International 61-7 instead of 07) [www.christianidentityministries.com](http://www.christianidentityministries.com) - [hr\\_cim@bigpond.com](mailto:hr_cim@bigpond.com)

"Blessed be the LORD God of *Israel*; For He hath visited and redeemed *His* people, And hath raised up an horn of salvation for *us* in the house of his servant David; as he spake by the mouth of his holy prophets, which have been since the world began; That *we* should be saved from *our* enemies and from the hand of all that hate *us*; to perform the mercy promised to *our* fathers and to remember his holy covenant; The oath which he swore to *our* father Abraham, That he would grant unto *us*, that *we* being delivered out of the hand of *our* enemies might serve him without fear, in holiness and righteousness before him, all the days of *our* lives." Luke 1:68-75; the Anglo-Saxon-Celtic-Germanic-Scandinavian people are *ISRAEL!*

#148

Newsletter

May AD1997

## Sometimes Its Quicker to Train a Cat

by Adam de Witt

**T**here is a difference between teaching and training. The former is a matter of hearing, the latter one of doing. Christianity is filled with souls who have a hard time doing God's will. A Christian that only hears and half does is simply a "cake half baked."

Since the event of Pentecost almost 2000 years ago, Christians have been given a number of 'gifts,' but not the full amount. That means that we, all Israelites (both the olive and the wild olives), are living in a Pentecostal age (not to be compared with the modern Pentecostal movement, although they are typical and part of said age). This age is haulmarked by leaven, a raising agent such as yeast used in baking bread. All Christians have "leaven" in them. This leaven can be seen as an influence that raises or expands within each of us. It feeds upon the heart, which is deceptive above ALL things. (Yes the heart, not Superspook - Satan). To cut off the leaven from further raising the dough, we must place it in a 'fiery' or hot oven. The fire/heat halts the process. Such basic reasoning is used as symbolism in the Holy Writ. When Christians feel the heat of the truth (symbolized as fire), it hurts. Yes, truth hurts.

A Christian worthy of going beyond the Pentecostal age into the age of Tabernacles or booths [derived from the Hebrew 'beth' meaning 'house'] (huts, dwellings made of plant-stuffs, materials made by God's hand, covered by trees, -"Tree of Life," -dwelling there within), is a Christian that can take the heat of the truth. It is all good and well to study and learn, even teach Biblical truths, the heat is only on when one applies these truths to daily life.

Frankly, training a cat to yield to it's master's laws is easier than having Israelites yield to their Master's laws. As a whole the Master's rules which are the easiest to yield to for Pentecostal Christianity, are those that do not upset Caesar, (Big Brother, Parliament) or the Judeo-Christian churches. In other words, those laws that are not too astray from the Politically Correct. However, the Laws of God that do stand in stark contrast to the world order are interpreted (or watered down so that such sound politically correct or are simply overlooked. In that way, Pentecostal-style or half-baked Christians can avoid being fully baked or face the heat.

I believe now is the time to not be afraid of the fire of God, if you seek to do the right thing by God. God's fire is a purifier, not a fordoing (destroying) heat for the righteous. Yet many, even our Identity-aware sheep and some teachers do err. The weightier matters of the Law (particularly those

that are contrary to the World Order) are overlooked for small, albeit interesting, matters. Yea, many strain at the gnat but swallow the camel.

No I am not about to cut down fruit trees (symbols of men that are 'planted' by God to bear kingdom fruits). However, a fruit tree needs to be cultivated or pruned for a better yield. With this article I hope I can reach some fruit bearing trees that will welcome a good pruning. A fruit tree left to its lot can become useless and take up good soil that is better fit for another. That is also what Christ meant when He said that when salt has lost its savour it is worthy of trampling underfoot.

There are a number of shepherds (pastors) and teachers, fully aware of our Israelite lineage, yet who err in fully putting into effect the ramifications of this revelation.

One teacher in particular reminds his students (and rightly so) that we need to have the mind of The Father, that we are to learn the lessons of the Pentecost age. Also that we are not to become tyrants. Snap, I agree. But are we to be liberals, lax, luke warm and take the Laws with a pinch of salt?! I think not. Christ would (after all) have us be either hot or cold. I am disheartened and even shocked to find a number of Isaac-son preachers and teachers who believe in sending Christianity to non-Adamic, hue-mans. Some of these even take delight in the knowledge that they have worked with those who have founded churches in the lands of the hue-men. What is all the more shocking is some of their church goers, (in this Isaac-son land) are not only hue-man but live in mixed-race relationships; i.e. an Isaac-son wed to a hue-man. Some have 'mamzer' (mixed blood - i.e. bastard, Deu 23:2) offspring.

I have seen how preachers say nothing of this yet teach little tiny details of the Law. In one congregation where the Law was studied, I noticed how dedicated one Isaac-son man was, being so keen in learning all the finer points of the Law. He studied 'gnats' but could not even see the 'camel'. He was wed to a Filipino hue-woman. Thou shalt not commit adultery. Surely he knows that Law. It is not an obsolete law. Aulterate means to make impure with an admixture, to pollute. Whoredom adulterates God's plan. The Bible time and again refers to Israelites who run after 'Outlander' gods and their 'zuwr' (racial alien) outlander folk, as whores. Israel was supposed to remain separated from ALL other people on the face of the earth (Ex 33:16). That is why adultery has come to be linked with the act of fornication. Yet adulteration is not fornication as such. Fornication means unlawful intercourse. It is unlawful for Israelites (Isaac-sons, Saxons) to wed, or lay with the hue-(wo)men. The word fornication does not



appear in the above commandment, however. The word is adultery. So even if these gnat-straining Israelites wish to take it to mean extra-marital sex, they cannot. The Law is clear, do not adulterate, do not make impure, do not mix the Holy seed (Ezra 9:2, 12; 10:2-5) (Note that Esau is called a 'fornicator' in Heb 12:6, while he actually 'married' these women as per Gen 36:2). Of course, in defense of this SIN (breaking of the Law) they will use 'Pentecostal-Judeo'-Christian pet verses to justify their deeds. Yet none of their verses hold water, as they have to twist each one. For if these verses were not twisted, the Law would be double-minded; God would be double-minded and so too would Christ.

Do these folk not know what a 'saint' is? I guess they only see it in the Catholic-correct sense. Yet 'saint' is another word for some of the Barley or sheep company. Saint is not a Saxon word, it is Latin. The true Saxon word is 'Holy', it means: perfect, morally pure, consecrated, set apart for sacred use; Anglo-Saxon; 'halig', literally "whole" (i.e. of one, - a oneness of mind and body, perfect, without spot, of homogenous lineage) Dutch 'heilig.' In the NT the word is translated from 'hagios', = sacred, PURE (i.e. not adulterated).

"Be ye holy" means to be pure, separate or 'apart' from all things that will make you impure, bodily and mentally (shows that true Christians are to 'separate' from the world and get closer together and form their own communities - support each other, etc - not go and live long distances from each other so that *at best* they can only gather together on Sundays). To be a Holy-one is to be clean, not just in thoughts but in the flesh too. Christ wants not just hearers of the Law - of which there seem to be some - but DOERS; doing things is something carried out by your flesh. OBEDIENCE is proof of faith (to make a profession of being a Christian while [even occasionally] working on the Lord's Day, or not assembling with other like-minded believers, or failing to tithe or support the furtherance of the Kingdom, etc, is being a hearer and NOT A DOER [Jam 1:23-25])

### Spiritualizing is Trivializing!

In Revelation 12:17 we see that the world system is not at odds with spiritualizers but with doers; those who are lawful, the Holy-ones of Israel, those that are the Sheep, those who "keep [obey] the Commandments [Laws] of God and have the testimony [gospel] of Jesus Christ." (note: not their own testimony!!)

To be a Christian-Isaac-son, one must be a follower of the words given in both the OT and the NT, and yield thereunto. That is, the disciples were first called Christians at Antioch. Therefore to be a Christian, one must be a disciple. To be a disciple, one must be a pupil, a learner, a follower, an apprentice, [i.e. a 'doer'] (Webster's).

One pastor said: "Oh, I don't think we can be extreme in all things." Jesus gave His life for the cause for which He came, that's extreme! I guess this pastor is saying, I don't think we can take God seriously, or that we can take the Laws with a pinch of salt. Well, Jesus said He came to FULFILL the Law. 'Fulfill' is an extremist term, it is an absolute. Fulfill means "to carry into effect"! You could say He came to make the Law more effective!

Jacob is the Lord's (Yahweh's) inheritance [Deut 32:8-9]. Those Israelites that feed others who are not His inheritance, not His sheep [e.g. John 10:26], are simply taking food from His children and giving it to those who were not meant to receive it. The Holy Ones sit at the Master's table. The food, literal material blessings, and the spirituality of Christianity is not to be given to the dogs. There is a difference between the crumbs falling from the table, and literally handing the children's good food to the

dogs.

Christianity is a pearl. Christ said not to cast it to the swines. Yet even some *Identity aware* Christians spend energy and resources (the Holy One's blessings) to cast Pearls to Filipinos, Vietnamese, Angolans, and every other non-Adamic type.

Teaching all nations does not mean converting all nations. Furthermore, ALL does not mean all-inclusive, (is that ALL of something specific, or ALL of everything?) otherwise the Romans would have taxed the Eskimos and Black Australians under this 'all' clause, as they taxed 'all' the earth. [for more on this 'all' not being 'everything', listen to **pastor Peters tapes #S-103 and S-104**]. Jesus commanded teachers to feed his sheep, NOT DOGS or SWINES. I guess these 'do-gooders' never heard the old saying regarding missionary work in Africa - "Catholic by day; Voodoo by night." or, "you can take the black out of the jungle, but you can't take the jungle out of the black." Indeed, after nearly 400 years of Christianity enforced upon the blacks of South Africa [about 10 generations, compare to Jeremiah 35], with White control now gone, the law of the jungle is back. Hue-men easily 'convert.' With the White man's God comes the White man's overseas aid and relief funds from governments and churches. It is no great feat to set up churches, under these circumstances in Asia, Africa

or Papua NG. The real feat is to set Identity Ecclesias up in Germany, Denmark, Holland, Latvia and the like. That is where true, unadulterated Christianity is to go. These are the Lost Sheep of the House of Israel. Jesus said go to them only. DO IT! OBEY! They need to know they are ISRAEL and have been saved. That lot of 'pearls to the swine' casters had better buck up their act. God said they are worse than infidels. God cares not if you set up 1000 churches in Africa or Asia; as we see later, it angers Him.

Go to those Christ died for only, His Sheep; those who were under the Law [Gal 4:5]. The hue-mans were not under the Law. "He showed His Word ... statutes and judgments unto ... all peoples? NO! UNTO ISRAEL. ... He hath not dealt so with any nation ..." [Ps 147:19-20] "O children of Israel ... YOU ONLY have I known of all the families of the earth .." [Amos 3:1-2].

Shame on you preachers who show the

Word to those 'families' God does not know. "I [Christ] was sent to the lost sheep of the House of Israel and to them ALONE" [Matt 15:24]. Therefore 'all' nations can only mean "all nations of Israel," otherwise Christ is unstable, double-minded, and a liar. "These twelve Jesus sent forth and commanded them, saying, Go not into the way of the nations (again, 'all' nations can not be all-inclusive because the word 'nations' here infers that there are other nations than those of Israel), and into any city of the Samaritans enter ye not: But go rather to the lost sheep of the house of Israel." [Matt 10:5-6] "For thou art not sent ... but to the house of Israel" Ezek 3:5.

Those who flaunt this, beware, on a national and personal level. Jesus makes it quite clear to those who have wed a *zuwr* (racial outlander), that His job is not to unite (mix, blend) all races but rather to bring in Purity, Separateness, or Holiness. In other words, Apart-ness, or yes, Apart-heid. ('heid' is a Dutch suffix and the Anglo equivalent thereof is 'hood', as in mother-hood, brother-hood, apart-hood). "And before him (Christ) shall be gathered all nations (ethnos) and he shall ... bless and consecrate their mixed marriages? NO! This is not talking of religions but of ETHNOS or races. Let's read on: "... and he shall SEPARATE them from one another, AS a shepherd divideth his sheep from the goats." [Matt 25:32] Did you get that? Further on we read (contrary to some preachers) that not all nations (ethnos) shall inherit

## Tape of The Month

#S-184 and S-185

*Pastor Peters presents the Bible teachings against interracial marriage on Larson's radio show. In a hostile and biased forum Pastor Peters does an excellent job sticking to the Scriptures and upholding the Bible teachings on this tragic topic.*

#C-030 Telegony

*Pastor Earl Jones explains how a white woman once having conceived to a coloured man, never will produce pure offspring again. All unmarried women should be taught this!*

this month all 3 for a suggested donation of \$10



the Kingdom!

These verses show how Christ carries on the plan and work set by God since olden times, as stated in Deut 32:8 "When the Most High divided to the nations their inheritance ..." guess what? That comes from the Song of Moses, which is to be sung by the overcomers (see Rev 15:3), the Holy Ones, by the Apart-heid Ones of Israel with all sincerity, that is, not with a pinch of salt. Old Testament and New Testament in harmony, spanning time; fulfillment carried into effect.

The Bible makes it quite clear that race-mixing and feeding the Word to other races is a curse upon Israel. "Blessed is he that readeth .. and they that keep [obey] those things which are written therein..." (i.e. those that do not are cursed) [Rev 1:3]. "I John ... heard ... saying ... what thou seest, write in a book and sent it unto the seven ecclesias (Christian communities) ..." [Rev 1:11]. So the instructions are to Christian communities, and thus to Christians of the Pentecostal age. Jesus says of the 'churches' that he has a bone to pick with them in Rev 1:14 "But I have a few things against thee because thou hast there them that hold the doctrine of Balaam, who taught Balac to cast a stumbling block before the children of Israel ...." Repent (i.e. change your ways, stop doing things wrong and start doing things right) "or else I will come unto thee quickly, and will fight against them with the sword of my mouth."

Balaam basically taught Balac the secret of how to fordo or lay waste the Israelites. The secret was interracial relationships. God and Jesus forbid it. In fact it is called treason against God: "They have dealt treacherously against the Lord (Yahweh), for they have begotten strange (zuwr - outlander; of another race) children," Hosea 5:7. Fostering multi-racialism by missionary work or to wed the zuwr is simply TREASON!

Oh, they chide that Christ bought the field to get the hidden treasure therein. Thus they reason that all sorts become heirs of the Kingdom. But that is not what the parable is saying. It is about the Kingdom, domain, realm. Christ bought the field (world) in order to obtain the treasure (Israel), not to obtain the briars and thorns that were in the field! These fellows would have us believe that it means the Israelites were scattered in the world to become as a non-people, then levelled with other non-people and placed on an equal footing with them by God. Not so! That is not what it says here in the Bible.

If one is to buy a house on acreage, say 100 acres, one must buy the block to get the house. Does it mean the house is equal to the land? Each are two different things serving different ends. The things of the outside (world) do not belong inside, such as scrub, shrubs, weeds, storms, fowl, mice, cows, dogs and so forth. So even though the lot is bought to get the house, it does not stand to reason that the two here are equal blessings. There is one blessing for the outdoors, and one for the indoors. Of course they all belong to the same landlord, "the earth and the fulness thereof is the Lord's (Yahweh's)." Psalm 24:1

When the homeowner comes to 'gather' his home, it stands to reason he gathers the land upon which it is set. The owner is then both master of the field and house. Nevertheless, one set of laws for those things that are of the house, one for those things of the field. The dwellers of the house in turn, (because of the Master) inherit the field as well as the house. But they too, as the Master, will treat the indoor things different to the outdoor things. (e.g. the weeds will be gathered up and burnt). The Temple is not for beasts of the field but only for Israel, and those of Adamic descent who join themselves to Israel, as long as they are unadulterated of course. It is therefore wrong to use this parable as a poor

excuse to justify missionary work to the zuwr, and inviting the zuwr into our churches. What belongs without, can not come within. Richard Hoskins tells how in years gone past, some American churches had an upstairs gallery specifically for the Blacks. It was reached by means of an external staircase, and there was no way to enter the sanctuary proper from the gallery. Hence, they could hear the Word, but not mix with the Whites in the sanctuary. (this was of course, the thin end of the wedge, or the beginning of the downhill slide).

The reasoning of these preachers is nothing more than the socialist, Edomite, brotherhood of man, rainbow people tripe. Christ said that the churches are up to their necks in melting-pot socialism, and he is against them and intends to undo their lawlessness.

So you who mission to the zuwr (racial alien), your work is not only in vain, in angers Christ. Tell the zuwr lovers in your fellowships to *get out* and come back only after repentance (i.e. after they send away their outlander partners and mamzer (bastard - Deu 23:2) offspring[Ezr 10:11]), thus putting their faith into practice. The zuwr lovers need to confess this SIN to God in Jesus name and seek His help. Forgiveness comes only after repentance. God will drive the zuwr away and their offspring. I know, I was there once myself!

Of course, only if you are willing to be apart or Holy from the zuwr (alien). Teaching Isaac-sons to understand this os no light task. Sometimes it IS easier to train a cat. ■

## New Tapes

- C-086 The Absolutes of God
- C-087 The Devils Among Us  
pastor Earl Jones
- F-217 The Parables of Jesus  
(Matt 21), pt 3
- F-218 The Parables of Jesus  
(Matt 21), pt 4
- F-219 The Parables of Jesus  
(Matt 21), pt 5  
pastor Stephen Jones
- S-755 Heart of The Matter, pt 13  
demon gods
- S-756 Heart of The Matter, pt 14  
demons - a Heart Matter
- S-757 Heart of The Matter, pt 15  
demons, Delusions and Idols  
pastor Pete Peters
- B-303 Feast Unto Yahweh  
pastor Dave Barley

## THE BIBLE'S THEME IN BRIEF

by R.N. Phillips, (B.Sc.)

The creation of the Earth, its environment and of mankind, both male and female, is described in the first chapter of Genesis. This creative work was not done by God Himself but by the Elohim (a Hebrew word for which there is no single English equivalent).

The creation of people in this chapter was the last stage of the whole sequence, but none of this creation was given the "Breath of Lives". Then in Gen. 2:7, God Himself formed a single specific man, ADAM, from the dust of the Earth and gave him spiritual life by breathing the "Breath of Lives" into his nostrils. Adam was then put in the Garden of Eden and given access to the Tree of Lives in order to sustain him indefinitely.

From this point onwards the Bible records the history of Adam and his descendants only. It does not mention any other race of people except when they come into contact with Adam and his descendants. There were plenty of other people around - otherwise why did Cain say that anyone who found him would kill him? (Gen. 4:14). If Adam and Eve and Cain were the only people on earth why - as stated in Gen. 4:15 - did God need to appoint a sign by which Cain would know that anyone he met would not kill him? (This would have been a recurring natural phenomenon like the rainbow which the Elohim appointed later on for Noah, and all life on Earth, as a sign that the Earth would not be flooded again.)

Adam had many descendants and not all of them followed God. Amongst other things, they intermarried with the non-Adamic people created in Gen. 1 - the result was continuing degeneration and wickedness until it became necessary to destroy these people (by the Flood) before they polluted the whole Earth. Noah only, of Adam's descendants was preserved with his family because he was "perfect in his



generations". That is, his blood line was not polluted by inter-racial marriage.

As was said earlier, Adam had been given the capacity for immortal life because he had received God's "Breath of Lives" in his nostrils. But Adam lost all that because of his disobedience. Thus Adam had introduced death into God's creation. So now a new way was necessary to enable a person to have the possibility of attaining eternal life again once death had been overcome. At the time of Abram and Sarai the new way was introduced.

Although Sarai had not borne any children by the time she was 90 years of age, Abram had fathered seven children by his concubines, Keturah and Hagar (1 Chron 1:32). When Abram was 99 years of age, God told him he would bear a child "out of his own bowels". If the promised child was to be the result of normal physiological processes, it would be necessary for the Holy Spirit to have regenerated the normal procreative abilities of Abram and Sarai. So God waited until Abram and Sarai were both barren (Gen. 17:17) and then, through the Holy Spirit, He caused them to conceive a child with the Spirit of God in its life force.

To mark the event, God put the fifth letter of the Hebrew alphabet in their names and called them Abraham and Sarah. This change indicated the indwelling of God's Spirit within them. Their son, Isaac, was a child of miraculous conception, a child begotten of God's Spirit (Gal. 4:28-31). Because of this action of the Holy Spirit, Isaac now had the potential ability to attain eternal life placed in his genes so that it would be inherited by every one of Isaac's descendants in their generations. Thus Abraham and Sarah became the Mother and Father of a race of Spirit-carrying people to which God had promised an eternal love and care, because each individual of that race would carry God's Spirit inside him. This is why the fifth commandment is to honour our father and mother - Abraham and Sarah - and Isaiah confirms this by telling us to look "unto Abraham your father and Sarah that bare you" (Isaiah 51:1-2).

Each one of us receives at conception exactly the same amount of God's Spirit as did Isaac. For the Seed of God's Spirit is incorruptible and does not decay from one generation to another. Hence the comment by Paul - "Know (all) ye not that ye are (all) the Temple of God and that the Spirit of God dwelleth in (among) you? If any man defile the Temple of God, him shall God destroy: for the Temple of God is Holy, which Temple ye (all) are." (1 Cor. 3:16-17). No other race was singled out for such treatment.

It should also be noted that Isaac was the eighth son of Abraham. The number eight is associated with new beginnings, regeneration and resurrection - Jesus rose from the dead eight days after the start of the week leading up to the Passover at the beginning of a new era in Israel's history.

When we study Isaac's life we find that Isaac had twin sons - Esau and Jacob - and here Satan<sup>1</sup> tried once again to interfere with God's Plans. Isaac was conceived as the result of miraculous Spiritual intervention by God. Satan<sup>1</sup> then tried the same strategy of sowing his "seed" in Esau (just as he had done before with Eve's eldest twin son, Cain). The proof of this is found in:

- a. Mal. 1:3 and Rom. 9:13 which state that God hated Esau but loved Jacob before the babes were born - because God knew that Esau would become Satan's<sup>1</sup> willing tool (his "seed").

- b. The Pharisees correctly claimed to be of Abraham's seed, but identified themselves as the seed of Esau by saying that "they had never been in bondage" (in Egypt) as had Jacob's family. Jesus replied that the father of their thoughts and acts was the Devil, (John 8:33-47).
- c. Esau (who no doubt knew about the events of Isaac's conception) had so little appreciation of spiritual values that he sold his claim to those birthright promises for a bowl of pottage! WHY? Because Esau had rejected that spirit from God which was in him. He did not comprehend the immense importance and spiritual significance of what God had promised. He despised the birthright and gave away his claim as the firstborn for a material reward. Esau then polluted his blood line by marrying two women of another race (Hittites) which did not have the Spirit of God in them. Jacob had no need for the subterfuge of covering himself with fur in order to trick Isaac the birthright was already his for the cost of the pottage.



**OUT TO LYNCH** by Mark Lynch

But Jacob also desired the blessing from Isaac that went with the Birthright.

d. God Himself confirmed the fact that Jacob had inherited God's seed of His Spirit by stating "Israel is My son, even my firstborn" (Ex. 4:22). Remember that it was God that changed Jacob's name to Israel. Because the children of Israel are God's sons, He said they would be:-

- i. A Peculiar people to Him (Ex. 19:5).
- ii. Chosen above all others on the Earth (Deut. 7:6).
- iii. A nation before Him forever (Jer. 31:35-37).

From Exodus 4:22 onwards, the Bible relates the national and spiritual development of this spirit-carrying race:

- Their deliverance from Egypt;
- Their foundation as a nation at Sinai;
- Their occupation of the promised land (subject to keeping God's Law);
- Their removal from the Promised Land and punishment for failing to keep the Law.

Then we hear little more about the spirit-carrying people until the first Advent of Jesus. Only a handful of them returned to Jerusalem after the captivity (Ezra 1 & 2), but the majority were to be planted in a distant safe place and hidden there until the time was right (2 Sam. 7:10; Jer. 31:10-11).

The birth of Jesus was also miraculous, but much more so than Isaac's. Isaac had been conceived as a result of regenerating the procreative power of two human beings. But Jesus was conceived by His own action in the womb of a virgin of the Royal House of Judah so that He would be born an Israelite. (Even though Jesus was conceived by the power of the Holy Spirit, that did not make Jesus any less human than anyone else; Adam was formed without using the normal biological processes, but no one doubts that he was just as much a human being as we are).

So God had established in Israel a new order of human life - a human race in which each member had the Spirit of God within him - but it was an order that was condemned to death because of Adam's sin. The entire race was condemned unless God had a way to redeem it. However, God's Law states that only a kinsman can redeem one of his own



family or people that is in difficulty or danger (Lev. 25:25). The question is WHO amongst men could be found who was both a kinsman to Israel and yet WITHOUT SIN in order that he could overcome death? It is there that we have the reason for the birth of Jesus in the midst of Israel. God is the Father of Israel ("My firstborn") and Jesus was born an Israelite. Therefore Jesus came as Isaiah stated - (Isa. 44:6) - He is God's Kinsman-Redeemer (of Israel)- THE LORD OF HOSTS.

It is because God is the Heavenly Father of Israel that Jesus taught us to pray "Our Father, (the one) in the Heavens ..." - not your Father or My Father but OUR Father!

Jesus also told us that God so loved this Spirit-carrying people that He sent His Son, Jesus, to save His kinsmen, Israel, so that they should not die but, by believing Him, they would have everlasting life. Jesus said (in the Greek text of John 3:16-17) "For God so loves the Order (of Israel), that He gives the Son, the one alone begotten of Himself, in order that all of the one believing in respect to Him should not perish, but possess eternal life. For God sent forth the Son into the Order (of Israel) not that He should judge the Order, but that the Order might be saved by Him." That is, saved by redemption - by their Kinsman-Redeemer,

The phrase "all the one believing" in the above passage identifies precisely whom Jesus was talking about. The identity of these people is given in Romans 4:11, which states (in the Greek text) that Abraham was given the sign of circumcision so that he would be the father of "all the (ones) believing" - the Spirit-carrying people descended from Isaac and Jacob. The phrase "all the (ones) believing" means "everyone (of Israel) believing". There will be many who do not and will not believe these things before Jesus returns and so these people will be judged at the Second Resurrection according to how they treated their fellow Israelites. The role of "Faith" in the context of an Israelite's life is that after recognising just who he is and where he has come from that:

- a. he believes that Jesus has the power to save him and
- b. he sets about doing the things that God requires of him - OBEYING GOD'S LAW.

But why would the people of this race believe the man called Jesus? Why could this man cause such a change? It is because God generated them as a spirit-carrying people and therefore, when they hear God's Word and things of the Spirit, then their inherited Spirit will begin to respond. If the individual chooses to follow the promptings of that awakening Spirit then, as he studies and seeks to know more, the Spirit will grow and eventually lead the individual to understand the significance of what is being said to him.

Once there is recognition of the unique and special link that exists between Abraham, Isaac, Jacob, all the Israelites and Jesus, one can then see why Jesus made the following points:-

1) Jesus said (in the Greek text of John 3:3) - "If anyone is not begotten from above he is not able to perceive (understand) the Kingdom of God". Only Isaac was brought forth of both a natural birth and of a spiritual force. But Nicodemus still did not understand and Jesus berated him saying: "How can you be a teacher in Israel and not know these things?" (John 3:5-10). Jesus pointed out that he had just been told the obvious earthly events concerning the history of the Israelite Order and if he could not understand them, then what chance had he of comprehending spiritual things? (John

3:12). The important thing to note is that Jesus NEVER used the words "born again" in the Greek text --- only Nicodemus used those words and the translators who thought they knew better than Jesus about what He was trying to say.

2) Jesus said "I was sent only to the lost sheep of the House of Israel" (Matt. 15:24). Because only Israelites are the kinsmen of Jesus and only Israel, as a Spirit-carrying people could understand the spiritual things - the secrets of the Kingdom - that He needed to tell them. THIS is what Paul taught in more detail in 1 Cor. 2:12-14; "We have received, NOT the spirit of the world, but the Spirit which is of God; that we might know the things that are freely given to us of God." It is easy to see how the religious interpretation of these words can arise but only in God's written Word do we find out the differences between the spiritual man and the natural man. The origin of the natural man begins in Genesis 1 - but that is a whole new study on its own!

3) Jesus said in the Greek text of Mark. 4:11, "Unto YOU has been given (permanently) the secret of the Kingdom of God: but unto them that are without (i.e. not of the Kingdom, not spirit-carrying people) all these things are spoken in parables. Jesus spoke in parables because the message of the parable could only be understood by Spirit-carrying people. Every parable has an "easy to see" meaning - invariably the one sprouted by the Churches - but the deeper meanings remain hidden until it is revealed by the work of the individual as he seeks to nurture his "growing" spirit. After all, something is secret only if it is not be known by everyone.

Jesus's whole life and ministry was therefore concerned with only one race of people -the spirit-carrying Order of Israel. Only they could understand Him; only they needed a kinsman to save them and only HE could save them - IF THEY BELIEVED HIM,

The Apostles then went on to carry the message out to those lost sheep of the House of Israel. Read the opening verse of the Epistles - and see to whom they are addressed, and note also WHO the authors are.

1. Paul ... to the (ones) being in Rome ... to all the (ones) called Saints (holy or set-apart ones), Romans 1:1-7. Only Israel was ever called "Saints" or "Holy", which means "separated to God".
2. Paul...to the ecclesia...the called-out (ones), 1 Cor. 1:1-2.
3. Paul...to the ecclesia...the called-out (ones), 2 Cor. 1:1-2.
4. Paul ... and all the kinsmen of the womb ... to the ecclesias of Galatia, Gal. 1:1-2. The kinsmen of WHOSE womb? Sarah's.
5. Paul ... to the holy (ones) - the Saints - Eph. 1:1.
6. Paul ... to the holy (ones), Phil. 1:1.
7. Paul ... to the holy (ones) and the faithful kinsmen of the womb ... Col. 1:1-2. Remember that Paul was a skilled lawyer and so would never use terms such as "kinsmen Of the womb", "Saints", "called-out ones" unless they were correct and were applicable to those to whom he was writing.
8. God ...to the Hebrews- Heb. 1:1. A letter from God to His people. Why wasn't it addressed to 'mankind', to 'everybody'? Why only to the Hebrews?
9. James... to the twelve tribes of the Dispersion, James 1:1. Why only to the twelve tribes of the Dispersion? Why not to the "tribes of mankind", or the "tribes of the world?"
10. Peter ... to the exiles of the Dispersion, 1 Peter 1:1. Why not to all the "exiled sinners of the world?" None but Israel had been dispersed by God as far as the Bible record is concerned. And it was to these lost sheep that Jesus was sent and to whom the Apostles were sent by

**For expansion on this article we hope to have available, God willing, in the near future a new book:**

***The Exclusiveness of Israel,***  
by Eiel.  
**an A4 format in depth study on the people of The Book. Expressions of interest would be welcome.**



Jesus (Mark 16:15) and the fulfilment of that command is confirmed by Paul in Romans 1:8.

The intention of this paper is to give an outline of the basic theme of the Bible. The Bible is not a book of religion; Jesus founded no religion - He came only to His own things, His own land, His people, His Temple, but those who ruled these things rejected Him. The Bible records the history of God's dealings with one race of people only - the spirit-carrying race and it makes no mention of the destiny of any other peoples either on the Earth or in Eternity.

The Bible is also the record of the continuing war between God's powers of the Spirit and Satan's forces of evil for control of that spirit-carrying people. The Bible reveals all of God's plans for that people and how those among them who believe God's Word will survive that struggle. ■ \* \*

1. CIM does not believe that God was so foolish that He would create His own supernatural enemy to oppose that which He wanted to accomplish. He does ALL things by the power of His will (Isa. 45:7) For more on "Satan" we suggest 'Give The Devil His Due' by Sheldon Emry \$14.50, 'That Old Serpent Called devil and Satan' Emry \$3.25, 'Satan Dispelled' by Kalamos \$4.25, 'Satan Among Us' by Bob Hallstrom \$14.20, 'Bible Devil and Satan Defined' \$2.80, and 'Who The Devil Is Satan?' by Francis Christen \$7.70.

#### COUNTER-CULTURAL CHRISTIANITY

## Attack of the Cannibalistic Vegetarian Sodomites

By Rev. Brian M. Abshire

*(Disclaimer: The following column contains graphic descriptions of extreme depravity, humanist perversion and irreverent humor. Sensitive readers may want to skip. The explicit content is for educational purposes and any sensationalism, exploitation or gratuitous aspect are purely in the mind of the reader.)*

### Lessons From a Moldy Basement

I hate painting. I really do. And I do it only under protest. Recently my lovely wife pointed out that the mold on the basement walls had grown so large that it was demanding voting rights and welfare benefits. She then sweetly inquired what I thought we should do about it. I tried saying that mold too were God's creatures and had a right to a full and meaningful life. Besides, we might run afoul of some endangered species law. Elaine simply held up my column on hunting and said, "Dearest, if you can shoot fuzzie little wild animals, surely, a big strong man like you can take dominion over the basement."

So, armed with a spray bottle of bleach, brushes, rollers and six gallons of basement sealant paint, I grudgingly walked down to the utility side of the basement. My ten-year-old had hung a sign over the door saying, "Abandon Hope All Ye Who Enter Here," while my seven-year-old clung to my legs saying, "Don't go in there Daddy; you'll never get out again," both grinning from here to here. Oh yeah, they're real cards, are my kids. They inherited their mother's looks, but their father's sad sense of irreverent humor.

My nine-year-old daughter, however, didn't like her brothers taking the Mickey out of dear old Dad and said, "Daddy, can we help you paint?" Well, misery loves company, and remembering Tom Sawyer I replied, "Of course, you can, sweetheart. In fact, you can all help; but this is a special job and it will cost you something." Unfortunately, my kids are too well read (I knew there was a down side to home schooling) and wouldn't buy it. However, the prospect of playing with all that paint, on all those walls, and doing it legally, was enough incentive all by itself. After a few hours of washing, scrubbing and disinfecting with bleach, the mold turned out to be a good Republican, easily covered by

whitewash. Overall, the painting went as well as could be expected, except that we should have thrown away the brushes and just let the five-year-old roll around. He managed to get covered with paint. ("Hey Daddy, look at me! I'm Casper!")

All and all, it was good for my sanctification. At one point, I noticed a set of handprints on the wall. "OK, " I roared, "Who leaned against the wall?" For a moment, there was a pregnant silence, followed by all FOUR of the oldest kids slowly holding up their paint-smearred hands!

Eventually, after finishing the first coat, I stumbled upstairs to my office, exhausted, drenching with sweat and glad to be able to get back to my computer and books (meanwhile, my longsuffering wife was still downstairs, cleaning up the mess and mumbling something about a nunnery).

It struck me that though painting the basement was a dirty disgusting job, my wife was perfectly correct; it was also absolutely necessary. And in one sense, that basement is a microcosm of the task facing Christian Reconstruction. The basement had once been painted, a whole generation ago. But decades of neglect had allowed a small problem to become a big one. If the walls had been washed with disinfectant once a year the mold, mildew and alien life forms setting up colonies would never have had a chance to become established. But because I had abdicated responsibility, now the clean-up work was far harder than it ought to have been. But now the dungeon was clean, bright and useable. All it took was some dedication, hard work (and four coats of paint!).

### Epistemological Self-Consciousness

Sitting in my office thinking of these things, I turned on the Idiot Box to catch the late afternoon news. I wasn't really paying much attention to the One-Eyed god until I heard something about over-population that sparked my interest. It seems that inadvertently, the channel was tuned to one of those late afternoon talk/shock shows that specialize in the bizarre. (I say, "inadvertently," because I wouldn't want to admit in public that I watch this kind of freak show intentionally.)

Too tired to reach for the remote control, and against my better judgment, I began watching the program a little more closely. It seems that the day's episode dealt with a weird religious cult concerned about there being too many people in the world. As a solution, they recommended vegetarianism, suicide, sodomy and grab your stomach, cannibalism. One man, dressed in a clerical collar, walked around with a rubber chicken crucified on a large cross. A really ugly woman was screeching about meat packers being modern-day Nazis (later it turned out that the ugly woman was really a male transvestite).

The specific issue revolved around a young woman interested in joining the cult and her boyfriend's attempts to discourage her. He failed, and she was inducted into the cult and immediately dressed herself in a dominatrix outfit (and shame on you if you know what that means!). In the interest of "equal time," the program brought on a Christian little old lady who with tears in her eyes told the cannibals that Jesus loved them too (personally speaking, I think he has a special place in Hell for them, right next to the abyss where talk show hosts are kept).

Now the host and the audience were having a wonderful time being outraged by these refugees from a psycho ward, but believe it or not, I found myself in sympathy with the cannibals. Both they and the audience began from the same basic assumptions; i.e., man is merely an animal, human freedom means doing just what each individual wants, there are no absolute moral judgments to be made, there is a genuine ecological crisis coming that demands radical solutions, etc. While the audience didn't like the cannibals' conclusions, they shared the exact same premises, premises taught in every public school, state university, and highlighted nightly in all the "mainstream" media. There is no logical stopping place between what the premier academics of the past hundred years have been teaching and what the cannibals are proposing. If you grant the cannibals their premises, then logically, their solutions are not only reasonable, but, in



many respects, inevitable. The cannibals were simply more consistent, and more honest than the bland, middle-American audience jeering and hooting at them.

If man is just another animal, and there is no moral difference between the two, and if humans have rights, then why not animals as well? And if eating animal meat is moral, why not eat the flesh of dead people? That way, people who want to eat meat can do it, without hurting all the poor little innocent animals. Isn't it better than just burying all that good protein in the ground? (Well, actually there are good, medical reasons for not being a cannibal, but since when do facts ever enter into this kind of religious debate?). If there are no moral absolutes apart from the individual, why shouldn't teenagers commit suicide when depressed and despondent? Who's to say that they are wrong for killing themselves because they didn't get a date for Friday night? And if there really are too many people in the world, and if there is no sovereign God whose law reigns supreme, why shouldn't you abort babies or encourage sodomy as an alternative to normal heterosexual relations?

The simple fact is that the Cannibalistic, Vegetarian Sodomites are simply being epistemologically self-conscious modernists. They are just taking the next, logical step in the humanist attack on the sovereignty of God. Neither the audience, nor the holier-than-thou host, had any moral basis for criticizing their position. They may not have chosen to adopt the same behaviors, but they had no basis to call them immoral. For both groups deny God and his Kingdom, and one is just a little more consistent in promoting the fruits of their rebellion than the other.


What neither group wants to realize is that you cannot have the fruit of Christian culture without the root. The world before the gospel was a vicious, nasty place, filled with the most horrendous acts. The ancient Greeks exposed their children to be eaten by wild animals while extolling the benefits of paedophilia. The Romans raped and pillaged their way through the ancient Mediterranean world, enslaving everyone they met. The Celtic tribes in Europe butchered people like hogs in their worship of demonic forces. The Aztecs in the Americas sacrificed entire nations by ripping out people's hearts in bloody worship of the sun. Even the charming Inuit People of North America left their parents on ice floes to be eaten by polar bears, or freeze to death in the Arctic weather. It was only with the coming of the gospel of Jesus Christ that concepts such as liberty, justice, and protection of the innocent became cultural norms.

It is one thing to read in history about the last days of a decadent culture, just before God brings the hammer down. It is something different to actually experience it. You see, like the long-neglected basement walls, Christians have failed to disinfect this culture. We have allowed the rot of humanism to grow and flourish in the dark, dank places while we went merrily on our way. And now, we've got a lot of hard work to do to clean up the mess and put things back to rights. Granted, the people we are talking about are kooks (and their bizarre beliefs, a form of street theater to "raise people's consciousness" about their social agenda). But what is said today in jest is practiced tomorrow in sincerity. When

a culture turns its back on God, he turns his back on it, allowing it to become foolish in its speculations, darkening hearts, and giving bodies over to impurity and judgment (cf. Rom. 1:20ff). These are the people who will be running this culture for the foreseeable future. And we are the ones who let it all happen.

Do these wackos frighten me? No, they are pathetic little people, hardly to be taken seriously. They are mere footnotes in the history of the death of a culture. But they are a symptom of a much greater evil, the evil of a flourishing, God-hating philosophy subsidized by Christian capital because we were too lazy to clean up the mess. And like the mold on a dark, dank, basement wall they are something that needs to be scoured clean and washed away with all the other filth. But it will take a lot of work—dirty, tiring and unglamorous work, but absolutely necessary work—unless we want the cannibals to win (for a time) and our children, and grandchildren, to grow up in their world. There is a new world coming. It remains only to be seen whether we or our far-distant descendants experience the joys of a reconstructed society. The suicides will kill themselves, the over-population nuts will abort

their future, the sodomites will emasculate themselves, and the cannibals will eat each other. But our children, and their children, and their children's children will inherit the earth. Now isn't that worth a little hard work and sweat? ■



## Liberty Under God

By Jessica Ferroni  
*So I shall keep  
 Thy Law continually  
 for ever and ever.  
 And I will walk at liberty,  
 for I seek Thy*

*precepts. Ps. 119:44,45*

Though obedience to the law may appear outwardly to be the antithesis of liberty, Reconstructionist Christians realize that liberty comes from God alone, and obedience to His revealed Word. It has been proven time and again throughout history that the nation or people that obey God's law have been granted abundant blessings, while the nation that shies away from the truth becomes increasingly restricted in its freedoms. One example of such a nation is our own, which, as she moves farther and farther away from God's law, has become a place of restricted liberty and blatant, unrepentant sin. Sinful man believes liberty is the self-defined freedom to do whatever he desires; however, this is a humanistic idea, the outworking of man's fallen nature and desire to be his own god, determining for himself good and evil (Gen.3:5). Pagan Americans believe they are free from bondage because America is a "free" country, but they do not realize that freedom must be defined by God.

### Liberty: Freedom to Sin?

The meaning of the word liberty has become so distorted in today's society that most Americans have difficulty comprehending what liberty actually constitutes. Everyone wants his "rights," but few realize that rights (i.e., liberties), are defined by God's law. Consider the sign displayed prominently outside a pornography shop our church is currently involved in picketing:



... Please do not harass the picketers. It is their Constitutional right to picket, just as it is our right to do business.

The pornographers are hiding behind a man-made law while loudly proclaiming that what they're doing is perfectly legal. It's a sad fact to note that most of the modern church agrees with them! As long as these public sinners stay "out there," outside the four walls of the church, it is supposedly their right to do whatever they please, and we who publicly oppose them are infringing upon their "rights." But why are we opposed to pornography? Why can't we live side by side with the pornographers in peace? Because there will be no peace where there is pornography! Pornography is a proven prelude to rape, murder, and child abuse; more importantly, it is a sin forbidden by God. Because it is sin, if society continues to tolerate - and encourage - this abhorrence, society will be judged, resulting in a restriction on liberties for all. Any attempt to achieve freedom apart from God's Law will result in loss of true freedom, bondage to sin, and consequent judgment from Heaven.

### Legality vs. Lawfulness

Efforts to breach the Creator/creation distinction fill our legal system as man attempts to become God and his own master. The Bill of Rights has become so badly misinterpreted as to allow pornography shops to line the streets and continue to function without any fear of civil government's intervention or censorship.

But the fact that pornography is legal in our land does not make it lawful - in other words, even though pornography is permitted in our current legal system, it is still a violation of God's Law, and thus is sin.

Many other legalized abhorrences also are not Lawful; for example the abortion industry. Feeble attempts to justify this state-permitted practice by claiming it to be a woman's legal right in no way make it any less the murder of an innocent child and a violation of god's law.

### Liberty: Freedom from Sin

Ezra was commanded by god to set godly magistrates, "all such as know the laws of thy God" (Ezra 7:25). Those who live under the law are expected to obey it, and the magistrates and judges that are commanded to know the Law of God are to adhere to its principles and set the society's standards by them. The Christian society then, would have no pornography (a violation of the Seventh Commandment) or abortion (a violation of the Sixth Commandment), and criminals would be required to make restitution for all illegal acts. The result of a godly Christian society is freedom for its law-abiding citizens from the effects of sins such as tyranny and bureaucracy.

### Christian Liberty and Righteousness

"Considering the Creator only a Being of infinite power, He was able unquestioningly to have prescribed what ever Laws He pleased to His creature, man, however unjust or severe. But as such He is also a Being of infinite wisdom, He has laid down only such laws as were founded in those religions of justice, that existed in the nature of things antecedent to any positive precept. These are the eternal, immutable laws of good and evil, to which the Creator Himself in all his Dispensations conforms; and which he has enabled human reason to discover, so far as they are necessary for the conduct of human actions" (William Blackstone, *Commentaries*, 1765).

As man is a creature, he must inherently adhere to the Laws of his Creator. Any attempt to define liberty from a humanistic, autonomous perspective results in man-centered "rights" taking precedence over divinely revealed law. Humanity is not able (because of sin) to determine what is right and wrong. This is why we have Biblically revealed law. To obey is life, peace, and freedom. To attempt to replace God's law with man's (i.e., to disobey) is to invite negative sanctions and suffer in bondage to sin.

Righteousness will reign where evil is suppressed by godly magistrates; where there is an active orthodox church; and good godly families stand for the truth.

### Conclusion

The essential key to understanding Christian liberty is realizing the difference between obedience to God's Laws "theonomy," and sinful rebellion against God, "autonomy." Consider what Paul says in Romans 8:2-4:

*"For the law of the Spirit of life in Christ Jesus hath made me free from the law of sin and death. For what the law could not do, in that it was weak through the flesh, God sending his own Son in the likeness of sinful flesh, and for sin, condemned sin in the flesh; That the righteousness of the law might be fulfilled in us, who walk not after the flesh, but after the Spirit."* ■

Courtesy Chalcedon Report, Box 158 Vallecito CA 95251

## The Dangers of Dating

*LOVE and marriage, love and marriage go together like a horse and carriage.* This refrain from a song that pre-dates my generation comes a lot closer to reflecting biblical truth than most of the music of my baby-boomer peers. At least back then it was cool to celebrate marriage as the natural context for love (though we don't kid ourselves that these folks were into betrothal). Music since has generally celebrated the liberation of "love" from marriage. It has been the music of the dating culture.

One of the more wholesome fruits of the home education movement, and the rediscovery of biblical family values that has accompanied it, has been the renewed interest in the concept and practice of courtship and betrothal. "Courtship" is not a biblical term. "Betrothal" is. But both refer to a process of moving toward marriage that respects certain fundamental values, including parental authority and protection, moral purity, exclusivity of affection, and a God-centered understanding of marriage. None of these values characterize the surrounding culture of dating that we have grown up with and in which we are now raising our own children.

In the future we will explore more carefully the meaning of courtship and betrothal and the positive pattern given in God's Word for bringing a man and woman together in marriage. Our purpose in this article is to set the stage for future studies by setting aside the practice of dating as an unacceptable model for Christian families. As our response to the mercies of God in Christ, we are called to worship God in all that we do. *"And do not be conformed to this world, but be transformed by the renewing of your mind, that you may prove what the will of God is, that which is good and acceptable and perfect"* (Rom. 12:1,2). Christians have conformed to this world's patterns of boy-girl relationships, preparation for marriage, and marriage itself. We need to work hard at renewing our minds by taking every thought captive to Christ in these too often unexamined areas of life (2 Cor. 10:5).

Someone once said, "The unexamined life is not worth living." For Christians it's worse than that: the unexamined life may destroy your children and dishonor Christ. The practice of dating must be excluded from any family that is serious about raising godly children and pleasing God in their conduct. Why is that? Let's consider four ways in which dating falls short of God's glory by contrasting dating and courtship in the light of God's Word.

### Parental Authority & Protection

*And she'll have fun, fun, fun 'til her daddy takes the T-bird awaaaay.* This popular hit of 30 years ago pictures a teenage girl who takes the family car (or one of them) and cruises around on her own, having fun with her friends. The only threat to this state of teen bliss is the prospect of the girl's father playing party pooper by taking the car away. Of course we might ask why Daddy let her take the car in the first place, or how his control could be so loose that she takes it without his knowledge! And we may wonder if indeed this lackadaisical father will bother to intervene in any case. Dad here is not the godly father of Scripture; he is simply a potential threat to fun, fun, fun. This is a fair description of a father's role in the dating culture. The first and major error of dating is that it removes youths from the





authority and protection of their fathers. Isn't the whole idea of dating to 'go out' together, away from parents and family? This is consistent with the modern practice of removing children from parental oversight, counsel, and care, but its effects are disastrous. In contrast to this is the biblical teaching that fathers are responsible to guide and protect their children through the whole period of their upbringing. Abraham was commended as a man who would "command his children and his household after him to keep the way of the LORD" (Gen. 18: 19). Do you think Abraham would have let his girl sneak off on his most sporty camel to carouse with the local pagans?

Nor would a Christian father who is admonished concerning his children to "bring them up in the discipline and instruction of the Lord" (Eph. 6:4). This process doesn't stop when a youth can drive or when the world around considers him or her old enough to date.

In particular, this process of bringing young men and women together is always to be guided by the father, not carried on independently by the couple themselves. God, the original Father and model to all of us, took complete oversight of the process of bringing Eve to Adam and "giving her away" to him (Gen. 2:22). Abraham took initiative to find a wife for his son Isaac (Gen. 24:2-4). Jacob had to win the permission of Rachel's father, Laban, before he could marry her (Gen. 29:18,19; see also Ex. 22:16-17; Judg. 14:1-10; 1 Sam. 18: 17-21; 1 Cor. 7:36-38). This total oversight of the coupling of youths is perfectly consistent with the father's responsibility to guide and protect his children. Dating removes the father from the process, cedes authority to the youths themselves, and leaves them unprotected from the very real dangers of being alone together.

### Sexual Purity

It's not surprising that mild lyrics like *I want to hold your hand* in the early Sixties gave way in just a few years to jarring lines like *I can't get no satisfaction, I can't get no girlie action* (to use a printable example). The one leads to the other as surely as night leads to day. And any parent who denies this is willfully blind.

The *second error of dating is that it tempts youths to sexual impurity* and often leads to sin and damaged lives. God's standard is that there is to be no sexual touching before marriage. It is His design that when a man and woman are alone together their interest develops along sexual veins, especially once any physical contact takes place. This is not evil, it is good -- but it must be kept within the boundaries God gives: romantic touching is for marriage. When God gave Eve to Adam He immediately declared the propriety of their becoming "one flesh" (Gen. 2:24). A male and female being alone together logically and properly leads to sexual union. **That's why it is not safe or proper for a man and woman to be alone and to touch outside of the bounds of marriage vows.**

Proverbs 6:29 warns a man regarding his neighbor's wife that "whoever touches her will not go unpunished." Do we imagine that this man could affectionately embrace, kiss and pet, and otherwise touch as long as he did not have sexual intercourse? Of course not. The mildest form of sexual touching leads inexorably down a path that ends in bed. When God protected Sarah from any advances from Abimelech it was not that he stopped short of intercourse but had his way with her short of that. No, we are told that he "had not come near her" and that the Lord did not allow him to "touch her" (Gen. 20:4,6). Even if Scripture did not have evidence on this point, common sense would tell us that there is no safe line to draw in this matter other than 'don't touch.' The separation and isolation of couples characteristic of the dating experience is a direct invitation to break God's protective rules against immorality (Matt. 5:28; 1 Cor. 6: 18; Eph. 5:3). Courtship protects the couple from sexual immorality

by keeping the couple in the context of family, under the oversight of parents. The concept of chaperoned encounters is the only one consistent with the principles of parental authority and protection from sexual impurity. Absolute privacy is not necessary or safe. Meetings can occur under the watchful eye and within earshot of the guardian father. Any conversations too intimate for this setting are too intimate for this stage of the relationship.

### Exclusive Affection for One Partner

*'Cherish' is the word that I use to describe all these feelings that I have hiding her for you inside.* Dating leads necessarily to a mutual giving of hearts -- but without commitment. *Breaking up is hard to do.* Indeed it is! *Will I see you in September or lose you to a summer love?* Breaking up making up, changing partners -- this has been the stock and trade of rock and country music for at least a generation. And it is a natural part of the dating scene. If you get tired of the girl you have, trade her in for another. *If you're not with the one you love, love the one you're with.* Broken hearts and violated affections are the inevitable accomplice of the institution of dating.

*The third error of dating is that it is the practice of serial relationships and thereby promotes emotional fornication and is a rehearsal for divorce.*

God's plan is that one man have one woman for life (Gen. 2:24). Even if a dating couple manage to avoid the trap of sexual involvement, they will be involved emotionally and will set their affections upon one another. This point is really just an extension of the last, but it is worth separate emphasis.

One of the most damaging things about dating is that it encourages youths to give their hearts to others, over and over. When it comes time to marry someone, they will not be able to offer their hearts to that one with pristine purity. They will have the memories of all those (or even just the one) to whom they had given their hearts, if not their bodies, previously. Surely if God means for each person to have a singular life

partner, He means for that partner to get not only exclusive rights to the body but also to the affections of that person (1 Cor. 7:4).

Moreover, those who have been in the practice of changing the objects of their affections will be tempted after marriage to do the same thing. This will lead to sin and dissatisfaction within the marriage and will often result in divorce. After all, divorce is just another form of "breaking up." Courtship guards against emotional fornication by limiting one-on-one encounters to those couples who are seriously pursuing the possibility of marriage. It is even wise to require a young man to affirm his commitment to marriage before even allowing him regular contact with the young lady in an effort to win her heart. Otherwise, he may succeed in winning her heart only to decide she's not the one for him. Then we have the same problem as with dating. Young men need to consider carefully and be prepared to commit themselves if they succeed in winning the girl's heart. The aim is to have each one give his or her heart to only one other.

### The God-centered Purpose of Marriage

*Love can't be wrong when it feels so right, for you light up my life.* These lyrics from about 25 years ago (by a professedly Christian popular singer) reflect the focus of the dating culture: self-gratification. If it feels good, it's OK. Dating is a self-centered practice whose purpose is the fulfillment of personal desires. This subjective, emotional pre-occupation drowns out God's standards for what is right and wrong.

*The fourth error of dating is that it is an exercise in pleasing self rather than God.* It is obvious how this is so when a couple engages in sexual sin. But self-centeredness is no less the purpose when a couple date just to have fun and

**Interested in Learning?**  
we have the following written courses available:

- Kingdom Kids - 40 lessons for children (reading & colouring)
- Bible Law Course - 16 lessons plus supplements - 14 & older.
- Bible History Course - 16 +
- Bible Word Analyzer course (jun)
- Bible Word Analyzer course (teen)
- ACM Bible Studies - 40 lessons (adult)

Acts of The Apostles lessons  
write today for details!!  
make this part of your home-schooling.



enjoy the company of someone they think is attractive. Personal pleasure still defines the content of the encounter. The purpose of courtship is marriage. The goal is the formation of a new family unit to the glory of God. A young man must have serious intent if he asks a young lady's father for the privilege of seeking to win her hand in marriage. But there can be no other justifiable reason for developing a relationship between a young couple. Without the end of marriage in view, "courtship" simply becomes dating by another name.

## Conclusion

Each September those who watch the newspapers are treated to a sad sight. It seems without fail, usually on the front page, there appears a photograph of a five-year-old boarding a yellow school bus, in tears or near them, going off to his first day of school. This rite of passage is considered normal and healthy. Yet it is the beginning of an alienation of affection that will hinder family life in general and the development of that child in particular. The natural parent-child bonds are violated for the "wisdom" of peer-oriented, mass education.

Letting go. This is the great mandate thrust upon parents. They let go of wee ones to the kindergarten, and at the other end of childhood they let go to college or career. Instead parents should be holding on, and shaping, and disciplining, and pouring themselves into their children -- not to stifle development, but to fully develop all their potential to the glory of God.

Dating is part of the perverse "letting go" syndrome. Let the teens go have their fun. They need to be alone. After all, we did it when we were their age. Yeah, we sure did, *and suffered for it*. Fathers, you are failing your teens if you let go of your authority and protection over them and abandon them to the dangers of dating. It's not God's way, and it's harmful to your dear children. Let their teen years be ones in which you draw them even closer and lovingly assert your authority and protection over them. Don't be conformed to the pattern of this world. Be a father to your children. ■ (for more on parental authority and obedience to parents even during adulthood, listen to Jonathan Lindvall's series on Bold Parenting Seminar - P-091 to P-096 six tapes in all.) ■

## ARE WE BLASPHEMING?

There is only one passage in the Word of God that speaks personally to older women. It is a specific command that is given to them through the unction of the Holy Spirit. It is surprising to me that so few older women in the church bother to take any notice of these words. They live and act as though they are not mentioned in the Bible. What is this command found in Titus 2:2-5? The Jerusalem Bible translation says, "*The older women ... they are to be teachers of the right behaviour and show (notice these these... "and show") the younger women HOW they should love their husbands and love their children, HOW they are to be sensible and chaste and HOW to work in their homes and be gentle and do as their husbands tell them. . .*"

Not only are the older women to teach the younger women, but they are to show them by example HOW to be husband-lovers, children-lovers, and home-lovers. The Message Bible says, "*By LOOKING AT THEM, the younger women will know, how to love their husbands and children.*"

This passage also relates to the younger women. Amongst the few older women who do take these words seriously, I often hear the comment that the younger women don't want to listen to them. There is responsibility required on both sides. We as the older women are commanded to take up our calling to teach the younger women. The younger women are to walk in the footsteps and teaching of godly older women who are speaking the Word of God in truth - to be clear-

minded, to love and submit to our husbands, to love our children, and to guard and keep the home. Now if we think that this is all very unimportant and out-of-date, what will happen! The Bible tells us plainly. God's Word will be blasphemed! Wow that is strong language. The word *blaspheme* means "to speak about God or sacred things with abuse or contempt." We bring contempt on God's Word when we refuse to obey these words. To make it clearer, let's see what some other translations say:

We will **blaspheme** the Word of God. KJV

We will **malign** the Word of God. NIV

We will **disgrace** the Word of God. TJB

We will **dishonor** the Word of God. NAS

We will **discredit** the Word of God, RSV

We will bring **reproach** to the Word of God. Amp

We will **slander** the Word of God. MLR, Beck

It will be a **scandal** to the gospel. Moffat

These are powerful words, aren't they! Don't you think it is time that we lined ourselves up with what God says, rather than what society says! Who governs our lives anyway! Today's current thinking or God's eternal Word that is for all generations! Psalm 33:11.

There is another phenomenon that is popular today. In fact, it is considered the norm. But once again, it does not line up with God's Word. It is the fact that in many homes there are two Adams, rather than an Adam and an Eve. When God made Eve for Adam, He didn't make another Adam. He made someone different. He made a helper for him, someone to complete him, not compete with him.

The Hebrew word for 'helpmeet' is 'neged'. It means, 'part opposite, counterpart, over against, the other side.' Eve completed Adam by being opposite to him. God gave her a different function. He planned for Adam (and all men to follow) to be a patriarch and pattern man for his family, to be a provider, a protector and a priest of his home. Perhaps we could even add - a promise-keeper. He created Eve (and all women to follow) to be a nurturer, a nourisher and a nestbuilder. The marriage and the home functions best when each one fulfills the function God has planned for them. God didn't plan for two Adams, but for an Adam and an Eve. (that is what makes a marriage different to a partnership)

In fact, God has a special care for women and has designed that they will always be under protection of the man who is the provider. We see a further understanding of this in Numbers chapter 30. In this chapter it tells us that if a daughter makes a vow and her father hears it and says nothing by giving "silent consent", she is bound to fulfil her vow. However, if her father hears it and is not in agreement with her pledge or vow, he has the power to make it invalid.

Similarly, if a wife in her husband's home makes a vow or a "thoughtless utterance" and her husband hears it and says nothing, her vow must stand. However, if her husband expresses disapproval, the Bible says that her husband "*shall make void her vow which was on her, and the thoughtless utterance of her lips...and the Lord will forgive her.*" This passage reveals God's heart for women and the authority God has given to man to protect women. Women are not meant to be out of covering for one day of their lives. While she is unmarried, a daughter is under the protection and guidance of her father, until the day that he says, "I do" and "gives" her to her husband. The father has a responsibility to know before God that the man that he "gives" his daughter to on the wedding day is the one that God has chosen for her, a man who will continue to provide, protect and care for her as he has done all his life. The new bride now comes under her husband's covering, and under this protection she can fulfil her highest calling of nurturing the children that God gives to the marriage. As she embraces her nurturing instinct, she becomes a nation builder and a nation shaper.



Dear wives and mothers, please understand my heart. I do not write this to condemn anyone but to refocus our minds on God's highest plan, rather than the mind-set of this society. It is not my idea. God says, *"My thoughts are not your thoughts, neither are your ways my ways, saith the Lord. For as the heavens are higher than the earth, so are my ways higher than your ways, and my thoughts than your thoughts."* Isaiah 55:8,9. And remember His commandments are not burdensome or irksome. 1 John 5:3. God wrote them for our good and for our ultimate blessing. I'd like to end this article on a positive outlook. The J.B. Phillip's translation of Titus 2:5 says that if the younger women obey these commands to be clean-minded, to love and submit to their husbands, to love and embrace children, and to guard and keep the home, that it will be "a good advertisement for the Christian faith." What are we advertising?

What about single mothers, widows, and women who have no one to protect them? I suggest that you refer to the following scripture for consideration Gen 38:11, Leviticus 22:13, and 1 Timothy 5:4-10. **NANCY CAMPBELL**  
 Courtesy Above Rubies, PO Box 500 Broadbeach Qld 4218 ■

## LIVING LAB by Andrea Schwartz

Our family has always been a home schooling family. We have had the advantage of not having to undo the mind set imparted to children in government schools. Just this past June, my son finished the home schooling segment of his education and now has begun to take classes at the local college. Often in life one has to accept what is available, rather than one's ideal circumstance.

### Christian Meets Pagan

My son has found himself in politically correct, relativistic, anti-Christian, anti-human, anti-responsibility classes whereby everything we have attempted to impart is challenged and ridiculed. Rather than embrace the 'Babylonian perspective,' he has had the kind of insights and responses I prayed he would have. He returned one morning from his required English class with the comment, 'Without the Bible, everything is allowed.' He is taking this class as summer student so what would normally take a number of months is being accomplished in six weeks of eight hours of instruction each week.

His first oral report was to be on the welfare system, for which report he spent the previous evening preparing. This particular assignment was to be graded by another student in the class. He had sat through others' presentations and most were given a 'B' or higher by fellow students. Right before he got up to give his presentation, the teacher informed him that she was changing his topic. He was to give his opinion as to whether or not homosexual couples should be allowed to adopt children.

He composed himself, thought a bit, and began his presentation from the standpoint that since homosexual marriages were not legal, they should not be allowed to adopt. Little did he know that the individual who was to grade him was a homosexual. Immediately my son was attacked for his views and was told by this practicing homosexual that they were offensive. As he continued on with his presentation the rest of the class fell totally silent. He found himself with the opportunity to speak in terms of the Bible's standards. The student grader gave him an 'F' despite the fact that he backed up his positions and was articulate in his presentation. The teacher allowed the grade to stick.

### Animal Wrongs

The following day the topic went to 'animal rights'. The teacher had the class read an article which stated that Christians were among the worst offenders in violating the rights of animals, due to the fact that they practice animal sacrifice. When my son told the class that he was a Christian and knew

that animal sacrifice wasn't part of Christian practice, the entire discussion resulted in an open attack on Christianity. The homosexual made the comment that, "The Bible has some good stuff in it but is responsible for the 'gay bashing' that goes on today."

### The Educated Cannibals

The next day the teacher handed out a sheet with a proposition for ending world hunger. The article posited that eating the flesh of dead human beings (because it is so plentiful) was the answer. She asked the class to move into groups as to whether or not they 'strongly agreed' or 'strongly disagreed' with the idea proposed. Half the class joined the 'strongly agree' section. When my son (who had placed himself in the 'strongly disagree' section) spoke up on the fact that many diseases are prevalent in societies that make a practice of cannibalism, the teacher told him that he was speaking up too much and to give others a chance. Even when no one else wanted to speak and he offered up a perspective she 'shut him up'.

That night, he wrote an essay discussing the ethics involved in using animals in laboratory experimentation. He did some research via the Internet and discovered that vegetarians and others adamantly opposed to hunting animals and their use in laboratory experimentation, classified themselves in favor of abortion and the use of fetal tissue. As was the custom, the next day he was to give his paper to another student to do grammatical and style corrections. The girl who was given his paper got to the part about abortion and stood up and loudly cursed at him and told him that she had had an abortion when she was fifteen years old and what did he know about anything. She went up to the teacher and told her, in a very loud voice, that she absolutely refused to have anything to do with going over his paper because he was so offensive to her. The teacher told her she didn't have to deal with him. The next day, the girl apologized to my son and gave him her paper to read. It dealt with her opinion that any man who opposes abortion should have a vasectomy!

### The Grace of God

I write this soberly and realize that without God's sustaining mercy, none of us could survive in the climate we now face. However, those of us who find ourselves without tremendous options can rejoice in the fact that our God never leaves us or forsakes us or ever lets us go. My son is getting a first-hand 'education' in knowing how presuppositions are coming through loud and clear. The effect of their philosophies and perspectives are evident and speak to their depravity. In short, they are making the case that we have attempted to teach him and prayed that his home schooling would prepare him for. To those who have younger children and who will eventually face the situations I have just described, my advice to you is to find and support those Christians who are working to reclaim higher education for the Lord Jesus Christ and assist them by your prayers and finances in achieving this very necessary goal. In the meantime, pray for us and others like us that God would empower our youthful Christian soldiers.

### Note by R.J. Rushdoony:

When I asked Andrea Schwartz to jot down Anthony's experiences at a state college, I did so because such reports to me from parents were increasingly common. Many have come from church-related colleges. Those who refuse to believe such reports are often friends and relatives, alumni, who insist that the college or university was a fine school when they were there. Some faculty members have been fired for protesting the current anti-Christian trend, or for teachings that run counter to it.

But what can you expect from the godless! Even more, and worse, what can you expect from schools that claim to

to be deleted:  
 CHANGE AGENTS IN THE  
 SCHOOLS  
 #317 now only \$15  
 CONSPIRACY BEHIND  
 WORLD CHANGES  
 #093 now only \$0.60  
 ENEMY BEHIND YOUR  
 ENEMIES #094 now \$1.50



be Christian but feel free to define Christianity, not by a total commitment to God's Christ and His Law word, but by their own standards! Our Lord asks, "Do men gather grapes of thorns, or figs of thistles?" (Mt. 7:16). Are we not fools, and damned fools, if we expect to do so!-R.J.R

Andrea Schwartz and her husband, Ford, head Friends of Chalcodon. Courtesy Light of Life, PO Box 966, Dickson ACT 2602. ■

## Saxon News from Northern Ireland: The Cat's Out the bag, Irish Betrayal!

Guess who David Trimble of the Ulster Unionist Party (so called foe of the IRA) is working for? So far Northern Ireland is free from being a melting pot. Esau was no doubt behind the "troubles." Now Esau is behind peace making. Why the troubles, then peace? Simple ....

Trimble gave the answer (wittingly or otherwise) at a press conference (aired ABC 19-04-98). He stated that a yes vote for frith (peace) between protestants represented by his party and the IRA would mean that Northern Ireland could at last work towards becoming a truly "multinational and multiracial" society!

So, that's the recipe, beat the 'dung' out of a folk and they'll accept frith at any cost. For Europe WWII; for Northern Ireland, the "Troubles." Once frith comes and Esau ships in the non-Israelites, who will say 'Boo' to the invasion? Afterall, the folk have had enough of violence. Well done, Trimble! ■

## TRAVELLERS ALERT GERMANY

Travellers to and from Germany are now being arrested at German airports if they have expressed themselves, even in the remote past, in speech or in writing which is construed as 'illegal' according to the German Federal penal Code 130, Article 3, regarding 'defamation.' This retroactive statue does not define this crime explicitly or exactly and leaves its interpretation to the whims and biases of German prosecutors.

### BEFORE YOU ENTER GERMANY

Play it safe by calling the German Embassy at (06) 270 1911, the Australian Commonwealth Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade (07) 340 54799, airlines and travel agents for a list of words, thoughts and literature now forbidden in Germany. Demand posting of the forbidden words and beliefs at all airports with departures to Germany. The penalty for importing printed material containing illegal words and subjects is three to five years imprisonment. The 'Book Burners' have returned!

There is no realistic chance that our Prime Minister, John Howard, will intercede on your behalf in other countries. Australians are now being imprisoned in foreign countries for speaking or writing in Australia on Politically Incorrect topics. Remember there is NO BAIL or pardon in Germany for Free Speech perpetrators!

### LATEST ARREST

Hans Schmidt's name was entered in Western Europe's computers because of his use of two proscribed adjectives. That's right - illegal adjectives! - in a letter of November 1994, which he wrote while in the United States and which subsequently ended up in the hands of government authorities in Germany. A U.S. citizen since 1955, retired businessman Hans Schmidt from Pensacola, Florida, while returning from a visit to his 93 year old mother in Germany, was arrested at Frankfurt Airport on August 9, 1995, and will remain in jail without ball until his trial in Schwerin, Germany. In recent months, at least four foreign citizens were ambushed and

arrested in Germany, among them three Americans, and renowned British historian David Irving, a best-selling author in Australia.

### FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

To help Australians who become, or are now, jailed for failing to obey German speech control laws, while living in Australia, write to:

A.N.S.M. PO BOX 434, ANNERLEY QLD. 4103 AUSTRALIA  
NOTICE: Travel agents and airlines can be sued for failure to provide forbidden speech warnings to Australians who are accustomed to freedom of speech rights. (for how long will we have free speech in Australia? watch for the above to become law here too!) ■

### UNCERTAINTY OF LIFE by Bob Hallstrom

One of the biggest problems in life is that things do not go as we would have them go, which means that things happen which interfere with our plans -- and my life is no exception.

Some 19 years ago, my first wife died from brain cancer at the age of 36, and I need not tell you how much of a shock and heartache this was to myself and my 13-year-old daughter. But we got on with our lives as time heals most wounds of this sort, and we took comfort in the "hope of eternal life, which God, that cannot lie, promised before the world began." (Titus 1:2)

In this regard as we age we expect to lose our grandparents, our parents, and eventually we expect to die or lose our spouse, and all of these events are traumatic to us. But we do leave a legacy behind us in our children. but once in a while this is not the case. A few

weeks ago, I was informed that my only child, now 33 years old, died in a bathtub accident in her home. As you can imagine, this event has caused much sorrow to my wife, myself, and our family. This is especially traumatic because we expect our children to outlive us, and we are never prepared to have the terrible task of laying them to rest.

Having told you this I want to use this opportunity of my daughters untimely death to make a point to some of you. You see, my daughter, like most people her age, simply did not plan to die. Oh, we all know about automobile accidents and the like -- but not us -- right? The problem is that my daughter never left a will nor any record of what she would like to have done with her personal effects and things. And so, if she ever desired that anyone receive anything of hers, they will never get it. She worked at a women's university but her true love was writing. And as I try to go through what was on her computer, I find that the majority of her files require passwords for access -- something I do not have and will never probably be able to guess. That being the case, the vast majority of her writings are probably lost forever.

But her accident should teach us something. When we go to bed at night, we cannot, for certain, know that we will awake in the morning. We cannot enter our car or an airplane and, for certain, know that we will return home - accidents do happen. We cannot even know, for certain, that we can enter the bathtub and live to put on our clothes. My first wife and I had a very good relationship, but no matter how good a relationship we had, it could always have been better, and again I am no exception to the rule. But as a result of her death I came to the conclusion that we should attempt to live every day as if it were the last day of our life.

And what does this mean? Well it means things like we should not go to sleep having had a spat with our wife, our children, our parents or friends which has not been resolved. And it means that we should have our affairs in order. And so I would ask each and every one of you to make sure your affairs are in order. And I would further ask that you attempt to live everyday as if it was the last day of your life, valuing those relationships the Lord has blessed you with.



And finally, I would ask your patience with me as I recover from the loss of a loved one. *Pastor Bob Hallstrom* ■

## **Federal Bureau of Religious Persecution** by *Texe Marrs*

Representative Frank Wolf (R-VA) and twenty-six other congressmen recently introduced HR 2431, setting up an Office of Religious Persecution Monitoring (ORPM). At the helm of the new ORPM agency will be a national religious czar, officially called the "Director," to be appointed by the President of the US.

The director of the ORPM agency will carry out his task of religious persecution under the watchful eye of a United Nations counterpart -- a global religious czar. Once the ORPM structure is established and in place, the United Nations will control all religious belief, practice, and worship on the face of planet Earth. This reprehensible bill -- deceptively called the Freedom from Religious Persecution Act -- will move to outlaw Biblical Christianity. Powerful interests are behind this bill, including the overlords of the Council on Foreign Relations and the Trilateral Commission.

You will be astounded to learn that virtually every famous-name Christian leader in the United States has already endorsed this antichrist legislation! Among the enthusiastic supporters of this hideous Gestapo religious agency are Chuck Colson, Bill Bright, James Dobson, and Bev LaHaye. The Roman Catholic Church wants this bill passed. So, too, do the ADL and other Jewish lobby groups. Many supposedly patriotic and conservative organizations and groups are also helping the ORPM project to succeed.

Bible prophecy warned this would come to pass -- that a Mystery Babylon religious system would be established. The ORPM will be Big Brother's primary enforcement tool to bludgeon the Christian faith and drive mankind into the mold of the One World spirituality. However, blood-bought Christianity will refuse to bow down to Baal. True Christian patriots will prove to be an unalterable foe. This federal agency will arbitrarily be empowered to:

- Study, rank, and evaluate all religions.
- Approve or disapprove licenses and credentials for pastors, priests, teachers, evangelists, and other church employees throughout America.
- Establish national standards of acceptable religious practice.
- Bring sanctions and penalties against individuals, churches, and religious organizations which do not comply with federal guidelines.
- Punish individuals and groups who commit "hate crimes" by asserting that **their** religion, **their** scriptures, or **their** deity is exclusive or superior to all others.
- Forbid persons from tithing or otherwise making financial contributions to churches or religious groups that are not on the government's approved list.
- Seize the bank accounts and property of individuals or organizations that engage in unauthorized religious activity.
- Monitor newspapers, television, radio, mail, the internet, and all other means of communication across America and the world.
- Target "spiritually defective" individuals who refuse ecumenical religious unity. Offenders will be referred for criminal prosecution under various hate crime acts and international treaty obligations.
- Work closely with the FBI, IRS, CIA, Dept of Justice, Treasury Dept, and other government law enforcement agencies to insure that religious unity laws are enforced and that all churches and religious organizations are registered with the ORPM.
- Guarantee every American freedom from dangerous and radical religious sects and cults (in other words, Biblical Christianity!)

-- Living Truth Ministries, 1708 Patteron Rd, Austin, Texas 78733 USA.

More on ORPM from the Internet....

This Cabinet level religious commissar, will register all "valid" religions, and by doing so outlaw the rest. Pastor

LICENSING will follow, no license, you are breaking the law. Confiscatory and crippling Tax implications then follow.

Religions that claim to be the EXCLUSIVE way, such as CHRISTIANITY, where NO ONE comes to the Father but by way of the Son Jesus Christ, since they make other religions "feel inferior," will be deemed HATE SPEECH under HR2431, and thereby prohibited, first by a watering down of their "official" doctrine to bring it into FEDERAL COMPLIANCE, or incarceration and fiscal turpitude if "resistance" is still mustered.

Let us not forget that the TRUTH is EXCLUSIVE, not hurtful, but EXCLUSIVE in the sense that everything outside of it is simply NOT TRUE, hence the definition. If truth was not exclusive, how could it even exist? Truth does exist and is God and is Jesus Christ. Those who tell you there is no such thing as truth, ask them, how do I know that what you are telling me is true then? ■

Courtesy Gospel Ministry News, Box 9411 Boise Idaho 83707 USA

From Jack Mohr's Intelligence report comes the following;

## **Military Equipment goes to Police Departments - Why?**

the December '97 issue of THE PATRIOT REPORT, published by George Eaton of the Present Truth Ministry, PO Box 1148 Sallisaw OK 74953, gives a chilling, factual account of how military surplus materials such as bayonets, and armoured cars, are being given to American Police departments in volume.

The question George asks, and which should be asked by every concerned American, is what are the police going to do with this military equipment? They are supposed to be dedicated to the protection of the people of their communities, and the establishment of "law and order." Is the Clinton-Administration planning for some future tragic act such as the Oklahoma City bombing, to drive our people into open rebellion, so that he can call in the UN troops which are already here with their equipment, and totally disarm us?

As the storm clouds gather, the lightning flashes, and the thunder rolls, we KNOW a storm is coming. Thank God, we also KNOW that if we are OBEDIENT to Him, He will provide a place of refuge for our people. ■


### **POLICE CONFISCATION OF PROPERTY**

Police Forces in America are now seizing automobiles, homes, and currency, before any trial, or court proceedings take place. As the result of recent changes in the "laws of forfeiture," police now have the right (? power?) to confiscate everything you possess without the necessity of a trial, a conviction, or even a charge being made against you.

Police across this nation are seizing over 5,000 bank accounts, houses, automobiles, farms, and corporations every week. USA TODAY publishes a list of the latest week's property that has been confiscated by the Drug Enforcement Administration. It can be found in Section D. of the Wednesday edition. One of the primary motivations of the police seizures seems to be the simple fact that they get to keep the confiscated loot, whether you are guilty of an offence they believe you may have committed or not.

The Government Agencies involved in this legalized highway robbery are the FBI, the FDA, the Coast Guard, the Post Office Department, the Securities and Exchange Commission, the Bureau of Land Management, and the Department of housing. Thousands of local and State Police Departments have joined in this "legal" theft of property and currency. The potential for personal corruption is overwhelming.

It was recently reported in the MacAlvaney Intelligence Advisor, that motorists were being stopped for minor traffic violations in Volusia County, Florida, along I-95. They were asked: "How much cash are you carrying?" If the driver was carrying more than a few hundred dollars in cash, the police confiscated it, claiming that a large amount of cash indicated



"suspicious behavior." This is all that is necessary for them to confiscate your money, and very possibly your car if it is an expensive one. In the last four years, the Volusia Police have seized over \$8-million from unsuspecting tourists. The reason they prey on tourists, is that they are less likely to fight the seizure of their property in court.

Over 4,000 are confiscated every year in Houston, Texas, while over 10,000 are being seized annually in New York. You can contest this seizure if you have the money, time and tenacity to prove your innocence. You must also prove in court that your possession of this property was not "suspicious" in any way. The onus is on you to prove your innocence before you can get your property returned. This is a complete reversal of a long time legal maxim, that a "person is presumed innocent unless proven guilty," and is another indication of how our justice system is deteriorating from a means of protecting our people, to a monster that harasses them. ■

**IS INTERRACIAL MARRIAGE (MISCEGENATION) A DANGER?**

Today, White Christians are being bombarded with the liberal, Jewish promoted issue which proclaims that if you are against "inter-racial marriage," you are automatically labelled with the horrible title of racist second only to the sin of "anti-Semitism," in the list of major sins American Christians can commit today!

Yet, few Christians in organized Christendom, realize that "miscegenation" which is condemned throughout the Bible, was set up by God, as one of His Natural Laws, long before His formalized Law went into effect at Mt Sinai in Exodus 20, and became known as the Ten Commandments. Over and over again, in the first chapter of Genesis, you will find God making reference to "after their kind" from plants to animals, to mankind. (see Gen 1:11, 12, 21, 24, 25).

While most White Christians have been brainwashed by false Jewish teachings in recent years, (Titus 1:10, 14), into believing that Adam was the first man, the Bible clearly says otherwise. Gen 1:27 clearly states that the original man was CREATED (made out of nothing, male and female), while Adam, the first White man, (Awdawm = to blush red, turn rosy, i.e. show blood in the face), was FORMED, male only from the "dust of the earth." This teaching is backed by the findings of anthropology which shows that organized agriculture did not show up on the world's stage, until the White Race showed up, late in the world's early history. This is further confirmed in Genesis 2:15, where we read that God placed Adam in the Garden of Eden "to dress and keep it." In other words he was a gardener or farmer.

As the Adamic race, represented by Adam and Eve, disobeyed God, they were expelled from the Garden of Eden, and as they prospered and grew, they turned from God and became so wicked that God destroyed them in the "flood." The only family to escape (this is the book of the generations of Adam - Gen 5:1) was Noah, whom the Scripture says: (Gen 6:9) was not only a "righteous man," but was "perfect in his generation" (blood line). He was the only family of Adamites who had not inter-mingled their blood with that of pre-Adamic man.

Much later, God chose one family from this Adamic seedline, that of Abram, to become a Special People set aside for a "special purpose," see Amos 3:2, 1 Peter 2:9. None of these were Jews. Over and over in the Old Testament, we listen as God warns Israel of the dangers that will come to them if they inter-mingle their SEED with that of the heathen nations. While liberal clergymen like Dr. Billy Graham say interracial marriage is permissible, as long as both parties are Christian, both the Word of God and the record of history prove otherwise.

In Gen 28:1, Isaac specifically forbade his son Jacob from marrying outside the Hebrew bloodline. In several

other places, Israel was warned not to allow their sons and daughters to inter-marry with heathen nations, as they would turn their hearts against the true God. This happened to King Solomon (1 Kings 11:4, after he had become one of the most influential men in history. See also Numbers 33:55, where Israel was warned that if they allowed these foreign nations to mingle with their people, they would become "thorns in their sides and pricks in their eyes." Benjamin Franklin realized this and tried to warn our Founding Fathers, but they would not listen to his sound advice. Even without Scriptural warnings, a study of history will show that inter-racial marriage, going hand in hand with sodomy, has destroyed great civilizations, and the sands of history is covered with the dried bones of those who have disobeyed this law. In spite of what your pastor may say to the contrary, at no place in Scripture are Christians given the authority to disobey this law, and they can only do so by inviting tragedy into their lives. ■ Jack Mohr, 800 Napa Valley Rd, #197, Little Rock AR 72211 USA

For those already in this situation, the answer for you is found in the books of Ezra and Nehemiah, read and take heed!

A word to the wise, from the Townsville Bulletin, Sat, Feb 28, 1998:

**MEASLES VACCINE "LINKED TO AUTISM."**

by Anita Quigley in London

BRITISH doctors have discovered that the vaccination for measles, mumps and rubella may trigger autism. The startling discovery, if proven correct by future research, poses a huge dilemma to the medical fraternity on whether to advise parents to vaccinate their children or not. The finding, which some doctors on the research team believe could be purely coincidence, also found a link between the vaccine and inflammatory bowel disease.

The study, conducted at the Royal Free Hospital in north London, revealed that out of 12 children who had previously been diagnosed as normal, all had developed an intestine disorder, nine developed autism and, in eight, the parents or the child's GP said the changes in health and behaviour followed within six days of being vaccinated.

In a study of another group of child patients, 46 out of 48 had the bowel and behaviour problems within six days of receiving the mumps, measles and rubella (MMR) vaccine.

Dr Andrew Wakefield, who worked on the research, said he believed the three vaccines should be given a year apart. ■

NOTE: If the symbol ### appears on your mailing envelope, the computer has selected your name to be dropped from our mailing list. This means that according to our records, you have donated less than a minimum amount during the last 6 months, to this Christian Ministry. This minimum amount merely pays for the costs involved in getting the newsletter and other informative items to you. If you are on low or limited earnings, we will of course keep you on the list, but you must let us know that this is your circumstance, and keep in touch AT LEAST twice a year. If we do not hear from you, we will take it that you are no longer interested.

Others must send in needed donations in order to keep the monthly mailings coming to them. We will continue to pray for you and your understanding in this matter. Thank you for your cooperation.

Many sincere thanks to all who have written both for your letters and for any enclosed donations! We appreciate those who give more so that others may receive the newsletters. Although I cannot answer all letters, rest assured that I do read them. I do try to answer those with problem questions if at all possible. But please be patient! Many questions could be answered, if you were to listen to our LOAN TAPES. Request them if you haven't listened before. You will find them of great benefit. May the God of our forefathers bless and keep his exclusive Israel people,

- For Further Study:**
- Death Penalty for Homosexuals is Prescribed in The Bible, Peters #020 \$2.60**
  - Death Penalty for Race Mixers, Gentry, #298 #3.70**
  - Muder By Injection, Mullins, #641 \$25.00**
  - Vaccinations A Mark of The Beast, Barley, #131 \$3.45**

