



Christian Identity Ministries

A member of the
Congregations of Israel

PO Box 146, CARDWELL QLD 4849, Australia

Ph: 07-40660146 (International 61-7) www.christianidentityministries.com – hr_cim@bigpond.com

“Blessed be the LORD God of *Israel*; for He has visited and redeemed *His* people, And raised up an horn of salvation for *us* in the house of His servant David; as He spake by the mouth of His holy prophets, which have been since the world began; That *we* should be saved from *our* enemies and from the hand of all that hate *us*; to perform the mercy promised to *our* fathers and to remember His holy covenant; The oath which He sware to *our* father Abraham, That He would grant unto *us*, that *we* being delivered out of the hand of *our* enemies might serve Him without fear, in holiness and righteousness before Him, all the days of *our* lives.” Luke 1: 68-75;

The Anglo-Saxon-Celtic-Germanic-Scandinavian people are *ISRAEL!*

#26

Covenant Messenger

February AD 1988

A publication of the N.Q. Fellowship of God's Covenant People

REPENT!

In the Christian West one can spend their entire youth and a goodly part of their adulthood being exhorted to forsake sin and never receive any understanding of what it is they must forsake. The most casual Bible reader cannot but notice that nearly every major controversy in Bible history is related to this thing called sin. We gain a sense of the idea of sin and some of us instinctively know when we have committed or to avoid some sins. But much more is committed in ignorance, which is itself a sin. God does hold the Christian adult responsible for his own education.

In the Holy Bible, sin is defined in a number of places and in various ways though we find the most concise definition in 1 John 3: 4, “...for sin is transgression of the law...” If the word transgression causes you difficulty, its modern day equivalent is the word violation. The first thing we ought to notice about this definition is that it occurs in the New Testament. Isn't it strange that we should find it in the same part of our Bibles where we are told we are not under the law but under grace? Since believers know that God's Word often appears to contradict itself but does not if we have received sufficient understanding, it must be possible for people to violate the law in the age of grace.

Paul speaks of the purpose of the law when comparing the law to the promises of inheritance God made to father Abraham and by implication and pronouncement, his son and grandson. He states in Galatians 3: 19 that the law was added because of transgressions. Now Paul has stated in Romans 4: 15, “...where no law is there is no transgression...” This makes perfect sense as one can hardly violate a law that does not exist. This raises a small problem with the statement in Galatians 3: 19. For if the law was added because of transgressions, who or what was being violated? I would suggest that it was the will of

God that was being violated. We now have the proposition that the law was added because of the violations of God's will. Now we know there is much law and laws of science and mathematics are laws for the proper function of God's creation and they most certainly are His laws.

To be clear on this matter of law we look to Galatians 3: 17 and learn this law of which Paul is speaking followed 430 years after God made the promises of inheritance to Abraham, Isaac and Jacob. 430 years was the time of the Egyptian captivity of Jacob's offspring. Shortly after their deliverance from Egypt, God, through Moses, gave to the children of Israel a rather extensive set of commands consisting of laws or major rules of conduct, statutes or lesser laws of conduct, judgments or the penalties for violations of these rules and lastly, ordinances or the rules for worship rituals and observances.

This entire body of law is commonly called the Law of Moses, as Moses was appointed its chief administrator. This must be that law which Paul refers to in Galatians 3: 17. Where great confusion seems to arise in Paul's epistles is whether Paul is speaking of one part of the Mosaic Law or the entire body; he simply refers to it as the law. This confusion is overcome by paying strict attention to putting Paul's words in their proper context according to the subject of which he is speaking. Many Bible teachers fail to bother with this very necessary process of perceiving the context and therefore misunderstand Paul's meaning. I would estimate that nearly 90% of today's false doctrines and teachings have the misappropriation of

The views and opinions expressed in the articles herein or herewith are those of the authors and not necessarily those of CIM. They are written by fallible men. You must ask Jesus to guide your studies!

CIM reserves the right to edit submitted or reprinted material in line with CIM editorial policy. CIM does the utmost to ensure that the spirit of articles remains intact at all times.



Paul's words as their basis. It seems as though Paul has become the favorite apostle of anti-Christ's because his words are so easily perverted. By the same token, Paul's words are second only to John's vision recounted in the Revelation in difficulty of understanding and challenge to the committed Christian. When my light was yet faint, it seemed to me that Paul was the author of confusion. I could hardly bear to read him. But, alas, it was only a matter of light.

This law God gave Israel through Moses was offered by God as a contract or covenant and was to be perpetual throughout all the generations of Abraham's offspring, forever. That word is forever or everlasting. If forever has passed then so has this contract. If, however, forever has not passed then this contract stands and obligates all present descendants of Abraham. If Abraham was your ancestor, you have this very day, a number of standing legal contracts with your Creator. If, perchance, you have good reason to believe that you are not a physical descendant of Abraham, you may not yet be free from contract obligation to God. For Paul states in Galatians 3: 29, "*If ye be Christ's, then are ye Abraham's seed and heirs according to the promise.*" In this verse Paul is saying either one of two things. The first possibility is that true believers will only come from Abraham's family and, therefore, if you believe, you can only be of Abraham's seed. The other possibility is that Paul is not speaking literally but figuratively, which would indicate adoption into that faith of Abraham and making one Spiritual kin to Abraham.

A very important question is hereby raised. What kind of god would force a family to adopt strangers and leave those strangers free to ignore the rules of the house? If I consider either blood relationship or adoption that makes me a kingdom heir with Christ no small matter, why would I behave as though I must keep matters secret? Why wouldn't I honor every covenant or contract made between God and my physical or adoptive ancestry? Were these covenants less than appropriate as signs of special relationship to my Creator? If God has said that circumcision would be an everlasting covenant, and a highly symbolic one at that, why should I wish to preserve my foreskin or that of my sons? Haven't you ever wondered why two or more generations of American men have been routinely circumcised at birth? Do we examine hospital economics and physical hygiene and ignore the possibility of God's hand in this thing? Is it not a sign of a wicked and corrupt people who mindlessly, in superstition, cleave to the symbols of principles long forgotten? If God has declared that the sons of Abra-

ham shall not cut the corners of their beards, shall I rise up early to scrape my face that none might ask why I don't shave? Do I eat those foods God pronounced unfit for Abraham's seed because maybe I'm only adopted?

I am well aware of Paul's speaking on this subject but historical perspective of Paul's time provides much insight into his doctrine. If we keep in mind the Jewish denial of Jesus' resurrection was not preventing the growth of the Way or Christianity, we can understand that war had been declared and the enemies of Christ resorted to various tactics to discourage the challenge to their political and economic power. One tactic was to actively jail, beat and murder believers as a public example. Another was to publicly proclaim a perverted gospel to deceive would be converts, and create doubt among new converts. Another was to falsely accuse the apostles of being liars and deceivers. Perhaps the most effective tactic was to proclaim the practice of Judaism as a prerequisite to Christian conversion. Since, at that time, no one in their right mind wanted to be a Babylonian Jew, this prevented thousands from coming to Christ. Thus,

Paul attacked this practice with a vengeance and only limited success. If we read Paul's words in this light we begin to understand that Paul had his priorities: establish faith in Christ and the Father, and then reveal it as that faith of Abraham. While Paul

insisted upon putting the horse before the cart our spiritist leaders insist that Paul discarded the cart.

In the history of man there has never been created, nor dreamed of, any rules of behaviour superior in any way to those given Abraham's seed by God. God's laws or rules reveal His character. To reject those rules under any pretext is to reject God's character and therefore, God Himself. With but a little Bible knowledge and a little uncommon sense we can put to rest forever the anti-Christ claims that grace is substituted for law. For where there is no law, there is no sin. Where there is no sin, there is no confession. Where there is no confession, there is no repentance. Where there is no repentance, there is no forgiveness. Where there is no forgiveness, there is no salvation. Therefore, **WITHOUT LAW THERE IS NO SALVATION!!!**

The most common sin in all Christendom today is **WRONG ATTITUDE!** To overcome we must have the mind of Christ. That mind will not allow violation of God's law and we know we have that mind when we are in harmony with the writer of the 119th Psalm.

Read it and weep!

Courtesy Ed & Cheryl Howes' **CHRISTIAN FORUM**. Box 749 Oracle, ARIZONA 85623, U.S.A.

TAPE OF THE MONTH

#7522 & 7523 WE'LL DO IT GOD'S WAY.

Four parts on two cassettes. Many people have their own ideas about how to go about saving the nation.

However, God has His own plan, and we will be required to conform to it. Essential study materials in this time of awakening, as people are beginning to see what the enemy has arrayed against us!

\$8.00 the set posted from CHRISTIAN IDENTITY



SLAVERY

by Otto Scott

Although it seems to have escaped the attention of our intellectualoids, slavery - a scourge the 19th century Christian reformers believed they had removed from the world - has returned. It exists - one might say flourishes - in the Soviet Union, in Red China, in Cuba, in Nicaragua, in Cambodia and Vietnam, in the nations of Eastern Europe, and wherever "Marxism" is the dominant ideology.

Its various forms are well-known, have been printed in thousands of books and tens of thousands of essays, have been limned in autobiographies and lectures, have been described in personal conversation around the world - and yet remain remarkably undiscussed in our schools, are largely ignored in our churches, are actually denied by our liberal left, and ignored by our State Department, our Department of Commerce, and are left unmentioned in the public observations of our leaders.

This is the more remarkable when the everyday facts of totalitarian regimes are reviewed. In an article in the Wall Street Journal, in 1982, David Satter, a Moscow Correspondent for the Financial Times, said that the Soviet Union, for instance, has approximately five million in labor camps, working on assigned tasks in internal exile or serving out minor sentences by working in assigned industrial tasks.

The labor camp inmates work in logging, road building and mining. Those sentenced to internal exile number "at least" a half million and up to two million short-timers (drunks, prostitutes, first offenders) work wherever authorities assign them. Not to meet their quotas means, as the world has learned, that they can be punished in ways that lead to death. Such deaths do not call for investigations; they are taken for granted.

To even mention these conditions, however, is to bore some Americans. Attempts to raise these matters in radio discussions or with the media - or with our educators - is to encounter weary waves of the hand, and hasty nods, followed by dismissal of the subject. Yet these same people are apt to press their concerns for whales, for wildlife, for wilderness sanctuaries, for baby seals, for the California condor, for oil spills, upon us.

That the slaves of the Soviet, of Red China, of Cuba, of Cambodia, of North Korea and their allies are treated far worse than the worst of our slaves of the past does not seem to penetrate the circles of liberals, who "care." They continue to abuse the American past, while ignoring the far more terrible totalitarian present. Meanwhile, a vast pool of slaves (out of a total work force of 115 million) makes it unnecessary for Soviet authorities to make any concessions to labor. These contemporary white slaves of the Soviets are not simply dissidents, not political prisoners, but persons convicted of theft, "hooligan-

ism" (a charge that, by being undefinable, cannot be refuted) and violations of internal passport laws (being in the wrong place at the wrong time).

Since the structures (and strictures) of religion have been destroyed in the Soviet Union, virtually everyone steals. (Not to steal in such a society means not to survive.) That means almost anyone is a candidate for a labor camp, whenever there is a shortage (!) in these terrible institutions.

If theft is not a stated reason for arrest and detention, then drunkenness will do - or the results of drunkenness. In the event of drunken fights, everyone in the vicinity can be rounded up. Also, there are those known as "Bichi" (Formerly Intellectual Persons), who simply cannot endure the omnipresent controls, arrested in roundups for living in cities (without permission). Nor are these all that can be arrested and confined. Persons who lose their jobs are automatically candidates for a labor camp. Failure to accept work assigned by the government also means automatic detention.

In other words, the labor camps are not simply for hardened criminals, but for ordinary citizens. These captives can be made to work anywhere, at any time, for any reason.

That is slavery. Nor is the Soviet Union the only region where it is practised. The intellectuals of Czechoslovakia who spoke against regimentation were reduced to collecting garbage, to janitorial positions, and detention awaited them if they refused or demurred - or even appeared too unhappy. Forced labor exists in Eastern Europe, where entire populations have lived in darkness since 1939 - nearly two generations. No one has yet provided an accurate map of the concentration camps of Red China, as refugees have of the USSR, but there is little doubt that they are even more numerous - for China is vast, and its population immense. Few of the cheerful American tourists who have developed a zest for visiting Red China seem to be curious about these camps, or about the system of slavery in China that their forebears would have found obnoxious - but perhaps this part of the progress of the world towards the "New World Order" of which we hear so much.

Conditions in nations closer to home are not much better. The Cubans are rationed, regimented, watched by Block Wardens, assigned to their jobs and clapped into prisons on the whim of the authorities. Yet United States Senators and Congressmen visited the murderers of that nation, shook hands with them, ate and drank with them, and returned home to praise them.

No demonstrations are mounted today for Vietnam, which is studded with "re-education" camps and the graves of the slaughtered under the new Marxist rulers. No books pour out of the presses protesting these barbarities. The massacres in Cambo-



dia are blamed, in the film *The Killing Fields*, on the Nixon administration and the U.S. bombing of Vietnamese troops who used that land as a sanctuary from which to attack the South.

Yet it is not true that all the West is indifferent. In France, Solzhenitsyn's revelations of the Gulag hit home with unexpected force. For the first time, it is no longer intellectually respectable to be pro-Marxist in today's France. The intellectuals have decided that concentration camps, massacres and slavery are not road signs to a better world, but avenues of regression to a distant and barbaric past.

That our intellectuals have not come to the same conclusion, after a mountain of evidence; that our tourists are capable of visiting Red China with a smile, that our clergy remains largely mute and indifferent, is a sign not of callousness in the American people, but in the American elite. We are, it seems governed by a class as parasitic, as removed, as unrealistic, as trivial, as the **Ancien Régime**. Pray God it does not meet the same fate, and carry us down with it.

Courtesy *Chalcedon Report #253*. Copyright 1986 by Chalcedon, P.O. Box 158, Vallecito, California 95251 U.S.A.

TO OUR MAILING LIST

As the number of people borrowing loan tapes has grown considerably in recent months, I ask all who borrow tapes, to please give us a long list of numbers that you wish to hear, as many may not be available due to being on loan already. Also please ensure that tapes are returned after four weeks so that others may use them. If you are borrowing videos (three at a time) please ensure that they are returned promptly after six weeks (maximum). Thank you very much.

Every few months we must remove from our mailing list those who have not given enough support to indicate they want our mailings. As stewards of tithe money, we should not spend it sending literature to those who are not interested. If you are taken off, and feel you should not be, please write and tell us. We appreciate all mail, even if we are sometimes a little slow in answering. To those we are leaving on we say, "THANK YOU" for your continued support.

To those waiting on Lindsey Williams', and other books, we say, "Sorry but we too are still waiting for them to arrive." You will get them as soon as we receive them.

Recently, there has been an increase in interest for the Bible Law Course. I know some are a bit "put-off" by the Americanism contained in lessons 1 & 2. However, we feel sure that you will benefit very much from continuing with the lessons. We look forward to receiving your answers and sending you more study material. So far only about 20% of those who requested lessons 1 & 2, have continued with the course. We encourage the rest to continue. It is God's Law!

THE FALLING DOLLAR

by Richard K. Hoskins

How To Crash The Dollar

Crash the dollar? It's simple - if you are a money-changer. Take a US dollar to the bank's money-changer to exchange for another country's money and you will be given only 95c. They pocket 5% for their trouble. Bring them back 95c of someone else's money and they will give you only US 90c. They take 5% coming and 5% going without effort or risk. That's the way it is done. They do it every day. Not only that, they determine what the US dollar is worth every day. If they want the US dollar to be worth \$1.10 foreign, that's the price they set for the exchange. If they like, they can exchange it at \$1.15 the next day and \$1.20 the day after. The dollar's value drops lower and lower and there is not a thing you can do about it.

When the dollar goes up, billions are made by currency traders. They buy money contracts. They know from their friends whether the banks are forcing the dollar up or down. With scores of top level management in the know and hundreds of moneychangers, this kind of information always leaks to a select few. Industries can be made rich as the dollar drops. They under-price foreign competition. It's great if you have information from the money-changers. You know what to buy or sell. The same industries may be forced to close down and layoff millions of workers when the dollar goes up and foreigners under-price them in turn. International bankers have a monopoly on the creation of money. They have a monopoly on money-changing. They have a monopoly on determining money's worth. They can make the value of money rise - they can make it fall. Their profit is all important. The well-being of the nation is not a consideration.

Germany Stops 1920's Inflation

The Versailles treaty forced Germany to borrow money into existence from the international bankers to pay tribute. The money-changers dropped the value of the mark each time they exchanged dollars, pounds, or francs for German marks. Inflation went wild in Germany. The middle class was wiped out.

Hjalmar Schacht was appointed head of German finance. He stopped inflation in a single day by issuing a simple directive. The directive said that all money exchange was to take place across his desk; four marks to a dollar. The international bankers howled, the newspapers and the politicians threatened. Governments convened emergency committees. Four to the dollar. That's what he said it was going to be and that's the way it was. Schacht didn't budge. Inflation was over. Four to the dollar. It's really this simple. Of course, it forced the international banks to rally the whole world to go to war against Schacht's



Germany to get this rule changed. However it showed that a government can regulate its own currency in spite of the banks. It's really this simple.

International Bankers Strip America

Not long ago bank money-changers raised the value of the dollar. American industry was priced out of the world markets. Plants closed. Banks control America's corporations through voting stock held in their trust departments. They voted to close America's factories and build others in foreign lands. American owned plants were built all over the third world. The third world now possesses much of America's industrial might. Strangers now hold jobs belonging to Americans.

Making It Stick

Next, the third world currencies were attached to the American dollar. When the dollar falls their currencies fall at the same time. When the dollar rises their currencies also rise.

No rise or fall of the American dollar can hurt the third world nations. Possessing America's former industries - fewer third world nations fail to pay their debts to the international banks. The banks profit. Our people lose their jobs. Europe's currencies have NOT been attached to the dollar. A fall in the dollar forces a trade war between America and her kinsmen in Europe. A dropping dollar can under-price Europe's products and cause their industry to bankrupt - or cause them to also move to third world nations. Either way it is Westerners who lose. It is Westerners who are unemployed.

In time, it is possible for the banks to manipulate ALL major industry from the shores of both the US and Europe. The results would be drastic. The population would have to decline so that those remaining could be fed. The lands themselves turned over to a reduced number of farmers and flocks of sheep. This is what was done to Spain and Portugal by the international bankers. This is the end result of what the same money-changers are doing to us today.

Farm Programs

The banks instructed the US government to pay their dairymen to destroy their herds and pay farmers to retire their acres from production. The drop in production was immediately filled with exports from third world. When the dairymen and farmers once again try to re-enter the market they will find the market expropriated. This new income for the third world helps pay debts to the international banks.

The Usury Cycle's End

The Usury System allows only three ways for money to come into existence:

- 1) Individuals borrow money into existence.
- 2) Corporations borrow money into existence.
- 3) Governments borrow money into existence.

At the bottom of a depression only a government dares to borrow. Even it can only justify borrowing at such times by arming and fighting a "defensive" war. Next comes inflation. Then the break in prices. The decline. And it starts all over again.

It does not have to happen this way. The system of usury is outside of God's law and will be contained now or later. It cannot continue. It will die or we will die. If the past repeats, the time is fast approaching when money will once more disappear. Scrip will reappear. It will be issued either by national government, states, counties, cities, or individuals. Perhaps by all at the same time. Scrip is the exact opposite of a bank note. It will do away with the need for wars to get us out of depressions. It will do away with the booms and busts that result in depressions. It will do away with depressions.

Hjalmar Schacht proved that we can stop the irresponsible usury bankers and their money tampering that results in booms and busts. The 1930's presented us with the example of an outpouring of debtless scrip from everywhere. We know from our history books that the price of bread remained the same for over three centuries in the Hanseatic League. We know further that instead of being something new, our ancestors lived with tally-scrip instead of the banknote for almost 1000 years. It is time for America to awake and obey her Constitution which requires our government to issue money and set the value thereof.

The alternative will be drastic.

Copyright 1988, *Portfolios Investment Advisory*. P.O. Box 997, Lynchburg, VA 24505. Used by permission.

According to an article in *THE NEW TIMES*, Mr Paul Keating (world's greatest treasurer) in reply to a letter from the Christian Alternative Movement stated: "*Australia... like any other country issues currency without reference to external authority.*" And further: "...and 'debt free currency' - to use your terminology - is no longer issued anywhere in the world."

THE NEW TIMES. P.O. Box 1052J G.P.O. Melbourne Vic 3001

WARNING!!

Please note that information has just come to hand that there is much evidence to indicate that Jonathan May is as phoney as a three dollar bill. It He is reported as having run a money scam. Apparently, some of the information contained on the tape #X3-44, is also in error. I have also been told that Lindsey Williams was also involved with some of the questionable dealings. It has been stated that "May seems to be a penny ante opportunist who probably has no more information than anyone else who studies current conspiracy literature." As we have made this tape available, we felt compelled to issue this warning. Please pass this warning on to others who may have/heard this tape.



THE MORALITY OF AMERICAN YOUTH

“Remember thy creator in the days of thy youth.” (Ecclesiastes 12: 1)

America’s young folks are Christ’s heritage. American parents are charged with the safekeeping of Christ’s heritage. The question is, are parents fulfilling their obligation to oversee and protect the young souls placed in their charge? Are parents turning out a blessed generation for America and Christ, or a cursed one? I am worried about the next generation in America: today’s teenagers and young adults. I am worried about America.

The welfare of our nation rests on the moral condition of our children. Consequently America’s future is in the hands of Mom and Dad because they alone are capable of making sure that Godly standards are upheld in the family and passed on to succeeding generations.



Therefore, if America fails we all suffer, but the fault will lie at the feet of the parents. *“Lo, children are an heritage of the Lord: and the fruit of the womb is his reward.”* (Psalm 127: 3-5)

Upholding standards and maintaining morality is not always an easy task, however the rewards are great. The parents’ position is clear. They must enforce the law! God has not commanded parents to “reason with” their children. He has commanded parents to rule their children. And while it is important to tell children what is right and proper, the fact is that

just telling them is not enough. Behind the talk there must be enforcement. If good standards are not enforced, and thus ingrained into the children, the parents will be held responsible before God.

Looking at the phenomena of erratic standards in America, we find that moral trends ebb and flow with the tides of popular opinion. Generally, they are molded by the legislature and the courts, since laws and courts are for the setting and enforcing of standards of morality; they define morality by defining crime (sin). When a law system (or lawLESS system) allows immorality to go unpunished, it encourages sin. Moral trends sink. Whores, effeminate, thieves and mercenary gladiators (pro athletes) become the heroes and heroines of that generation. Christian history has shown that national hard times are always preceded by decay of moral standards. First the adults debase themselves, then they pass it on to their children. It is predictable. It does not happen by chance. Then, Christ sends hard times to turn sinners from their present course.

Since American (and Australian, CIM) Christians are a Covenant People, our covenant-keeping Heavenly Father watches us like a responsible parent watches his own children. Thus, when we break our covenant, God holds us responsible. He disciplines us the same as any loving parent punishes his child for disobedience. Without this loving disciplinary action, morality cannot be instilled in us, or in our children. The basis of our Covenant with our God is that we are His “holy nation”, “a royal priesthood” (1 Peter 2: 9).

As benefactors of the New Covenant, we are required to be an exemplary society amidst the nations of the world! We must be “Lights upon a hill” to the world around us. And, if we backslide, as a nation, into immorality, breaking our covenant and practising ungodly things in the sight of the nations of the world, then our Covenant Keeping God comes against us until we repent, just as He also commands fathers to come against a naughty child. The writer of the book of Hebrews explains this principle:

“And ye have forgotten the exhortation which speaketh unto you as unto sons, My son, despise not thou the chastening of the Lord, nor faint: when thou art rebuked of him: For whom the Lord loveth he chasteneth, and scourgeth every son whom he receiveth. If ye endure chastening, God dealeth with you as sons; for what son is he whom the father chasteneth not? But if ye be without chastisement, whereof all are partakers, then are ye bastards, and not sons. Now no chastening for the present seemeth to be joyous, but grievous: nevertheless afterward it yieldeth the peaceable fruit of righteousness unto them which are exercised thereby.” (Hebrews 12: 5-8, 11).

The point is, neither the parent nor the child can rely upon the general prevailing attitude (current trend) as a yardstick with which to measure his personal morality. Prevailing attitudes (the mass con-



science) are untrustworthy. “Doing what seems right”, or what is approved by the masses will not suffice! “Feeling good” about what we’ve done doesn’t change a committed sin into a noble act. God’s Word is our standard; man’s conscience is not. Good intentions aren’t enough.

“There is a way that seemeth right unto a man, but the ends thereof are the ways of death.” (Proverbs 14: 12)

Morality should not be defined by using peers as standards. Morality must be defined by Scripture and by an objective overview of the historical record of cause and effect. To understand our present moral status (especially with respect to the youth of our land) we must employ strict mental discipline. As the moral character of youth swings to the left (or toward immorality) that doesn’t mean that they actually make a conscious decision to be immoral. Rather, their definition of “acceptability” changes. Although man almost never voluntarily does what is right, he will conform to “acceptability” as he perceives it.

“Acceptability,” then, replaces morality. *“All the ways of a man are clean in his own eyes; but the Lord weigheth the spirits.”* (Proverbs 16: 2)

What causes the definition of “morality” to change? It changes as it is influenced by the courts and the legislature. This molds the parents’ consciences, which in turn molds the children’s consciences. Thus, a generation of degenerate young folks will be blind to their true condition .since it grew out of a gradual change in their perception of the definition of “morality.” **A society is always “moral” in its own eyes.** People see themselves as “normal” and “moral” regardless of whether they are saints or perverts. It all depends upon what the current law system has taught them.

So, parents must be careful! We must base our morals upon God’s unfluctuating laws and not upon general opinion. When the courts and the legislatures fail to uphold God’s standards, then it is up to the parents to break the mold and save their children. In an immoral society, your child can be “better” than his peers and still be depraved. It’s not good enough to be “morally acceptable” in a community of immoral people. **In an immoral society, a moral person will stand out like a sore thumb.** He will be considered a “radical” or a “fanatic.” So don’t feel martyred when you are not popular with the crowd. Feel fortunate! Following the herd is spiritual suicide. Today, consensus says it is morally acceptable to abort babies. Our people generally believe there is nothing wrong with divorce and breaking up families, and they think it is fine to let public schools and day-care centers raise their children for them.

The moral standards of America (and Australia, CIM) are bad. The moral standards of your community are bad; some worse than others. Children in public school are learning how to tolerate depravity. But let

us get closer to home. If you live in an average American home, the moral standards in your home are bad! Indeed, if your morals are average, you are an immoral person. You and your children are due to receive punishment from our Covenant-Keeping God! I am not trying to insult anyone; I’m just pointing out a fact of our times here in this land. We must know where we stand. When we consider the development of our moral standards, we must first consider the prevailing standards around us.

Were it not for the Bible, we would have no concept of virtue in these times. The daughters of Lot grew up in Sodom and were tainted by that culture (Genesis 19: 31-38). They were oblivious to the mental poison they had absorbed, but they were nonetheless corrupt of mind. The Sodomite culture had taught them the skills of seduction. Indeed, the first thing they did after God had delivered them out of Sodom, before He rained fire from heaven down upon the wicked city, was to trick their father into a drunken stupor and then commit incest with him. This they did believing they were doing him a favour by raising children unto him. By the standards of Sodom, Lot’s daughters were not immoral.

Now, it is always a challenge to try to look at yourself objectively. Here are four illustrations of the challenges before today’s family, and especially before the youth of today. You, my friend, are affected by current moral trends whether you know it or not. For instance, current trends would indicate that:

1. Pornography is OK because it is just “freedom of the Press,” but the Bible is dangerous because it teaches discrimination against sinners.

2. Modesty is witlessness. It is not in modern man’s vocabulary. When was the last time you heard a clothing advertisement that said, “This is a nice modest, Christian dress!”? Or how about a movie that doesn’t belittle modesty and virtue? In true Christian societies, modesty is mandatory in education of both men and women. But, times change and trends evolve. Teachers now teach their students to be “assertive” (a humanistic euphemism for “brazen, selfish and rude”). Morals have been on a declining slide now for many generations. For example, when I was young I remember that it was fashionable for girls to be modest, but modesty in boys was seen as weakness: an ignorant and hypocritical attitude. The result today? Neither boys, nor girls, dare to be modest.

3. Masculine women and effeminate men are “in.” Families, with the traditional role models, are “out.” The modern women’s-lib movement has helped to destroy the family. Christian families don’t succeed where the woman wears the pants in the family (so to speak) and the man is unwilling to make decisions. In stronger Christian societies, a man who wouldn’t stand up and be the man of his house was considered immoral and unfit for Christian service. Paul taught that anyone who wanted to serve Christ must first:



“Rule well his own house... For if a man know not how to rule his own house, how shall he take care of the Church of God?” (1 Timothy 3: 4)

“Likewise, ye wives, be in subjection to your own husbands.” (1 Peter 3: 1). A husband who abandons his responsibility as head of the house, forcing, his wife (who should be second in command) to step out of her rightful place and take over the house, deserves the horsewhip. Inevitably, when things go sour, he will then blame her. Men should be men! Not wimps!

When Christian men are real men, and when Christian women are feminine and respectable instead of insolent and pushy, then Christian homes will be stronger and our young people will have the role models they need to develop wholesome standards of their own. Children need to see mother’s example of being in submission to their father whom God placed over the family. If you are a young Christian man looking for a wife, my advice is to stay clear of pushy, “assertive,” world-hardened women.

4. Unchaperoned, unsupervised outings and parties for boys and girls, as well as single men and women, have become acceptable. They gang up, like a pack of dogs, and “party” into the wee hours. I suspect that this trend comes as a result, in part, of the herding of our children, like cattle, in the government schools. Teenage boys and girls often travel together for several days on the road without their families or proper supervision. It is not uncommon for them to even live together co-ed style. This type of behaviour was never acceptable in true Christian societies.

Furthermore, parents give their son or daughter the keys to the car and let them go out on what’s called “a date”, without really thinking about what they are actually doing. Dad, when you send your teenager out with another teenager of the opposite sex to be alone in that car together for several hours you are committing a great sin against your child, and against God’s heritage! Single people should avoid these situations. Their welfare, as well as their reputations are at stake. Abounding temptations can confuse a young person whose parents keep sending him or her out alone on dates. Natural instincts are sparked, and then dowsed - repeatedly. It will lead to inevitable violation of things that are strictly reserved for married life. Many a Christian parent has prayed, “...*lead me not into temptation...*” and then turned right around and condoned the tempting of his son or daughter.

There is a God-created, natural chemistry between male and female. You can try to ignore it, you can even try to overcome it, but if you are normal it won’t go away. Today, parents are closing their eyes to common sense and Scriptural commands, rebelling against Biblical restraints and standards, tempting fate and abandoning their responsibility to Christ’s heritage (their children). While dating, as we know it

today, is quite “normal” and “morally acceptable” with the current standards of society, I warn you: if you want to be acceptable in the eyes of God you’d better think again! Whether you are a teenager, or a single adult, it is not respectable for you to be alone for several hours with someone of the opposite sex. This so-called “dating,” as we know it today, has NEVER been morally acceptable in a strong Christian society. If you want to be alone and intimate with someone of the opposite sex, then marry that person! That is the only Christian answer. The fact that our young people are ignorant of this causes discontent and confusion.

This is the opposite of what you commonly see and hear today. It isn’t what you learn in those little paperback novels, or on TV. **THIS IS THE TRUTH!** This is reality. Modern “dating” trends have laid a terrible burden upon our young folks. The single people are, in effect, given the “OK” to fool around with dynamite. They are told to “play the field” while they are single. Then, a few years later when they get married they are expected to suddenly stop “playing the field.”

In other words, their years as a youth are spent learning how to be whores and rogues, then they foolishly suppose that they will be able to suddenly metamorphose into a morally upstanding husband or wife. It is nonsense! No wonder that about seventy-five percent of marriages are failing these days.

Only marriage is acceptable in the Eyes of God!

NOT “dating!”

NOT “going steady!”

NOT “living together!”

NOT “parties and outings” without your families or a chaperone!

NOT “co-ed” dorms at college!

NOT “unisex” jobs where men and women are sent out together to do the same work!

Marriage is the institution given to us by our God to provide for a private and personal relationship between man and woman. There is no other institution - period!

Reject this truth and you not only reject centuries of Christian tradition but you will find yourself in rebellion against God! You may be accepted by your community; you may be accepted by your cheap friends; but I can assure you that you are not accepted by the God of Israel! You are asking for trouble in your life, and you are admitting that you care nothing about your future children and grandchildren, or about your nation!

What of the parents who allow their children to indulge in the modern “punk” fashions, listen to the brain scrambling “music” that is played over the radio stations (yes, Dad, that includes your “cowboy” bar-room music), and who fail to stop their kids from worshipping those perverts in Hollywood and on TV, and putting up those idiotic posters of their favorite



movie pervert/ sodomite on their wall or on their Tee shirt? What of those parents who stand idly by while their child uses street language and mannerisms they learn from the mind-rotting movies and the rock music slang, and then makes fun of the decent kids who try to stick to Christian morals? When I consider these modern liberal parents my spirit groans within me! They are destroying their children as well as the nation's future. I avoid them as much as possible, and I teach my family to stay away from them. Whatever insanity it is that has infected them; I don't want it to infect my family! I love my family and I want them to be virtuous. I don't want my family hurt by immoral people.

Young people! Parents! **AVOID THOSE WHO PRACTICE IMMORALITY AND WHO TRY TO GET YOU TO JOIN THEM! STAY OUT OF THEIR CIRCLES!** Let them practice their ways of death upon themselves. Don't let them influence your life. Beware! Evil ceases to be evil when you've been near it too long!

“Evil is contagious when seen often face to face. First it is hated, then pitied, and then embraced.”

Remember: Kids cannot understand moral standards at first! Parents cannot just reason with them and then turn them loose. It takes the wisdom of years, or becoming a parent themselves. Then, and only then, will they understand it. But, kids don't have to understand - they just have to obey their parents, who will hopefully be responsible and diligent for the sake of our Christian Heritage!

Courtesy *American Covenant Newsletter*. Box 8430 Medford, OR 97504 U.S.A.

THE CHRISTIAN AND THE INCOME TAX - Part 6 Dr. Everett Sileven © July 1986

Chapter 6: Are You A Practicing Communist?

Here is a brief test for Christians who have not questioned whether we live in a country with a republic, a democratic or maybe even a communistic form of government:

Do you believe in and/or support the government schools with money or by sending your children to them?

Do you support the corporate integration of farming and agriculture with non-agribusiness industries?

Do you support ERA and the current move to include all women in the work force, even traditionally men's jobs, armed forces, etc.?

Do you favor land and water control by the state, zoning and general land management by the state?

Do you support government owned and operated mass transit systems and communications systems?

Do you support and operate in the current central banking system of the Federal Reserve, usury and credit card banking?

Do you support the current confiscation of property by the IRS (taxation) of government dissidents in America? Do you call them tax protestors and believe they are getting what they deserve?

Do you allow your estate to be abolished through inheritance taxes, probate courts and wills, when other means of avoiding all this is possible?

Do you defend the current federal and state income tax system and criticize those who do not participate?

Do you support the taxation of all lands and property and support public highway projects?

If you answer any of the above questions in the affirmative, you are to some degree a practicing Communist. If you answered all of the above in the affirmative, you are a Communist.

Communism is a system of private property confiscation and control. Any means of property control and confiscation used in the U.S.A. today against the consent of the individual is a communist practice. The graduated income tax is one of the strongest planks of the Communist Manifesto. Every Christian should be opposing it with all his strength.

But, lo, most Christians and pastors criticize those brave persons who are participating in Godly resistance. In fact, many pastors and Christians preach against sodomy and abortion; but at the same time they are supporting the practice of those very sins through the graduated income tax. Pastors and Christians continue to participate in this wicked and ungodly scheme out of fear of jail, or losing property, or jobs.

Where are the Christians of Rome who would rather burn, or be torn apart by the beasts of the arena, than to betray the sovereignty of their Lord Jesus Christ?

I will gladly and voluntarily pay for Godly government, Constitutional government, and willingly pay for those functions required to punish evil doers. But I refuse to be a part of a scheme to rob Godly people of their assets for dominion work and rob them of the inheritance for their children.

I say that Christians, pastors, and churches who refuse to repent and obey God and the Gospel, deserve all the judgment God has and will pour out on this corrupt system in which they voluntarily participate.

I love America and I am not trying to destroy America. In fact, the America God gave us has already been destroyed by the wicked and ungodly, and most Christians do not even know it. So they continue to participate in her sins, headed for judgment, and totally blinded. As Jeremiah called Israel to repent, I call Israel in America (the saved) to repent today, that our Nation might be healed.



Chapter 7: Where Did The Income Tax Start?

From where did the graduated individual income tax come? Has it always been? Was it part of the plan of the founding fathers?

The truth is, the Individual graduated Income tax is an evil which has come upon us gradually by our own sin fullness and lethargy. It is not part of our heritage.

The War of Independence started soon after the Declaration of Independence in 1776. Soon after the war was won, the states sent representatives to Philadelphia to strengthen the Confederation of States. However, those delegates simply ignored their purpose and wrote a new constitution. The good and bad of this new Constitution was debated for months, and even today some of the prophesied ills have come to pass. The one thing for certain was that they wanted to avoid the awful direct taxation of the citizens by government, which King George had practiced and - in a big part - this taxation was the catalyst for the War of Independence.



King George had sent his tax collectors into the states and into the citizens' businesses, and homes pillaging their papers, personal property and confiscating their property and money for alleged taxes without a trial. In fact, most of the harassment came to those who simply were dissenters of King George and his tactics, not those who really owed taxes. This is exactly where we are today.

We have returned to the very same system our forefathers fought a war to stop. Yet, most Christians look upon the tax system of today as American - or at least sufferable - because we are Christians and supposedly Romans 13 prohibits resistance to government, no matter how evil or corrupt. Of course, this is

false, but the purpose of this chapter is to show you how we started and how we got to where we are today.

How was our government to be financed? For what purposes could the government spend money? What taxing methods were to be permitted by the people? Hamilton, in Federalist Paper #12, said that no direct taxation should be allowed at all in the states. In Federalist Paper #22, page 122, Hamilton clarified his intent by stating:

"...imposts, excises and in general all duties upon articles of consumption may be compared to a fluid, which will in time find its level with the means of paying them. The amount to be contributed by each citizen will in a degree be his own option, and can be regulated by an attention to his resources. The rich may be extravagant, the poor may be frugal, and private oppressors may always be avoided by a judicious selection of objects for such impositions."

©Copyright 1986, *Fundamentalist Publications*. Box 249 Louisville, NEBRASKA 68037 U.S.A.

To be continued

This book available from Christian Identity for \$14 posted

Many thanks for all the letters we have received over this last month. I'm sorry if you did not get a personal response, but due to the volume of mail it was impossible. I will try to answer what I can.

In relation to all the anti-gun publicity here is a relevant letter from *THE SPOTLIGHT*:

ARMED RESISTANCE

I just finished reading the article about gun control in the November 9 issue.

It is because a great number of Afghans had guns that they were able to mount an, effective resistance against the Soviet invaders that continues today. If all citizens of every country were armed, then aggressive nations would think twice before launching an invasion of another country, knowing they would meet armed resistance in every house in every street in every city.

HARRY VALASEK
La Mesa, California

SPOTLIGHT November 30, 1987 27

I would like to thank all who support this work. May the God of Israel bless and keep you.

Hendrik (Hank) Roelofs

Hendrik Roelofs

email: hr_cim@bigpond.com

